Ronald Reagan Presidential Library Digital Library Collections

This is a PDF of a folder from our textual collections.

Collection: Chumachenko, Katherine: Files Folder Title: Religious USSR
Box: OA 18287

To see more digitized collections visit: https://reaganlibrary.gov/archives/digital-library

To see all Ronald Reagan Presidential Library inventories visit: https://reaganlibrary.gov/document-collection

Contact a reference archivist at: reagan.library@nara.gov

Citation Guidelines: https://reaganlibrary.gov/citing

National Archives Catalogue: https://catalog.archives.gov/

WITHDRAWAL SHEET

Ronald Reagan Library

Collection Name CHUMACHENKO, KATHERINE: FILES

Withdrawer

KDB

5/25/2006

File Folder

RELIGIOUS USSR

FOIA

F03-1737/01

Box Number

No

18287

SNYDER, S

Doc	Doc Typ	е

FORM

Document Description

No of **Doc Date Restrictions Pages**

EMPLOYEE PAYROLL STATEMENT FOR

211/19/1988 B6

CHUMACHENKO

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

B-1 National security classifled information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]

B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]

B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]

B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]

B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA] B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]

B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]

B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

GLORY TO JESUS CHRIST!

Esteemed Members of Congress!

Throughout the whole period of the subjugation of Ukraine to the Muscovite occupier, our Ukrainian Catholic Church was always the object of attacks and destruction by the "great State" circles of the Empire. It makes no difference whether we are speaking of the tsarist times or the days of the Red governors of Moscow. The government of the "one and indivisable" Russia, along with its faithful servants in the Russian Orthodox Church made tremendous efforts to destroy the Ukrainian Catholic Church and along with it our people and our nation.......Whether they succeed at this, only time will tell. But, notwithstanding, at this time our Church continues to live and our people hope that someday we will have our day in the sun.

After the death of Stalin many persecuted priests and monks, who remained alive, returned from the concentration camps. Many petitions were written to the government asking for relief from terror and repression. In the 1960's petitions were authored appealing to sympathetic Christian circles asking them to show their Christian solidarity with our struggle to attain our God given freedoms.

Even though much of our hierarchy and clergy were physically destroyed the Church never ceased its work. Rather the Church reorganized itself in the new conditions of the underground. But the KGB began a new wave of repression against the priests, monastics and active faithful. The KGB organized a slew of operations to once more physically destroy our clergy. In 1953 the Basilian priest monk Peter Oros was murdered. In 1957 the ihumen of an underground monastery in Boronyav, Josyp Zavadiuk was killed. In 1957 in Karaganda, while in exile, the layman of the Ukrainian Catholic Church, Ivan Markiv was murdered. In 1963 in Dzheskazgani the basilian priest-monk Oleksa Zarytskyj was tortured to death by the KGB. Even more were sentenced to new prison and camp terms, for example: Basilian priest-monk Anton Potochniak - 7 years' camp; Studite priest monk Hryhorij Budzinskyj - 10 years' camp; priest Ihnat Soltys and Redemptorist priest Mykhajlo Vynnytskyj both 7 years' esc' There were many more who fell to Khrushchev's wave of terror.

When the third Muscovite occupation began in 1944, the Ukrainian Catholic Church had 6,390 priests and monastics, whereas today in Ukraine and throughout the USSR, there are just over 1000 priests and 1200 monastics in the underground, plus a very active group of faithful. The Church in Ukraine and the USSR is directed by over ten bishops, among whom there are some who are already known in the West: the bishop of Lviv, who is in fact the assistant of Patriarch Myroslaw Cardinal Lubachivskyj is His Excellency Bishop Sterniuk, the Bishop of Kolomyja and Ivano-Frankivsk is Bishop Dmyterko, the Bishop of Uzhorod is Ivan Semedi, and there is also Bishop Pavlo Vasylyk. The Soviet authorities continually use terror against our Church: constant fines, new arrests and sentences for the faithful and priests. Even this year in 1987. when Gorbachev proclaims for the whole world reconstruction and glasnost, the camps are still home for many faithful among them: the head of the Helsinki Initiative Group in Defense of the Rights of Believers and the Church, VASYL KOBRYN; in a woman's camp in Siberia is POLANYA BATIO; in the Kazan special psychiatric prison is Hanna Mykhajlenko and in another camp is the new blind Professor Paylo Kampov. These are only a few of the best in swn names. In addition in the past year and a half many churches have been decreted, closed or even totally destroyed. A list of some of these churches is attached to this document (p.4).

But as Christians we are grateful to Our Lord for hav: g given us both suffering and hope - we remember that "the first shall be last..". We remember with both joy and sorrow the innocent slaughter of the hierarchy of our Church. We rejoice because they now abide in the Kingdom, we are sorrowed because they are no longer among us. At the very outset of the arrests Bishop Khomyshyn was tortured to death in the Kiev Lukyanivskyj prison. Bishop J. Kocylovskyj of Peremyshl was martyred on November 17, 1947. Bishop Lokota was murdered in a camp in Vorkuta on November 12, 1950. October 1 is the date of the death of Bishop Ivan Budka in Dzhezkazgani. Bishops Letyshevskyj and Ivan Verhun died in exile. The Bishop of Uzhorod Fedur Romzha was murdered by the KGB on November 1, 1947. Bishop Hoydych of Pryashiv died in a Czech camp in 1960. Bishops Ivan Balen and Oleksander Rusu were murdered in the Roumanian prison of Sigetskyj in 1950.

However accepting Mr. Corbechev's propagands comparing of 'gleanact' at face value, we the Ukrainian Cotholic Church have proceed Macanasista a fact we desire legalization. The authorities must now resolve the problem of the Ukrainian Church or they must begin a new wave of arrests and sentences upon those who signed the declaration (see p.8). Should they choose the latter it would demask 'reconstruction' before the entire world. This Church which the Soviets contend does not exist, does in fact exist and flourish. In Ukraine

alone there are 5 million Ukrainian Catholics and another 1.9 million in other areas of the USSR.

Without doubt should the Soviets not resolve the question of the Ukrainian Church and the question of free emigration from the USSR for all people without exception - then we feel that in no way should, there be a positive conclusion to the Conference in Vienna on Co-operation and Security. It is now time that the government of Moscow show in deeds, not just in words that they are ready to put into practice the agreements which they have signed in the past.

The Church also asks that as a further sign of the Soviets' sincerity in seeking a new openness they close the special regime camp Kuchino *36-1 and release unconditionally all prisoners held there and in other prisons and camps in the USSR. We are very concerned with the fates of Lev Lukyanenko, Ivan Kandyba, Vasyl Ovsiyenko, Vasyl Kobryn, Hanna Mykhajlenko, Petro Ruban, Mykola Horbal, Pavlo Kampov, Ivan Smetana, Stefan Belskyj, Mykola Mamus and many, many other prisoners of conscience who languish in Soviet prisons and camps.

Only when the above problems are faced and resolved in a positive manner can we say that we have created a situation in the world where true peace can be advanced. In other words, we must recognize that peace in the world is more than just an absence of war. The Ukrainian Catholic Church realizes that peace is built on measures which assure order and justice for all social groups throughout the world. And such order cannot be built on force or dictatorship since this would just be a mirage of true peace. Just social order must rather be built on mutual respect of our neighbours — individuals must respect each other's rights.

Solidarity and tolerance are the true measures of human relations. We clearly saw this in the fate of our suffering Church, in the fate of our people. We await an expression of solidarity from the Congress and the Government of the United States and from all in the West. Solidarity as has been expressed for forty years, for example, by the Dutch priest Werenfried van Straaten and his organization Church in Need. We are grateful to all Christians and non-Christians who have assisted and continue to help our persecuted Church.

I thank you for your attention.

Josyp Terelya

Document #1 - dated May 9, 1987

TO THE PARTICIPANTS OF THE VIENNA MEETINGS.

concerning the Helsinki accords and the maintenance of peace and disarmament

Peace be with you! Esteemed representatives,

Four years have now passed since we Ukrainian Catholics sent to the Madrid Conference our Memorandum on the Legalisation of the Ukrainian Catholic Church. In those years nothing has changed: Ukrainian Catholics continue to fill the prisons and camps of the USSR, we are fined for the simple act of burying according to our Church customs and conducting other services...... How can we trust the statements of the authorities when they themselves contravene their own Constitution. In the USSR "there are no and there cannot be any banned religions", so say Soviet leaders and their Fundamental Law. But in truth, we, the Ukrainian Catholic Church, have been in the underground for 40 years:

How can one speak of peace and security when throughout Ukraine all basic human rights and freedoms are strangled. Our national culture and religion is being destroyed. Our national churches: the Ukrainian Catholic Church and the Ukrainian Orthodox Church are banned. This is why we, the Ukrainian bishops of the Catholic Church, the Central Committee of Ukrainian Catholics, the Initiative Group in Defence of the Rights of Believers and the Church in Ukraine, and the faithful of the Ukrainian Catholic Church turn to the participants of the Vienna meetings with these proposals:

- a) Refrain from signing the closing document of the Vienna conference until the USSR resolves the Ukrainian Church question the legalisation of the Ukrainian Catholic Church in the USSR, in a positive manner;
- b) Simultaneously we turn to the countries participating in the Vienna conference with a request that the USSR not be allowed to host a interim meeting in Moscow on human rights questions, because the Kremlin leaders do not want to put into effect agreed upon guarantees on human rights: specifically, we speak of the freedom to leave the USSR and the freedom of religious belief.

9.05.87 Lviv - Dovhe

Episcopate of the Ukrainian Catholic Church Central Committee of Ukrainian Catholics Initiative Group in Defence of the Rights of Believers and the Church Faithful of the Ukrainian Catholic Church

Document *2 - dated August 4, 1987

His Holiness John Paul II, Pope of Rome from the Bishops, priests, monastics and faithful of the Ukrainian Catholic Church

DECLARATION

We, the undersigned, bishops, priests, monastics and faithful of the Catholic Church in Ukraine, declare that as a result of the reconstruction in the USSR, the more favourable conditions now arising, and also in connection with the celebration of the Millenium of Christianity in Ukraine we regard it no longer beneficial to remain in the underground. We therefore ask Your Holiness to do all that is possible for the legalisation of the Ukrainian Catholic Church in the USSR. Simultaneously, we turn through Your Holiness to the government of the USSR with our declaration that a significant portion of the Ukrainian Catholic Church come out of the undergound.

August 4, 1987

With the understanding and blessing of other Catholic bishops in Ukraine, this declaration is signed by:

Bishops: Pavlo Vasylyk Ivan Semedi

Priests: Hryhorij Budzinskyj Mukola Simkajlo Volodymyr Vyjtyshyn ivan Harqitych

Josyf Holovach Mykhajlo Mykula Petro Roman

Roman Yesup

Mukhajlo Havruliv Hryhorij Simkajio Taras Senkiw Pavio Madyar Ivan Lopatchak Mykhajlo Vynnytskyj Mykhajlo Vasko

Josyp Novak Ilko Lesiw

Petro Zelenyukh Ivan Senkiw Myron Beskyd Stefan Fedor Josafat Kavatsiv

Meletij Malynych

Vasyl Shepa

Monastics: Theodore Yacyshyn (Studite) Zaplatynskyj(Redemptorist)

Diogena (Anna Kulynych) (SSMI) Stefania Horodytska (OSBM)

Ivanna Tsebryk (OSBM)

Third Order Basilians: Mariya Polihan

Vasyl Bohovych

Lidiya Pekalska (C.S.S.R.)

Oresta (Olha Chuchvara) (SSMI)

Macrina (OSBM)

Eva Pergovych Natalia Schur Stefaniya Duda

and 174 faithful.

Document #3 - dated August 5, 1987

Dear Brothers and Sisters in Christ!

Peace be with you and the Lord's blessing!

I write this letter in the hope that my appeal will be heard and that many Christians of the West and of the lands of Central Europe occupied by the USSR, will come to the necessary conclusions, regardless of their particular Christian denomination.

Much is now said about reconstruction and some kind of changes in the USSR. It is suggested that gradually everything evil in the USSR is being discarded and the 'new' associated with the name of General Secretary Gorbachev is taking its place. It is said that today believers are being granted new conditions for existence – everyone is seen as equal before the Constitution. Is this so? Is everything going so well for the Christians of the USSR as is presented in the mass media of the Communists?

To come to our own conclusion about the aforesaid let us just look at the last few issues of the Catholic Chronicles of Lithuania and Ukraine, as well as the declarations of the Evangelical Christians-Pentecostals (EC-P). The latter are most concerned with the issue of the free emigration of the EC-P from the USSR. According to their faith and their biblically based prophecies they believe that in the last era of Satan's power a certain group of Christians will. be saved through a new exodus. For this reason these EC-P decided to demand the right to freely leave the USSR. They made these demands by reminding the government of the USSR that they signed article #12 of the Pact on human and political rights, allowing citizens of signatory nations to freely leave and return to their countries. It would seem that this issue should not create any problems. Christians in the USSR however, see the uselessness of making demands for "improvements" in their treatment. The authorities who just yesterday openly called for the destruction of religious belief and the "reeducation" of believers have in no way changed their relationship with believers. Their suggestion rather is that believers should join the official Russian Orthodox Church, which has always been a faithful servant of the great Imperial system. Each therefore in his own way came to the decision that the only way out of the dilemma for Christians is to leave the USSR - an exodus. This understanding is common not only to the EC-P but also to Ukrainian Catholics. The only difference is that the Catholics also demand the legalisation of their Church.

However it is difficult to understand why these problems have not found understanding among people in the West. In fact it seems that at times the issue of free emigration is treated in the West with silence or completely misrepresented. For whom is this done? Remember we speak not of a small group of people, rather we speak of thousands of Christians. The Congress of the United States of America has received over four volumes of lists of Evangelical Christians-Pentecostals who wish to emigrate! At the present time, I am aware of 5,000 EC-P who wish to leave this "paradise" on earth for religious motives. An additional 1900 Ukrainian Catholic families have also declared their desire to leave the USSR. It is an undeniable fact that no group, whether it be socio-political or a dissident group, has such weighty popular support as do the persecuted Christians of the USSR. They sacrifice their strength, their blood and often even their lives for Christ, at the hands of the persecutors.

It is essential to help and understand the problem of believers who dwell in the worst state in the world. At one time or another each of us individually and as a group have turned to various peace-loving social and Christian groups, as well as to the UN and to individual Presidents and premiers of various Western countries. We continue to hope in God that Christians in the West will understand our plight and will help their persecuted brether in Christ, who survive without any rights. Brethren, do not leave us in our time of need! Pray for us and work for our cause because this will serve the coming of God's Kingdom and bring closer true peace for all!

GLORY TO JESUS CHRIST!

Josyp Terelya, 5.VIII.87.

To Marshal Ustinov, The Minister for Armed Forces of the USSR.

STATEMENT

In connection with the escalation of the war in Afghanistan, where, as is well known, our Ukrainian children whom the Russian military administration-has forcibly and without their consent, sent into the Afghan conflict to die for the great-power interests of Moscow, are part of the USSR's army of occupation.

We, the members of the Ukrainian Helsinki Initiative Group to Defend the Rights of Believers and the Church, protest against the established tradition of the Moscow government to exploit Ukrainians in military campaigns beyond the borders of the Soviet Union in colonial wars waged by the government of the USSR for its own aims. The Afghan people have never done any harm or mischief to the Ukrainian SSR, have never taken a single inch of our territory, and have never threatened Ukraine either by their existence or by their desire to win their freedom from the foreign occupant which is Moscow.

This is why we, as Christians and members of the Ukrainian nation, and as the clergy of the Ukrainian Catholic Church, lodge our protest against the forcible and illegal dispatching of our Ukrainian young men to the unjust war in Afghanistan which is being waged by the government of the USSR against the freedom-loving Afghan people.

The Ukrainian Catholic Church defends and protects all Ukrainians who are now in Afghanistan, with the exception of those Ukrainians serving in units of the KGB. Appealing to the influential international circles, we ask that Ukrainian servicemen who are in Afghanistan against their will not be counted as war criminals: the full blame should fall on the government of the USSR.

This statement is an official document by which Ukrainians who are forcibly sent to Afghanistan will be judged and exonerated at a future international tribunal. Russian chauvinism is fully responsible for the adventurism of higher Soviet military circles in Afghanistan, and, as is well known. 80 per cent of the officers in the Soviet armed forces are ardent chauvinists and misanthropists.

Ukrainie has felt and continues to feel the political genocide of the Ukrainian nation perpetrated by Moscow. If the Ukrainian SSR had its own armed forces that were waging war in Afghanistan, then everyone who took part in this unjust war would carry the burden of being occupants. Ukrainians do not want to fight nor [do they want] this criminal war; we need freedom, good will among the nations of the world, and a peaceful life for our children, as for today, so for tomorrow.

Initiative Group
to Defend the Rights of Believers and the Church
Vasyl Kobryn, Chairman
Fr. Hryhoriy Budzinskyi, Secretary
Josyp Terclya, member.
Lviv, June 21, 1984.

99TH (

~

[]]

II

² The writer is almost certainly talking about Josep Terelya who wrote such a letter in 1976.



RELIGION AND THE SOVIET STATE

A Report on Religious Repression in the U.S.S.R. on the Occasion of the Christian Millennium

May 1988



910 17th Street N.W. No. 409 Washington, D.C. 20006 202-659-3229

The Puebla Institute

The Puebla Institute is a lay Roman Catholic human rights group. Our principal focus is freedom of religion as defined in the United Nations Declaration on the Elimination of All Forms of Intolerance and of Discrimination Based on Religion or Belief. The Institute is nonpolitical and privately funded.

Membership in the Institute is available for individuals and organizations. Members receive our newsletter. For more information, call or write the Institute.

The Puebla Institute
910 17th Street, N.W., Suite 409
Washington, D.C. 20006
(202) 659-3229

Additional copies of <u>Religion and the Soviet State</u> may be ordered from the Puebla Institute at the above address.

Single copy price: \$7.00

Please include \$1.00 for postage and handling on orders less than \$10.00. Payment must be included with your order.

(C) 1988 by the Puebla Institute, Inc. All rights reserved. Printed in the United States of America.

CONTENTS

PREFACE		1
INTRODUCTIO	ON: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	3
	STORICAL OVERVIEW: RELIGION AND THE OVIET STATE FROM LENIN TO GORBACHEV	10
A.	Tools of Repression	10
	 Coercion Regulation Co-option Atheistic Education 	10 12 13 13
В.	New Trends in Religious Life	15
	 Dissent Ethnic Nationalism Religious Reawakening 	15 16 17
PART 2: RESTRICTIONS ON FREEDOM OF RELIGION		18
Α.	Worship	18
	 Russian Orthodox Catholics Protestants Muslims Jews Hare Krishna 	19 20 24 26 27 28
В.	Selection of Religious Leaders	32
	 Russian Orthodox Catholics Protestants Muslims Jews 	32 36 37 38 39
С.	Religious Literature	40
	 Russian Orthodox Catholics Protestants Muslims Jews 	40 41 42 43 44

D.	Religious Education	47
	 Russian Orthodox Catholics Protestants Muslims Jews 	47 48 49 49 50
Ε.	Observance of Religious Holidays and Rest Days	52
F.	Objects Necessary to Religious Practice	56
G.	Religious Discrimination	58
	 Russian Orthodox Catholics Protestants Jews 	58 59 60 61
Н.	Collection and Use of Money	64
I.	Contacts with Co-Religionists Abroad	66

PREFACE

The Puebla Institute is a lay Roman Catholic human rights group, principally concerned with freedom of religion for all groups worldwide. It is non-political and privately funded.

In examining a particular government's human rights record toward religious groups, Puebla applies a single, internationally accepted standard, the 1981 U.N. Declaration on the Elimination of All Forms of Intolerance and of Discrimination Based on Religion or Belief. These provisions include the right to the non-violent exercise of the freedom to:

-worship or assemble in connection with religion and establish and maintain places for these purposes;

-establish and maintain charitable and humanitarian institutions;

-make and use necessary articles related to religious rites or customs;

-write, issue and disseminate relevant publications;

-teach religion;

-solicit and receive voluntary financial and other contribution from individuals and institutions;

-train, appoint, elect or designate by succession appropriate leaders;

-observe days of rest and celebrate religious holidays and ceremonies;

-establish and maintain communications with individuals and communities in matters of religion at the national and international levels.

It is these standards of the U.N. religion declaration that we apply in the following assessment of the Soviet Union.

The information contained in this report was largely

provided by the England-based Keston College. Supplemental material was acquired from the Ukrainian Catholic Church in exile, the National Conference on Soviet Jewry, the Lithuanian Information Center, the Ukrainian Press Service, the James Madison Foundation, the Institute on Religion and Democracy, and the Committee to Free Soviet Hare Krishnas. The examples are intended to be illustrative and not exhaustive. They span three decades but in nearly every case relate to problems which exist today. The report was edited by Anna Tapay, Program Director of the Puebla Institute.

Our purpose in writing this report is to promote religious freedom. It is our hope that it will prompt the Soviet government to implement the needed reforms.

This report is dedicated to the approximately 200 individuals imprisoned today in the Soviet Union for their religious beliefs and activities.

INTRODUCTION

The observance of the Millennium of Christianity in the Soviet Union this year invites a look at the status of religious freedom in that country. The fundamental question to consider is whether ordinary Soviet citizens of all faiths are allowed to worship and practice their religion without undue State interference. The answer remains unequivocally no.

The Ukrainian Catholic Church, Ukrainian Autocephalous Orthodox Church, the Jehovah's Witnesses, Hare Krishnas and other religions are banned. Worship by "legal" religions is prohibited unless it is done in a State-approved building by a State-approved congregation. Organized religious instruction and Hebrew training for young persons, and religious and Bible discussion groups for adults remain prohibited. Over 200 religious believers continue serving sentences for religious reasons in prisons, labor camps, psychiatric institutions and places of internal exile. Prison conditions are harsh, causing the death of one religious prisoner of conscience as recently as December 1987. Strict state controls continue to result in acute shortages of religious literature, places of worship and artifacts. The State routinely interferes in the designation of religious leaders and in church administration. Professed religious believers are barred from top jobs, and are discriminated against and harassed in schools and the work place. In short, the Soviet regime retains its role as supervisor of the daily life of religious communities — a role that is marked by militant aetheism and overt hostility toward religion.

Like other areas of Soviet life, religion has benefitted from General Secretary Gorbachev's policies of glasnost and perestroika. Recent months have witnessed the renovation of the Russian Orthodox Danilov monastery, the opening of a Jewish kosher restaurant in Moscow, Gorbachev's meeting with Russian Orthodox leaders, increases in the availability of religious literature and Jewish emigration visas, Soviet press reporting

on religious harassment for the first time, and the announcement of State plans to celebrate in Moscow the Christian Millennium.

Such reforms have not been sufficient to alter the fundamental condition of religious repression in the Soviet Union. Religious liberalization has been sporadic and uneven, more symbolic than substantive. The State-favored Russian Orthodox Church has profitted more than other religions from these changes, but it too can claim scores of prisoners of conscience, thousands of closed churches and seminaries, and continued State administration of its affairs. Other religious groups, particularly those outside Moscow and the Russian republic, have gained little from glasnost.

Believers in and out of the Soviet Union, mindful of the wholesale slaughter of believers during Stalin's reign of terror and the anti-religious crusades of Khrushchev, are hopeful that these changes herald a new age of religious tolerance in the Soviet Union. Time will tell if these hopes are justified. In and of themselves, recent Soviet changes regarding religion, taken in the context of ongoing widespread repression, are pitifully inadequate.

Puebla's specific findings on current restrictions of religious liberty in the Soviet Union follow:

I. Religious Prisoners of Conscience: Over 200 Soviet citizens are currently confined to prisons, labor camps, places of internal exile and psychiatric institutions for religious reasons. The large majority of religious prisoners of conscience are Baptists, though believers of many faiths, including Catholics, Muslims, Pentecostals, other Protestants, and Russian Orthodox are represented. Their crimes range from possessing or printing religious literature, to worshipping in a church or with a congregation that is not State approved, to manufacturing religious articles, to protesting government interference in the church.

The total number of known religious prisoners fell from 400 in late 1986 to 216 in May 1988, and since early 1987 religious arrests have been at a virtual standstill. However, the 216 religious prisoners who remain continue to serve out sentences of up to 12 years, often under harsh conditions and with strict restrictions on religious practice.

Many imprisoned believers have been arrested three, four and five times for religious activities. Some, like Baptist Nicolai Boiko who was arrested in 1968 for leading an unregistered Baptist church, have not only suffered multiple arrests but have been re-

sentenced to longer prison terms while still serving the original sentence. As a result, some have been confined for prolonged periods, some for nearly two decades and longer.

The longest term religious prisoner, Orthodox Vasili Shipilov, was arrested in 1939 for studying and preaching the Bible and, with the exception of one year of freedom, has been forcibly committed to labor camps, psychiatric hospitals and Siberian houses for the mentally ill ever since -- a total of 48 years' imprisonment so far.

Religious prisoners of conscience, like other Soviet prisoners, suffer harsh treatment in detention. Unsanitary, damp, cold and cramped living conditions, meager food rations and inadequate, or at times non-existent medical care, combined with forced regimes of heavy labor -- all take their toll on the health of prisoners. Many suffer from tuberculosis, pneumonia and various infectuous diseases, while others have developed ulcers, malnutrition, poor eyesight, gastrointestinal illnesses and nervous disorders. Some die from the severe prison conditions. Between May 1984 and January 1987 four prominent Ukainians who defended believers died in Camp No. 36 near Kuchino: Ukrainian Helsinki Monitors Vasyl Stus, Oleska Tykhy, Yuriy Lytvyn and journalist Valeriy Marchenko. Most recently, in December 1987, 23-year old Armenian Hare Krishna follower Sarkis Ogadzhanyan died in labor camp YV-25/"B" in the Orenburg Territory on December 26, 1987, one month before his expected release. In the early 1980s an infant, jailed with her mother a Hare Krishna follower, died from conditions in a labor camp.

II. Freedom of Worship: There are indications that the 1929 law requiring the registration of churches and limiting the activities of religious groups will be amended during 1988. How substantial this change will be remains to be seen. In the meantime, religious worship is prohibited unless it takes place in a State-approved building by a State-approved religious organization.

Registration is granted sparingly, and can be withdrawn arbitrarily at any time. Approved religions — the Russian Orthodox Church, the Baptists, Lutherans, Jews, Muslims, Lithuanian Catholics and others — continue to be denied permission for establishing new congregations and churches. As recently as February 3, 1988, it was reported that a Russian Orthodox community in Berezniki was refused State recognition. Some believers are hundreds of miles from the nearest church, and thus barred from worship services. In Azerbaijan, not one church exists for the 100,000 Armenians living in the area dissidents want annexed to the Armenian Republic. In many other areas, the number of worship places are grossly inadequate. In Klapeida, for example, 8,000 parishioners are forced to crowd into the services of one small Catholic church, while two other churches in the area were closed by authorities.

The State does not recognize some religions, and their followers must worship in secret. These include, most notably, the four million strong Ukrainian Catholic Church, the Jehovah's Witnesses, the Ukrainian Autocephalous Church and the Hare Krishnas.

The Soviet government forcibly merged the Ukrainian Catholic Church into the Russian Orthodox Church in 1946 and refuses to this day to recognize it as a separate entity, despite repeated petitions presented at great personal risk by Ukrainian Catholics. After 40 years of persecution, the Ukrainian Catholic community maintains an extensive underground network of priests, nuns, and believers so that there were reportedly as many as 150 illegal parishes in Western Ukraine. According to some exile reports many of these underground Catholic churches were shut down by the State in 1987. Last year Soviet authorities appear to have taken new coercive measures against a defiant Ukrainian priest. In punishment for religious activities, underground Ukrainian

Catholic priest Myhailo Havryliv was drafted into the military, where from October to December 1987, he was forced to clean up radioactive waste at Chernobyl.

Ironically, it was in Kiev, in the Ukraine, where the baptism of Prince Valdimir took place in 988 -- the event which marked the beginning of Christianity in the Soviet Union and whose Millennium is being celebrated by the Soviet government in June 1988. The Ukrainian Catholic Church has not only been denied legalization and the opportunity to participate in the celebrations in Moscow, but has also been warned not to openly celebrate the occasion in the Ukraine. The Soviet government refused Pope John Paul II permission to visit the Ukraine during a proposed Millennium visit to the USSR.

III. Religious Education: Soviet law prohibits all organized religious instruction for young people, as well as adult Bible and other religious discussion groups. Organizers of banned religion classes or meetings may be criminally punished. Among those jailed for such transgressions have been the well-known, former Jewish refusenik Joseph Begun, who was arrested in 1982 for teaching Hebrew, and underground Ukrainian Catholic priests Father Vasily Kavatsiv and Father Roman Esyp, arrested in 1981 and sentenced to eight years' punishment each on charges that included teaching children catechism in private homes. In the 1960s and 1970s, there were numerous cases of Baptist children being taken away from parents who raised them in their own faith. Secret religious schools, such as a Baptist Bible class in the Tadzhik republic discovered in early 1987, continue to be searched and shut down by authorities.

Additionally, believers must contend with the Soviet educational system, which propagates atheism. Students are tested on aetheist philosophy and parents cannot teach alternative religious points of view through private instruction.

Prospects for change are dim. In an April 19, 1988, interview with the Italian daily Il Messaggero Soviet Religious Affairs Minister Konstantin Kharchev stated that even if some religious restrictions are relaxed, it is unlikely that the laws on religious instruction would change.

IV. Religious Literature: Soviet policy continues to tightly restrict access to Bibles, prayer books, Korans and other religious literature. In recent years, Soviet authorities have approved the publication and importation of Bibles and prayer books by some religious groups. Nevertheless, religious literature for all religious groups is in extremely short supply.

Some religious believers illegally produce religious publications within the USSR. If caught, they are dealt with harshly. Many of the 216 Soviet religious prisoners still serving sentences are being punished for having written, printed or distributed religious literature. Some, such as Orthodox mother and journalist Zoyo Krakhmalnikova, who since 1982 has been serving a six-year sentence for producing a collection of religious writings, are charged under the criminal code with "anti-Soviet propaganda." Others, such as Lithuanian Catholic Stanislovas Muraukas who was arrested in 1985 and is serving a three-year prison sentence for printing religious postcards, are charged under the criminal code with "engaging in prohibited trade."

V. Objects Necessary to Religious Practice: Soviet authorities tightly control the availability of religious artifacts through ownership and production. A 1918 decree transfered all property of religious societies to the State. If a religious group acquires State registration, these articles are loaned back. Authorities have permitted the Russian Orthodox to manufacture their own religious articles, and the Lithuanians are allowed to produce some religious pictures. Ukrainian Catholics, Jews and other

groups, however, must illegally produce or smuggle in the objects they need, such as candles, rosaries, crucifixes, vestments, prayers shawls, Torah scrolls, and mezuzahs.

VI. Observance of Religious Holidays and Rest Days: Believers and the State conflict over the desire to worship on Saturday -- a working day for many Soviet citizens. Seventh Day Adventists and Jews are often fined for keeping their children from school or refusing to work on Saturdays. The Russian Orthodox Church has experienced problems with Saturday worship on Holy Saturday during the Easter season when their members are sometimes forced to work in violation of their beliefs.

State tolerance of religious feast and holy day celebration varies according to region and religious group. The Russian Orthodox Church has been subject to some police harrassment on its most important feast day -- Easter. It is not uncommon for temporary police barriers to be erected around churches and believers to be taunted or obstructed as they try to enter. With respect to the banned Ukrainian Catholics, authorities simply do not tolerate religious holiday observances. On January 6, 1988, an underground congregation of Ukrainian Catholics in the Lvov Province gathered for a Christmas celebration was broken up by local militia. A more violent incident took place a month earlier in Buchach in the home of an 84 year-old underground Catholic nun. In that instance, the elderly worshippers were kicked, forcibly taken to the militia office, and then forced to make their way home on foot. Ukrainian Catholics are prohibited from celebrating in 1988 the Millennium of Christianity in the Ukraine, either with State-sponsored festivities in Moscow or at home.

VII. Discrimination Against Believers: Religious believers cannot attain high positions of authority and responsibility in society and are often subject to official discrimination in education, the army, and the work place.

Higher education is effectively barred to known believers and their children. Maryte Gudaityte, a Lithuanian Catholic and student at Pranas Mazylis Medical School, was expelled in 1985 for her religious beliefs and for sending Christmas greetings to two imprisoned Catholic priests. When she attempted to transfer to another school, she was informed that religion and medicine are incompatible and that she would have to find another specialty. The children of Petro Zeleniuch, an underground Ukrainian Catholic priest, have been totally denied the opportunity for higher education. Soviet Jews are common targets for this type of harrassment and are systematically discriminated against in their attempts to enter a university. Though reports of religious discrimination have appeared in the Soviet press during glasnost, little has changed in practice.

VIII. Restrictions on the Formation of Religious Leaders: To date, Soviet authorities have not relinquished their role in appointing and supervising religious leaders. The government continues to severly restrict and manipulate theological training by limiting the number of seminaries and by screening out some of the most qualified candidates. New seminarians are frequently pressured by the KGB to be informants.

Seminary applicants refused permission by the state to prepare for the priesthood in legally operating seminaries are forced to study clandestinely. Underground priests who are caught are liable for arrest and trial as imposters. In September 1984, Lithuanian priest Jonas Matulionis was sentenced to three years in a labor camp for such an offense. Others who hope to escape Soviet restrictions by seeking religious training abroad also open themselves up to arrest. In 1982 Nicolae Shaburov, a convert to Russian Orthodoxy, was forcibly committed to an indefinite term in a psychiatric hospital after he applied to leave to study in a foreign seminary.

The only substantive reform in this area has been the decision to permit the Adventists to open a seminary, something still denied to the more numerous Baptists.

IX. Collection and Use of Money: The authorities do not interfere on a large scale with the collection and use of money by religious associations for State-approved causes even though charitable activities are illegal. In fact, they seem to tacitly approve of the Russian Orthodox donations to the Soviet Peace Fund. There are indications that the 1929 law that bars religious groups from charitable activities will be soon rescinded to conform to the <u>defacto</u> situation.

Charity for causes unacceptable to the authorities, however, is not permitted and is sometimes punished by imprisonment. Since the State refuses to aid families of political prisoners, several Protestant relief organizations have helped them meet their basic needs. These relief activities have been closely monitored and some of their leaders persecuted, imprisoned, and, in the case of Gederts Melngailis, committed to a psychiatric institution.

X. Contacts with Co-Religionists Abroad: There are no formal restrictions on legally recognized religious groups meeting with foreign co-religionists either in the USSR or abroad for official meetings. Banned religious groups such as the Ukrainian Catholics or Jehovah's Witnesses can meet with foreign co-religionists at great personal risk of being charged with having links with foreign powers. All Soviets leaving the Soviet Union and foreigners entering, however, are subject to frequent delays and denials of visas by Soviet authorities, which makes meetings for religious or any other purposes difficult.

By ratifying the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and the International Covenant on Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights, the Soviet government agreed to observe and enforce basic human rights, including religious freedom. In comparing the laws, policies and practices of the Soviet Union with the standards of the U.N. Covenants and the Declaration on Religious Intolerance, Puebla concludes that the Soviet government routinely violates the right to religious liberty.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The Puebla Institute appeals to the Soviet government to uphold its human rights commmitments in the U.N. agreements, and in the spirit of glasnost to use the occasion of the Millennium of Christianity in the Kievan Rus' to take the following minimum measures to ensure religious freedom:

- -- Release all remaining religious prisoners and cease further arrests for religious reasons;
- -- Repeal or substantially amend the 1929 Law on Religious Associations, which limits places of worship and restricts religious practice;
- -- Allow all religious groups to worship freely, including banned groups such as the Ukrainian Catholics, Ukrainian Autocephalous Orthodox Church, the Jehovah's Witnesses and the Hare Krishnas;
- Return to the Ukrainian Catholic Church the Kiev Monastery of the Caves, a vital symbol of Kievan Christianity in this millennial year;
- Allow believers to freely produce or import copies of the scriptures and religious literature and artifacts;
- -- Permit religious groups to freely organize and conduct educational activities, and to freely open theological institutes to train clergy and other religious leaders;
- -- Allow religious believers to have opportunities equal to other Soviet citizens in the areas of employment, education, housing and other state benefits; and abolish discrimination and harassment of believers by local officials;
- -- Permit Soviet and foreign believers to engage freely in unofficial and informal contacts, and allow free emigration.

PART 1: HISTORICAL OVERVIEW: RELIGION AND THE SOVIET STATE FROM LENIN TO GORBACHEV

Marx and Engels probably would not recognize the Soviet system as the legitimate product of their ideas, even though it is their writings, as adapted to Russian conditions by Lenin, which underpin official ideology in the Soviet Union. For all three writers God was a creation of man and religion was an instrument used by the ruling classes to keep the workers in their place. Prior to the 1917 revolution Lenin had argued that under socialism the State would remain neutral in matters of religious belief but the Party would conduct educational campaigns aimed at "liberating" believers from religious influence. In practice this distinction quickly evaporated, and it became apparent that the new rulers were not going to wait for "objective conditions" to bring about the "withering away" of religion. Instead, Soviet religious policy since 1917 has employed four main tools to hasten the demise of religion in society: coercion, regulation, co-option, and atheistic education.

A. Tools of Repression

1. Coercion

The Russian Orthodox Church, tainted by its association with the Tsarist regime, bore the brunt of the initial wave of coercion. Its property was nationalized and many of its educational and charitable foundations were closed. Over 50,000 bishops, priests, monks and nuns were slaughtered or imprisoned.

The number of laity who perished for their faith is incalculable.

The other religious groups were repressed more consistently beginning in the late 1920s. During the mass terror of the 1930s, bishops, priests, pastors, rabbis and mullahs disappeared by the thousands into camps and execution cellars. Places of worship were closed and destroyed. On the eve of the German invasion in June 1941 it appeared that institutional religion was well on its way to extinction. After the invasion, however, overt repression suddenly subsided as Stalin sought to win popular support for the sacrifices necessary to repel the Nazis. Surviving church leaders were released from the camps and some began vigorously supporting the war effort. Subsequently a number of concessions were made to religious believers, with the State permitting the re-opening of some places of worship and allowing the publication of a limited amount of religious literature.

Ironically it was Nikita Khrushchev, the leader responsible for a degree of liberalization in other areas of Soviet life, who launched the next major assault on religion. Keen to convince skeptical colleagues of his ideological orthodoxy, Khrushchev closed roughly half the legally sanctioned places of worship between 1959 and 1964. His fall in October 1964 ended blatant repression, but for most believers brutality and harassment continued. For some groups—notably the Ukrainian Catholics, who were forced to merge with the Orthodox in 1946—physical violence, sometimes murder, remained the norm. Much of this was not formally instigated by the central government, but no effort was made by the government to prevent local authorities from carrying out such persecution or to redress its effects. Moreover, in

March 1966 the arrest and imprisonment of religious believers began again, primarily as a response to the religious activism of the Baptists, and only after February 1987 has the arrest of religious believers for religious reasons come to a virtual standstill. Since then, there have been scattered, unconfirmed reports of religious conscientious objectors being arrested. Also in February 1987, about 100 believers were released from prison. However, over two hundred religious believers, arrested and sentenced before 1987, remain in jail at the time of this writing.

2. Regulation

Though on occasion the Soviet State has seemed committed to the forcible elimination of religion, for most of its 70 years it has recognized that religion is likely to persist and must be strictly regulated by the State.

The principal law regulating the life of religious organizations is the Law on Religious Associations (as amended in 1975), imposed on the churches by Stalin in 1929. In practice this law makes it extremely difficult to open new churches legally and facilitates the shutdown of existing places of worship. Together with a number of unpublished or oral instructions, it effectively limits religious freedom to the right to worship in buildings specially designated by the government. Many of the educational and charitable functions traditionally performed by religious bodies are expressly forbidden.

The chief governmental body used for State regulation of religious life has been the Council of Religious Affairs (CRA) of the USSR Council of Ministers. The CRA makes the final decision

on whether churches should be opened or closed, oversees the appointment of church leaders, and seeks to ensure that church bodies do not transgress the laws relating to religion.

3. Co-option

During the 1920s the State promoted "reformist" movements within the Orthodox Church and the Muslim establishment in an effort to undermine the traditionally conservative religious leadership. In 1927 the acting head of the Orthodox Church, Metropolitan Sergei, issued a declaration of political loyalty to the Soviet State and since then the State has refrained from attempting to create alternative churches, preferring to rely on the support of relatively docile religious leaders. Since the war, the regime has encouraged Christian church leaders to become involved in the international arena. In some bodies, Soviet religious leaders have been active in promoting Soviet foreign policy initiatives and in defending the USSR against allegations of religious persecution.

4. Atheistic Education

The Soviets have sought to develop a "scientific world-view" among the population. In the years immediately following the revolution, atheistic propaganda was essentially anti-clerical in nature and, because of the low education level of much of the populace, extremely crude in content. Journals such as Bezbozhnik (The Godless) attributed mercenary motives to priests and pastors, and contrasted religion with the scientific understanding of life. This approach continued into the early 1960s, the most famous

example being the cartoon which appeared during the Khrushchev period showing an astronaut looking for God.

Since the late 1960s a less emotive approach has been favored, which recognizes that religious believers are often well educated people who will not be convinced by the old arguments. Soviet scholars have sought to develop a more sophisticated critique of religion, though the extent to which this approach has filtered through to the average propagandist is open to question. There have also been attempts to create secular rituals capable of competing with religious rites of passage, which have had only limited acceptance among believers.

* * * * *

The Soviet regime's attitude toward religion over the last seventy years has been essentially hostile. At no time has there been any serious questioning of the ideological commitment to an eschatological goal, that is, to the building of Communism which entailed the "withering away" of religion. Since the Second World War the Soviet regime has, with certain important exceptions, generally preferred to rely on attrition rather than a full scale assault on religious bodies. For many religious groups there has been a degree of toleration that ensures their institutional survival, at least in the short and medium term. Other groups, such as the Ukrainian Catholic Church, have been banned completely and subject to vicious persecution. Nearly all have had to face some restriction on their activities.

Over the last 25 years a number of new trends have emerged in Soviet religious life which have strengthened religious feeling

among the Soviet populace despite prolonged harassment and restrictions by the State. The three most important trends are religious dissent, growth in ethnic nationalism, and a rebirth in popular interest in religion.

B. New Trends in Religious Life

1. Dissent

Religious dissent has appeared in large part as a reaction to the Khrushchev campaign. Leading the way were the "reform Baptists" who split away from the officially recognized Baptist Union in the early 1960s because of its willingness to accept State interference in internal church life. Throughout the USSR, Baptist communities began to function without seeking registration from the State authorities. They openly taught religion to their children and evangelized. When their members were harassed and imprisoned, they bombarded government agencies with appeals and complaints. Their activism drew the wrath of the State and in 1966 nearly 200 of the most active Baptists were arrested and imprisoned. Since then they have constituted the largest single category of known religious prisoners.

By the time Gorbachev came to power in March 1985 virtually all religious groups had dissenting elements. Vast quantities of samizdat (self-published) literature reaches the West, documenting the struggle of believers to open new churches or prevent excessive State interference in the life of their communities. Others report on the trials of religious activists for a wide range of "crimes"--from "hooliganism," to "violation of the laws".

on the separation of church from state," to "anti-Soviet agitation and propaganda." <u>Samizdat</u> publications also print prayers, poems, meditations and philosophical commentaries.

2. Ethnic Nationalism

Recent events in Armenia and other Soviet republics illustrated to the Western world the continuing strength of nationalist feeling in the USSR. In many cases there is a close link between religion and national identity. For example, the Baltic republic of Lithuania is staunchly Catholic and, as in Poland, the Church has often provided an institutional focus for anti-Russian sentiments. Over the last two decades thousands of Lithuanian believers signed documents appealing for greater religious liberty. In the latest petition, over 78,000 demanded that Gorbachev allow Bishop Stepanovicius to return from a 27-year exile.

In Soviet Central Asia religion and national identity are inextricably intertwined. Forty-five million Muslims (16 percent of the total Soviet population) are concentrated in six republics in Central Asia. Their birth rate--two to three times the Slavic and European populations of the Soviet Union--and their geographical proximity to the revolutionary fervor of the Iranian Shi'ite Muslims have long made Kremlim leaders nervous about the stability of their southern borders. Nationalist sentiment in the "Muslim belt" tends to be anti-Russian and anti-Soviet, rather than against neighboring or competing ethnic groups. Moscow has therefore worked hard to secularize the area and to wean younger Muslims away from their faith and their culture.

3. Religious Reawakening

In recent years there has been what might be called a religious reawakening in the USSR. On one level this has involved an intellectual turn of the tide, a rediscovery by the intelligentsia of the country's religious heritage and the importance of spiritual values to a nation's well-being. A number of novelists have explored the way in which over-rapid industrialization and technological modernization have destroyed the old rhythms and patterns of a culture closely bound up with religious beliefs. Today very few apartments of intellectuals are without their icons, and in some circles religion has become almost fashionable.

In a population no longer able to subscribe to the official ideology, this re-assessment of religion or of wider spiritual values has led some to faith. No longer is it rare to see young people in churches, despite the career disadvantages attendant upon active involvement in religious practice. Though the term "revival" would be too strong an expression, throughout the USSR there are signs of a steady expansion in the number of active believers.

These trends evidence that religion flourishes in the Soviet Union today despite all attempts by the Soviet State to eradicate it. Ongoing religious repression by the Soviet government is described in the following section.

PART 2: RESTRICTIONS ON FREEDOM OF RELIGION

A. WORSHIP

The 1929 Law on Religious Associations requires religious communities to register with appropriate government authorities. Though it permitted two forms of association—religious "societies" made up of 20 or more believers and "groups" where there were less than 20—in practice only the former apparently was recognized. As amended in 1975, the law requires 20 founding members to submit an application for registration to the local authorities. Within a month this request has to be passed to higher government authorities and to the government's Council for Religious Affairs with a recommendation as to whether registration should be granted. The final decision, however, rests with the Council for Religious Affairs.

In theory registration was a formality to be refused only to groups whose activities were illegal or anti-social and to be rescinded only if associations violated existing laws or encroached upon the rights of other citizens. In practice during the Khrushchev and Brezhnev period, registration was used to regulate and reduce the number of officially sanctioned places of worship. During Khrushchev's militant anti-religious campaign the number of churches is estimated to have been halved, and from 1964 to 1986 the number of registered religious associations fell from about 20,000 to just over 15,000. Some of these may have closed for non-political reasons, such as the decline of the congregation, but the numerous <u>samizdat</u> reports reaching the West

suggest that the vast majority were closed against the will their parishioners.

1. Russian Orthodox

The largest Christian group active in the USSR today is the Russian Orthodox Church. Though initially brutally persecuted, its Russian ethnic character has earned it a favored place among the officially recognized religious groups. No reliable figures exist, but experts estimate that 50 million Russians consider themselves Orthodox. At the present time there are thought to be about 7,000 legally recognized Orthodox churches servicing their needs, compared to the 80,000 churches and chapels operating in 1917 and approximately 22,000 before the Khrushchev campaign. A few examples over the last two decades illustrate some of the difficulties faced by Orthodox congregations seeking to worship.

In 1968 there were only three extremely small Orthodox churches for some 100,000 believers in the town of Gorky.

Numerous appeals to the authorities requesting permission to open new churches met with no response. In accordance with the law, believers had formed groups of 20 and sought registration, but were refused for a variety of reasons. They were told, for example, that the proposed site was "too near a school," or that "repairs would cost 1 million rubles." Furthermore, those actively involved in petitioning for the opening of churches were threatened and harassed by local authorities.

In 1973 the authorities in the Ukrainian town of Zhitomir closed down the Cathedral of the Epiphany on the ground that it was too close to a school. Over a two-year period the local

believers waged a campaign to re-open the church, to no avail. In August 1975 it was demolished.

Examples of the Soviet government refusing to grant permission for believers to open new places of worship continue to be reported on the very eve of the State celebration of the Christian millennium. On February 3, 1988, the paper <u>Sovetskaya</u>
Rossiya (Soviet Russia) reported:

A conflict has arisen in the town of Berezniki in Perm province. Orthodox believers are petitioning for registration of a religious community. The local authorities are refusing on the grounds that there is an active church 40 kilometers from the town.

2. Catholics

In the Baltic republics, western Ukraine and Byelorussia, and scattered across Siberia and Central Asia are over 1,000 legally sanctioned Roman Catholic churches of the Latin rite. The vast majority are in the Soviet republic of Lithuania. Since the late 1960s a strong Catholic dissident movement has existed in this region, and the election of a Polish Pope in 1978 has greatly strengthened Catholic morale throughout the USSR.

There are also a large number of Eastern Rite Catholics or Uniates in the Western Ukraine. This group, Orthodox in ritual but owing allegiance to the Pope, was forcibly merged with the Russian Orthodox Church in 1946. The vast majority of Uniates have resisted this development and have created an extensive underground network of priests, training seminaries and monastic orders.

Despite over 40 years of particularly brutal repression, these Ukrainian Catholics persist in pressing for legalization of their church. Spurred on by the spirit of <u>glasnost</u>, they

presented to the Presidium of the Supreme Soviet over the past nine months nearly 8,000 signatures on petitions demanding the legalization of the Ukrainian Catholic Church. Their efforts have been met with indifference and sarcasm at the Kremlim. Official policy apparently remains one of suppression and continued attempted extinction of the Ukrainian Catholic Church as an entity separate from the Russian Orthodox Church. Gorbachev has applied tactics which resemble more Stalin's than that of glasnost in dealing with the Ukrainian Catholic Church.

The harsh treatment of Ukrainian Catholics continues at this time. The Catholic community in the village of Bratkivki had been holding liturgies for several months in the officially closed church. In early February 1988 over 200 armed militia men stormed the village and forced the people to remain at home while a group of armed police broke into the church, destroyed the iconostasis and the altar and confiscated everything movable.

The disaster at Chernobyl has provided the authorities with a particularly diabolical method of punishing defiant Ukrainian Catholic priests. Reliable Church and human rights sources report that Mykhaylo Havryliv, a 38-year-old Basilian priest, was punished for his activity in the Catholic underground with three months of army service, where his duties consisted of cleaning up radioactive waste in Chernobyl.

Other underground priests have suffered less drastic, but persistent harassment. Fr. Petro Zeleniuch was secretly ordained in September 1961 after studying in an underground Ukrainian Catholic seminary. Since then he has been summoned by the authorities dozens of times for interrogation. By 1987 he had

been fined thirteen times--the last time for the substantial sum of almost 300 rubles for having signed an appeal to the Pope and for openly celebrating the Mass.

In 1954 the Lithuanian Catholics of the town of Klaipeda were given permission to build a new church. The entire community donated the funds and labor for the construction and completed the church in 1960. By that time the Khrushchev campaign was in full swing and the believers were forbidden to open the church. building was converted into a concert hall, and the pastor, his assistant and five parishioners were tried and sentenced to prison terms of from three to eight years. Believers from all over Lithuania actively campaigned for the return of the church and sent numerous appeals and protests to government bodies, culminating in a letter of 1979 with over 148,000 signatures. Finally in 1987 the believers were told that the building would be returned to them by July 1, 1988. This has not yet occurred. Moreover, in the intervening 28 years the congregation has grown so that current church membership is estimated at well over 8,000. The Catholics of Klapeida fear that even after the return and remodeling of their original building, the church will not be able to hold all the faithful, and that during the reconstruction they will be left for several years without a house of prayer. have requested that they be allowed to retain their present building in addition to the original church, but have not received permission from the authorities to do so.

The strength of the Catholic Church in Lithuania and its status as a legally sanctioned religious group has not insulated it from State-sanctioned discrimination and persecution. In order

to document and speak out against such discrimination, a 63-year-old Catholic priest, Fr. Alfensas Svarinskas, and four other priests founded in 1978 the Catholic Committee for the Defense of Believers' Rights. The group was forced to go underground in 1983 when Fr. Svarinskas was arrested and charged with anti-Soviet agitation and propaganda. Fr. Svarinskas' leadership in the Catholic temperance movement, his protests against government harassment of clergy and his activities connected with the Catholic Committee led to a sentence of seven years' labor camp and three years' exile. In February 1987 he was transferred briefly to the KGB investigation prison in Vilnius but returned to prison camp after refusing to sign a statement admitting guilt for his religious activities.

Fr. Sigitas Tamkkzvicius, 49, a co-founder of the Catholic Committee, was arrested at the trial of Fr. Svarinskas. Among the charges lodged against him were organizing of a Christmas party for parish youth, compiling and distributing abroad documents of the Catholic Committee, and printing and distributing an underground religious publication, the Chronicle of the Lithuanian Catholic Church. He was sentenced to five years' strict regime camp and two years' exile. He maintains that he was arrested and convicted for engaging in legitimate religious activities and has also refused to sign a confession of guilt in order to be released early.

During the late 1970s the 15,000 Catholics of the Moldavian republic had only one church, in the capital city of Kishinev. In 1975 the authorities attempted to conscript the only recognized priest into the army. In a number of Moldavian towns believers

sought to register their congregations or build their own churches, but their appeals were rejected and in at least one case their church was destroyed.

3. Protestants

A wide variety of Protestant groups are represented in the USSR, including Baptists, Lutherans, Mennonites, Methodists, Pentecostals, Reformed and Seventh Day Adventists. In 1944 the State encouraged the creation of the All Union Council of Evangelical Christian Baptists, comprising Baptists, Mennonites and Pentecostals. A number of congregations refused to join this body, and soon afterward most of the Pentecostal congregations left the All Union Council. In the early 1960s there was a major split within the Baptist community with a large number of communities breaking away from the official organization in reaction to what they saw as excessive compliance with State interference in church life.

Over the years, these unregistered groups have been treated harshly by the authorities. Recently the State has granted registration requests to some of these independent congregations. The continued existence of the unregistered Baptists, however, is reported in a Soviet journal article published earlier this year, which noted that of 35 Baptist communities in the Voronezh region only 12 were registered. Some of those are unregistered by choice as a protest against excessive State interference in church life that registration entails.

On August 29, 1977, a Baptist church in the town of Byransk was confiscated by the authorities. Prior to that about 600

believers had been given permission to register as a religious association and had spent 50,000 rubles on building a church. On August 29 some 100 policemen surrounded the building, beat those who refused to leave and forcibly ejected them from the church.

In the summer of 1981 a group of Pentecostals moved from Central Asia to the Far Eastern town of Chuguyevka where they hoped they would be able to practice their faith without harassment. Because the law prohibits organizing religious education for their children, the Chuguyevka Pentecostals refused to seek registration and consequently were subjected to continuing harassment. Believers were fined, their meetings were broken up by force, and their children victimized at school. In desperation they renounced Soviet citizenship and applied to emigrate. Seven members of this congregation, including its pastor Viktor Walter, have been sentenced to three to five years' labor camp for activities related to the practice of their religion and their desire to emigrate. Five are still serving their sentences.

The February 3, 1988, edition of <u>Sovetskaya Rossia</u> reported that the State continues to this time to deny requests of Baptist congregations to register:

In Krasnodar the Evangelical Christian Baptist community has been petitioning the town executive committee for the modernization of its prayer house since 1982. The fact is that current premises cannot hold all the believers—there are over a thousand. Yet the town executive committee stubbornly declines their request.

Since the late 1960s the State has adopted a more flexible attitude toward the registration of Baptist communities, and from 1974 to 1984 some 471 communities were granted registration.

Nevertheless, hundreds of congregations remain which the

authorities have been unwilling to register, or who have decided not to seek registration because of undue State interference in the church.

4. Muslims

The USSR has one of the largest Muslim populations in the world, with over 45 million people belonging to traditionally Islamic ethnic groups. The vast majority of these live in Soviet Central Asia, to the north of Iran and Afghanistan. Before the 1917 revolution there were over 25,000 functioning mosques. In the contemporary USSR there are only about 500 officially recognized mosques.

Alongside the officially recognized Islamic establishment is what some authors have described as "parallel Islam," or unofficial Islamic activity that is often associated with Sufi brotherhoods. Consequently, though few villages and towns have registered mosques, there are few without underground religious institutions. In this respect, an article which appeared in Literaturnaya gazeta (Literary Gazette) in May 1987 noted:

Today in Central Asia and Azerbaidzhan, parts of the Volga region and the Urals, and certain other places where Islam is traditionally widespread, so called "parallel" mosques operate. There are more than 1,800 of them! What sermons are read in them and who reads them is known only to Allah. Here it should be said that it is not difficult to organize a small mosque, even in a block of flats

When authorities uncover such mosques, they break them up and fine or imprison those involved.

Recently there have been signs of a resurgence of Islam in the Soviet Union. This can be attributed to a number of factors including the high birth rate of the Muslims; a growing awareness of the region's Islamic cultural and religious heritage; and the influence of fundamentalism in the wider Muslim world. In response to this the Soviet authorities have stepped up anti-religious propaganda in Central Asia and the Caucasus. The State has over the last decade made a few concessions such as permitting about 100 of the 25,000 mosques originally closed to reopen.

5. <u>Jews</u>

Jews settled in the Caucasian regions long before the birth of Christ, and in the eighth and ninth centuries the leaders of Khazar kingdom which ruled much of southern Russia were practicing Under both the Tsars and the Soviets, the Jewish community has been subject to recurrent waves of State sponsored anti-Semitism, as well as suffering under the general anti-religious campaign inaugurated by the Soviet State. Like other religious groups the Jews must register religious associations before their synagogue worship will be considered legal. Also like other groups, official policies have led to a massive reduction in the number of places of worship, with the total falling from around 5,000 at the time of the revolution to about 100 at the present time. As recently as the mid-1980s the authorities in the Georgian capital of Tbilisi decided to close the Ashkenazi synagogue on the grounds that "it was not used much" and that many of the original 20 who had applied for its registration had since died. Only a timely campaign organized by the city's Jews saved the synagogue from demolition.

On occasion Jewish activists have been accused of running

illegal places of worship. In May 1986 Jewish Muscovite Peter Polonsky was warned by the State Procurator's office that he might be liable for criminal charges for organizing an unregistered religious association in his home. Officials cited as evidence the fact that those discovered in the house had their heads covered. In fact, Polonsky was a member of the registered Moscow synagogue and was able to argue successfully that the wearing of the <u>yarmulke</u> was an independent act which could not be taken as evidence of a religious meeting.

6. Hare Krishna

The Hare Krishna Movement came to the USSR in 1971. By 1981 it had gained some visibility but never official recognition.

Between 1984 and 1986, 49 followers were sent to labor camps and psychiatric hospitals. Three died in prison between 1985 and 1987. As of this writing seven Hare Krishna members are imprisoned in labor camps serving sentences up to five years for their membership in the banned group.

* * * * *

Though Soviet sources state that citizens are free to observe the major "rites of passage" at birth, marriage, and death, in practice there are many restrictions. During the Khrushchev campaign an unpublished instruction required parents wishing to baptize their children to bring their passports for registration. The aim was to discourage many people from baptizing their children because they would know that their involvement in a religious ritual could be reported back to their place of work and

might result in their being harassed or even fired. A number of Orthodox priests appear to have circumvented this regulation by performing baptisms in private homes, although this clearly violated the Law on Religious Associations. In the past year this regulation appears to have been rescinded.

Figures on participation in religious rites are hard to come by and often of questionable value, but there does seem to be a general consensus that between 30-50 percent of Slavic children are baptized. The figure for religious weddings is much lower-probably under 5 percent--because the costs of being seen to participate in such rites for young people in the middle of their education or just starting their careers can be high. (See chapter on Discrimination.) Some young couples, however, have a priest bless their marriage after the civil wedding.

In contrast, the percentage of people who have religious funerals is much higher as the State can no longer affect the deceased, and the relatives can appeal to the wishes of the departed. Nevertheless, the authorities try to discourage relatives from attending the funerals of their loved ones by fining them heavily. In late 1987 in the village of Yimstychevo in the Irshavsk region, five pensioners were fined between 25 and 50 rubles (25 to 50 percent of their monthly income) for participating in the funeral of their friend and brother.

The authorities' interference in religious worship can extend to the slightest manifestations of an individual's faith.

Orthodox priest Fr. Vladimir A. Solavev was originally arrested and detained in a special psychiatric hospital, where he was beaten daily merely for crossing himself before meals. He has

since been transferred to a home in Siberia for mentally ill old people, where he remains today.

Lithuanian Catholics have experienced numerous problems with the authorities over the administration of last rites and over the holding of religious processions. In 1974 Fr. Petras Budriunas wrote to the local authorities complaining about what he saw as an effective ban on the performance of the last rites in the city hospital. The 1929 law provided that such rituals could be performed if done in an isolated room and if the patient so requested. Yet Fr. Budriunas knew of many cases where the requests of relatives to call a priest had been unjustly refused. For example, on October 7, 1973, the mother of Valentinas Kovas requested the hospital registrar to allow a priest to visit the ailing patient. The request was refused and a few hours later Kovas died. On occasions when the priest attempted to visit dying patients he was often turned away by the hospital authorities.

Jews experience many of the same problems relating to rites of passage that are faced by other believers. Circumcision is made difficult by the fact that there remain very few people qualified to carry out the ritual in the prescribed fashion. Religious burial is rendered impossible in many areas by the lack of Jewish burial plots, and the authorities have forced some Jews to cremate loved ones despite the fact that this violates Jewish tradition. On April 13, 1988, the National Conference on Soviet Jewry reported that observant Jews in Kiev formed a new committee, "The Public Council on Jewish Religious Affairs" to take up the issue of Jewish cemeteries. In a recent appeal to Soviet authorities in Moscow, they protested the Soviet policy of causing

"thousands of Jews to commit a grave violation of religious law by not being buried in an approved Jewish tradition."

B. SELECTION OF RELIGIOUS LEADERS

Officially recognized religious groups in the USSR are ostensibly permitted to train and select their leaders from the level of priest to Patriarch, but in reality there are numerous restrictions on this right. Most religious groups lack adequate training facilities and some have no educational institutes; the legal status and rights of the parish religious leader are unclear; the appointment of such persons is closely supervised by the State; and in some cases authorities reportedly "plant" individuals whose loyalty to the religious organization is questioned by the laity.

1. Russian Orthodox

Many of the problems noted above are clearly evident in the case of the Orthodox Church, despite the fact that it is better supplied than other religious groups in terms of training facilities and quantity of clergy. At the present time there are three functioning Russian Orthodox seminaries (Zagorsk, Leningrad and Odessa) and two theological academies (Zagorsk and Leningrad). According to some analysts there are approximately 2,000 students studying in these at any one time, though around 40 percent of these are in correspondence courses.

Despite the apparent health of these institutions, many problems remain. It is not easy to gain entry to such establishments as there are four or five applicants for every place. The State plays no formal role in the selection process, but it is well known from both official and unofficial sources

that the names of applicants are forwarded to the local authorities in their home town and to the Council for Religious Affairs. These bodies try to weed out those they perceive to be "fanatics and extremists," and often encourage State bodies to apply strong pressure on young men not to enter the seminary.

Those who do succeed in gaining admission are sometimes approached by the government to act as informers. A Dutch student who studied at the Leningrad seminary reported that after entering the seminary students were occasionally sent to a room where a "nice, friendly, fatherly person" would chat with them about their hopes and fears for the future and then, having gained their confidence, would ask them to help their country by reporting on those students who "are against the Soviet State."

Those who do not succeed in entering a Soviet seminary may be punished for attempting to obtain a theological education elsewhere. Nikolai Shaburov, an Orthodox convert, was sentenced in January 1982 to indefinite treatment in a psychiatric hospital for seeking permission to emigrate and enter a seminary abroad.

Once situated in a parish, an Orthodox priest can face many difficulties if he is too active in promoting religious life. In 1961, under pressure from State authorities, a Synod of Bishops amended the Church Statute in such a way as to make the priest a hired functionary of the local parish's executive committee. No longer could he play a part in the financial and administrative aspects of parish work. Moreover, the law limits the priest to his own parish, so that even if a neighboring area has no priest it is impossible for him to administer rites there without official permission. It is not difficult for the local

authorities to remove a priest they do not like, or to keep in place one who discredits the church.

Fr. Mikhailo Havryliv, an alumnus of the Russian Church's Leningrad Theological Seminary and Academy who later chose to become a priest in the underground Ukrainian Catholic Church, described in his autobiography how the State penetrates the Russian Orthodox Church from the Patriarch down to the village priest. The regional Communist Party executive committee in Fr. Havryliv's district required priests to praise the unification of Ukrainia with Russia in their sermons. Priests were ordered to report to local authorities about the activities of other Christian groups, especially the outlawed Evangelicals and Ukrainian Catholics. In this way, Fr. Havryliv comments, the authorities hoped to foster enmity among Christians.

What can happen to dynamic priests is well illustrated by the case of Fr. Antoni Vorozhbit, a popular preacher who attracted many young people to the church. Because numerous Konsomol couples in Vologda were married in the church because of his influence, the authorities transferred him. During the 1970s he became an outspoken defender of believers repressed by the State and continued to preach to young people. In 1971 he was viciously beaten and spent a long period in the hospital. Four years later he was arrested and spent the next two years in a psychiatric hospital. Since then nothing more has been heard of him.

The Council for Religious Affairs plays an active role in supervising the appointment of bishops and ensuring that their activities stay within the narrow confines of the law. This is made very clear by an excerpt from a report on the Russian

Orthodox Church prepared by the Council for Religious Affairs in 1974:

Not one consecration takes place without the careful checking of the candidature by the responsible members of the Council in close contact with its commissioners and appropriate interested bodies.

The latter presumably is the KGB. The report continues:

The Council has reports on each hierarch. We systematically receive characterizations from the commissioners and a procedure has become established whereby the ruling hierarchs visiting the Patriarch with their annual report also pay the CRA a visit. We have thorough talks with them . . .

Those who step out of line are either transferred or "retired." In 1977 Bishop Feodosii of Poltava and Kremenchug wrote a letter to Brezhnev complaining of the difficulty in ordaining priests in his area and detailing the obstructionist attitude of the local commissioner for religious affairs. Almost immediately he was transferred to the much smaller diocese of Vologda and, shortly after that, transferred again to Astrakhan.

State authorities often limit worship by restricting the appointment of priests and interfering in the daily life of the parish. In the town of Nikolaev during the early 1970s parishioners at the Orthodox church of St. Nicholas frequently complained that one of their priests had assaulted a number of female parishioners. When his contract came up for renewal they attempted to remove him, but the local commissioner of the Council for Religious Affairs threatened to close their church if they did. The efforts of the local hierarch, Bishop Bogolep of Kirovograd and Nikolaevsk, to support the believers ended in the bishop being fired by the State.

Criticism of the church leadership and its close relationship

with the authorities has not been permitted. Vladimir Rusak, a deacon in the Russian Orthodox Church, is currently serving a sentence of seven years' strict regime camp and five years' exile for, among other things, complaining about State interference in the Russian Orthodox Church to the World Council of Churches. In early 1987, after serving a few months of his sentence, he was pressured to sign a statement of recantation. He refused and will not be released until 1998.

2. Catholics

There are two functioning Roman Catholic seminaries in the USSR, in the Baltic towns of Riga, Latvia and Kaunas, Lithuania. Unofficial accounts suggest that seminarians face many of the same problems already noted in the case of the Orthodox Church.

Lithuanian Catholic G. Skirelys first applied to enter the Kaunas seminary in 1970. From then until 1974 he applied each year but was refused. As a result of his faith Skirelys was dismissed from work and then told that he could not join the seminary until he had completed two years of work for the State after his education.

Fr. Jonas Materlionis was arrested in September 1984 and charged with being an imposter. Although he completed theological training by correspondence in the Lithuanian underground seminary, the authorities claim that he is not priest because he did not attend one of the two officially sanctioned seminaries. He was sentenced to three years' labor camp and, although due to have completed his sentence in November 1987, his release has not been verified.

Vytautas Skuodis, a former prisoner of conscience who spent seven years in a labor camp for writing a study entitled <u>Spiritual Genocide in Lithuania</u>, testified to the U.S. Congressional Helsinki Commission in October 1987 that the Soviet authorities control the training of priests at the sole theological seminary in Lithuania. He stated:

Qualified candidates are obstructed from entering the seminary. Meanwhile, unqualified candidates including unsuitable candidates for the priesthood, as future destroyers of the Church, enjoy the constant patronage and support of the atheistic government. This has forced some men to study for the priesthood illegally in the underground. But the government refuses to recognize them as legitimate and forbids them to function as priests.

He also asserted that KGB infiltration of the seminary is estimated as high as 30 to 50 percent.

In 1961 Bishop Stepanovicius was exiled to a small Lithuanian village because he would not forbid priests to hold confirmation classes or stop children from serving at the altar. A victim of the Khrushchev campaign, he has never been formally reinstated, though virtually all the priests of the republic recognize him as their bishop. In the years since then the State has sought to appoint more compliant bishops, albeit with less success than in the Orthodox case. Consequently, at the present time not every diocese has a bishop because of the problem of finding candidates equally acceptable to the State, the Vatican and the mass of believers.

3. Protestants

In general, Protestant groups are very poorly supplied with educational institutions. The Latvian and Estonian Lutheran

churches have small theological institutes. The Baptists are dependent upon a correspondence course or on sending a few students abroad. The Adventists were given permission to open a seminary only recently, in 1987. Groups such as the Pentecostals and Mennonites have no training institutes. Problems with entering these institutions are similar to those experienced by the Orthodox and Catholics.

The leaders of the Baptist church are elected by a conference of delegates who are in turn elected by local congregations. Though the State has sought to exercise close supervision over these gatherings, it has not always been successful. In 1979, for example, a number of leading figures were re-elected with less than the usual 90 percent plus of the vote. Needless to say it has proved impossible for the State to regulate effectively the activities of the leaders of the numerous unregistered congregations.

4. Muslims

The legally recognized Soviet Islamic establishment is divided into four directorates: Central Asia and Kazakhstan, European Russia and Siberia, Northern Cuacasus and Daghestan, and the Transcaucasian. The first three are headed by Muftis and the fourth predominantly Shi'ite administration by a Sheikh ul-Islam. These are elected by regional congresses under the watchful eye of the State's Council for Religious Affairs. Very little information reaches the West concerning the day to day activities of these administrations. However, it is probably safe to assume they face similar difficulties as other religious groups.

The only training institutions available for those wishing to be mullahs are to be found within the Central Asian directorates. The institute in Bukhara is responsible for the initial training of all students and according to recent reports has about 85 students. The higher Islamic Institute in Tashkent has fewer students and tends to train those destined for positions in the Muslim administrations or in international work. Additionally some students spend some time at Islamic educational institutions outside of the USSR, usually in Egypt or Libya.

5. Jews

Though there has been a <u>yeshivah</u> (seminary) attached to the Moscow synagogue since 1957, it seems to have played a very minor role in the training of future rabbis. Most rabbis are very old and those appointed in recent years have generally been trained abroad. The current chief rabbi Adolf Shayevich graduated from the Budapest <u>yeshivah</u> in 1980.

C. RELIGIOUS LITERATURE

Soviet commentators frequently claim that the freedom to produce scriptures and other religious literature is only qualified by a paper shortage in the USSR. In fact, such literature is in extremely short supply. Moreover, the chronic limited allocation of paper to the church is clearly a deliberate official policy, given that the Soviet Union is an exporter of paper and in most cases refuses to allow the church to import paper.

1. Russian Orthodox

Even the Russian Orthodox Church has had trouble acquiring religious literature. Between 1956 and 1979 the Church was permitted to publish around 300,000 Bibles and 150,000 copies of the New Testament, a number clearly inadequate to meet the needs of millions of believers. In addition they have been able to produce a small number of prayer books. Apart from this, the only literary products of the Patriarchate have been collections of esoteric theological essays beyond the grasp of most believers, service books for priests, and annual calendars.

In response to this shortage of religious literature some believers have resorted to underground remedies such as handcopying the few works available or setting up illegal presses.

Those caught participating in such activities have been dealt with harshly by the authorities. In August 1982 Orthodox Muscovite Zoya Krakhmalnikova was arrested for anti-Soviet agitation and propaganda. Since 1977 she had been editing a

journal of Christian readings entitled <u>Nadezhda</u> (Hope). Each issue included extracts from the Church Fathers, poetry, theology and works on the current state of religion in the USSR. In March 1983 Krakhmalnikova was sentenced to one year in a labor camp and five years in exile. She remains in prison at this writing.

The mere possession of religious literature can also lead to stiff penalties. Orthodox believer Alexander Mikhailovich Oros was arrested in July 1982 on charges of theft of State property and vagrancy after he refused to surrender to the State his late father's library of religious and other works. He was sentenced to seven and a half years' intensified regime camp and was later transferred to compulsory labor, where he remains today.

2. Catholics

The Lithuanian Catholic Church was not legally able to publish any religious literature until 1966, when a prayer book was printed, and 1968, when a Latin-Lithuanian edition of a missal appeared. In 1972 the Catholics and Evangelicals of Lithuania were permitted to publish 30,000 copies of the New Testament, though 20,000 of these were sent abroad by State authorities as evidence of religious freedom in Lithuania!

Lithuanian Catholics have a long tradition of illegally produced literature. In the nineteenth century Bishop Valancius organized a network of colporteurs to distribute literature in the Lithuanian language and urged the people to reject books in the Cyrillic alphabet. More recently Lithuanian Catholics have produced a wide range of samizdat (self-published) literature, ranging from complaints about religious oppression to spiritual

classics. The best known example of illegally published literature is the <u>Chronicle of the Lithuanian Catholic Church</u>, over seventy issues of which have appeared since 1972.

Possession of a single issue of <u>samizdat</u> publications can have serious ramifications. One issue of the <u>Chronicle</u> was found in the home of Henrikas Klimasauskas when it was searched in February 1976. He was tried in September, when he was found not to be responsible for his actions, diagnosed as paranoid, and sentenced to indefinite detention in a psychiatric hospital. Keston College reports that he remains confined at this time.

In August 1985 a search was carried out in the home of Catholic soldier Juozas Kazalupskas. Authorities conducting the search stated that it was being done on suspicion of theft, but as they left they acknowledged that they were looking for a secret printing press. Though failing to find a press, they did discover a number of illegally published religious works including a copy of an underground publication called The Pensive Christ. Over the next eight months Kazalupskas was subject to frequent interrogations over this matter. Several months earlier Stanislovas Murauskas had been arrested for engaging in "prohibited trade." He was sentenced to three years' ordinary regime camp for printing religious postcards, where he remains serving out his sentence.

3. Protestants

Among the Protestants it is the Baptists, with their special attention to the Bible, who have been the most active in the production of religious literature. Though the official Baptist Union has recently been allowed to print or import some

Scriptures, the quantities have been insufficient to meet the needs of their churches, much less those of the unregistered churches. In response, the reform Baptists have developed the underground Khristianin printing press. Every few years one of these presses is discovered and those involved are imprisoned.

For example, on October 24, 1974, in the Latvian village of Ligukalns, seven Baptists were arrested, and a printing press and material confiscated. Prior to the KGB discovery of the press some 30,000 copies of the New Testament had been printed, as well as copies of <u>Pilgrim's Progress</u> and hymn books.

More recently, in October 1985 the authorities discovered another <u>Khristianin</u> printing press in the village of Staraya Obrezha, in the Moldavian republic. The Scriptures have never been officially printed in the Moldavian language, and this press was in the process of producing 10,000 copies of the New Testament in that language. Among those arrested were Baptist Andrei Borinsky, whose brother had previously produced Christian literature, and Zinaida Tarasova, one of those involved in the first Khristianin printing press discovered in 1974.

4. Muslims

Of the four Muslim Directorates in the USSR only Central Asia and Kazakhstan are involved in publishing activities. Since 1968 it has produced the quarterly journal Muslims of the Soviet East, published in Russian, Arabic, Uzbek--but in Arabic script and thus unintelligible to many Soviet Uzbeks--English, French, Persian and Dari. The Muslim Board has also published a collection of fetwas (legal opinions) by a former Mufti, a number of small editions of

the Koran, an annual calendar, and two collections of the hadith
(traditions about the Prophet) of Iman al-Bukhari. In addition,
the Spiritual Directorate of European Russia based in Ufa has
produced an edition of the Koran and a religious calendar in Tatar
and Arabic script. As in the Christian case the official supply
of religious literature has proved inadequate to meet the needs of
believers and in recent years there as been increasing evidence of
Muslim activists turning to underground printing.

Those who publish or sell Muslim literature without State approval are dealt with severely. In 1982 the Uzbek paper <u>Sovet Ozbekistoni</u> (Soviet Uzbekistan) printed a report on a group of "fanatical crooks" who had printed and distributed thousands of copies of a pamphlet entitled "About Islamic Truth." For this activity, A. Saidkharikhodzhayev and Abuzakar Rakhimov have each been sentenced to seven years in prison.

Others have been arrested and tried in separate incidents for everything from printing or duplicating and binding the literature to its distribution and sale. Participants are usually charged with "speculation" and their sentences range from four to seven years in labor camps.

5. Jews

After a gap of some 30 years a Jewish prayer book was published in 1956 and then again in 1981. As in the case of religious objects, the Jews have tended to rely on pre-revolutionary editions of religious books, on works brought in by sympathetic tourists, or on material they produce themselves illegally. Here too authorities have thwarted attempts to send

Soviet Jews books or teaching manuals on subjects like Jewish religion, law or ethics, history, art, literature, the Holocaust, or belles-letters, children's books, song books, dictionaries and language books for the teaching of Hebrew. Such books are sometimes confiscated. Among the books barred from importation were those of the Nobel laureates Issac Bashevis Singer and Saul Bellow, the UNESCO publication Social Life and Social Values of the Jewish People, the Holocaust novel Le Dernier des Justes by Andre Schwarz-Bart, and studies on Jewish history by such distinguished historians as Shmuel Ettinger and Cecil Roth. The confiscation of materials and the disappearance of letters indicate interference with the privacy of mail and communication, which is guaranteed under international agreements and in the Soviet Constitution.

* * * * *

Recently the authorities have permitted a number of religious groups to import or print copies of the Scriptures: in 1987 the Russian Orthodox Church received permission to print 100,000 Bibles in Russian, and over the next few years the Baptists will be allowed to import 108,000 Bibles in Russian, 2,000 in Ukrainian and 8,000 in Moldavian. In addition the Orthodox Publishing Department will produce 3,000 German hymnals for the Lutheran congregations of Central Asia. Moreover, at the end of 1988 it was reported that the Adventists had been given permission to open a publishing house, though what this would be able to print remains unclear.

Since early 1987 there appears to have been some relaxation

in official attitudes to the importation of religious literature. On a number of occasions officials have stated that tourists could bring in such literature without restriction. Then on March 25, 1988, the chief government paper <u>Izvestiya</u> reported that religious literature could be sent through the mail. In practice, however, both of these changes come with qualifications, for customs officers continue to confiscate religious literature, while the <u>Izvestiya</u> article makes it clear that material of an "ideologically harmful" nature—and the concept is not clearly defined—will be subject to confiscation.

Moreover, it needs to be stressed that it will take more than the printing or importation of around 200,000 Bibles to meet the need of the Soviet churches for Scriptures. Additionally, religious activists—Jewish and Christian—continue to point out that not only are Scriptures and prayer books in short supply, but that there are no facilities for the production of other forms of theological and religious materials. At the time of writing, all such literature, from Maimonides to C.S. Lewis, has to be produced by hand and illegally.

Though there has been some liberalization with regard to making religious literature available, the State remains the arbiter of what literature religious bodies may produce and acquire.

D. RELIGIOUS EDUCATION

The 1918 decree on the Separation of Church from State and School from Church banned the teaching of religion in private schools as well as State. The 1929 Law on Religious Associations prohibited religious associations from organizing for children, young people and women special prayer or other meetings, circles, groups, departments for Biblical or literary studies or the teaching of religion. Legal interpretations published during the last two decades found this means that while parents may teach their own children religion, any organized form of instruction for young people or religious discussion groups attended by adults are illegal under penalty of criminal sanctions for the organizers. The only exception to this are theological training institutions.

1. Russian Orthodox

In this area, as in many others, Russian Orthodox religious groups have often gone beyond the limits of a law which they regard as contrary to the requirements of their faith. During the 1960s many young intellectuals came into the Orthodox Church and sought teaching that the recognized religious establishment was prohibited from providing. To meet this need seminars and discussion groups began to emerge in many Soviet cities. The best known of these was the Christian Seminar, based in Moscow but with branches in other cities. These seminars aimed at providing a theological education, deepening Christian fellowship, and carrying out missionary work—something expressly forbidden by Soviet law. Though not involved in dissent, these groups were

treated as such by the authorities and eventually their activities drew the wrath of the KGB. A number of their leaders were imprisoned and by the early 1980s their activities had come to an end.

Despite the harsh treatment meted out to the Christian

Seminar, other groups continued to develop, albeit on a smaller scale, and since Gorbachev came to power more discussion groups have begun to appear. Their scope and nature vary considerably.

Some take the form of teaching forums, with priests and theologians giving lectures on a variety of topics. Some discuss the application of faith in modern society. A few cross denominational boundaries, especially those between Orthodox and Catholic. At least one has devoted years to detailed analysis of the New Testament in Greek.

2. Catholics

In Lithuania numerous problems have arisen from the catechizing of Catholic children preparing for First Communion. The Chronicle of the Lithuanian Catholic Church contains many reports of priests or laity being warned against organizing religion classes for young people and children. In January 1981, for example, a group of parish church committees was summoned to the republic's commissioner for religious affairs, who reminded them that it was forbidden to teach religion to groups of children and that children under 18 could not serve at Mass or sing in church choirs.

3. Protestants

Soviet Baptists and Pentecostals have matched the Catholics in their determination to raise children as believers in defiance of the legislation on religion. As a result they have suffered severe repression from the State authorities.

During the late 1960s and early 1970s there were numerous cases of children being taken away from parents who raised them as believers. In June 1973 the district council in the town of Perm deprived Zoya Radygina of parental rights over three of her four children. This Baptist woman was told that "the materials of the case have established that you, being a sectarian, also drew your underage children Tamar, Alexander and Vasili into the sect." In August 1973 two of the children were taken forcibly from their mother and placed in a children's home. Her appeals to have the decision overturned were rejected by the authorities.

In early 1987 authorities discovered a "religious school" set up by the unregistered Baptist congregation of Kuibyshevsk in the Tadzhik republic. Neighbors had suspiciously noted groups of children arriving at the home of Rudolf Maukh and reported this to the State. Officials searched the house and found a variety of literature for children, mostly published abroad. It is not known whether any were arrested in this incident.

4. Muslims

In recent years the Soviet press has carried an increasing number of reports on the activities of unrecognized Islamic activities, including the creation of Koranic schools for children and young people. In early 1985 a group of Muslims from the

Samarkand province were arrested for selling literature they had received from the Mullah Zakir Tadzhibaev. According to the brief press report one of the crimes of this mullah was to open an illegal Koranic school at his home in the town of Namangan.

On March 22, 1988, the paper <u>Turkmenskaya iskra</u> (Turkmen Spark) reported that in the Krasnovodsk region of Soviet Turkmenistan a series of village meetings had been held to discuss the activities of certain Muslim activists. Among the various "anti-social activities" for which they were denounced was the teaching of religion to children.

5. Jews

Since the late 1960s Jews have been especially active in organizing educational activities, with seminars and lecture courses springing up in many big cities. In March 1983 Yuri Tarnopolsky was arrested for having organized an unofficial university for young people denied places in higher education because they or their parents had applied to leave the USSR.

Of particular concern to the State in recent years has been the development of groups aimed at teaching Jewish culture and religion, and the Hebrew language, the traditional language of Jewish prayer and study. Perhaps the best known case was that of Joseph Begun who began teaching Hebrew in the 1970s and became an outspoken defender of Jewish rights. In November 1982 he was arrested and later sentenced to seven years in camp and five years of exile. Under intense international pressure on his behalf, he was released early in 1987 and allowed to emigrate.

The U.S.-based National Council on Soviet Jewry reports that

the Soviet Union's only officially sanctioned Hebrew class for Jews in Baku was closed in March 1988 due to pressure from the authorities. After a public outcry, the class was allowed to begin again in April. Though Hebrew is taught at several Soviet universities, Jews are barred from such courses.

In addition, the National Council on Soviet Jewry published a report on April 13, 1988, which stated that the authorities are preventing Torah studies from taking place in the synagogue in Rostov.

E. OBSERVANCE OF RELIGIOUS HOLIDAYS AND REST DAYS

There are no formal constitutional or legal guarantees of the right to observe religious holidays in the Soviet Union. The extent to which it is allowed in practice has varied according to region and religious group.

The main problems facing the Orthodox over the last two decades have arisen over the celebration of Easter. To celebrate Lenin's birthday the nearest Saturday is frequently declared a subbotnik, a day when people voluntarily work without pay. As this is often close to Easter believers have sometimes faced difficulties at their place of work when they refuse to attend work on Easter Saturday. Another problem at Easter has been the policy of the police and security forces of preventing young people from attending the festive service which begins on Easter eve and extends into the early hours of Easter day. A foreign correspondent reporting on a 1981 Orthodox Easter service in Moscow noted:

A crowd of young people waited expectantly by the temporary police barriers. Anyone without the necessary permit was told politely that he or she could not pass. The mood was good-humored, and some of the police chatted back to the crowd. Suddenly the barriers were opened enough for one person to pass at a time. Some started running up the middle of the traffic-free road toward the church. A police officer with a megaphone enjoined the comrades to proceed in an orderly manner. Close to the church the police suddenly became assertive, and having allowed part of the crowd in, they stopped the rest and started pushing them back down the road again.

In 1987 the authorities employed a different tactic to prevent believers from gaining access to many Orthodox churches for Easter matins and liturgy. According to Keston College, the

Patriarchal Cathedral of the Theophany in Moscow was packed with Communist Youth League activists. The militia surrounding the cathedral then turned away large numbers of genuine believers on the grounds that there was no room. Similar measures were used at other Russian Orthodox churches in Moscow and the suburbs. Further, there were also reports that members of the Communist League and the militia deliberately attempted to provoke the believers so that they could accuse them of disturbing the police. Many other cases, often more violent, have been reported.

The authorities have sought to discourage the observance of religious holidays by the Ukrainian Catholics in a much more direct manner. On the night of January 6, 1988, an underground congregation of Ukrainian Catholics gathered to hold a Christmas service in a church in the village of Kalinovka in the Lvov province. In the early hours of the morning the church was invaded by a group of officials which included the region's commissioner for religious affairs. The group demanded that the congregation disperse and attempted to prevent the priest from continuing the service. After considerable argument the officials called the local militia "to quell the disturbance caused by the churchgoers." There have since been unconfirmed reports that members of the congregation were later arrested.

A similar incident took place in Buchach in December 1987 on the Feast of the Immaculate Conception. Twenty elderly believers were praying at the home of 84-year-old Sister Tykhoma when four militia men forced their way into the apartment. Cursing and kicking the worshipers, the militia then herded them into a bus and drove them to the militia office. The worshipers were later

released and all of the elderly women were forced to make their way home on foot.

Every year thousands of Lithuanian Catholics go to Siluva to celebrate the Nativity of the Virgin Mary. On August 29, 1982, the pilgrims set off on the pilgrimage only to find the main highway clogged with police and KGB officials. The names and addresses of many were taken by the militia, while others were charged with disturbing the peace because they said the rosary aloud. Still others were forced into militia cars, and attempts were made to take them back to their home towns. Over the next few days the license plates of those traveling by car were noted by authorities. On the day of the festival a helicopter hovered overhead menacing the gathering and making hearing difficult.

On October 8, 1972, the unregistered Baptist community in the town of Rostov-on-Don was holding a harvest festival celebration. The service was held in a private home and afterward the believers shared a meal. Just as they were starting a group of militia men burst into the building, overturning the tables, beating some of those present, and arresting others who were later sentenced to fifteen days in prison.

The Seventh Day Adventists have suffered as a consequence of their desire to worship on Saturdays. On December 7, 1978, three Adventist families on a collective farm in Soviet Kirgizia were fined 30 rubles each for keeping their children away from school on Saturdays. Two weeks later it was decided that they should pay ten rubles every week until they complied with the wishes of the authorities.

With regard to the Friday prayers of Muslims the same

difficulties are likely to arise as affect the Adventists, insofar as these overlap with working hours.

Further problems arise from the celebration of the Jewish Sabbath—a working day for many Soviet citizens—and the major religious festivals. The celebration of Hanukkah and Purim, traditionally accompanied by the performance of plays, is often subject to police harassment. It is not uncommon for police and KGB officials to break into apartments where such festivals are being observed and to note the names of those involved. During Passover in 1976 Jews attending the registered synagogue in Moscow were subject to constant harassment, with cars being driven at high speed down the street where hundreds of Jews were standing.

On April 21, 1988, KGB agents dispersed a group of more than 100 refuseniks who had gathered at the Holocaust monument in Minsk for a commemoration of the 1942 massacre of Minsk Jews by Nazis. They arrested and sentenced Mark Kagan, a religious Jew who spoke at the commemoration, to two weeks imprisonment for "hooliganism," according to reports by the National Conference on Soviet Jewry.

F. OBJECTS NECESSARY TO RELIGIOUS PRACTICE

The 1918 Decree on the Separation of Church from State and School from Church declared all the "property" and "possessions" of religious societies to have passed into the hands of the State. What this meant in practice was not merely the land and buildings of religious groups, but all icons, liturgical objects, vestments, crosses, and candelabras. After a religious association is given permissic to function, the necessary "cult objects" are loaned without charge back to the church.

A new provision in the 1975 amendment to this law permits religious societies to acquire religious articles and means of transportation and to lease, build and buy buildings for their own needs. These rights are effectively nullified by subsequent provisions, however, which indicate that such objects are considered nationalized once they are handed over to individual congregations and revert to the State when a religious community loses its registration.

In 1957 the Russian Orthodox Church was given permission to open a few enterprises for the production of articles necessary for religious rituals. Most of these were closed down during the Khrushchev campaign. As a result there were shortages in many parts of the USSR which could only be met by underground initiatives. In 1980, however, the Moscow Patriarchate was able to open a large plant just outside Moscow. This gave the Orthodox Church the opportunity to produce a more consistent supply of candles, incense, chalices and other necessary liturgical utensils. No other group, with the exception of the Lithuanian

Catholics, is permitted to manufacture religious artifacts.

The Catholics, both officially recognized and underground, have developed a considerable underground economy in religious artifacts. The Lithuanians are allowed to produce a few religious pictures legally, but otherwise they must rely on the illegal manufacture of rosaries, crucifixes and religious pictures. In the Western Ukraine underground nuns make candles and embroider vestments for use by the Ukrainian Catholic Church.

Since the 1920s the production of Jewish prayer shawls, phylacteries, Torah scrolls and mezuzahs has not been permitted in the Soviet Union. In addition very inadequate provision has been made for the preparation or importation of food conforming to Jewish dietary requirements. Jewish activists report that supplies of matzo for the observance of Passover in 1988 were adequate in Moscow and Leningrad, but that customs officials in Odessa refused to release a shipment of the specially prepared bread from Hungary, claiming that "only a limited amount of religious objects are permitted." Ritual objects used in synagogues today are relics of the Tsarist period, illegally manufactured within the USSR or smuggled in by tourists.

G. RELIGIOUS DISCRIMINATION

1. Russian Orthodox

Discrimination against believers is widespread in the Soviet Union. They cannot attain positions of authority within society and are frequently subject to active discrimination in education, in the army and in the work place. The one exception is some members of the Orthodox hierarchy who have been allowed a relatively high level of secular education.

Members of the Russian Orthodox Church, however, are not immune from religious discrimination. For example, in the mid-1970s Zinaida Girinkova, a young Orthodox woman from the Ukraine, wrote to Patriarch Pimen appealing for help:

The administration at my work, learning that I was a believer and attend God's church, began to mock my religious convictions and force me to renounce the faith of Christ as the price of my flat and of promotion in my job. Moreover, they accused me of having links 'abroad,' which were supposedly financing me. My home was searched

I have worked at this job for seven years and am on the waiting list for a flat, but I was faced with a choice: either work as an engineer and be given a flat, and renounce the Church, or else leave work. I chose the latter

In another case, Sergei Bogdanovsky, an Orthodox student in the Biology faculty of Moscow University, was expelled in 1978 after it was discovered he was a believer. Poems and articles of a religious nature were reportedly found among his belongings and authorities apparently feared that he might show them to other students.

2. Catholics

The unofficial <u>Chronicle of the Lithuanian Catholic Church</u>, published by the Catholics of Lithuania since 1972, has documented numerous cases of discrimination against Catholic school children.

In 1974 the Chronicle reported the following:

During the 1972-3 school year at Jonava Middle School No. 1, form mistress Mrs. Slapkauskiene frequently scolded and made fun of pupil Leonas Rosinas because he went to church. Following the teacher's example, the class acted no better than she.

During the 1973-4 school year, Leonas's teacher Mrs. Valeraviciene, another atheist, began to "educate" him. In front of the class she ridiculed him for going to church with his mother. Upon his return home from school the boy often complained of being pushed around and beaten by his classmates.

Mrs. Valeraviciene and her husband once verbally assaulted Leonas's mother for being a backward, benighted person who believes in some imaginary God. Mrs. Rosinas calmly replied that she firmly believed in the existence of God. The form mistress then attempted to persuade her at least not to take Leonas to church.

Persecution continues also in the higher levels of education. Maryte Gudaityte, a student at Pranas Mazylis Medical School, was expelled in 1985 for her religious beliefs and for sending Christmas greetings to two imprisoned Catholic priests. Repeated appeals to have her reinstated have been rejected. The director of the school claims she would have kept Ms. Gudaityte on if it were not against the will of the KGB. When Ms. Gudaityte attempted to transfer to another school, she was bluntly informed that religion and medicine are incompatible and that she would have to pick another specialty in which her work would not involve close interaction with other people.

The case of dismissed cultural worker Zita Vasauskiene was

reported in November 1983. In 1981 the local KGB found out that this employee of the School of Culture also played the organ in the Telsiai cathedral during her spare time. She was given a choice: work for the school or the church. Mrs. Vasauskiene refused to stop playing the organ and was consequently discharged from work because she was "not carrying out the tasks of communist work."

3. Protestants

Baptists and Pentecostals are often extremely outspoken in defense of their faith and many openly undertake evangelistic activity. Over the last two decades hundreds have been imprisoned, imposing great hardship for their often large families.

Serafima Yudintseva, an evangelical from the town of Gorky, had ten children. In May 1973 the local social security office deprived her of the financial award paid to mothers of large families in the USSR. When she sought an explanation, Yudintseva was told that it was because her husband had earlier been sentenced to three years of imprisonment for his religious activities. Three years later when she lived in another town she was again refused the assistance when she admitted to being a Baptist.

In 1978 the Balak family applied to emigrate on the grounds that they were not able to worship freely in the USSR. Thereafter they were persecuted and harassed. Their children were beaten but the militia did nothing, stating "you are no longer our citizens." During the early 1980s their oldest son served a term in detention

camp for refusing to join the army, and Mrs. Balak's sister was imprisoned on charges of "parasitism" for being without a job, though it was not her fault that she could not find employment.

A number of Baptists have died under suspicious circumstances during the course of their military service. Vasili Druk told his parents that he was being pressured to renounce his faith and in a letter spoke of a sergeant who had threatened to kill him. Within a few days of writing this letter he was murdered. According to other soldiers in the unit he was stabbed in the heart after the sergeant, while drunk, had ordered him killed. Such cases are exceptional and it is doubtful that they would be sanctioned by the central authorities, but the lack of adequate redress for the families of murdered believers does little to reassure anxious parents that their sons will be treated justly while on military service.

Viktor Walter, pastor of an unregistered Pentecostal church in Chuguyevka, stopped sending his seven children to school because they had suffered so much persecution for their religious beliefs. He was tried and sentenced in April 1985 for, among other things, "organization of actions which disrupt public order" to five years' labor camp.

4. Jews

Numerous documents from the USSR and recent emigres reveal a great degree of discrimination against Jews on religious and ethnic grounds. Although the USSR was one of the first states to recognize Israel, it quickly shifted its allegiance to the Arab cause. One of the products of this change was an increase in

anti-Zionist literature of a particularly crude and frequently anti-Semitic character. Israeli Jews, and by implication Soviet Jews, were often depicted as grasping neo-fascists in the pay of imperialism.

A 1979 report written by two Soviet Jewish mathematicians revealed that Soviet Jews were systematically discriminated against in their attempts to enroll in Moscow University. Among other things the report revealed that Jewish children who were good students were often unfairly given poor grades so that the University would not have to accept them.

The foremost human rights issue in the Soviet Union for the Jewish community is emigration. According to Western estimates, nearly 385,000 of the Soviet Union's 2.5 million Jews have taken the initial step to leave. Some of those who want to leave are fleeing religious persecution in the Soviet Union.

The Soviet Union tightly restricts movement in and out of the country. Many who apply to leave are refused, others are forced to wait many years before they receive permission to emigrate. Those who apply to emigrate face great personal hardship. They are often treated no better than traitors and risk losing their jobs and housing, having their children denied education, their families deprived of medical treatment and, in some cases, they experience arrest and imprisonment.

After reaching a peak of 51,320 in 1979, the number of Soviet Jews permitted to emigrate dropped off sharply, reaching a low of fewer than 1,000 in 1986. The declining trend in Soviet Jewish emigration seemed to turn around in 1987 when 8,011 were permitted to leave. In April 1988, more than 1,000 Jews received permission

to exit the Soviet Union, the highest monthly total since 1981.

Nevertheless Soviet Jewish emigration falls far short of the 1979 rate, and Jewish "refuseniks" (those who have applied for but not acquired emigration approval) continue to report official harassment and discrimination for having applied to leave. For example, on April 29, 1988, the National Conference on Soviet Jewry reported that Sergei Labuzov of Leningrad filed a complaint against the doctor in a local hospital for denying treatment to his month-old son, based on his refusenik status.

H. COLLECTION AND USE OF MONEY

According to the 1929 Law on Religious Associations, religious societies may collect voluntary donations from members of the congregation "solely for purposes of maintaining the worship building and the property of the cult, for hiring ministers of the cult, and for meeting the costs of the cult's executive committee." The revolution supposedly ended the need for the charitable work carried out extensively by the church before 1917. Religious societies are expressly forbidden to use these monies for charitable and other activities not related to "the satisfaction of religious needs."

There is little evidence that the authorities interfere on a large scale with the collection of money by religious associations. Many visitors to the USSR report their surprise at the generosity of Soviet believers. Official church journals reveal that many parishes raise tens of thousands of rubles every year despite the relatively low income of most parishioners.

For several years Russian Orthodox parishes have given a portion of their income to the Soviet Peace Fund. It is not clear the extent to which this is a voluntary contribution or how it relates to the prohibition of charitable activities. This apparent inconsistency has been recognized by some Soviet authors since 1985. Regardless of the law, it is something that most Orthodox believers consider a natural part of their faith and which most practice when unobserved by the authorities.

Some charitable activity on the part of religious organizations may be on the verge of legalization. On November

15, 1987, Moscow News reported that a Russian Orthodox congregation in Murmansk was planning to build an old people's home. In February 1988 the same paper noted that the Tolgsky monastery would be returned to the Russian Orthodox Church and would be used for a convent and a home for elderly clergy.

This permissive attitude toward charitable activities, however, appears to be restricted to "safe" or officially acceptable causes such as "peace" or "Chernobyl relief." When charity or relief work does not fit within these narrow confines, such as aid to the families of prisoners, the activity is punished.

Many Baptist families are large by Soviet standards, with six or seven children being far from unusual. As a result the wives of prisoners are generally unable to work outside the home. The State has refused to aid the families of Baptist prisoners, placing some of them in a very difficult economic position. Consequently the Council for Prisoners' Relatives, a group formed in 1964 by the wives of Baptist prisoners, has sought to ensure that the needs of prisoners' families are adequately met. Their activities have been closely monitored by State authorities and the active members of the Council have been subject to considerable harassment and persecution. Some workers in similar organizations have been arrested and convicted of "organization of actions which disrupt public order." Gederts Melngailis, a Lutheran, was accused of participation in Baptist relief work in a group known as "Action of Light" in July 1983. In October 1983 he was tried and found guilty of the above charges and sentenced to compulsory psychiatric treatment.

I. CONTACTS WITH CO-RELIGIONISTS ABROAD

There are no formal restrictions on legally recognized religious groups meeting with foreign co-religionists either in the USSR or abroad. Numerous Soviet religious delegations travel throughout the world and Soviet religious bodies receive many visitors. Leading religious figures are involved in a wide variety of international bodies, notably the World Council of Churches. Yet critics within Soviet churches and abroad often complain that these contacts are highly formal in nature and often give a misleading impression of Soviet religious life.

For illegal groups such as the Ukrainian Catholics and Jehovah's Witnesses the problems of keeping in touch with fellow believers abroad is more problematic, for by maintaining such contacts they lay themselves open to charges of links with foreign powers. Nevertheless these groups, and many believers within the recognized religious groups, maintain connections with individual believers from the outside world, often at great personal risk.

On a practical level, frequent visa delays and denials by Soviet authorities for all Soviet citizens leaving the USSR and foreigners entering sometimes make religious and other meetings between the two groups difficult.

WITHDRAWAL SHEET

Ronald Reagan Library

Collection Name

Withdrawer

CHUMACHENKO, KATHERINE: FILES

KDB 5/25/2006

File Folder

FOIA

RELIGIOUS USSR

F03-1737/01

SNYDER, S

Box Number

18287

14

DOC Document Type NO Document Description pages

No of Doc Date Restric-

tions

1 **FORM** 2 11/19/1988 B6

EMPLOYEE PAYROLL STATEMENT FOR **CHUMACHENKO**

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

E.O. 13233

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

1 213 655 0344 P.01
FILE Relig USSR

Defending our interior freedom

BY CHRISTOPHER COX

Then John Paul II recently spoke of the need to defend man's "interior freedom," he was addressing an issue in current U.S.-Soviet relations that should concern every Catholic.

"Interior freedom," in John Paul's usage, is that most basic human right: the sanctuary of conscience and religious faith which no state is permitted to violate.

Not only Catholic doctrine, but also our Declaration of Independence and Constitution are based upon the belief that man has certain inalienable rights, signal

among which is religious freedom. Combined with various international agreements we've signed that guarantee freedom of worship, this philosophy has properly led the United States to give high priority to human rights in our relations with the Soviet Union.

When President Reagan recently assured activists and refuseniks in Moscow that the United States will remain "unshakable" on human rights, his audience was all too aware that their system doesn't see human rights as inalienable. Instead their leaders regard them as gifts from the government which may be granted or denied according to whim.

At present there are hundreds of individuals being held in Soviet labor camps for exercising their religious freedom. Among them are:

Catholic priest Sigitas Tamkevicius, a Lithuanian imprisoned because of his sermons, the publication of a journal about the Lithuanian Catholic Church, the catechizing of children, the organizing of funeral processions, the giving of children's Christmas parties and protests over discrimination against religious believers.

Catholic layman Petras Grazulis, another Lithuanian, sentenced in February 1988 for refusing to serve the military reserve duty imposed on him as punishment for participating in human and religious rights rallies. In July 1988, he was even more severely punished for sending a letter of thanks to two U.S. senators who'd spoken out on his behalf.

"Uhrainian Catholic layman Ivan Makar, who was arrested in August 1988 for his leadership in organizing

human rights rallies in the Ukraine.

→ Baptist pastor Nikolai Boiko, a 65-year-old father of eight children, who has been imprisoned 18 years because of religious activity in his Odessa parish.

The truth is that even after three years of glasnost and perestroika, state-sponsored Soviet persecution of religion continues unabated. As one of President Reagan's White House lawyers, I was saddened to learn that despite changes in other areas, the body of laws regarding religion in the Soviet Union remains almost

unchanged since 1929.

The Soviet Union still bans absolutely certain religious groups like the Ukrainian Catholic Church. Other faiths, especially unregistered Protestant denominations, are constantly harassed. And on a recent trip to Leningrad, I discovered that one of the city's most beautiful churches had been turned into a museum of atheism.

A few years ago, my father, a retired publisher of Catholic magazines, and I started a company to provide an independent English translation of the major official Soviet newspaper, Pravda.

A recent article in that journal, "Why do people go to Mass," complained that continued church at-

tendance (albeit by only a small number of elderly women) was a failure of the Communist Party. It urged, as a remedy, more vigorous implementation of "scientific atheism." This piece was troubling because it purported to reflect the official Soviet view at the height of glasnost.

As a Catholic, I was heartened by the Reagan administration's policy of pressure on the Soviet Union to repeal its laws against religion. Our next administration must also make clear that freedom of conscience and religious belief — our "interior freedom," in the words of John Paul II — may not rightfully be abridged by any government. That affirmation of man's ultimate spirituality should be a cornerstone of our relations with the Soviet Union in the 1990s and beyond.

Christopher Cax is a Republican candidate for Congress in California's 42nd District.



TOTAL P.01