

# Ronald Reagan Presidential Library Digital Library Collections

---

This is a PDF of a folder from our textual collections.

---

**Collection:** Blackwell, Morton: Files  
**Folder Title:** Coalition for Peace through Security  
**Box:** 4

---

To see more digitized collections visit:

<https://reaganlibrary.gov/archives/digital-library>

To see all Ronald Reagan Presidential Library inventories visit:

<https://reaganlibrary.gov/document-collection>

Contact a reference archivist at: [reagan.library@nara.gov](mailto:reagan.library@nara.gov)

Citation Guidelines: <https://reaganlibrary.gov/citing>

National Archives Catalogue: <https://catalog.archives.gov/>

# WITHDRAWAL SHEET

## Ronald Reagan Library

**Collection Name** BLACKWELL, MORTON: FILES

**Withdrawer**

KDB 6/7/2010

**File Folder** COALITION FOR PEACE THROUGH SECURITY

**FOIA**

F09-0043/01

**Box Number** 9076

STRAUSS, C

5

DOC NO	Doc Type	Document Description	No of Pages	Doc Date	Restrictions
1	LETTER	JACK ABRAMOFF TO F. LEONARD HOLIHAN	4	10/27/1982	B6

---

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]

B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]

B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]

B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]

B-5 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(5) of the FOIA]

B-6 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(6) of the FOIA]

B-7 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(7) of the FOIA]

B-8 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(8) of the FOIA]

B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

---

### What else can Arms Control accomplish?

---

1. By maintaining a general balance of nuclear weapons, neither side can have reason to instigate their first use in combat.
2. By reducing nuclear arsenals, the risks of mishap and the burdens of cost can be held down.
3. By limiting qualitative as well as quantitative improvements, the stability that comes from a general balance can be retained.
4. Confidence building measures to cut the risk of nuclear warfare can be expanded.
5. Restraint in weapons programs—but only if *mutually* practiced—can be of major importance.
6. If no progress in arms control is made, owing to Soviet obduracy, further armament is inescapable. Owing to Soviet obduracy, Arms control arrangements directed to these ends are essential to any program of peace and freedom. Without them, the risks will continue to grow.

---

### What are the risks without comprehensive Arms Control?

---

1. In the absence of a general balance of nuclear weapons, the weaker side would be open to nuclear blackmail, as its confidence to chart its own course with impunity would falter.
2. In the absence of a cutback in the quality and quantity of nuclear arsenals, continuing proliferation and improvement of weapons would increase the risks of nuclear war.
3. Without mutual restraint, the arms race would continue.
4. Without improved confidence building procedures, the chances of blundering into nuclear war could grow.

---

### How can we formulate a policy for world peace?

---

Public opinion has become focused on the awesome risks of nuclear weapons rather than on how to maintain peace and liberty free from the shadow of force.

It has been all too easy for Soviet propaganda to talk of a belligerent United States by distorting the unfortunate jargon of "nuclear strategists" about a "war-fighting capability." It is the Soviet side that has destabilized world politics by building mammoth first strike missiles—to which the West has no countervailing forces.

We hope our fellow students will face the adversary situation created by the intransigent behavior of the Soviet Union and support the necessary steps to keep a balance of power for the sake of peace. We must coalesce at the responsible center, between the extremes of belligerency and cowardice.

Our policy should be based upon conciliation but we must be able to deter both armed aggression and nuclear blackmail: such a mobilization of moral and material force will inspire confidence and energy within and respect elsewhere.

This brochure is presented by  
**The National Steering Committee  
Students for Peace and Security**



**Students for  
Peace and Security**

---

**Questions and Answers  
Formulating a Foreign  
Policy That Will Achieve  
Peace and Security in  
the Free World**

**P.O. Box 98  
Tufts Station  
Medford, MA 02153**

**(617) 666-1545**

---

## Why must the West rearm?

---

The rulers of the Soviet Union are not willing to live and let live at home or abroad, and have shown themselves ready to bully and dominate, substituting repression for liberty, whenever the opportunity exists.

At home, they have robbed their own people of those individual human rights that make men free and denied the people governments of their own choosing.

The stifling of liberty in Poland is but the latest step in a long pattern of threat and force that has compelled the free nations to be prepared to look after their own freedom: the imposition of Communist governments throughout Eastern Europe after World War II, the instigation and support of the Korean War, the Berlin Blockade, the suppression of popular will in Czechoslovakia and Hungary, the Berlin Wall, and the Conquest of Afghanistan, to name but a few.

The pattern is consistent: where there can be but weak resistance, pressure, threat and force itself are used—used to subject lands and peoples to domination by governments which are agreeable to Soviet control.

It is a legitimate question whether, by the manner of its assistance to independent governments subverted by Communist forces, the United States erred sometimes in its zeal. But it is not America which invaded other countries, sent its own people into rough seas in small boats, or executed even the premiers of allied nations. Throughout the post war world, refugees have fled Communism, from Poland to Vietnam, to the West. The refugees in Europe have never gone East, those in Vietnam never went north.

---

## Do we fear a Soviet attack?

---

We do not wish to beat the war drums, nor is appeasement the alternative. The object of statecraft has always been to enlarge the choice between being "red or dead."

We wish to keep the peace. We want to stop the Soviets from using their military machine—and Poland is an object lesson—to press the people of Western Europe to accept the erosion of their own freedom and those of friendly nations elsewhere.

The Soviet rulers are not likely to risk their political system by instigating war with a united and strong alliance. They would rather come to dominate a productive, compliant, and divided Western Europe than to see it levelled in warfare. That the driving of a wedge between Europe and the United States would be a major step in that direction is clearly shown by the massive propaganda effort Moscow is mounting in an effort to depict the United States as a belligerent country bent on war. Their plans for the rest of the world are presumably of the same nature—from the Persian Gulf to Northwest Asia.

But if America and its allies everywhere can remain strong and united, no lies, no overt threats, no silent bullying, no blackmail can shake the confidence that its people can live in both peace and freedom.

However, at the expense of their short-changed civilians, the Soviets are building up a military machine so well equipped for all aspects of warfare—conventional, nuclear, and chemical, on land, sea and in the air—that the confidence of the Allies in their own defense establishments is being shaken.

How else do we explain their willingness to spend such huge sums of money on defense—buying intercontinental Ballistic missiles (ICBMs) with *seven times* the megatonnage of America's and submarine and ICBM forces combined of roughly five times America's?

---

## Where do nuclear forces fit in? And do we really need more conventional forces in Europe?

---

One way to keep from ever having to use nuclear weapons would be to have a *balance* of both conventional and nuclear strength, so that neither pressure nor the use of force would be profitable to Moscow. Only two paths are available. *Arms control* agreements could provide for such a balance; in their absence, the challenge of an arms race must be met.

With respect to Europe, NATO would have a long way to go to be able, without recourse to nuclear weapons, to defeat an all-out conventional Soviet attack. But that sort of effort is not necessary.

What is necessary is to face the Soviets with an improved level of conventional strength that would ensure: no easy breakthrough and victory; no assurance of worthwhile gain to an aggressor; and greater time to let all avenues of diplomacy be used for the maintenance of peace. In such a case, the Soviets would not be able to risk an attack, or to use their strength to intimidate.

Major improvements in NATO's conventional strength, then, can be the best insurance against NATO being forced to rely on nuclear weapons.

---

## How do we achieve Arms Control?

---

This is accomplished, first, by having something to negotiate and, secondly, by being united as a country so that Moscow knows it cannot divide us against ourselves. We see that our efforts to maintain a balance in Europe—and our remaining technological advantages that make possible the deployment of advanced weapons there—have given the Soviets sufficient incentive to negotiate seriously with us in Geneva today. The question is whether we will support our negotiators so that they can achieve a balanced agreement for Europe. The same question holds true for the START (Strategic Arms Reductions Talks) negotiations later in the year.



# Students for Peace and Security

Boston

P.O. Box 98

Tuft Station

Medford, Massachusetts 02153

(617) 666-1545

England

Coalition of Peace Through Security

Arrow House

27-31 Whitehall

London SW1 England

TO: SPS CLUB CHAIRMEN AND CAMPUS CONTACTS

JAN. 31, 1983

FROM: IAN BALLON, RECRUITMENT DIRECTOR

RE: MARCH 7-8 RALLIES AGAINST THE FREEZE

On March 7-8 freezeniks from around the country will be descending on Washington to lobby for the freeze. In conjunction with other national organizations, SPS will be working to blunt the potential impact of the freeze supporters efforts. Specifically, to coincide with "anti-freeze" activities planned for Washington, SPS chapters nation-wide will be holding demonstrations against the freeze. The goal of these demonstrations will be to divert media attention (campus, community, and in some cases national) away from the "pro-freeze" activities in Washington.

These demonstrations are very easy to organize. With the proper organizational work, each campus should be able to generate at least 50 people. Pamphlets and bumperstickers will be provided FREE OF CHARGE by the National Steering Committee. All press releases will also be handled out of our Medford offices. Conservative professors, campus notables and SPS student leaders should all speak at the rally. If kept to a brief period of time, interest will not wane (and all media photos will show the largest possible group of students demonstrating for a strong America).

If you have not already committed your club to sponsor a rally, I urge you to do so. It is very important to show our campuses and our leaders that students do not represent a monolithic group of pacifists who support the freeze. Preferential treatment will also be given to all chapters who organize these demonstrations when the National Steering Committee organizes the next series of conferences on "The Soviet

...../continued

Threat to World Peace and Democratic Security." Those campuses organizing demonstrations will be first in line to host one of these conferences which in the past have featured people such as Richard Pipes, Norman Podhoretz, Helmut Sonnenfeldt and Midge Decter. Someone will be in touch with you shortly to discuss the logistics of organizing a demonstration in your area. For more information, please contact the national office at 617/776-5822.

-----

Unlike other national organizations, SPS is wholly student-run. As such, we all must work as hard as we can to make sure our message is heard on our respective campuses. Those of us in the Boston area are working as hard as we can to help our peers in other parts of the country, but we need input from all SPS leaders. We can provide SPS chapters with many free services, but to be most effective we need to all work together. From our end, we will be sending out memos and newsletters on a more regularized schedule. From your end, please do not wait for us to get in contact with you if you need help. In order that we may all be better able to fight the freeze, please complete the enclosed questionnaire and return it to us as soon as possible.

- 1) Is your SPS chapter registered with the appropriate authorities at your campus \_\_\_\_\_ How many members are in your club? \_\_\_\_\_ Have you submitted a complete membership list to the Boston office?
- 2) Did you receive the December newsletter at the correct address? Are both your home and school addresses on file in Boston?
- 3) Have you requested any of the articles from our free list of materials available? If so, were they of use? \_\_\_\_\_ If not, why? \_\_\_\_\_ your chapter has not filed with the national office and therefore is not eligible for this service \_\_\_\_\_ your club has its own files \_\_\_\_\_ other (please explain) \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) Have you debated "pro-freeze" groups on your campus? \_\_\_\_\_ Please list the activities of your chapter over the past two months on an attached sheet, as well as enclosing all newspaper clippings that you may not have already forwarded to the Boston office (this will help us in fundraising in your area, which will help us provide more services to your club free of charge).
- 5) Please indicate which, if any, of the following policies your club has promoted: \_\_\_\_\_ S.T.A.R.T. \_\_\_\_\_ HIGH FRONTEIR

Please return to: SPS-Campus Liason, P.O. Box 98, Medford, MA 02153

# THE COALITION FOR PEACE THROUGH SECURITY

27/31 Whitehall, London SW1A 2BX

Tel: 01-839 3951 Telex: 22861 Metmak G

LH/st

Mr. E. Feulner,  
The Heritage Foundation,  
513C Street NE,  
Washington D.C. 20002,  
U.S.A.

31st July 1982

STRICTLY PRIVATE & CONFIDENTIAL

Dear Mr. Feulner,

We very much enjoyed seeing you again at the Leeds Castle Conference and felt privileged to have been invited to attend and meet your colleagues.

As you requested, we are sending you a short summary of our work and our estimated minimum operating budget for the coming year outlined in the enclosed document.

We are extremely grateful for the \$10,000 that The Heritage Foundation donated to us early on for our educational work in the States, and for your personal advice and encouragement. As reported to Jeffrey Gaynor, due to the urgency of the U.S. situation, the Coalition actually spent more than \$15,000 in the four month trial period to counter the U.S. Nuclear Freeze that we had predicted. Had we had more resources we could have done even better.

While the "peace" problems are relatively new in the U.S. we have had a year and a half headstart over here on how best to counter them and feel the Coalition record demonstrates the necessity of major ongoing involvement in the States. We look forward to continuing to catalyse and work with Amy Moritz for whom we have high regard. But even with our two groups combining different skills and being only a handful of people, we have proved to be an effective educational force facing enormous odds.

I hope you will agree that we have fulfilled your trust and support and you will want to continue to help (us both) defeat the Soviet peace offensive that threatens on both sides of the Atlantic.

We are now urgently in need of more funds to maintain our work, principally in the United States. In the last 1½ years we have put over \$60,000 of our own money into the Coalition's 'Atlantic' project, and purposely have not drawn any salary in order to maximise our efforts and prove the credibility and usefulness of our kind of pioneering action work. But we can no longer afford to do this and unless we receive significant support soon it will be impossible to maintain our present range and scale of US/UK activities.

For the record our total expenditure in the first eighteen months was approximately £67,000 (of which £30,000 was contributed by Mr. and Mrs. Holihan). £16,000 was donated in the U.K. and \$10,000 from yourselves in the U.S. In addition, Paul Weyrich very kindly entrusted us with a substantial sum to organise an effective and successful conference on new American campaigning, fund-raising and opinion forming techniques. This information, with the Coalition's help, continues to have a major interest here and we hope to expand this vital Anglo-American bridge building by presenting further international conferences.

Mr. E. Feulner,  
The Heritage Foundation

- 2 -

31st July 1982

We would now like to request formally a further grant from The Heritage Foundation and to build up a closer working relationship.

We are also approaching Reader's Digest, The Scaife Foundation, The Smith Richardson Foundation, The Joseph Coors Foundation and The Olin Foundation. We would be grateful if you could send them a reference and recommendation on our behalf. We would also be grateful if you could advise us of any other groups or individuals who might be particularly interested and supportive of our allied work, whom we could approach with your permission.

For the future we are planning to persevere in our efforts to become eventually more self-funding through direct mail and the sale of our Newsletter, but this could take at least a year to build up, and this is why we need your help now to continue our work.

Could you possibly arrange for a copy of our Newsletter "The International Defence Debate Insider" to go out in a relevant part of the Heritage mailing, in order to inform your readers of this kind of 'counter-action', and be encouraged to support the work of all our growing organisations? We feel that our high profile media type of projects if properly co-ordinated and shared could inspire more people to contribute substantially towards the whole spectrum of complimentary thought and action needed to counter the global threat.

We have learned a great deal in this past year, have done all that we are able, and hope to become with your help more effective in our area of work and action that is otherwise generally neglected and left for the other side to dominate. Please help us.

May we also remind you that Morton Blackwell's Youth Leadership Foundation has offered to facilitate us with their 501 C3 status, and several other similar avenues are available as well.

Thank you for your encouragement and kind consideration of our requests.

Yours sincerely,



Edward Leigh,  
Director



Francis L. Holihan,  
Director

encs:



**Publishers:** The Coalition for Peace Through Security  
27/31 Whitehall, London SW1A 2BX, UK Tel: (01) 839 3951 . 413 East Capitol Street, Washington DC 2003 USA Tel: (202) 543 1286  
**Editor:** Edward Leigh *Subscription:* \$50/£25 per annum (6 issues) Single issues: \$10/£5

*All profits from this newsletter go to the Coalition for Peace Through Security whose aims and objectives are:*

- To counter the West's drift towards neutralism
- To support the North Atlantic Treaty Organisation in opposition to the Warsaw Pact
- To support the maintenance of the Alliance's nuclear deterrent
- To support the maintenance by NATO of well-paid well-manned and well-equipped armed services
- To promote multilateral and balanced nuclear and conventional disarmament by all nations
- To promote a credible Civil Defence capability as part of NATO's strategic deterrent
- To promote opportunities of international exchange between like-minded bodies within the Alliance and the non-Communist world by building up a global strategy for peace through strength and security.

THE COALITION RECEIVES NO FUNDING FROM ANY GOVERNMENT SOURCE

## PEACE AT ANY PRICE?

In the last two years, a new phenomenon has arisen in international politics: the rapid growth of the "peace" movement, first in Britain, West Germany, the Benelux States and subsequently in the U.S. in the debate on a "nuclear freeze".

*This "peace at any price" hysteria has been whipped up by sinister forces to manipulate the well-intentioned who are anti-war and pro-peace. Unfortunately for those who are so motivated, there are only unilateral movements to join. These are anti-Western, anti-U.S., and anti-NATO while being tacitly pro-Soviet. In contrast to all the noise of this vocal minority and its media coverage, the voice of the Silent Majority and of common sense and practical experience has hardly been heard.*

Our side has been losing vital strategic ground by default. This is because not enough men and women of goodwill have spoken out to counter these attacks and offer real, viable alternatives for an idealistic new generation.

## WE WILL BURY YOU

Think tanks are worthless if their results do not ultimately reach grass-roots level – the front line of today's real war. We are now involved in a struggle for the minds of men and the Soviet forces are already well-entrenched in the methods of psychological warfare and the tactics of disinformation to achieve their ends. These professional agitators manipulate the "buzzwords" of peace and mislead the well-meaning with pious platitudes that actually make war more likely. The Kremlin's strategy has always been "to bury us" by persuading us to dig our own graves on the road to their kind of "peace" so that we are being both unwilling and unable to defend our way life.

This internal war, and our actions that must effectively counter it if we are to survive, is the greatest single challenge we face.

The Coalition for Peace Through Security was set up actively to counter the spectre of pacifism with deeds, not just words. Thus this Newsletter is about action and not just information about what is wrong. Hopefully, the Coalition's pioneering actions and operations on both sides of the Atlantic can inspire you to join us in our fight knowing that *all contributions go directly to our fighting fund to help turn back the tide of half-baked peace-cults.*

The Coalition also considers itself a "peace movement" but dedicated to multilateral and verifiable, rather than unilateral one-sided disarmament.

In our first year of existence, we have proved ourselves, as evidenced by the insults and disinformation hurled at us by the extreme Left, which we will be including as a regular feature. Many of our friends, worldwide, have encouraged us to share our adventures with a larger audience about these issues so we can get more feedback. *We hope this bi-monthly newsletter will provide a useful continuing guide to the state of the peace debate and of the war that is happening in our own towns right now.*

*We also hope it will provide the funds needed to sustain our private non-profit making group to scout ahead on the frontiers to protect our freedom and work towards real peace.*

## COALITION ROUND UP : UNITED STATES

### FIGHTING THE FREEZE – TOP PRIORITY!

#### CENTRAL PARK

June 82. Various reports put the Disarmament Rally in Central Park at 500,000 to 1 million people, the largest rally ever staged in the U.S. and a vehicle for world-wide publicity portraying the American people as disenchanted with the policies of strong defence.

The Coalition was there with a small but determined band of 100 counter-demonstrators both outside the UN building and in the entrance to Central Park. Thus every one of the marchers had to pass by banners proclaiming the messages "Peace Through Security – Not Peace at any Price", "Don't Freeze our Options", "Can You Trust the Russians?". Commenting on the demonstration, American member of the Coalition Leonard Holihan told the media "We are ANOTHER Peace Group from both U.K. and U.S. and we are here to protest against the U.S. Freeze because it is dangerously one-sided and assures the Soviet advantage. Unfortunately back in Europe, most of the 'Peace Movement' has been taken over by the extreme Left and their fellow-travellers to push one-sided disarmament by the West. It is these U.K. and Euro peace mongers who laid the foundations for the so-called spontaneous U.S. Nuclear Freeze Movement, a "Freeze first, Pay later" strategy, and they are still providing experienced leadership and funds. These foreign peaceniks are the tip of the iceberg, so beware. Behind all the fun and festivities for the "Freeze" is the frosty breath of the Siberian Bear."

Media coverage included Channel 2 (CBS), 5(Ind.), 11, 7, Nat., PBS and many press and photographers.

It was good to see just how many young people were there in the counter-demo, saying "about time the other side is heard". Together with Coalition full-time Washington staffer Peter Nassetta and associate colleague Amy Moritz from the National Center's "Committee to Prevent Nuclear War" (which jointly publishes brochures with the Coalition), other activities were organised. We felt it was vital to prevent the freeze advocates and radical liberals from completely monopolising the N.Y. and national press for the weekend. By demonstrating one could be FOR Peace but AGAINST the Freeze, we showed

there is another side to this whole issue and that it is not a foregone conclusion that the majority want to put their heads in the sand and ignore reality.

## PRESS CONFERENCE

We jointly sponsored a Press Conference at which Representative William Carney (R.N.Y. -1) was given the first Peace Award by the Committee for his 'Parity first' Bill (H.R.29.7) opposing an immediate nuclear freeze and his work for long-range meaningful nuclear arms reduction. His acceptance speech was published in the Congressional Record. A letter from 6 Congressmen (Jack Kemp R-NY, Robin Beard R-TN, Robert Dornan R.CA, Richard White D.TX, Bill Chappell D.FL and Dan Daniel D.VA), drew attention to the counter-Freeze 'Peace Through Strength Resolution' that has passed 12 State legislatures and has 230 co-sponsors in the U.S. House and 51 in the Senate. (The Coalition and National Center are continuing to assist further acceptance of this important anti-Freeze measure.)

Following this, a number of other groups and key individuals were there or had statements read in our Press Conference to record their opposition to the Freeze including Midge Decter (Comm. for the Free World), Col. Eileen Bonner (Reserve Officers Assn.), Col. Phelp Jones (representing the 1.9 million Veterans of Foreign Wars), Gen. Daniel O.Graham (former Director of D.I.A. and head of the High Frontier Space Project), Stuart Schwartzstein (Freedom House), Ian Ballon (Students for Peace & Security), Lori Merryman (Co. Reb. Nat. Comm.) Karen McKay (Comm. for Free Afghanistan), Jim McFadden (Am. Catholic Comm.). Rod Richardson also spoke and the N.Y. Times next day carried his story of the reversal of the 'nuclear free zone' resolution in Washington, Conn., and credited our Anglo-American Committee to Prevent Nuclear War for supplying 5000 free copies of our anti-Freeze' brochure to turn the tide.

Another key figure in that fight, Capt. Craig had been in London a few days before, meeting with the Coalition to map out a U.S. campaign to counter the 'nuke free zones' nonsense. You can help us to help you by contacting the Committee and doing the same in your town.

Press conference coverage was good, N.Y. TV, 2(CBS), 5(Ind); 9(NBC), Cable News Net., WBAF Radio, News World and Nat. Catholic Register followed later by interview between Rep. Carney and Leonard Holihan (Coalition) on C.N.N., CBS Network Evening News, and NY2 (also with 7 minutes live debate between Amy Moritz (Committee) and pro-Freeze rally organiser on 5 p.m. news.

## GRAND CENTRAL STATION

Following day, while peaceniks were using illegal "direct action" at U.N.'s various Embassies (mostly Western!), our group protested by handing out over 15,000 copies of our "Preventing Nuclear War" brochure in Grand Central Station between 7am and 7pm. This education exercise was very well-received by the public and received good media attention with interviews and regular newscasts on TV9, 2 & 5, and on AM Radio 88 (CBS) and other radio stations. Moritz said "It is a discredit to any serious peace progress that the radical "peace" extremists feel it their right to break the rules of the land, to get arrested at public expense in order to seize publicity for a one-sided Freeze that ignores the blatant Soviet build-up." It was also pointed out that over 3/4 million of the Committee's two brochures on preventing nuclear war and chemical atrocities had been requested and sent out all over the U.S. in the previous month.

## SURPRISE!

Some commentators have expressed surprise at the rapid growth of the "peace at any price" lobby in the United States but back in October 81 we were already warning our American friends of the imminent assault by European "peace" leaders.

## INTELLIGENCE BACKGROUND

The Coalition had been gathering information for some time to counter this global Soviet peace offensive. Holihan as a freelance journalist interviewed many of the British Campaign for Nuclear Disarmament (CND) leaders at their National UK Convention in Oct. 81 where 3,000 delegates attended representing 30,000 hardcore members and 300,000 affiliates. Smug with the success of recent massive marches, CND leader Bruce Kent announced this year's published budget in excess of HALF A MILLION POUNDS! Privately, it was stated that a further £50,000 had been raised in the U.S. to export the peace revolution in the form of the 'Freeze' to America, in the Spring of 82 with 30 of their top agit-prop activists visiting 50 cities and 100 universities!


## INVASION

Holihan, Leigh and Kerpel (the third CPS Director) had been visiting the States repeatedly since March 81 warning U.S. Colleagues of the seriousness of the pacifist problem in U.K. which strangely seemed to get little attention in the U.S., in contrast to the well-known movements in Germany and Holland. The message was simple: the grass-roots peace movement was actually numerically and proportionately stronger in the U.K. than in other European countries, and represented a greater strategic threat to the U.S. Nationwide U.K. opinion polls showed 42% favouring unilateral disarmament and 52% against the siting of Cruise missiles! (More background on this later).

## WHITE HOUSE

Meanwhile, we repeatedly warned of the coming 'invasion'. We were well received at The White House, State Department,, Pentagon, various Agencies, private Foundations, pressure groups, lobbies, concerned citizens and by patriots. We began to feel like modern-day Paul Reveres, riding around saying "The Redcoats are coming and let's give them the red carpet treatment they deserve!" While hardhitting, our work in Britain was greatly appreciated but generally the response was "this peace mania is a uniquely U.K. and Euro problem, our campuses and cities are relatively quiet. It can't happen here!" The Coalition commented that "the same thing was said in Britain 2 years ago". It was still being said just 12 months ago, when Kerpel drew up a memo on the scope of the peace phenomenon at grass-roots level. This memo was sent to Mrs. Thatcher and widely circulated. Back in the U.S., one group really listened . . . . The College Republicans.

Holihan addressed a National meeting of State CR Chairmen, and they agreed this peace propaganda could really catch fire on campus, the way it was being presented by the Europeans. We agreed to work together and use their organisation of 15,000 members at 500 schools. Peter Nassetta was hired by the Coalition in Feb 82 to fight the 'Freeze' coming over as the so-called 'Euro Peace Tour' in mid-March. The first problem was intelligence, where and when to "head them off at the pass". The first mailing of the C.R. Report went out to all members primarily as a warning of what was yet to come and how to feed back data if they spotted any 'peace preparation' on campus. Next a more detailed report was sent to 500 CR Leaders on strategy and how to begin organising opposition.



"World's highest paid investment consultant"  
 -Guinness Book of Records... 1981-82 editions  
 Voted "most outstanding Int'l newsletter" by  
 American Economic Council - 1978. Voted  
 "most outstanding Market Letter" -AEC-1979.  
 Voted "Man of the Year" by World Gold  
 Association - 1980.  
 Voted "Outstanding newsltr for profitability of  
 advice" by Hard Money Digest - Jan 1981.  
 Given the "Liberty Award" by The Congress of  
 Freedom.  
 Declared Most Profitable advisory ltr - by  
 Hulbert Financial Digest. March 1981. Voted  
 most prized newsletter of Flint Schoolcadets.



Falklands Fusion,

Single copy: \$25/SwFr47/A\$23/£14  
 HSL 438—May 13, 1982

**J**osé, an Argentinian friend of mine, told me in France the other day: "The world wont be the same since Apr 2" (Falklands invasion). He is 100% correct. And instead of just being affected by the changes, it's important we grasp the significance of the dozens of profound lessons being taught. The Big Schoolteacher in the Sky is still pelting out messages. Here are some of the lessons as I see them:

1. Most Western nations are unprepared for an attack. Defenses are paper-thin & it takes wks to crank up a counter-attack. Military forces have been allowed to shrink in many respects, esp the US & UK navies. 2. Moving a task force to the SoAtlantic leaves NATO's northern flank highly exposed. 3. Suddenly naval power is seen not to be an anachronism after all. A year ago I said navy power is the most important of all. The USSR clearly thinks so too for their fleet is now the world's biggest. 4. Giving up Simonstown naval base because of apartheid is seen now as a foolish bit of liberal self-indulgence. 5. NATO naval rethinking is on. German navy must expand. 6. If a settlement isnt reached soon, the excessively debt-ridden Argentine banking system may crumble &/or trigger a rolling-default thru-out the free world, closing down thousands of banks. The system could easily collapse for the "wrong" reason. 7. Encouragement can be drawn from the unity among EEC & NATO allies in imposing sanctions. 8. Man's nobility is enhanced by Britain's stand, not to defend some barren islands nor even the Falklanders, but rather to defend against unprovoked aggression. I'm sorry José, but Argentina stands condemned in the eyes of the entire free world for seeking to decide a territorial dispute by force. If man is to evolve, disputes must be settled by other means. The unelected junta is taking the world backward in time, to before the Age of Reason. Britain is defending the rights of ALL small nations. As Ferdinand Mount says: "Dictators must be told what they will not be allowed to get away with." The free world owes the UK gratitude for taking on this heavy burden.

9. Observe those who faltered at the first battle wounds. Judge them as no friend. One insipid UK journalist said, as soon as UK blood was spilt: "No flag is worth a single dead sailor." Maybe flags arent, but freedom is. Many such finks want the fruits of liberty but will do nothing to preserve it. Many shallow thinkers favored sending the task force but then didnt want it to be used. 10. Some people, like JimSinclair, worry aloud that UK freezing of assets of private Argentinians as well as govt assets in London (1 of world's 2 main money centers) poses new threats. To the Euro-\$ mkt itself, the biggest of all mkts of any kind. "Fear of asset seizure" is a new worry. It began when Iranian assets were frozen in the US. The effect so far is a change in the spread btwn rates on Euro-\$'s & USTreasury securities (favoring the latter). Repercussions still reverberating. 11. Gold's bear market is so pernicious that neither the setting sail of a war-fleet, nor the sinking of a battle cruiser, nor the destruction of an electronic destroyer, nor air-field bombings, nor shooting down of bombers or fighters had more than a small ripple effect on its price. As I write, gold is only \$14 above its Falklands-invasion-day price. Gold has shrugged off Polish martial law, middleast attacks & now a fullblown naval war. Gold, it wud seem, responds more to economic news than political. And the brand of econ news it marches to is inflation. If disinflationary music is played, gold's bugler sounds retreat.....12. Among benefits from the crisis: the exit of LordCarrington, a well-meaning but wet liberal, starry-eyed dreamer, famed for his giveaway of Rhodesia. Also: a rethink now of the plan to scuttle 7 UK destroyers. Had Galtieri waited a bit, half the UK fleet wud have been converted to razor blades. An ill wind—.

13. Conventional weapons clearly have a major role to play even in a nuclear age. It's a major point I made in a recent Soviet threat article, that war will probably be mostly or entirely conventionally fought. Britain has the bomb, but couldnt use it against Argentina. Buenos

"If thine enemy wrong thee, buy each of his children a drum." CHINESE PROVERB

Aires is trying to build the bomb but even if she had it, there would be no way to employ it against the UK fleet. Thus the West must concentrate more, I've been saying, on building up the conventional side of our defences. The Falklands war is helping to prove a lot of points, for tho it's small it's just as real as any other war. Both the UK&US have been overly relying on nuclear weaponry (eg UK plumping on Trident) to the neglect of all else, esp navy.....14. Irony: how the Poles & Afghans must wish they were Falkland Islanders.....15. Why did the Argies pull this caper? Because they're a 3rd world, 3rd rate, under-respected country with an identity crisis. They're questing for a modern equivalent of the Holy Grail. They're seeking a national identity to unite a deeply divided nation. They're ashamed of being a dictatorship, long for a free election, afraid to complain, frustrated in their inability to become a democracy, but in the face of global criticism over human rights violations find defiance of outsiders their only outlet. The mentally-restricted country is embarked on a test of its manhood. It's pure macho. It's childish, even pathetic, but real. And if Falkland takeover succeeds, Argentina's neighbors, esp Chile, Uruguay, Paraguay & Brazil will mostly likely be the next to experience the aggressive exuberance of a newly confident nation. What Argentina needs from the US is a team of psychiatrists. All of this is easily proven by the Junta's pitiful propaganda. They've jammed the BBC & forbade their people outside publications & feed their people the most dreadful string of lies about how the war is going. No mature country needs to do that, nor does it achieve anything, esp in these days of int'l electronic communication. Galtieri's TV pours out a stream of 4th-grade-level propaganda about hating the British, vowing to kill them all, attacking Mrs Thatcher as a woman, etc. I've seen their TV by satellite. It wud insult the intelligence of an 8yr old in Europe or US. Meanwhile in the highly-civilized UK, the TV debates fine points & no attempt is made to muffle differences of opinion. It is democracy at its best. Britain is behaving like a mature adult, Argentina like a badly spoiled brat. But these days brats have access to deadly missiles. It's shades of threats to come. I've warned before of a day when a group of terrorists get their hands on a missile & hold a city/nation to ransom. Galtieri is holding Falklands to ransom.....16. Crises &/or war brings out the best&worst in people. Always have your radar full-on during such times, to judge behavior. It certainly sorts out the Press. Lefties&pinks stand out like dung amidst diamonds. US journalist Jack Anderson acted without honor by seeking&revealing a taped talk btwn Reagan & Haig re Falklands. In all history such an act wud earn Anderson a trial for treason. Readers of papers who buy the JA column shud urge editors to cancel the column. He who accepts treasonable or other irresponsible behavior is guilty of encouraging more of it.....17. Europe learned, to its surprise, it can speak with 1 voice, & when it does it carries weight. Let's hope they use this pause to rethink East-bloc loans.....18. The halt in all Latin loans is giving banks an excuse to re-examine all 3rd

world loans. A side effect is to force more disinflation. Like a rabbit caught in the headlights of a car, the credit mkt has frozen dead in its tracks. Some wonder if things will ever be the same. Several Euro financial journalists worry aloud about the parallels betwn 1931 Kredit-Anstalt & today. Brian Griffiths (Telegraph) reminds us that Austrian bank failure triggered the Great Depression. KA's failure "was not some isolated event; it was related to falling prices, incompetent monetary policy, currency depreciation, & aggressive use of tariffs against competitor nations." Sound like history repeating? "The Falklands crisis has revealed the tip of a financial&economic iceberg." With Argies deeply in debt, with no hope of repayment, with most of their funds frozen, in UK, a default is not unlikely. If any bank is thot to be vulnerable, a run on the bank often leads to its shutdown. Moodys has just downgraded the longterm debt of 9 large US banks, including BofA, Chase, Mellon, Manfrs Hanover, from AAA to AA. Argies owe \$3.4bil, must pay \$700mil a yr, have only \$300mil in reserves. Peter Montagnon (Financial Times) notes: "it could engender a loss of confidence in the banking system far greater than has occurred in East Europe, whose debt is less than half that of Latin America." I repeat my advice of some ago: keep substantial currency-cash-greenbacks at home (ie \$50,000 to \$250,000). If/when a bank crisis hits it'll probably shut every bank in the world within 2 hours....19. Imaginative Brits suggest many Falkland solutions: 1. there are 2 islands; why not one for UK, one for Argey? (ala No&So Korea, etc). 2. Make Falklands a UN protectorate.....20. Ironically, Argentina had the world's 5th highest per capita income in 1930. In the intervening 50yrs it has gone straight downhill til now it's labeled a developing country. There's an instructive & frightening warning here for all nations. Curiously, there's a resemblance betwn 50yrs of Argey disaster & current policy of UK's Labour party. The 3 main elements are: import restrictions, bigger budget deficits, & more nationalisation. Interventionism & protectionism have been a catastrophe. UK's Michael Foot proclaims his eagerness to start spending other people's money. When we see what typical socialist/labour econ policies have done to Argentina, we see what led over several decades of preliminaries, to a Falklands invasion.....21. A final word. John Allan May says: "Violence in the wrong is a crime. But resistance to wrongdoing is a duty." And dear Benjamin Franklin said: "Those who would give up essential liberty to purchase a little temporary safety deserve neither liberty nor safety." An editorial in UK's Sun: "It's a tragic fact of life that dictators begin to understand sweet reason only when they see the barrel of a gun pointing at their heads."



The steeple leans left—Part 4 (by hslm Roger Mitchell): The current campaign to stop nuclear arms via operation Freeze and Ground Zero, etc, is no surprise. Support by US mainline churches has been a Communist Party goal for many years. On Apr 27, 1979 a group of church elite met at the Union Theological Seminary in NYC to generate plans to attain Soviet superiority. Officials &

panelists included Arnold Johnson, Central Comm & Political Bureau, Communist Party, USA (UTS class of '32) & Kevin Mercadel, Harlem organizer, Communist Party, USA. All colloquium speakers agreed their new united front should combat the strong conservative or reactionary push within the United Methodist Church & that a new ecumenical coalition for the "whole Gospel" should restore all churches to their early "evangelical" movement. This new evangelism should be based on 3 objectives: 1. destruction of US nuclear weapons, an end to US nuclear energy development, & ratification of Salt treaties. 2. opposition to all forms of anti-Communism. 3. destruction of "monopoly capitalism" as embodied in US biz corps. The 3 sponsors for this "great church emphasis" were: Union Theological Seminary, ACTS: Christians for Socialism, & Methodist Federation for Social Action (MFSA). Now let's follow this group into 1982. United Methodist Bishop James Armstrong of Indiana is now president of the Nat'l Council of Churches. He was a mbr of MFSA & can now carry its work to a higher, more effective level.

His effect thru-out the main-line churches, the use of their staffs & their money as dues-paying mbrs of the Nat'l Council of Churches are effective tools that can help the Soviets meet their goals. Your money moves subtly & with ever-increasing force from the pipeline of your offering to those who would enslave us..... PS: WSJ reports (4/23) certain church groups applying pressure at annual meeting of US corps to stop nuclear power & nuclear weapon production... Elsewhere, US Navy Secretary John Lehman, himself a Roman Catholic, correctly observed: "It's immoral to misuse sacred religious office to promulgate extremist political views." This was in reply to Bishop Walter Sullivan of Richmond Virginia saying the arms race is immoral. There are red termites in the church steeples



**View from the bridge:**

(written 2 mos ago) We speak of the 3rd world even the 4th world nations. We speak of the North/South dialogue of countries (ie rich & poor). We speak of the developed & undeveloped nations. We classify the industrial nations apart from non-industrialized. Etc. But

one might also break it down into the civilized & uncivilized nations, tho I realize it's not a term the media will snap up. But we private citizens can say it like it is. It's prob accurate to say we have a civilized group of nations who settle differences around conference tables with never a thot of violence or military action. These countries are into the 21st century of home computers, 50 TV channels per city, robotics, heavy literary/artistic emphasis, etc. They're evolving into higher thought-& awareness beings. Not that any society isn't flawed by some of its members, but loosely speaking, this holds true for this group of nations. Among them are the USA Canada, Europe, Australia, NZ, Japan. Then there is another group of nations who seem non-evolving (or if so, very slowly), who settle differences by the gun, seek confrontation, use force & intimidation. This includes all the so-called socialist nations (socialism is a polite term for govt by decree & big-brother control), communist nations, & all 1-party states. It includes most of black Africa, USSR, China, Cuba, Arab nations. A few countries are in betwn, trying to evolve from the latter to the former but not yet doing it, like India, Brazil,

Pakistan, Indonesia, Turkey. Those of us who live in any of the CN's (civilized nations) get so caught up in our search for new electronic thrills or theatrical encouragement or our 4th resort home, we lose touch with the threat posed to our world by the UN's (uncivilized nations). There are more of them than us. They have resources & money & sophisticated weapons & use terrorism & forceful takeovers to stop us enjoying our way of life (including hijacking, kidnapping, blackmail, organized crime, etc) & will do so increasingly on present trends. Worse, they help each other. USSR has terrorist training camps. What I'm saying is: dont get so enmeshed in your investment trading & world cruises & concert-going that U get the notion our way of life isn't in serious danger. The CN's are on the brink of a golden era of wondrous achievement in space & on earth. The UN's are out to stop us, becuz they have a diff set of priorities/ethics/experiences. And they have nothing to lose, which makes them dangerous. Our side is so busy protecting vested

**THE INTERNATIONAL HARRY SCHULTZ LETTER**

...written in the abbreviated spelling of tomorrow. Published monthly by FERC, Switzerland. 1 yr subscription \$258 (£140, DM610, SwFr490) .6mos rate: \$152, 4yr sub \$1000. Make checks payable to FERC. Mark: "for deposit only" on the reverse side. Publishing house address: FERC, PO Box 141, Clarens, CH-1815, Switzerland. For routine US subscription queries: 203-966-1033. Please allow 4wks to process subscription & address changes. Back issues available at \$10 ea to subscribers, \$20 to others. Multiple back issues \$4 ea for 10 copies to subscribers. Quoting HSL permitted if our name and address given. Editor: Chevalier Harry D Schultz KHC. Cable addresses: NOSMOKING London. Amsterdam: same. HSL Correspondents in: Paris, Amsterdam, London, Manchester, Cape Town, Johannesburg, Brussels, Dublin, Geneva, Rome, Vienna, Hamburg, New York, Los Angeles, San Francisco, Chicago, Miami, Bermuda, Toronto, Vancouver, Sao Paulo, Dallas, Nassau, Caracas, Panama, Guatemala, Buenos Aires, Mexico City, Hong Kong, Tokyo, Sydney, Auckland, Cannes, Monte Carlo, Basel, Zurich, Salisbury, Oslo, Saudi Arabia, Windhoek, S.W. Africa, Madrid, Spain, Rhodesia.

Harry Schultz's private mailing addresses: .170 Sloane Street, London SW1, England. Tel: 235-6855 .PO Box 5414, Amsterdam, Holland. Tel: 2153 12737. Harry Schultz's consultation fee: \$2000 per hour. Special tel quickie svc: 5mins: \$200. 5-10 mins: \$350. 10-15: \$500. Write for retainer rates. Sat & Sun rate: \$3000 per hour. Harry Schultz also provides a weekly advisory svc (specific buy & sell points) by telex on commodities, metals, stocks, at \$150 a wk, called TAS. Details on request. Mailgram avail in US. Harry Schultz is President of the Int'l Investment Letter Assn ...Member Economic Research Council London. Member National Press Club, Washington DC...Board of Advisory, Nat'l Comm for Monetary Reform, New Orleans...Board of Advisory, Nat'l Taxpayers Union, Washington DC...Board of advisory, World Gold Assoc....Member Free Mkt Foundation, Johannesburg, Charter Member Nat'l Assoc of Investment Advisory Publishers... Harry Schultz is author of 15 books on money. Among most recent: "Panics & Crashes", "How the experts buy & sell gold bullion, gold stocks & Gold coins", "Bear Market Investment Strategies."

interest, doing biz with the reds to make a buck, & playing golf, that it fails to recognize the risks, at every level, & we fail to work together. If this complacency continues we will lose all we possess to the savages, just as Rome & its empire was overrun by the barbarians.



Want to help? (yourself, your country, the West) Lastime I listed a number of organizations who (luckily for U&I) are willing to do the hard work of fighting our battles for us. They're in the front trenches facing our enemies. U&I can sit back in comfort & throw money at them. If we're very serious about protecting our freedom, we'll devote some time as well. If we give neither time nor money we're irresponsible. Some of U wrote me asking for the addresses of groups I recom for your donations. Good for U. It's the best investment I know. Freedom is the ultimate dividend. Give large sums. Here's data:

.Congressman LarryMcDonald's WesternGoals, 309-A CameronSt, Alexandria, Va.22314.....SlavicGospelAsso, Box 1122, Wheaton, Illinois 60187.....GeneralGraham's HighFrontier (this is the platform in space; give generously here if U want to live to see 1988), suite 1000, 1010 VermontAve N.W., WashingtonDC20005.....Morton Blackwell's TheLeadershipFoundation, 8001 Braddock Road, Suite 402, Springfield, Va22151, Tel 667-1417....  
 ....PaulWeyrich's CommForSurvivalOfFreeCongress, 721 Second St,N.E. WashingtonDC20002.....TerryDolan's Nat'IPolicyActionComm, 1500 Wilson Blvd, Sutie 513, Arlington, Va 22209.....HowardPhillips' TheConservativeCaucusFoundation, 450 MapleAveEast, Vienna, Virginia22180. (they need \$90,000 this wk; send something)  
 .....CoalitionforPeaceThruSecurity, 27/31 Whitehall, LondonSW1, UK(they create "street theatre" to meet the challenge of the Left).....HeritageFoundation (Ed Feulner), 513 C St N.E.WashingtonDC20002 (inspiring leadership for the Right).....ForeignAffairsResearchInstitute, 27 Whitehall, LondonSW1, UK. Produce excellent white papers. (GeoffreyStewart-Smith).....InstituteFor TheStudyOfConflict, MichaelGoodwin (spoke at one of my seminars), 12GoldenSquare, LondonW1, UK..... NationalStrategyInfoCenter, FrankBarnett, Suite 601, 1730 RhodeIslandAve, NW, Washington DC20036 (brilliant strategy R&D).....RadioFreeEurope, RKO General, 1440Broadway, NY,NY10018.....FreedomHouse, 20W 40thSt, NY,NY10017 (earmark for VladimirBukovsky project).....InstituteForHumanisticResearch,Solhogd-veien 27, 7000 Trondheim, Norway (they're fighting communist subversion in Norway).....This isnt a complete list but it's enuf to get U going. They all deserve your support. Most are tax deductible, tho I never consider that. U'll feel like a million \$'s for every \$1000 check U write to these people. Enclose your profound thanks to them for giving THEIR time & providing vehicles to let U participate. U can write a check & go back to TV. They have to engage the enemy. Thank God for these people.

Banks Stink - Part 11 A staggering story (taking a full



page in NYTimes) appeared 2/18 which could have been headed: Bankstink. The SEC overruled its own enforcement staff & declined to bring a civil action against Citicorp despite staff findings that the bank's top management had for 7yrs violated tax&currency laws. Involved: \$46mil. The SEC's odd announcement that it wud NOT pursue action (it normally announces only actions it is going to take) came almost immediately following its appointment to the case of JohnFedders, reported to be one of the administration's fairhaired boys. The most startling revelation: Citicorp is not guilty because it had never represented to stockholders that its senior management had "honesty&integrity" & thus had no legal duty to disclose breaches of honesty& integrity. To paraphrase: as Citicorp didnt tell the public thru the SEC that some of its management might well be crooked, that makes everything alright. This may lead some to ask: as US federal employees have never had to warrant to the SEC or anyone else as to their honesty&integrity, it is alright for federal, state & local govt officials to be crooked also. U may draw your own conclusions. What this illustrates to me afresh is the power of NY mega-bankers, who control most legislation, most Congressional committees & most govt bureaus, at least those which affect them. In the case above, NY megabankers have re-arranged the law or intent of the law to save their scalps.....Hslm TT writes to complain his Swiss bank sold his silver without authorization from him. He urges hslms send to this column the names &addresses of banks, worldwide, which they recom to others. OK. I'll happily print your recommendations of banks who "give aggressive priority to the client's highest & best interests." If any banks there so be.



I did the almost unheard of, I took a train to & thru France the other wk.It's safer than flying & much more fun. After meetings on the Riviera (where I wrote my first book 20yrs ago) I again boarded the train & skirted the Mediterranean to Italy & to the city like no other — Venice. Us romantic types find it a feast for the eyes & soul, not to mention stomach. Unfortunately I caught cold in Cannes, which turned to flu in Florence & I felt like Death in Venice. But massive doses of vitamin C pulled me thru.....On this trip I took a poll of a group of close friends & found to my amazement that most STILL believe inflation will soon return with a vengeance. They may prove right. But current EVIDENCE is to the contrary. Typically, when a major change of trend occurs, most people refuse to believe current data &/or think it only temporary. My poll increased my fears we are indeed headed for NET deflation, not just disinflation.....By the way, banking in Italy stinks worse than canal water. Poor customer relations, excess labor, limited mechanization & the shortest banking hours (4hrs a day) in the West,make banking a frustrating experience. There's basic antagonism btwn customer &bank, reflecting mutual suspicion&mistrust. Banks dont trust customers, witness the substantial initial deposit often required to open a checking acct, & the

limited number of cks (10 or 20) issued at one time. Customers are irritated by the continual hassles, high fees, poor service, short hours & infrequent or incomprehensible statements. Where possible the banks take advantage of customers. Banks assure themselves of the benefit of float by debiting checks on the date of issue rather than clearance, & many take several days to give credit for deposits even if the operation takes place the day the deposit is made. "Most Italian banks still act as tho they are doing the client a favor" said a US banker working in Italy. Upward changes in interest rates are left to the client's initiative but downward shifts are automatic. There are reportedly thousands of older Italians who are still getting only 2 or 3% interest on their savings simply because no one has ever informed them that rates have increased & that they can ask for an adjustment. Obviously Italian bankstink more than others. But one sees lighter shades of their maltreatment of customers in banks everywhere. Seeing the exaggerated form such behavior takes here only makes banking elsewhere all the more unacceptable.....I had a delightful dinner&talk with hslm Ercole Macchi in Venice, an entrepreneur of the old school. There's no substitute for local R&D like talking with a resident.....There are more TV stations in Milan than in (I think) any city in the world. Almost all are privately run & unregulated.....If U read statistics U get the impression Italy is communist & broke. It is neither. There are lots of reds&pinks&socialists but most are not radical & they arent running the country. Compromise is everywhere; it's Italian genius. And what keeps the country vibrant is the underground or black economy. It's a massive (tho hidden) % of the gnp. Italians correctly view taxes as almost insulting. So they dont pay or they make deals with bureaucrats. The unreported gnp is what keeps the place going. Italians are the most fun-loving, alive, imaginative, creative, stylish people around. But one thing they cant do: make bread.....By the way, the lira has lost aprox 30% of its value vs the US \$ in the past year, which makes travel there for \$-holders fairly reasonable..... While I was in France, they were marking the 1 yr anniversary of Mitterrand as President. Things have gone badly. It's one of the few countries where inflation is rising. The cause is clear to everyone—govt intervention & nationalisation, resulting in a falling franc which kicks up prices. Govt is losing local elections. Even socialist unions dont like their socialist govt; they're protesting. Having choked the goose laying gold eggs, Mitterrand is turning to capitalism to save the goose. He's cutting biz taxes by 10%. He froze corp social security contributions & froze working hours. All very unsocialist....Meanwhile France is



Pictured above is James Blanchard, head of Nat'l CommForMonetaryReform, receiving the Man of the Year award from Harry Schultz (a former recipient himself) who made the presentation in Bermuda recently on behalf of Robert Doorn, president of the World Gold Association based in Switzerland.

preparing for the June economic summit meeting at Versailles. A French official spoke of a "catastrophe" waiting to overtake Western economies.



**The Big Picture:** Expect the Unexpected. My friend Jeane Dixon, often called the world's greatest psychic (who wrote me just the other day, with praise of hsl) says she is worried re Pres. Reagan's health/safety. Says he'll be in great danger in 3rd wk of May & again in mid-July. Even greater danger in the fall, prob in Oct. JD recom triple security to "avert a tragedy for the entire nation." I'd say: the world. I can think of nothing worse than a Reagan tragedy. Try to conceive the chaos&crisis. Pres. Geo Bush! No one knows what wud happen but possible results are: Tri-laterals take over govt, ala Carter. Defense budget slashed. Taxes raised. Govt welfare spending doubles. Socialism returns, conservatism in retreat. Soviet military placated. Haig & all RR people replaced. SALT ratified. Navy cut back. Life expectancy of freedom shrinks to 6mos. Exodus from US & W.Europe. UK isolated. SoAfr cut off again. Inflation returns & becomes runaway. US \$ collapses. Bond mkt disintegrates. Stocks plummet. Commodities rise. Gold rockets. Soviets checkmate US military, demand US surrender....Hope for the best but plan for the worst. This gruesome scenario shud also serve to let U know what Reagan & Co are doing RIGHT. We forget so soon what it was like under Carter. Under Bush it'll be more so. Carter was politically twisted & stupid. Bush is twisted&crafty. Both are owned by Rockefeller. Better have a standby plan. An historian recently said MOST of history's events were unexpected. Remember JFK's assassination? Pearl Harbor? Hiroshima? 1929 crash? Watergate? Cuba

missile crisis? Will U be unprepared, as usual? (next month's hsl: another tale of the unexpected)



**Canadian stk mkt:** After a 90pt rally, the index has fallen to a new low. I said mkt was overbot lastime. My next target is still 1450, then 1350, eventually 1100. SSS: Sell or short or stay out.



**London mkt:** moving up to form a double top. Urge U sell immed. Why? All dble tops are risky but this is worst pos. Each of last 4 mkt peaks in last 13mos has seen daily new highs shrink (now 100 vs 485 a yr ago). The adv/decl line is well below prior peak Mkt is overbot. I smell trouble.



**Australian mkt:** rose to my 510 target area; if can top 510 it'll prob make 540. Only a

break under 460 wud spell the rally end (& 478 as a warning). AllResources index is stronger; think it'll make 420-440 area on this move. Breaking 360 then 330 wud end it. Scalp BHP to 900. Scalps aside, most charts look grim. Mkt also overbot.



World mkts: hsl's WorldIndex now has a double right-shoulder peak; yellow alert...  
Austria: weak; avoid...Belgium: perfect sell cue given lastime; stayout...Denmark: down; avoid...France: rose to my upside tgt & beyond. Into heavy overhead supply; sell...Germany: correcting, as forecast; tgt prob 700. Must break 700 or top 730 to show direction...  
Holland: rally may inch higher but is near max potential...HongKong: rally now overextended; may creep to 1400 but is into sell zone...Italy: down. Gamblers can buy btwn 182&192 w/stop at 180. Pirelli: stop at 2400...  
Japan: overbot & a/d is bearish; look for sideways move then lower...Mexico: in endless vertical freefall; avoid...NewZealand: biggest 1day fall since 1974; sell advice here validated; tech rally due...Norway: forecast of rally to 120 was fulfilled; sell...Singapore: rally now badly overextended; exit...SoAfrica: looks sideways but mediumterm is down; tgt still 540. Traders can scalp few points on tech rally...Spain: weak; avoid...Sweden: tech rally; tgt 530 later...Switzerland: down; index trapped btwn 263 & 242, whichever way it breaks will be critical; a/d line weak. Bank stks look lower.



Metals/currencies/commodities/futures: New futures mkts are opening in HongKong & Singapore & London. Australia's futures mkt is attracting heavy volume. So is options mkt in Amsterdam. The future is in futures. Stock are clearly taking 2nd place....Lots of news headlines about commod&financials: big copper strike; Reagan oks sugur quotas; US\$ plummets in expectation of interest rate drop...But U can skip the papers & just read the charts; it's all there, together with the RIGHT conclusions....Non-event of the month: Falklands crisis failed to push commod index higher....  
 Now to mkts:

Currencies: Canadian-\$: U R out.Go short in Sept on 2day close below 80.70 for target 79.80-79.00.Hedge(ie equally long) on 2dy cl over 81.30 & sell longs near 82& rehedge over 82.20.Revert to shortside below 81...D-Mark: U R short, having sold longs.June tgt:42 then 41. Hedge on 2dy cl over 44 & sell longs btwn 45&45½.Re-hedge over 45½.Revert to shortside below 43½...£'s: U R long;take profits today.If not pos,hedge&go net short Sept on 2dy cl below 1.8150.Go naked short on 2dy cl under 1.79 for tgt 1.75. Rehedge&go net long over 1.8380 for tgt 1.86...SwissFr: U R out. Go short Sept on 2dy cl below 54.20 for tgt 52.20-52.60. Hedge&go net long on 2dy cl over 55 for tgt 56-56½. Hedge over 56½. Revert to shortside below 54.20....Yen: U R out with profits. Go short Sept on 2dy cl below 43.80 for tgt 42.30-42.00. Hedge on 2dy cl over 44.30 & sell longs btwn 45&45½. Rehedge over 46. Revert shortside below

43.80...Guilder/FrenchFr/Aus-\$: U R out with profits. Stay out.

Interest paper: T-bills: U R long for Sept tgt 89. Sell nr 88 if profits threatened. Rebuy over 89 for tgt 90. Go short on 2dy cl under 87.40 for tgt 86. Reshort below 85.70; hedge&go net long over 88 for tgt 89...  
T-bonds: Due to typing error lastime U may be short, having sold longs at June 64, or U may be long for tgt 66. All be net long if Sept over 64 for tgt 66. All go naked long on 2dy cl over 66.08 for Sept tgt 68-68.24, then 70. Hedge on 2dy cl below 63.08 & cover shorts nr 62. Go naked short below 62.

Metals: Copper: U R short for July tgt 69-68. Hedge &go net long on 2dy cl over 75.60 & sell longs btwn 78 &80. Rehedge over 80. Revert to shortside below 75½..  
Gold: basis futures: U R short for June tgt 320. Hedge on 2dy cl over 350 & sell longs btwn 365&375; rehedge over 375. Also reshort in Aug on 2dy cl below 330 for tgt 305-300, then 285. Hedge on 2dy cl over Aug 350 & sell longs nr 375; revert to shortside below 350. Basis fixings: U R short for tgt 320 then 310 then 280. Hedge on 2fixes over 340 & sell longs nr 360. Rehedge over 360. Revert to shortside below 340...Platinum: U R short again having taken profits at July tgt 330. Tgts: 305 then 280. Hedge on 2dy cl over 330&sell longs btwn 350&360. Revert to shortside below 330...Silver: most are out. Hi-risk gamblers are short for July tgt 6½; take profits instantly if threatened. Hi-risk traders take new short positions on 2dy cl under 6½ for tgt 6 then 5½: hedge on 2dy cl over 6½.

Soft comods: Coffee: U R out. Go short on 2dy July cl below 120 for tgt 110; use trailing stop for tgt 100. Hedge&go net long on 2dy cl over 131 & sell longs nr 140. Go naked long on 2dy cl over 140 for tgt 150; revert to shortside below 140...Cotton: U R hedged. Sell longs btwn July 71&72. Shortside tgt is 67 to 66. Re-hedge on 2dy cl over 72. Revert to shortside below 67.80 for tgt 66...Cattle: U R out having gone long in Aug & taken profits at tgt. Rebuy on 2dy cl over 67 for tgt 72 then 75. Hedge on 2dy cl below 65&cover shorts btwn 63&64. Rehedge&go net short below 62.60. Revert to longside over 65...Lumber: U R out with profits. Go short on 2dy July cl below 135 for tgt 120 then 110. Hedge on 2dy cl over 142 & go naked long on 3dy cl over 152 for tgt 160-162; revert to shortside below 142...PorkBellies: U R out with vast profits as both tgts achieved. Rebuy on 2dy July cl over 90 for tgt 100 then 105. Hedge on 2dy cl below 85 & cover shorts nr 80. Rehedge below 78. Revert to longside over 90...  
SoybeanMeal: U R short having sold longs at tgt. Roll-over shorts to July; tgt: 183-185. Hedge on 2dy cl over 196&go net long on 2dy cl over 200 for tgt 210-215. Revert to shortside below 196...Sugar: U R out with profits as tgt achieved. Reshort in July on 2dy cl below 8½ for tgt 7½ then 6½ to 6. Hedge on 2dy cl over 9½ & sell longs btwn 10&10½ & add shorts. Rehedge over 10½...Wheat: U R short for July tgt 335-345. Hedge&go net long on 2dy cl over 380 for tgt 395-400; revert to naked short on 2dy cl below 380.



If one must conjure up explanations,



reasons for price movements in every mkt, it's prob reasonable to presume that when the Falklands dispute is finally seen to be moving toward settlement, the gold price will sink anew. Gold's inability to rise even over 370 has been a great disappointment to bulls. Especially in the face of a British Armada. The real reason for gold's dismal performance is clearly, in my view, that inflation is dying, & at an accelerating rate. Illogically, investors cheerfully accepted that escalating inflation made gold&silver&land investments very clever, in the 70's—but when inflation reverses they wont accept that their buying formula must be reversed.....Everytime there is a \$50 rally in gold or the stk mkt, it's like April showers bringing on May flowers—ie a plethora of pretty predictions of a new bull mkt. One such advisor (Green) uses odd logic. He cites the bullishness of 3 bullion dealers as more evidence of a bull case. Then he says he's super bullish on silver & says (wait for it) "the lower the price of silver the more bullish we become." That can be an expensive philosophy. Then James Capel & Co of London have been trying to talk the price up for some wks & said on 5/6 the 'moment of truth' had arrived. They cited the rally uptrend in the FT goldshare index & the bullion price as lines that had to hold. On 5/6 they held. By 5/10 they had both broken. So had similar uptrend lines in the Canadian gold mine index & the SoAfr Rand DailyMail goldmine index. Likewise the ratio of DJIA vs gold broke to a new low, indicating stks were more attractive than gold. But all of this shud not be discouraging to gold followers; it simply tells U which SIDE of the mkt to trade just now (ie the short-side). Money made from shorting is just as good as money from the longside. And it wont be long (in terms of both points&time) before I'll be urging U move to the buy side. But dont jump the gun (see futures section above for buy/sell gold guidelines).....SamuelMontague estimates the USSR sold 280tons of gold in 1981. Soviet political/economic/weather problems havent improved, so sales will probably continue at the same level in 1982. With gold's price much lower now, it'll require more tons to net the same revenue. By the way, 1981 divestment of gold by private investors is estimated at 250tons. Increased gold purchases occurred in the far&middleast & from jewelry trade. Japan is a notable new gold growth area. Goldcoin sales have dropped almost to zero in UK due to the unfortunate application of 15% VATax. The 5% Swisntax is also hurting gold sales, bullion&coin.....Friend RichardRussell(DowTheoryLetters) notes gold has lost 62% of its entire rise from \$35 to \$850, calls it a "harbinger of deflation." He takes a goldprice chart from 1976 & marks the uptrend from \$103 as an "inflation trend" & marks the downtrend from 850 to date as a "deflation trend". The latter trend has broken the former's uptrend. Richard calls it an early signal pointing to the coming "panic for cash" he has been warning about for 2yrs.....JulianBaring at JamesCapel sez the bond mkt upmove seems well enuf established now to say it's no flash in the pan. The 10% rise is equal, sez he, to gold rising from \$35 to \$38.50 in the early 1970's & the bond game may now be as good

as was the gold game. "The fact most cant believe it doesnt mean it wont happen. We remember forecasts in 1970 that gold would be \$80 by 1980 were thot to be mad.".....Friend HowardSegermark says: if Reagan turns to the gold standard we could have a 5% prime rate within 1 yr. He wud expect a 6% growth rate, unemployment to drop by 3%ge pts. S&L's wud be solvent & housing wud boom. HS foresees a Sunday eve this summer when RR will go on TV & announce that starting Monday the US is back on the gold standard. Sez if RR doesnt do this, Rep Jack Kemp will bid for the 1984 Presidency with gold as his platform. It's a golden opportunity.



The Soviet Threat: Congrats to Reagan&Co for initiating a 7yr \$4.2bil civil defense program. It's been a glaring defect in US preparation, as GenGeoKeegan has been preaching for years. At last something's being done.....The people who brot the world Yellow Rain(the USSR) have been exposed by the USStateDept with overwhelming evidence.Soviets have killed thousands in SoAsia&Afghan with chemical warfare agents. US chemical stockpile is a mere 42,000tons (& old). Soviet stockpile: 300,000tons. Small wonder they wont negotiate on destruction of stocks.....Andropov will apparently replace Brezhnev, eventually, as we predicted in our Checkmate-MrPresident script 2yrs ago. Not that it matters much: the Politburo is just a joint dictator club. No ideology is involved, only privilege, self interest&power...WSJ rightly says: "The future of the Soviet economic system depends on access to the Western banking system. Instead of encouraging banks to roll-over debt in the wake of Poland, the govt ought to make clear that this access depends on a modicum of Soviet good behavior." WSJ also notes the USSR created a "transferable ruble" whereby they conjured a favorable exchange rate with Comecon nations, encouraged them to borrow heavily in the West to buy machinery&raw materials,& thus bought supplies from the West on the cuff. In short,sez WSJ, the economies of EastEurope are organized to support the USSR economy, which in turn is organized to support its military machine. Into this process Western banks have poured \$60bil over 10yrs. Projections call for a like amount within 2yrs. We created the Soviet war machine & continue to support&expand it. Even the NYTimes sees this now. A recent NYT editorial speaks of the "weapon of capital", urges RR threaten a Polish default. They conclude: "Then when Poles & Soviets meet they wud really have something to complain about: those capitalist democracies, daring to fight with capital." (there's a mole at NYT, among those wets, wide-eyed liberals & juveniles).....Aberdeen University CentreForDefenceStudies, run by David Greenwood, has just done a study on grain as a US weapon which he says "Reagan wud be foolish to ignore".....JeaneDixon foresees Castro infiltrating Mexico. A Latin friend tells me "The US is a sandwich, squeezed btwn a Canada going socialist & Mexico which will be taken over by Soviet-supplied Latin subversives. Mexicans are being told today that the US took their land, that they shud get back: Calif,Texas & Arizona....

Equally & more urgently threatening is the USSR's Cuba card. Soviets warn they may put nuclear arms in Cuba. They may be there already. Soviet MIG23's in Cuba can already reach all of Florida. If an SS20 missile is Cuba-based, its range wud include every inch of continental USA, most of Canada & 1/2 Latin America. Some Europeans who fled to the US are wondering if they jumped from the frying pan into the fire.....I conclude with some good news. I met with Andy Messing this wk. He's executive director of the Conservative Caucus & a much-decorated major in the US Special Forces Reserve. He's working with General John Singlaub to organize "the 3rd option." He reckons we'll not have nuclear war or even conventional war but more of what's been going on for 2 decades, without benefit of a name or recognition—ie irregular warfare, unconventional war, limited actions & reactions, or low intensity war. Herein, groups of a dozen highly-trained men can do the work of an army but without risk of escalation or high casualties. The Israeli raid on Entebbe, the illfated helicopter rescue attempt in Iran are dramatic examples, but most such effort is low profile. The Soviets have 200,000 men round the world in such undercover activity (Spetsnaz). The US has the men but not the organization. Costwise, we could "win the war" for less than the cost of one B-1 bomber. Our 2 best hopes of surviving against the USSR are the High Frontier project run by Gen Daniel Graham, so we're safe from space control, & this Low Frontier project being encouraged by the Conservative Caucus. I wud hope U'll contribute to both (addresses listed earlier) for the sake of your chances to survive intact & free.

PS: A tiny (1") story on a back page of the Herald Tribune on 3/11 sums up what the East/West conflict comes down to. It's a commonplace story. It simply says 2 East German soldiers in full battle dress but without their weapons fled across the mined border strip near Goettingen into West Germany. A 28 word story, without explanation or political comment, which says everything.



**US stock mkt:** Mkt observers are starting to fall into 2 camps just now. The NBM's & the WFB's. Means: New Bull Mkt & Waiting For Bottom. A NBM mbr is Robert Gross who sez: "7 wks ago a calf was born & bear died." Dudley Bohlen is a WFB & sez: "In my years of following the mkt I've never seen a supposed bottom that looked more like a top!" Yet both RG & DB publish technical ltrs, full of ONLY interpretations of indicators. At the same time my old pal, Richard Russell was saying: "I'd estimate only about 30 people in this biz know how to read mkts, & of that 30 maybe 10 believe, really believe, the message of the mkt." That was approx my punch line at NCMR Bermuda conference when I said: "mkts signal their turns very clearly; it's we who dont want to believe them, for we instinctively resist change, in all areas of life." And that's why good technicians can go wrong, like everyone else..... For those who follow large block trades as an index, it's interesting that such buying rose to a remarkable 49% (ie overbot!) when DJIA reached its low in Mar. At normal & true bottoms LBI moves into deep oversold territory, about 20%. NYSE mbrs were meantime selling as DJIA fell, not building up an inventory on the way down, to then sell on the way up. The rise since Mar has been sharp & without a base, as all good bear mkt rallies shud be. However, having called the turn for this rally in these pages, I'm not going to spoil my record by saying it's over until the evidence is very clear. Chartwise I'd like to see DJIA rise over the 875 supply area to indicate a new leg up. Conversely, a fall under 850 wud be negative. In NYSE index terms, those same points wud be 69 1/2 & 66 1/2. Via DJT: 362 & 338. But much more important is the daily count of stks hitting new highs & lows. This is the unfoolable index. The high count must exceed 124 to confirm the index up moves listed above. Failure of that & a rise in

Stocks	when recom	price recom	price now	+/-	recom	Mental stoploss
<b>Longs:</b>						
Nordson	+		20%			
Unimation	+		15%			
WashSciInstrmts	434	(50)	7.7			
PrabRobots	435	19 1/4	16%			
CincinMilacron	437	25 3/4	23 3/4			
Composite total		25	84.125	-11.4%		
AmExpress	437	hdg@ 49.6	49.2	-1.0%		47.0
Avon	437	hdg @ 26	25.7	-0.43%		24.4
JPMorgan	437	hdg@ 56.6	53.6	-5.23%		51.4
Avg Loss on Longs: -7.1%						
<b>Shorts:</b>						
ASA(NYSE)	429	47 1/2	31.1	+34.5%		—
AmerExp(NYSE)	434	44	49.2	-11.9%		51.0
AmerStd(NYSE)	435	25 1/2	28	-9.8%		28.2
AMP(NYSE)	435	51	56.4	-10.8%		60.0
Asarco(NYSE)	425	35.7	20.2	+43%		25.0
Avon(NYSE)	431	33	25.7	+21.6%		27.0
JPMorgan(NYSE)	435	55	53.6	+2.3%		59.0
Pittston(NYSE)	435	22	18	+18.2%		20.4
Revlon(NYSE)	435	32	—	—	covered@28.7	
Average profit on shorts: +10.9%.						
Investment table: mkt strength & hedging operations squashed our profits but the more remarkable fact is that we escaped without getting into trouble during a period when the mkt turned, always a tricky time for fixed portfolios of any kind. Starting nexttime I'm going to start turning this box into longterm postions & leave the flexible positions for the US mkt section.						

daily lows to say 30-40, with highs shrunk to 12 or so, wud be a cannonball sell signal. Loosely speaking, as long as highs stay over lows & the advance/decline line keeps inching up, or even moving sideways, U can buy & hold & buy some more. When the signals (above) turn red, sell & sell short. It's not that complicated. U just have to BELIEVE what the mkt is saying..... I think the words bull & bear have caused more losses than any others. People get emotional about having declared themselves a bull or bear. Far better to say: "I'm a chameleon—I change my color/spots to match my environment."..... Hsl's new secret-formula Tri-mkt index is +160. That's strongly bullish; U shud be more long than short..... I've run out of space, as I misfigured this new larger type size. No room for stk groups! Here's a quick skim of stks: AbbotLabs: U R hedged; go net long. AmericanAirlines: sell at 16 1/4, stop under 13 1/2. Amex: sell at 53, stop at 47. CorningGlass: U R hedged sell longs at 51. Handy&Harmon: U R short; hedge on 2dy cl over 16 1/2. EFHutton: if short, hedge on 2dy cl over 32. MerrillLynch: short btwn 30&34. NWIndus: U R short; hedge on 2dy cl over 72. Pittston: U R short; hedge on 2 dy cl over 20 & sell at 24. Varian: U R long at 29, now 35; stop at 33 1/2. ZeroCorp(22 1/4): buy; stop at 21. Expanded stk section nexttime. Goodluck.



**Potpourri:** Per your requests, we're using a bigger type size this time (except on pg 8). Hope U like.. ..... I'm told the travel-package-deal arranged for Americans going to the Dalco seminar in Sydney on Oct 24, where I'll be speaking in person, is such that the Hilton Hotel for 7 nites, the flight, & the seminar, cost only \$400 more than the flight wud cost alone. It's a great bargain & a chance to see what Australia is all about. Write Dalco, 261 George St, 2nd Floor, Sydney, NSW 2000, Australia. Seminar: \$499 singles, \$699 couples..... Next hsl mailed: June 15/16..... Dont fret if U get an hsl renewal notice reminder after U've paid. They're sent by computer which also must cope with time lag to Switzerland..... Advice-of-the-month: panic now, avoid the rush..... If colonialism is an issue in the Falklands, it's Argentina who is at fault. It is THEY who are seeking to subjugate & colonize an indigenous, non-Argentinian population..... Also what the Argentinians need is to gain full sovereignty rights over their own lives WITHIN Argentina..... If U are cheating me, by regularly reading a borrowed or photocopied HSL please re-establish your moral integrity with a check. U deserve your own HSL, direct. Yours in honesty of thought & deed. Harry Schultz



## OUR POSTER IN CONGRESS

We adopted a U.K. Youth for Multilateral Disarmament flyer saying "Help The Soviets, Support a U.S. Nuclear Freeze" with a picture of KGB troops goosestepping in Red Square, and had it blown up into a 17" x 22" poster. (This poster infuriated the Left, who seem to have no sense of humour!) As well as being distributed to colleges nationwide, it was also distributed in Capitol Hill and was reprinted in the Washington Inquirer. The poster became so well known that in a speech supporting a nuclear Freeze, Congressman Edward J. Markey (MA) called the poster an "affront to their integrity". (See Congressional Record, Mar. 30 82.)

We also wrote and printed a one page flyer entitled "Peace at What Price" attacking the European pacifists for sympathising with the Soviet Union. This was distributed at their speaking engagements along with a brochure on "yellow rain" provided by Amy Moritz and the National Center for Public Policy Research. This brochure on chemical warfare proved to be an effective counter offensive weapon.

We were also able to provide local organisers with tough and embarrassing questions for the Europeans. In particular, questions regarding why CND received discount advertising from The Morning Star (communist UK newspaper), and why 20% of CND executive committee turned out to be Communists and how some enjoyed expenses-paid vacations to Moscow. All proved to be most embarrassing.

Feedback of our nationwide preparation spread back to British CND and they actually scaled down this tour to a smaller 'exploratory' role. 3 weeks before their arrival we finally got the inside information from UK and confirmed it with special data in the US on which radical groups were co-operating with them. There would be 12 troublemakers led by UK CND leader Kent visiting 37 cities. In those cities every TV, Newspaper and Radio Station was targeted and received phone calls and Coalition press packs of articles that had appeared in national UK newspapers pointing out communist links to CND. The Coalition had helped research most of these articles including the UK Readers Digest exposé which has now upon urging from us, been reprinted in US to be read by over 20 million.

## COALITION TAKES ON PHILLY.

Of the 37 cities, we were able to make personal CR contacts in 29 of them, and helped organise counter demos and action in 21 of them. For example Holihan and Leigh caught up with Kent in Pittsburg, and he almost fell off the stage in surprise and shock at our questions. Simply by being there and talking to media we kept him off TV. Next day in Philadelphia, Kent with the backing of the local Archbishop, Chief of Police, Town Council and Head Rabbi was on a platform in Independence Mall, by the Liberty Bell with a command performance of 15,000 people bussed in from 3 states. (The Archbishop's office had actually warned our local CRs to have nothing to do with those 2 foreign agitators, so the CRs left town!). We finally found 15 other brave and true people and counter demonstrated, getting one third of local TV coverage on all 3 stations, and an excellent interview in local papers to show the other side of the issue.

At Atlanta, Georgia, 30 of our protestors at Georgia Tech. distributed literature and carried signs including "KGB go home" and received good media coverage.

In Denver, Colorado, on a peace radio call-in show, 60% of questions were from our people. CND-er Anna Gringor did not know how to respond to communist allegations saying she had "never read the Morning Star paper" (although it is one of CND's biggest supporters), and "why should anyone over here

bother to hear of it anyway".

In Eugene, Oregon, without any enticement, Gringor complained of a vicious "smear campaign of CND by the Coalition". After questioning on the Morning Star issue again she went into a tirade for several minutes and lost media support.

## HAMMER & SICKLE

Kent was also having his problems. In Boston after continuous pressure everywhere he went, he became infuriated by the Coalition's CND = Communist, Neutralist, Defeatist brochure, showing the broken cross of peace symbol turning into the hammer and sickle, being distributed. He cornered our Students for Peace & Security organiser Ian Ballon, and started a shouting fist-waving match. Unbeknown to Kent, he was being filmed and that evening on local TV it showed pacifist leader losing his cool, and the discontent of students for his brand of peace-mongering.

Kent actually left the tour early then, returning to Britain before the final press conference in Washington. We learned of his rapid departure and when he picked up his tickets at the Airport as a final parting shot across his stern, there was a note inside saying "Dear Brucie - hope you enjoyed your US tour, look forward to seeing you back in England—Eddie and Lenny". He must have enjoyed it, because next day in the red rag Morning Star, Kent dutifully reported that "Peace is a major issue in the US now, and that he had heard no serious critical voice against the call for a genuine (one-sided) freeze, but that his meeting had been trailed by Edward Leigh and others from Britain! Kent's exasperated photo clearly showed our Communist, Neutralist, Defeatist brochure on his desk!

In Washington D.C. in the Capitol Building (thanks to Congressman Markey, (MA)), the grand finale press conference was a disaster. Three quarters of the questions were our people, bone fide press and, after queries about where are Soviet peace movements and what is the real chance for a Soviet Freeze, Congressman Markey left early, embarrassed to be handed the Coalition's now famous press pack. Then a German peacenik amused everyone by "reminding" us that it really was the Americans who invented and used chemicals in World War 1, not the Germans! The Conference was cut short and coverage was very poor.

## CHRISTIAN MORALITY?

Meanwhile Leigh was given a 15 minute interview with Pat Robertson of the 700 Club on the Christian Broadcasting Network. This was shown in over 100 cities and is part of our special project to alert Christians and other religious people to avoid the false teachings of peace-preaching that is often the wolf lurking in the lambskin of pseudo-morality. More on this next issue.

We were invited back to the White House and met a number of people on the President's staff. Our predictions had unfortunately come true about the Freeze 'spontaneously' springing from wherever the Euro-peace tour had passed and was now a major issue. We were tired yet pleased with our accomplishments as the only initial organised resistance to the Freeze. Lack of time and funds had prevented us from doing more. In the areas where we did not counter the Euro-tour, they were generally well-received. More in the next issue about fighting the Freeze.

## AMERICAN 'FRIENDS OF THE FALKLANDS'

The Falklands crisis now loomed before us, and we thought it was vital to show solidarity with Britain as U.S.'s greatest ally and condemnation of the aggressor. Holihan and Leigh with their CR friends staged the first demonstration on the steps of

Argentine Embassy. Leigh was interviewed before we were moved on by the Police, and that was shown on BBC TV News at 9 pm that evening back in Britain. When we returned to England, we discovered that the demo was an important signal of US public support in an atmosphere of otherwise deteriorating feeling for America in the UK not long before the President's visit. Holihan flew back and organised 4 more demos, and an American Friends of the Falklands Committee, (recognised by UK Ambassador) for a whole campaign, with Congress finally doing its bit for the Anglo-American alliance (fascinating story with behind-the-scenes in Washington, next issue.).

## COALITION ROUND UP : BACK IN ENGLAND

Kent & Co were busy with the anti-nuclear, anti-NATO, anti-Reagan, anti-Falkland campaign and joined forces under extremists's Tony Benn's red banner for mass march May 23rd past Parliament, Number 10 (and the Coalition's office 27-31 Whitehall). We warned the media, and they and masses of extra police were there. As the march, 4,000 strong, came by our offices, a loud trumpet blast and amplified cries of "Shame!" were heard, and every one looked up to our building. The National Anthem continued to swell up out of our four 3' diameter 600 amp speakers, now visible on the roof and all but drowning out the radical speeches in Trafalgar Square. Union Jacks were flung out and banners unfurled with slogans "Ban the Benn", "Traitors", "Communists, Neutralists, Defeatists" etc. As strains of Rule Britannia followed, the crowd was incensed at this very British form of humorous insults, and hurled back abuse and threats. The media got a good look at another side of the 'pacifists'. When a British flag was accidentally dropped, it was shredded by the Socialist crowd. In the commotion, police fearing the worst, stormed over the rooftops. Holihan and 7 others were arrested, led away but eventually released uncharged with a wink and a nod.

## HYDE PARK RALLY

June 6, 1982. CND claimed they had quarter of a million people protesting against the bomb. Police estimated the numbers to be not more than 115,000, well below last year's level. Perhaps the CND's hysterical anti-Falklands Task Force campaign has lost them some support.

During the day, the Coalition flew three white 20-foot barrage balloons 100 ft above the crowds representing symbolically the 3 White Feathers traditionally shown to pacifists and cowards unwilling to defend their country's freedom.

As "red" Ken Livingston, leader of Labour's Greater London Council, led the march from County Hall, he could not avoid seeing a large balloon flying above the Thames proclaiming in 6 ft letters "Help the Kremlin - Support a Nuclear Free London" later shown on BBC Television News. (HSL439!)

For an hour and a half, as the marchers went up Whitehall, they were greeted with patriotic American and British music played from the Coalition's HQ. Worse, they were greeted by a voice with an exaggerated American Southern accent saying "We are just as pleased as punch you all are down there marching your hearts out in support of Mrs. Thatcher, and to show your welcome to The President. We can see you all know that NATO's nuclear deterrent has kept the peace and you all haven't been conned by the communist propaganda. We want you to know that whatever you feel for us, we feel for you only twice as much." A ballbon was flown saving "Welcome President Reagan - Britannia Rules O.K. - Support Peace Through Security", and was still flying when the President himself drove up Whitehall 2 days later.

From Hyde Park, the marchers could see yet another

large balloon floating above Park Lane with the messages "KGB♥CND" and "CND=Communists, Neutralists, Defeatist", An antique open-topped bus manned with students and British and American flags and slogans "Reagan is our friend" made the rounds of the park perimeter.

## ACTION TO COUNTER THE ANTI-REAGAN EFFORT

The Left centred their attack on the U.S. President personally and were whipping up anti-Reagan hysteria with violent direct action for his visit. The Coalition had advised on this in Washington at The White House, and in the U.S. Embassy in London. Leigh suggested a petition of welcome as a way to reflect the majority in welcoming the President and to balance the angry crowd's media attention out in the street. The Coalition idea was taken up as a special project through a new committee "Peace Through Freedom". Members are: Air Marshal Sir Neil Cameron, President of the British Atlantic Committee, General Sir Harry Tuzo, President of the Royal United Services Institute, Norris McWhirter, Vice-Chairman of the Freedom Association, Alan Lee Williams, Chairman of the English-Speaking Union, Winston Churchill, MP, Chairman of the Conservative Party's Campaign for Peace and Multilateral Disarmament, and Edward Leigh of CPS. In welcoming the President, the petition supported the "Zero Option" and condemned the deployment by the Soviet Union of 300 SS-20 missiles against the cities of Western Europe. It was sent out all over the country with the co-operation of the Conservative Party, and within a few weeks 18,000 were returned, and handed to the American Minister after a 24hr vigil by British Atlantic Youth outside the American Embassy, to underline the reality that NATO is the foremost peace organisation having given Europe the longest period of peace she has ever enjoyed.

## STOP PRESS : CND LAUNCHES FIRST STRIKE

When CND held their Glastonbury Pop Festival for 30,000 devotees, a lone plane, hired by the Coalition buzzed the demonstration repeatedly for an hour trailing a fifty foot long banner proclaiming the message "Help the Soviets - Support CND". The reaction of the peaceniks? - first to draw up giant obscene messages on the ground along the lines of "F. . . Off!", not very polite, and then to launch a rockets at the plane!

*(to be continued next issue!)*

## NEXT TIME : PEACE STUDIES HIT SCHOOLS

---

Extracts from letters received by members of the Coalition on its work and objectives:

*"I wish every success to your efforts as I consider this to be a matter vital to our security and the preservation of peace."*

Margaret Thatcher, The Prime Minister

*"The Prime Minister was very pleased to hear of your endeavours and those of your colleagues during your recent visit to the United States. . . . It is essential that the case for nuclear deterrence is put clearly to the general public in Britain. It is for this reason that the Prime Minister very much hopes that you will continue your work here, and in the United States, on this issue vital to our national security"*

Derek Howe, Political Secretary, 10 Downing Street

---

*The Coalition relies entirely upon donations from concerned people. We have access to tax-exempt status for our US work.*

*The annual subscription rate for this Newsletter is US\$50.00 or UK£25.00 for 6 issues. Any donation above this sum automatically entitled you to a free annual subscription. Please send your cheque or money order, crossed and made payable to "The Coalition for Peace Through Security" to either our Washington or London Office, together with your name and address.*

---

*P.S. Warm thanks to Harry Schultz, HSL & Friends*

# THE COALITION FOR PEACE THROUGH SECURITY

27/31 Whitehall, London SW1A 2BX

Tel: 01-839 3951 Telex: 22861 Metmak G

FALKLAND ISLANDS DEMONSTRATION, FLEET STREET, 16 MAY 1982



Statement by Edward Leigh, Director of the Coalition for Peace Through Security, distributed as the "peace" marchers filed by.

This ill-assorted collection of left-wing Labour activists, CND members and supporters of the Socialist Workers' Party meandering down Fleet Street today is totally unrepresentative of British popular opinion. Only last weekend, some of the same people provoked widespread disapproval when they burned the British flag in the course of an attack on a counter-demonstration in Hyde Park.

Their slogans and actions are insulting to the nation and are stabbing its Servicemen in the back.

The polls clearly show that the vast majority of Britons are totally opposed to any surrender to Argentinian aggression.

From the start of the Falklands crisis, Tony Benn and the CND opposed the sending of the Task Force. Had their views prevailed, it would rightly have been assumed that this country had lost all will to resist blackmail and blatant aggression.

This could only have amounted to a severe blow to world perception of our ability to defend our freedom closer to home.

It is not surprising that the CND takes this line. For months the CND has been telling the world that the British pacifist movement was so strong that it would soon sweep away the Government's Defence policies based on the principle of deterrence.

No doubt, all these sentiments have been faithfully reported to potential aggressors abroad - just as the activities of the Peace Pledge Union and other appeasers were faithfully relayed to Hitler in the 1930s.

There is every likelihood that, by sending out such signals to the world, the CND made aggression against British territory far more likely than less.

No doubt, the Argentinian media - hardly noted for their objectivity - will seize upon this demonstration as "proof" that the British people believe Fleet Street to be biased for supporting the Government's firm policy.

Fleet Street's coverage has not been biased. It has been fair and objective. It has balanced natural patriotism with a desire to print the whole truth, including the other side's case.

This demonstration is a disgrace.

PRESS CONTACT: EDWARD LEIGH, TEL.: 839-3951 (OFFICE)

01-876-4271. (HOME)



# Falkland Islands

I'M  
BACKING  
BRITAIN!

FALKLAND ISLANDS CAMPAIGN 1982

Submitted by Jim Holeman  
Chmn. U.S. Friends of the Falklands  
(H) (703) 820-9219 Washington, D.  
(O) (202) 737-3145

## Right and Wrong: There is No Middle Ground

Consider for a moment the following. Your family has dwelled for better than 100 years in a remote corner of the globe, enjoying all the blessings of peace and prosperity that only such an isolated, and self-contained existence can provide. You do not wish to participate in the machinations of "Real Politik". You have no desire to present any threat to you neighbors, the nearest of which is a foreign military regime some 400 nautical miles away.

Your society is that of an industrious, hard working people. You look after your own. You do not ask for foreign aid grants or long term low interest credits to keep your country solvent. In short, your society asks nothing of the "global community". Nothing, that is, save to be left alone.

Then imagine this. Your land is assaulted in a massive blitzkrieg by a military force four times the size of your community's entire population. The flag of your fathers is struck. The money that you have toiled so diligently to save has been declared worthless by the invader. You are placed under House Arrest and forbidden by the new "authorities" to express even the slightest measure of displeasure in the face of these extraordinary indecencies.

A nightmare to be sure; but a nightmare being lived out, act by act and scene by scene by the British residents of the Falkland Islands.

Lost in the debate about oil rights and technical claims of sovereignty that comprise the diplomatic sermons, are the rights

(more)

of the individual people living in this land.

The Argentines have claimed that the British are acting to defend the last vestiges of colonialism. Colonialism, one must note, is a 19th century political-economic structure that has been roundly renounced by the community of nations in the 20th century. But the Argentine claim is a cynical device, an impudent lie, for it is they who are the true "colonialists" in this matter. What other term can possibly be applied to the forcible subjugation of a foreign people, (The Kelpers of the Falklands do not even speak Spanish, nor have they any desire to learn) by a military junta located some 400 miles away? (Cuba, it should be remembered, is less than 100 miles from the U.S. coastline.)

What we have here is not a classic case of historic "Britanic" colonialism. We do not have, as was the case in India and other lands in the world, a tiny English administration lording over a subject populace. The people of the Falklands are British. They have been British for a hundred and fifty years, and clearly desire to remain so. The fact that they are few in numbers, (though 1,800 can hardly be thought of lesser consequence than our own 52.), and located in a remote area of the globe- remote that is, to us... to the Falklanders it is a veritable ancestral homeland- diminishes the moral authority of their cause not a wit. The British government is right to come to their aid and it is incumbent upon all who support the rule of law and the right to self determination to stand with the Falklanders as well.

There is no way to chart a morally defensible course half way between right and wrong.

The Coalition for Peace  
Through Security  
Fourth Floor  
27/31 Whitehall  
London SW1A 2BX  
Tel.: 01-839 3951

# n Northern Ireland plan

joined in, suggesting that the plan should not have been put forward at a time when the economic crisis in the North was so deep.

Unionists weighed in with the idea that he should have waited until after the Falklands fiasco had blown over.

### URGENT NEED

Mr. Prior rejected all these points. Northern Ireland's problems were of such importance that they could not be relegated into the background.

Yet there are too many hurdles for Mr. Prior's initiative

to, leap for him to be at all confident of success — and he was careful to point out that his plan was "carefully constructed to be flexible, yet create some momentum."

It is far from certain that all parties will take part in the election, though they will be keen to get members' salaries for their leaders. There is then the election of the "presiding officer" on which it is difficult to see agreement.

This officer will appoint various committees, reflecting the balance of party power in the assembly, to eventually oversee

the departments of state in Belfast.

Real power will then be handed over if Unionists and anti-Unionists can agree. Powers will be taken back if disagreement breaks out.

"I would like to think this would strengthen the union," Mr. Prior said, indicating his initiative had to be "treated as a whole" with solving the underlying economic crisis and fighting terrorism.

The need for an assembly, he said, was "urgent." There had been "very little democracy in Northern Ireland in the last 10 years."

## Many schools having to do less and less

MANY SCHOOLS are operating under strain, and more and more are having to rely on fund-raising activities by parents to buy basic materials and equipment. Many schools are surviving by doing less.

A report, published yesterday, by the government's own school inspectors, points to falling teacher morale, cut-backs in some forms of education, deteriorating school buildings, and teachers who leave not being replaced.

In the year to September 1981, the number of teachers in schools fell by more than 10,000 while the number of pupils fell by more than 200,000. There have also been substantial cuts in non-teaching staff, which have resulted in 50 authorities having trouble with secretarial work.

The report says that most of the staff cuts were achieved by natural wastage and early retirement. It says authorities are trying to avoid redundancies but are finding it increasingly "difficult to do so."

## Hoover wage freeze denied

By Our Scottish Correspondent SOME 1,800 workers at Hoovers, Cambuslang, are rejecting continuing efforts by their employers to blackmail them into accepting a wage freeze in return for new investment.

Shop stewards convener Eddie McEvoy yesterday denied reports that they had accepted a deal like that.

A mass meeting had overwhelmingly rejected the company's restated demand for a two-year pay package involving a freeze in 1982 and a 5 per cent rise in 1983, said Mr. McEvoy.

"A threat by Hoovers to relocate the new range of floor cleaners at other plants and make 400 workers surplus at Cambuslang was similarly rejected," he added.

Shop stewards were sent back to management with instructions to seek a pay rise in 1982 in line with the rate of inflation.

The two-year pay agreement should also include guaranteed full-time working and guaranteed job security, the shop stewards were told.

## n Peace is 'major issue in US now'

By NOLL SCOTT

PEACE and disarmament has become "not only a major issue, but perhaps the major issue" in the US today, reported Bruce Kent, general secretary of the Campaign for Nuclear Disarmament, in London, yesterday.

He was speaking on his return from an intensive speaking tour of the US organised by US church bodies concerned with peace.

Mgr. Kent said that he and other leaders of the European disarmament movement on the Europeace Tour 1982 had spoken to packed meetings throughout the country and the response had been "most encouraging."

He said Americans now understood that the "zero option" proposed by President Reagan last November had been primarily an attempt to undermine the European peace movement.


### SENSITIVE

Now in his latest statements, Mr. Reagan was trying to hijack the idea of an arms freeze in the same way, but the American people understood that what he was proposing was not a freeze at all, but the further escalation of the arms race.

Mgr. Kent also reported that Americans were "really sensitive to the poverty issue" following massive cuts in welfare and social spending, and that "people there absolutely connected poverty and defence spending."

Beside the nationwide campaign for an arms freeze, the US peace movement's particular concern includes the use of non-violent direct action against military installations and the threats of legal action against those who refuse to register for possible future conscription into the army, he said.

Mgr. Kent said that during his visit he had heard "no serious critical voice against



CND leader Bruce Kent reporting back yesterday on the rise of the US peace movement.

the call for a genuine freeze" but reported that his meetings had been "trailed" by Edward Lee, a Tory local councillor from Richmond, Surrey, together with other members of the self-styled British National Council for Civil Defence.

In a reference to the Falklands issue, the CND leader recalled a claim by defence secretary John Nott that Trident would be needed to enable Britain to deal with "tinpot dictators."

"Now he has a tinpot dictator to deal with and it shows the absolute bankruptcy of his nuclear policy," Mgr. Kent said.

## 50,000 in protest

Some 50,000 people took part at the weekend in this year's first major demonstration against plans to deploy new US nuclear missiles in western Europe in the Sicilian town of Comiso.

Work is due to start today on preparing a site near the small town for 112 Cruise missiles,

## Left just misses in CPSA poll

Morning Star Industrial Staff

In the face of a massive campaign by the right wing, left candidate John Macreadie narrowly failed to win the deputy general secretaryship of the Civil and Public Service Association.

Mr. Macreadie, with 24,266 votes, was just over 1,000 vote behind John Ellis for this senior post, in the biggest Civil Service union.



# British priest lauds efforts of U.S. church activists who seek nuclear freeze

By RICHARD C. DUJARDIN  
Journal-Bulletin Religion Writer

PROVIDENCE — A Roman Catholic priest prominent in the British anti-nuclear movement said yesterday that he believes that church activists in the United States are on the right track in their opposition to nuclear weapons and expressed hope that the Americans will be able to initiate a bilateral nuclear weapons freeze.

But Msgr. Bruce Kent, general secretary of the British Campaign for Nuclear Disarmament (CND), said that while he is personally encouraged by the tremendous "growth" of the peace movement in his own country, he still felt like an "outsider" in his own church on the issue of nuclear defense.

"Except for the Quakers, a few leading Methodists and the Episcopal Church of Scotland, the churches in Britain are generally willing to accept the propaganda from our government," the priest said at a clergy luncheon jointly sponsored by Protestant, Catholic and Episcopal church leaders.

"My own church has moved away from being negative. But it is not positive either. I don't feel supported either emotionally or sympathetically. My bishop did give me permission to be involved. But nowhere in Britain do you find bishops such as you have in the United States who are willing to get out in front on the nuclear issue."

The 52-year-old priest, whose group sponsored a disarmament rally in London last October, which drew 250,000 people, was in Rhode Island yesterday as part of a nine-member team of European activists now touring 52 American cities. His visit included stops at an ecumenical clergy luncheon at the Episcopal Cathedral of St. John and a public address at St. Maria Goretti Church in Pawtucket, where he was confronted by a handful of demonstrators, including the Rev.

Ennio Cugini, pastor of the Clayville Community Church.

★ ★ ★

MONSIGNOR KENT said yesterday that in addition to the cool reception the anti-nuclear reception has gotten from British churches, there have also been attempts to "smear" his organization by linking it to the Communists. He said that during his U.S. visit he was being "trailed" by Edward Leigh, chairman of the British-based National Council, which the priest described as a "very right-wing" group.

(Later last night, Leigh called the *Journal-Bulletin* from Washington to say he came to the United States to point out to Americans that the CND in Britain is very "controversial" and not supported by the British people. The British Communist Party's own newspaper, Leigh charged, has "boasted" that 20 percent of the members of CND's policy committee are Communists. And this, he said, comes in the wake of three Soviet diplomats being expelled from Holland and Denmark for handing over money to peace groups.)

Monsignor Kent, however, told the clergy yesterday that he knew of only "two or three" Communists among the CND's 120-member policy committee, including one who is editor of the CND's newspaper. But whether, or not Communists are involved in his organization is not "important," he insisted, because the CND is as opposed to Soviet militarism as it is to NATO.

"We have been against the Soviet SS-20s. We are against what has been happening in Poland as well as in Afghanistan. It's not beyond belief that the Soviets would be trying to influence the peace movement in Europe. But they have not been effective if that's what they want to do."

★ ★ ★

MONSIGNOR KENT, a former chaplain for eight years at London University as well as a former church pastor, said his own in-

# News

The Providence Journal, Section



—Journal-Bulletin Photo by LAWRENCE S. MILLARD

**MSGR. BRUCE KENT**

volvement in CND goes back to the 1960s. Only a few years ago, he said, the common wisdom was that the disarmament movement in Britain was "dead." That all changed, he said, after Britons were told that their country had agreed to accept 160 American-made cruise missiles.

Most of CND's campaign, he said, has been against a government campaign to build up its own independent nuclear force, which he described as "not a system of national defense but a system of national suicide."



# REAGAN PREPARES TO FIGHT A NUCLEAR WAR IN EUROPE

HE PROMOTES DEATH AND DESTRUCTION AMONGST THE PEOPLE OF CENTRAL AMERICA

**THATCHER INVITES REAGAN TO BRITAIN AS AN HONOURED GUEST!**



## FOR A REAGAN-FREE EUROPE

**March Sunday 6th June**

CND National Demo., Assemble Belvedere Rd SE1 11 am.



**Picket Monday 7th June**

US Embassy Grosvenor Square W1 5.30 pm.

**Rally Tuesday 8th June**

Central Hall 1 pm. In opposition to Reagan speaking to Parliament



# CAMPAIGN!

## CND ACTIVIST JOURNAL

# DEMONSTRATE Sunday 6 June

## EUROPE DEMANDS A FUTURE

### NO NUCLEAR BASES

ASSEMBLY AND TRANSPORT DETAILS ON THE BACK PAGE

**MOBILISING SUPPORT** Many groups will already be mobilising support for the demo and might find the ideas obvious. If so, great! If not then try them out with any other ideas that might be effective.

Write to all locally affiliated organisations telling them about the demo and asking them if they could use our leaflets and posters for their mailings, meetings etc. Give them your travel details.

Write to as many different local organisations that are not affiliated as possible: trade unions, playgroups, political parties, women's institutes, tenant organisations, community groups of all kinds. The list is probably endless. Again offer them leaflets and posters.

Do as much leafletting as possible, in shopping areas, outside large workplaces, door-to-door. Get as many posters into places they can be seen as you can: supporters' windows, shop windows, health centres etc. And flypost them wherever you can. Ideally everyone in the country would know that the demo is happening.

**STEWARDS** Every contingent should be self-stewarding, with at least one steward per 25 marchers. Groups that can also organise teams of 20 or more people (preferably a coachload!) to help with the collection are urgently needed. People would need to be at the assembly points by 10.30am to meet the person organising the collection, and to collect buckets and white 'radiation' suits. Please help if you can. The collection is difficult because we are not allowed to collect in Hyde Park, and CND desperately needs to cover the cost of this demonstration.

If you can send a team of 20 or so people to help write to the Demo Organisers as soon as possible.

**FUN** Last year many groups brought marching bands, silver and brass bands, street theatre groups, community artists etc which helped make for a festive atmosphere. Hopefully even more people will do this time, some more 'fancy dress' would certainly be good. The more the merrier.

#### PRESS WORK FOR JUNE 6 DEMO

With the demo coming up on June 6th, now is the time to start thinking about press work. Start thinking of ways in which you can attract publicity before the demo. Maybe you can think of an event to build up to the demo, which will get publicity a week or two before it. Try to interest the local papers or radio in an interview with someone who has an interesting perspective on disarmament — Trade Union, Feminist, Christian etc. There's still time left to get signatures for the petition for the UNSSD2, a good way to talk to people in the street and tell them about the demo. Write letters to the local paper. Do something spectacular to draw attention to the fact that you're going on the demo. Ask local theatre groups and musicians to help. Try to get on to local phone in programmes and never miss an opportunity to mention June 6.

Some groups and regions will have contacts in the local papers, TV and radio and may already get good press coverage for all their local events. This certainly seemed to be the case

**SALES** The demo is obviously a great opportunity for groups to sell vast quantities of SANITY, badges, stickers etc. Not only to your own contingents but also to the thousands of people milling about. On the back page is an order for special demo sales materials. Last year there just weren't enough people selling CND literature and badges. Order in bulk, it's impossible to take too much, and you will be using the demo to make money for your group, as well as helping to cover the cost of demo itself.

Arrangements for the demo on June 6th are now well advanced, though of course not yet finalised. The size of last October's demo convinced the police that one march could not possible cope, and there will be three marches converging on Hyde Park from different sides of London. (For details of assembly points and times see back page.) This should reduce the waiting time at the assembly points, as well as maximising the impact on London.

**A COLOURFUL DAY** In order to help groups and stewards, and to make the demo a really event, we have given each of the three marches a colour:

HAMPSTEAD ROAD — AMBER (inc. shades of Gold, Orange and Red)

BELVEDERE ROAD — PURPLE (inc. shades of Purple, Blue and Pink)

LADBROKE GROVE — GREEN (inc. shades of Green and Yellow)

You should use these colours where ever possible — ribbons, clothing, placards, etc and particularly on your coach identification. Let your imagination run riot.

**FLOATS** Many beautifully adorned CND floats have been seen over the last year or so, bring them along too. They won't be able to go on the march itself because it really is too dangerous, but we would like the routes decorated with as many floats as possible. If you are bringing a float please tell us; write to 'June 6th Floats' at National Office.

during Peace Week, when a lot of local and regional activity was going on. But we do get calls from people who don't know how to contact their local press and frantically ring the office at the last minute to get some help. From every point of view, it is much better for groups to build up good press relations with their own local press. The national office does from time to time send out a press release to hundreds of local papers eg. one has already been sent out saying that the demonstration is happening in London on June 6, but local newspapers will be much more interested in information coming from people in the area, particularly if there is a local angle.

There is an excellent book which explains how to deal with the press called 'USING THE MEDIA' by Denis MacShane, available from Pluto Press Ltd, Unit 10, Spencer Court, 7 Chalcot Road, London NW1, £2.50 in paperback, which is a must for any active group.

continued on page 5.

**CAMPAIGN FOR NUCLEAR DISARMAMENT**  
**GOODWIN STREET LONDON N4 TELEPHONE 01 263 4954**

## CND ANNUAL CONFERENCE — TIMETABLE

APRIL 26th.

First conference mailing goes out, including pre-conference timetable and Part 1 of Standing Orders.

Mid JUNE.

Main conference mailing (all forms etc.) sent out.

JULY 19th.

Deadline for receipt of resolutions.

JULY 31st.

Conference Arrangements Committee (C.A.C.) meets to compile Interim Agenda (with some compositing by telephone).

AUGUST 7th.

If there are further compositing problems, a final compositing meeting for all those directly affected.

AUGUST 17th.

Interim Agenda sent out.

OCTOBER 4th.

Deadline for receipt of amendments, nominations and registration of delegates.

OCTOBER 9th.

C.A.C. meets to sort out amendments.

OCTOBER 16/17th.

National Council chooses Final Agenda from Interim Agenda.

NOVEMBER 5th.

Final Agenda sent out.

NOVEMBER 26th.-28th.

ANNUAL CONFERENCE.

## GET A NEW MEMBER FOR CND . . .

### . . . FIND THE MISSING 214,000 MEMBERS

CND badly needs a regular income to pay its staff, pay the rent, produce Sanity, literature, and Campaign. We can get 250,000 people to support a national demonstration — BUT only 36,000 have actually taken the step of joining CND nationally. We recognise that there are many people who are members of local groups, but we still need the extra commitment to the British campaign IF we are going to continue, and grow, we need to **DOUBLE** our membership.

This appeal is to ask **EVERY** national member of CND to find a new member and for everyone reading this who is not a member to join.

How do you do it? Membership forms are on most of CND's leaflets to use for your own. If you don't have forms, simply send a cheque/postal order, stating which category of member you are, or take out a Banker's Order or Giro Transfer (as little as 50p per month for adult membership) and send it to Cathy Ashton at the office.

**BUT WHATEVER YOU DO — DO IT NOW!**

## NATIONAL RAFFLE

There is a proposal arising from that Regional Treasurers' Conference for a National Raffle to be launched on Hiroshima Day (August 6) and ending at the National conference. This is a fund-raising activity to be split **three ways** between Groups-/Regions/National CND. In order for to maximise the funds from this CND is looking for good prizes to be donated. Obviously, the higher the value of the prize the more we raise so please use your contacts and try to find gifts in the range of, say, TV set, video recorder, stereo/cassette, camera, luggage, antiques, crates of wine, bicycle, jewellery, paintings, food hampers, personalised shipping fleet, and suchlike . . .

Please write to "CND National Raffle Promotion" if you have any prizes to offer.

## • PRAY • STUDY • ACT • WITNESS

\* Join in an international week of vigils, fasts, services and celebrations from May 28 to 30. Theme: 'Choose Life'

\* Come to, or link in your own locality with the UNITED NATIONS SERVICE at Westminster Abbey on June 6, at 6.30 pm.

DETAILS FROM CHRISTIAN CND  
11 GOODWIN ST.  
LONDON N4 3HQ

## CND EXPRESSES CONCERN ON FALKLANDS CRISIS

During the April meeting of the CND National Council the following message was composed and delivered to the Prime Minister at 10 Downing Street. The entire National Council marched, behind the CND banner, from County Hall to Downing Street where a delegation including Joan Ruddock, Bruce Kent and Michael Pentz handed in the letter.

We assembled delegates of the National Council of the Campaign for Nuclear Disarmament note with concern that the Minister of Defence and senior Ministry officials have made it clear that the naval task force on its way to the Falkland Islands carries the full range of weaponry and that the British Government has refused to deny the presence of nuclear weapons in the task force.

In the absence of any categorical assurance that these weapons will under no circumstances be used, their deployment is, in our view, dangerously irresponsible. Furthermore, the deployment of such a nuclear-capable force against a non-nuclear country is likely to have serious negative effects upon efforts to prevent the further proliferation of nuclear weapons.

We therefore call upon the British Government to stop all preparations for war, withdraw the task force, suspend the maritime exclusion zone and urgently seek time for peaceful negotiations to take place free from the present dangerous spirit of military adventurism.

Further, whilst we wholeheartedly condemn the invasion of the Falkland Islands by Argentina, we call upon the British Government to seek, as a matter of urgency, a peaceful settlement through the United Nations under the terms of Security Council Resolution 502, in the belief that this is the only way to safeguard the interest of the Falkland Islanders, to prevent a futile loss of life and to avoid the drift to world war in which we would all be the losers.

CND Council considers that the Falkland Islands crisis clearly demonstrates the futility of a defence policy based upon the development of massive strategic nuclear weapons systems such as Trident.

## JUNE 7th. PICKET OF AMERICAN EMBASSY

This event is neither planned nor sponsored by CND. It is being organised by the Reagan Reception Committee.

At the April meeting of the CND Executive it was decided that CND, AS AN ORGANISATION, would not participate in this event; this was subsequently endorsed by the CND National Council on 17th. April.

The decision was taken because:

1. The major focus of CND activity at this time would, of course, be our June 6th. demonstration which will involve all our resources and organisation.

2. Accepting the anger that many people feel towards Reagan and the venue for the picket it was felt that it would not be possible to guarantee that the event would be entirely non-violent and that if an ugly situation developed the involvement of CND, as an organisation, would be very damaging to the Campaign.

3. CND has found it difficult to work in a reasonable and democratic way with the Reagan Reception Committee. The organisers of the Reagan Reception Committee agreed with representatives of CND not to hold a press conference until discussion had taken place between all the organisations invited to participate. However a press conference was held before such a meeting had taken place.

The decision not to participate, as an organisation, in this event was taken after full and open discussion at both the CND Executive and National Council meetings. It does not, of course, relate to participation by individual members of CND in their personal capacities.

JUNE 8th.

As an alternative to President Reagan's address to Parliament many organisations which oppose American policies are holding a Festival of Liberty and Peace at Westminster Central Hall. The organisations participating include: CND, Chile Solidarity, Anti-Apartheid and Amnesty International.



TOP

## **REAGAN RECEPTION COMMITTEE**

Dear Friend,

Margaret Thatchers' invitation to President Reagan to visit this country has already badly backfired. Every day there is fresh evidence of atrocities being committed in Guatemala and El Salvador by the troops of the US-backed military juntas. A storm of protest has greeted the Tory decision to launch the Trident nuclear programme.

The shadow cabinet has expressed its opposition to a joint meeting of the Houses of Parliament being addressed by the President.

CND has just announced a massive demonstration in London on the eve of Reagan's arrival.

We believe that it is vital that this momentum is carried through to the actual visit itself, which takes place from Monday 7- Wednesday 9 June. To ensure this happens the Reagan Reception Committee has been formed and the enclosed statement has been sponsored by over 40 M.P.'s.

We are writing to ask you if your organisation will sponsor this committee in its aim to demonstrate as widely and as effectively as possible that President Reagan is not welcome in this country. We are organising a meeting of all interested organisations in the House of Commons in the near future, under the chairmanship of Ernie Roberts MP to coordinate activity.

For the Campaign to make the necessary impact financial assistance is required and we would be grateful for a financial contribution.

Yours Fraternally

Ernie Roberts MP

Jerry Fitzpatrick, Secretary.

**PO BOX 51 LONDON SW10**



# REAGAN RECEPTION COMMITTEE

## We don't want Reagan's bombs We want jobs

President Reagan is going to visit Britain on 7-9 June. Over the coming months we will be asked to welcome the man who has insisted on the production of the neutron bomb, the weapons of biological warfare and who is foisting Cruise missiles on Britain and the rest of Europe, which will make Europe the 'theatre' for nuclear war.

President Reagan and his advisers condemn the brutal suppression of trade union rights in Poland and yet with blatant hypocrisy they increase the financial support to the dictatorial regime in El Salvador, which daily sanctions murder and torture.

President Reagan is coming to Britain because he knows he can find full support for his policies from the Tories, who themselves are prepared to spend £10 billion to buy the American Trident missile system.

We believe that the colossal sum which is being spent on arms should be spent on more hospitals, schools, better housing and bringing down the appalling level of unemployment. We don't want bombs, we want jobs. We want peace, not nuclear holocaust.

We therefore think it is vital to show that when Margaret Thatcher and her Government welcome President Reagan, she in no way speaks for the labour and trade union movement in this country, and urge support for a picket of the American Embassy on the arrival of President Reagan in this country.

### Sponsors

Ernie Roberts MP Chair  
Tony Benn MP  
Hugh Jenkins Lord  
Neil Kinnock MP  
Dennis Skinner MP  
Ken Livingstone  
Joan Maynard MP Treasurer  
Jock Stallard MP  
Reg Race MP  
Michael Meacher MP  
Bob Cryer MP  
Stan Newens MP  
David Winnick MP  
Ernie Ross MP  
John Tilley MP  
Sid Bidwell MP  
William Wilson MP  
Bob Litherland MP  
Ken Eastham MP  
David Marshall MP  
Jo Richardson MP  
Alf Lomas MP  
Martin Flannery MP  
Robert Hughes MP  
Norman Atkinson MP  
Harry Cowan MP  
Ray Powell MP

Don Dixon MP  
Allan Roberts MP  
Joan Lester MP  
Stan Thorne MP  
Richard Balfe MP  
Jim Callaghan MP  
Ron Brown MP  
Les Huckfield MP  
Ian Mikardo MP  
Frank Allaun MP  
S. Staden (London Reg. Sec. TGWU)  
P. Holborow ANL  
J.W. Jones (TGWU)  
Reg Taylor (Pres Hillingdon Trades Council)  
Erick Renchnitz (TGWU)  
Kate Hoey  
J Deason Right to Work  
Russell Profitt  
Tariq Ali  
Sid Harraway (Fords Convenor AUEW)  
Peter Hain  
Jack Jones (NUM)  
Brian Nicholson (TGWU)  
Jock McPherson Quinn (AUEW)  
Bryan Davies (ILEA)  
Vladimir Derer (CLPD)  
Walter Wolfgang (CND)  
Tim Webb (ASTMS)

**PO BOX 51 LONDON SW10**



# REAGAN RECEPTION COMMITTEE

## REAGAN IS NOT WELCOME HERE

President Reagan is going to visit Britain on 7-9 June. Over the coming months we will be asked to welcome the man who has insisted on the production of the neutron bomb, the weapons of biological warfare and who is foisting Cruise missiles on Britain and the rest of Europe, which will make Europe the 'theatre' for nuclear war.

President Reagan and his advisers condemn the brutal suppression of trade union rights in Poland and yet with blatant hypocrisy they increase the financial support to the dictatorial regime in El Salvador, which daily sanctions murder and torture.

President Reagan is coming to Britain because he knows he can find full support for his policies from the Tories, who themselves are prepared to spend £10 billion to buy the American Trident missile system.

We believe that the colossal sum which is being spent on arms should be spent on more hospitals, schools, better housing and bringing down the appalling level of unemployment. We don't want bombs, we want jobs. We want peace, not nuclear holocaust.

We therefore think it is vital to show that when Margaret Thatcher and her Government welcome President Reagan, she in no way speaks for the labour and trade union movement in this country, and urge support for a picket of the American Embassy on the arrival of President Reagan in this country.

### SPONSORS

Ernie Roberts MP Chair  
Tony Benn MP  
Hugh Jenkins Lord  
Neil Kinnock MP  
Dennis Skinner MP  
Ken Livingstone  
Joan Maynard MP Treasurer  
Jock Stallard MP  
Reg Race MP  
Michael Meacher MP  
Bob Cryer MP  
Stan Newens MP  
David Winnick MP  
Ernie Ross MP  
John Tilley MP  
Sid Bidwell MP  
William Wilson MP  
Bob Litherland MP  
Ken Eastham MP  
David Marshall MP  
Jo Richardson MP  
Alf Lomas MP  
Martin Flannery MP Robert Hughes MP  
Norman Atkinson MP  
Harry Cowan MP  
Ray Powell MP  
Don Dixor MP


Allan Roberts MP  
Joan Lester MP  
Stan Thorne MP  
Richard Balfe MP  
Jim Callaghan MP  
Ron Brown MP  
Les Huckfield MP  
Ian Mikardo MP  
Frank Allaun MP  
S Staden (London Reg Sec TGWU)  
P Holborow ANL  
J W Jones (TGWU)  
Reg Taylor (Pres Hillingdon Trades Council)  
Erick Renchnitz (TGWU)  
Kate Hoey  
J Deason Right to Work  
Russell Proffitt  
Tariq Ali  
Sid Harraway (Fords Convenor AUEW)  
Peter Hain  
Jack Jones (NUM)  
Brian Nicholson (TGWU)  
Jock McPherson Quinn (AUEW)  
Bryan Davies (ILEA)  
Vladimir Derer (CLPD)  
Walter Wolfgang (CND)  
Tim Webb (ASTMS)  
Gordon McLennan (CPGB)

Willie McKelvey MP  
James Lamond MP  
Stuart Holland MP  
Leslie Spriggs MP  
Bob McTaggart MP  
Denis Canovan MP  
Gordon McLennan (CPGB)  
Jim Slater (NUS)  
Lawrence Daly (NUM)  
Gerry Gilman (SCPS)  
Ray Buckton (ASLEF)  
David Aaronovitch (NUS)  
John Evans (El Salvador Solidarity Campaign)  
Jim Murray (Vickers Convenor)  
S Stamford (Fords SSC)  
Metal Box JSSC  
Labour Parliamentary Association  
Socialist Organiser Alliance  
Southampton Trades Council  
Peterborough Trades Council  
Headingley CND  
Telford Unemployed Group

**PO BOX 51 LONDON SW10**



**REAGAN  
RECEPTION  
COMMITTEE**



GUATEMALA

BELIZE

HONDURAS

EL  
SALVADOR

NICARAGUA

COSTA RICA

# Reagan's other red carpet

**DEMONSTRATE US EMBASSY  
GROSVENOR SQUARE JUNE 7 · 5.30pm  
LOBBY PARLIAMENT JUNE 8 · 1pm**





Campaign for Nuclear Disarmament

11 Goodwin Street · London N4 3HQ

Tel: 01 · 263 4954/8546

# C.N.D. NATIONAL APPEAL — £50,000

Dear Friends and Supporters,

There can be no doubt of the massive progress and growth of C.N.D. over the last two years. Disarmament and the arms race have once again become issues of major national and international significance, and the majority of people in this country support us on the issues of Trident and Cruise. We have moved a long way — *but*, for all our efforts we have *not* as yet stopped a single weapon from being produced.

Our job now, more than ever, is to make politicians act. They need to see that our campaign has massive support from all sections of the population. The opportunity to demonstrate the extent of our support will be June 6th, when there will be a major national demonstration in London supported by groups from all over Europe, linking in with the United Nations Special Session on Disarmament. Support and work for this demonstration is vital.

However, (and this is the inevitable crunch), to organise this demonstration; to meet the demands put on our staff by supporters and the media; to open our enlarged offices and London sales shop; to pay for new full time workers in the regions; to advertize in the press and to create links with other European peace movements — all this takes a great deal of money. And for this money we rely on you.

Your contributions have brought us to this point in our campaign. For us to build over the next two crucial years, with the possibility of a general election, and to stop the plans for Cruise and Trident we desperately need to expand our organisation.

We know that you will help in this appeal, as you have done before, and ask you to send your donations — however large or small — in whatever way you can to C.N.D. With you help we can move forward to turn away from the nuclear nightmare, and reach the disarmament dream.

*Joan Ruddock Catherine M Ashton Bruce Kent*

Joan Ruddock  
Chairperson

Catherine Ashton  
Treasurer

Bruce Kent  
General Secretary

I/We enclose £ . . . . .

Name .....

Address .....

.....

Please make cheques payable to the Campaign for Nuclear Disarmament and return to 11 Goodwin St., London N4 3HQ

# THE COALITION FOR PEACE THROUGH SECURITY

27/31 Whitehall, London SW1A 2BX

Tel: 01-839 3951 Telex: 22861 Metmak G

## REPORT ON FIRST YEAR OF OPERATION 1981-82

### Objectives:

The Coalition for Peace Through Security is a trans-Atlantic educational body informing the public of the need to effectively:

- Counter the West's drift towards Neutralism
- Support the North Atlantic Treaty Organisation in opposition to the Warsaw Pact
- Support the maintenance of the Alliance's nuclear deterrent
- Support the maintenance by NATO of well-paid, well-manned and well-equipped armed services
- Promote multilateral balanced and verifiable disarmament by all nations
- Promote a credible Civil Defence capability as part of NATO's strategic deterrent

The Coalition also promotes opportunities of international exchange between like-minded bodies within the Alliance and the non-Communist world by building up a global strategy and actions for peace through strength and security.

\* \* \* \* \*

A campaign office was set up at Arrow House, 27/31 Whitehall, London W.1. Edward Leigh works half time and Tony Kerpel works part-time both only drawing expenses. Francis Leonard Holihan works full time without drawing salary. A full time Research Director, Dr. Julian Lewis was taken on and also a full time secretary was employed. Additionally, Mrs. Sue Holihan contributes business administration part-time without salary.

In the USA, Peter Nassetta was employed on a full-time basis in Washington, initially out of the office of the College Republicans, and subsequently providing full-time assistance to Amy Moritz at The National Center for Public Policy Research, Washington office.

In addition, Leonard Holihan's brother, Michael Holihan runs another U.S. office in Dayton, Ohio for more commercial activities of their family business "Ark Enterprises", which often assists the Coalition's activities and provides another base of operations.

GENERAL FUNCTIONS OF THE COALITION'S OFFICES IN THE UNITED STATES AND GREAT BRITAIN

- Monitoring Pacifist, anti-NATO, anti-US developments in the national and local press, TV and radio, and also direct from within the organisation involved.
- Providing counter articles, reader's letters, speakers for interviews, debates, demonstrations and other 'actions' as required. We do this by building up a network of experts and contributors who supply material for publication, and who are capable of arguing the CPS case on public platforms and before the media.
- Publishing and building up a selection of booklets, pamphlets, fact sheets, posters, films and videos for circulation to target audiences and the public.
- Building up a network of contacts in the professions - academic, medical, legal, media - to counter the pacifist presence in the manipulation of professional bodies.
- Developing a small in-house research team capable of advising speakers, devising information programmes for dissemination and liaising with sympathetic think-tank staff (for example in the U.K. The Royal Institute for International Affairs, International Institute for Strategic Studies, The Foreign Affairs Research Institute, The Institute for the Study of Conflict, The Institute of European Studies, The Council for Arms Control and the British Atlantic Committee.
- Building up a network of political contacts at national, Parliamentary and local government level.
- Organising an educational campaigning network in schools, universities, and colleges to challenge the various groups to platform debates, and to present counter arguments to them.
- Providing press release backup for any CPS projects or speakers to ensure the widest possible hearing for CPS views.

SPECIAL PROJECTS

The Coalition in its first year has attracted wide publicity in the national press and on television for its high profile media-orientated activities designed to counteract the effect of pacifist propaganda first in Britain and subsequently in America.

For full details about some of these wide ranging activities, see the enclosed copy of the newsletter "The International Defence Debate Insider", which is available on subscription.

In America, in culmination of a long programme of helping our American colleagues we co-organised successful counter-rallies by the U.N. building and in Central Park, and we mounted an effective opposition in over 20 cities to the predicted Euro-Peace Tour, and subsequent U.S. Nuclear Freeze and "Nuclear Free Zone" movements. This continues to be one of our prime objectives and we are in the process of co-ordinating our activities for

We also organised a petition which collected 18,000 names welcoming President Reagan on his visit to Britain and we played a significant role in countering pacifist demonstrations during the Falklands crisis, as well as organising support for many anti-CND speakers up and down the country.

ESTIMATED TOTAL U.K./U.S. BUDGET AUGUST '82-AUGUST '83

Office, rent, rates and upkeep	£	2500
Heat, light, security, insurances	£	2000
Office equipment (rental and purchases)	£	2000
Stationery, Postage	£	3000
Telephone and Telex (60% US communications)	£	5000
Publications, Brochures, Fact Sheets, Posters	£	8000
Press cuttings and news service	£	1500
Special projects for Media Coverage	£	8000
Additional research facility	£	1000
Staff travel and expenses to and from USA, Europe	£	10000
One full-time Director (33% in USA)	£	12000
Two part-time Directors	£	12000
One full-time U.S. employee	£	7500
One full-time researcher	£	9000
One full-time secretary	£	6000
Honorarium and consultancy fees	£	2000
Legal and accountancy fees	£	2500
		<hr/>
Total:	£	94000
		<hr/> <hr/>

In addition: The Coalition intends to sponsor two Conferences this year as soon as funding permits, first to bring the key U.S., Canadian, European and U. K. "action" orientated groups together, and secondly for students countering pacifism in schools and universities. Estimated budget £15,000.

Note: We would draw attention to the fact that well over one-third of our basic budget is to be spent directly in the USA, on travel to and fro, salaries involved, or in direct support of the American projects from U.K. or actually carried out in Britain such as the "Welcome President Reagan" action. All this reflects the Coalition's strategic concern for the massive peace offensive in the U.S. and the few who are vigorously countering it with such proven educational action.

Apportionment to U.S. expenditure:

Half of full-time Director's fees	\$	14000
Peter Nassetta	\$	13000
Travel, 6-8 trips, 3-4 mths duration as necessary	\$	15000
Special projects in U.S.	\$	6000
Telephone and communication	\$	5000
Printing, posters, leaflets	\$	2500
Additional research, information gathering	\$	2500
Stationery and postage	\$	1000
U.S. accounting and administration	\$	1000
Percentage of U.K. overheads	\$	8000
		<hr/>

PERSONNEL - BRIEF BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Edward Leigh - Barrister who has worked as Mrs. Thatcher's principal correspondence Secretary. He is a former Conservative Parliamentary Candidate and a member of both the Greater London Council and Richmond Borough Council. He is Chairman of the National Council for Civil Defence, and is now a part-time Director of the Coalition. He is son of Sir Neville Leigh, Clerk of the Privy Council.

Tony Kerpel, MBE - Former National Chairman of the Young Conservatives and President of the Western European Young Christian Democrats and Conservatives. Former speech writer for Edward Heath. He is now works part-time for the British Board of Film Censors, and is Leader of the Opposition of Camden Council. He was awarded the MBE in 1981.

Francis Leonard Holihan - Full time Coalition Director, and American businessman and long time U.K. resident. Son of a retired U.S. Air Force Colonel. Married to an English wife. Co-Director with Mrs. Sue Holihan of Jasonbury Limited, a successful import-export company with turnover approx. \$1 million. Other business activities have included Architectural and Design Consultancy, Engineering and Construction, Publishing and Journalism, P.R. and Exhibitions as well as lecturing. Mr. and Mrs. Holihan's main contribution to the Coalition is funding, financial control, accounting, office co-ordination, PR and conference organisation and U.S. liason.

Dr. Julian Lewis - Full time Research Director, is a Doctor of Politics, Philosophy, and Strategic Studies from Oxford University. His writings and conservative political activities feature regularly in the national media. He is noted for having campaigned actively against Marxist infiltration of the Labour Party.



# U.S. background, U.K. support

PRESS ADVISORY---  
FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

Contact: Jim Holeman  
(H) (703)820-9219  
(O) (202)737-3145

## RALLY HERE SET FOR NOON SUNDAY TO BACK BRITISH IN FALKLANDS DISPUTE

WASHINGTON, April 15.... The Friends of the Falklands, a group of private American citizens announced today that it has obtained a permit from the National Park Service to hold a mass demonstration in favor of self-determination for the British Citizens of the Falkland Islands.

The rally is set for 12 noon, this Sunday at Lafayette Park, directly across from the White House.

"I feel very strongly that the vast majority of the American people believe that the U.S. has a moral obligation to stand on the side of the Falklanders in this dispute," said Jim Holeman, spokesman for the group. I am confident that if enough people are aware that this rally is taking place, the turnout will be impressive."

Members of congress are being invited to address the rally, and petitions to be presented to the U.S. State Department will be gathered.

The petitions will read as follows: "We the undersigned call upon the Government of the United States to support fully the right of self-determination for the citizens of the Falkland Islands."

Verification of the granting of the permit may be obtained from the National Park Service, 655-4000.

###

# THE COALITION FOR PEACE THROUGH SECURITY

## PRESS INFORMATION

The rally being held today is the third in a series of growing demonstrations that have been organized to show support for Britain and Solidarity with the British people, while opposing the senseless aggression of the Argentinian government.

"I feel very strongly that the vast majority of the American people believe that the U.S. has a moral obligation to stand on the side of the Falklanders in this dispute", said Jim Holeman, Chairman of the American Friends of the Falklands. "This is the beginning of a grass roots American effort to show support for the right of self-determination for the British citizens of the Falkland Islands."

Leonard Holihan, Director of the Anglo-American Coalition for Peace Through Security, says "appreciating the problems and potential of the U.S. governments efforts as an honest broker, the bottom line is that the two greatest proven allies for real Peace and Freedom, are the United States of America and the United Kingdom of Great Britain. And the peoples of these two great nations must stand firm and stand together in the face of flagrant Argentine aggression and violation of fundamental international principles (particularly in view of the underlying clandestine Soviet involvement there).

One would prefer to welcome Argentina as a responsible friend in the world community but what she has done, irregardless of her claims, is simply not "cricket" in anyones books. Such delinquency cannot be condoned. Piracy, whatever the justification, cannot be allowed to secure a better negotiating position, least 'might become right' (and this from a country that now reaches to posses the nuclear bomb).

Holihan added, "all countries make judgements and then hopefully recorrect their course. It is no loss of face for Argentina to now do likewise. She has made her point, now it is time to have peaceful withdrawal and profitable negotiation rather than dishonor in a dangerous war. Let us hope the sleeping giant of American public opinion can awaken in time on this vital issue and signal caution to the Argentines, support for Britain, and together with the U.S. government be the catalyst for peace in South America."

The rally has been organized and sponsored jointly by the American Friends of the Falkands and the Coalition for Peace Through Security. We urge concerned Americans to write to their Senators and Congressmen to press for a resolution along these lines.

For furhter information contact: Jim Holeman 202/737-3145 or 703/820-9219  
Peter Nasetta 202/484-6527



# **ARGENTINA OUT**

**OF THE FALKLANDS**

**STOP THE SENSELESS AGGRESSION !!!**

# **RALLY**

**AT THE ARGENTINE EMBASSY  
(1600 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVE)**

**TUESDAY APRIL 20**

**12:30 PM**

# Sanity looks at a dirty tricks dept. The Whitehall web which challenges your peace

A four storey office block, just a stone's throw away from the Ministry of Defence, is at the centre of a tangled trans-Atlantic web of organisations set up to smear CND and promote pro-nuclear, warmongering policies. All the organisations have strong links with the British Defence establishment, millionaire commercial magnates, Government ministers, the British and American far-right and the US intelligence community.

Arrow House, 27-31 Whitehall, houses the offices of the American based 'Coalition for Peace through Security' (CPS) and a number of other organisations, including the Committee for the Free World and the Foreign Affairs Research Institute. Arrow House is owned by the London property giants Land Securities Ltd and is rented and sub-let to the organisations by Jeffrey Archer, a millionaire author and former Conservative MP for Louth.

The CPS calls itself a 'grass-roots campaigning peace movement' but spends its time smearing and provoking CND.

In June a CPS-chartered plane 'buzzed' the CND Glastonbury festival trailing a banner which read "Help the Soviets! Support CND!" Ironically only hours earlier E. P. Thompson had ushered a speaker from Polish Solidarity onto the Glastonbury stage. His call for support for Solidarity and an end to Soviet nuclear weapons had been met with a standing ovation.

Defending the plane jaunt (estimated cost £2,000) Francis Leonard Holihan, an American 'business man' who runs the CPS's London office, described the Glastonbury stage as 'sinister mind-control'. Claiming that he was in the plane at the time, he said: "I was annoyed because I organised the first Glastonbury festival ten years ago, now it's been taken over by CND".

## Letter box

A check with Glastonbury organisers revealed that Holihan had indeed been around when the first few annual festivals were organised. They claimed he had used Glastonbury to promote all kinds of way-out money-making schemes including mass construction concrete domes and home-made flying saucers.

Other CPS smear activities include distributing leaflets and posters showing a picture of Russian troops alongside the slogan "Help the Russians, support Unilateral Disarmament". This particular effort was distributed by Youth for Multilateral Disarmament, which uses the offices of the European Movement, also in Whitehall, as a 'mail-drop'. A porter said a man from the Conservative Party picked up the mail.



Arrow House: home of CPS-UK, Foreign Affairs Research Institute, Freedom Communications International and the Committee for the Free World.

CPS's offices in Washington DC distributes the same poster, slightly altered to mention the US 'nuclear-freeze' movement. Other slogans used by CPS-USA on their minuscule counter-demonstrations include: "Peace is a Soviet weapon of conquest" and "Disarmament equals surrender!"

During CND demonstrations in London members of CPS have climbed onto the roof of Arrow House and harangued passers-by through 600 watt amplifiers. During one of these escapades CPS's 'research director', Dr Julian Lewis, and seven other CPS stalwarts, including Holihan, were questioned by the police when a Union Jack was thrown at demonstrators from the roof. According to Edward Leigh, writing in his *International Defence Debate Insider* newsletter, they were let off scot-free. "They were led away but released with a nod and a wink," he wrote.

## The men behind the smear campaign



Francis Holihan

Francis Leonard Holihan, a thirty-five year old American 'businessman', runs the London office of the **Coalition for Peace through Security**. Holihan's political career began fifteen years ago when he was a member of the controversial **Harbinger Institute** in California, run by a mysterious **Dr Zee**. Holihan organised a number of pop festivals all over the USA. Later he became a youth adviser to the Nixon administration, warning that clamp-downs on pop-festivals and other large anti-Vietnam War gatherings would be "counter-productive".

Still sporting his hippie-style beard and long red hair (these days tucked into a bun except when monitoring CND conferences and local group meetings), Holihan now runs a roller-skate shop in London's Oxford Street. He claims to have put £30,000 of his own money into CPS activities.

Holihan kept his finger in the **Protect and Survive Monthly** pie. He arranged the US distribution of the magazine through his brother Michael's Ark Enterprises, based in Ohio, USA. He used to hand money for the US sales to **Protect and Survive's** publisher Alastair Watts, and he attended the creditors' meeting when the magazine collapsed.

A frequent visitor to the USA, he attended an international conference on civil defence in Pennsylvania on behalf of **Protect and Survive** in August 1981. He was also a key figure organising a conference in London last year at which several prominent American ultra-right figures, including members of the Reagan administration, lectured on campaign tactics.

## Air Vice-Marshal Stewart Menaul

A former chief of Britain's nuclear V-bomber force is a strange person to find as a founder-director of an organisation which claims, as the **Coalition for Peace through Security** does, to be a 'grass-roots campaigning peace movement'. It's especially odd to find this 'peace campaigner' advocating 'a nuclear war fighting the war winning strategy' for Britain and NATO.

In a booklet published by the **Institute for the Study of Conflict** in April 1980, he regretted "that NATO does not have a credible doctrine and strategy for the use of nuclear weapons in a war-fighting, war-winning role in Europe, and President Carter stubbornly resisted repeated proposals from SACEUR to include the enhanced radiation weapon (neutron bomb) in the NATO nuclear stockpile. That's

nuclear modernisation programme, to include ground launched cruise missiles and Pershing-II is a step in the right direction; but much more needs to be done."

These sentiments, frequently found in CPS circles, were best put by Geoffrey Stewart-Smith, Director of the Foreign Affairs Research Institute based just along the corridor from CPS in Arrow House:

"Certain Western defence analysts," he wrote in 1981, "in the past have propounded the view that the use of nuclear weapons is completely irrational as an instrument of policy; . . . this utterly irresponsible wishful thinking occurred in the past in the highest levels of the United States government."

Menaul links the CPS to the defence establishment and Government funded anti-CND groups including Hugh Hanning's **British Atlantic Committee**.

## Bruce Sibley

Bruce Sibley resigned as Editor of **Protect and Survive Monthly** in April 1982, two months before it collapsed. He was "fed up" with the usual auditing practices of publisher Alastair Watts and the political machinations of what he calls "my right-wing friends in Whitehall". A clear reference to the CPS brigade. He feels that his integrity as a scientist has been abused in the debate about civil defence.

Believing that a nuclear war is inevitable, Sibley thinks that there can be no harm in spreading 'survival' information, and he regrets the fact he allowed an editorial to be published by British fascist Ian Stouter-Clarence.

## Edward Leigh

Conservative lawyer Edward Leigh joined the editorial board of **Project and Survive Monthly** in 1981 in his capacity as chairman of the **National Council for Civil Defence**. NCCD is in the offices of multi-millionaire shipping magnate Sir William Cayzer at 2 St Mary's Axe in the City of London. NCCD is a shadowy organisation with few local affiliates but with plenty of right-wing parliamentary links.

But Leigh spends most of his time in the London office of the **Coalition for Peace through Security** where he acts as the link-man with the Conservative Party.

Bored with making untrue allegations about the 'russian funding of CND' for the Press, he's taken to saying that CND is funding the US peace movement.

"It is these UK and Euro peacemongers," he wrote in a recent edition of the CPS newsletter, "who laid the foundations for the US Nuclear Free Movement . . . and they are still providing experienced leadership and funds."

In March 1981 he followed CND General Secretary Bruce Kent around on a speaking tour of US cities, at the same time making political and financial links with right wing circles.

"I began to feel like a modern-day Paul Revere," he enthused, "riding around saying 'the Redcoats are coming, let's give them the welcome they deserve!' He used the opportunity to make contacts in the Pentagon, State Department, White House, the Heritage Foundation and with the College Republicans, who agreed to mount a joint campaign with him on American campuses.

Back in Britain, Leigh has been involved

in **Youth for Multilateral Disarmament**, which appears to be a Conservative Party front organisation, and the **British Atlantic Committee sponsored Committee for Peace and Freedom** which was brought into existence to welcome President Reagan to Britain in June of this year.

## Tony Kerpel



The third man. Camden councillor Tony Kerpel was the third founder-director of CPS-UK.

### NEXT ISSUE:

Anti-CND campaign: the American connection

Bruce Sibley, editor of the now defunct **Protect and Survive Monthly** magazine, explains why he disagrees with CND on civil defence. Also, the Anti-CND campaign in Parliament — who's involved and how.

## Zero Option

E.P. THOMPSON

New collection of essays by a leading figure in the disarmament movement

£3.60

## Disarming Europe

edited by MARY KALDOR & DAN SMITH

Surveys the weapons and strategies of the superpowers and offers alternative approaches to the problems of defence and security

£3.60

Merlin Press, 3 Manchester Rd., London E.14

The legacy of war 'stretches further ... than the wounds inflicted on one generation.'

Prof. Westing's report in SIPRI Yearbook 1982 details the results of devastation of the natural resource base for the people of Vietnam today.

Since 1965 MSAVLK has been sending medical supplies and equipment to relieve the suffering.

You can help to meet the continuing need.

Donations & further details, posters, petition forms: **Medical & Scientific Aid for Vietnam, Laos & Kampuchea** Box 100, Bedford Chambers, Covent Garden, London WC2E 8EQ Telephone: 01-836 1350



**CAMPAIGN AGAINST ARMS TRADE**

CAAT works for an end to Britain's arms exports and for the conversion of military industry to socially useful production.

Join us to make this the year of the closure of the government's arms marketing department - the Defence Sales Organisation.

Newsletters, slideshow, exhibitions, books, pamphlets, articles, leaflets, badges simulation game, factsheets

Write for affiliation fees and full publications list to:-

5, CALEDONIAN ROAD, LONDON N1 9DX (01-278 1976)



That anti-CND film

# What the critics say

Like **The Sun** newspaper and the late Senator McCarthy, the film **"Who Dares Wins"** is pledged to the preservation of democracy. Their sort of democracy, that is. In the case of **"Who Dares Wins"** this is a sort of democracy which denied **Sanity** the right to attend a Press showing. No matter. Censorship must always be a threat high in the awareness of the Voice of CND. Others were invited and we are content to let them speak. The Critics themselves. Here, then, is what The Critics Say:

"... the most politically reprehensible and technically shoddy film to have emerged from a British studio in years," wrote John Preston in the **New Statesman**.

"If watching an acned pimp plucking the wings off flies turns you on, well, this one's for you," said Nigel Fountain in **City Limits**.

"The only argument I can find for seeing the film is that it should make the uncommitted want to join London's next anti-nuclear demonstration from sheer outrage" wrote Martyn Auy in **Time Out**.

In the **Financial Times** Nigel Andrews said:

"This scatter-headed sub-Bond adventure dressed in tokenist robes of ideological topicality — only listen, for a frisson of disbelief, to the tatterdemalion duel of political ideas between Davis and Widmark in the final reel — is directed by Ian Sharp and produced by Euan (Wild Geese) Lloyd. The film begins at a gallop with brisk training sequences in a hypothetical Welsh SAS camp, but as the improbabilities and ponderosities mount up it slows to a broken-backed

canter and then sinks to its knees."

"The film's assumptions are clear," wrote David Hughes in the **Sunday Times**. "It goes for mass appeal: nothing wrong with bringing the house down in a hail of patriotic bullets. It knows that most audiences revel in official violence in a collectively unconscious way: no harm in that either. It also plays on our dread of terrorists, whatever the ideals that prompt them. The film is, in a word, knowing — a prime example of the industry exploiting rather than exploring human emotion, and good luck to its efficiently calculated skills."

Joan Ruddock, chair of CND, wrote to Princess Alexandra asking her not to attend the premiere at London's Leicester Square Odeon. "I feel the makers of this film may not have fully informed you about the nature and content of their work," she said. Joan Ruddock received this reply from St. James's Palace:

"The Princess respects the sincerity of the concern that you have expressed on behalf of the Campaign for Nuclear Disarmament but, as I am sure you will appreciate, invitations to premieres are accepted for the charities being supported. The evening is being held to benefit the Newspaper Press Fund and the Variety Club of Great Britain — two well known charities whose work cares for the needs of both elderly and young people."

## Silent dignity urges Bruce Kent

This is an appalling film which, if more cleverly produced, could have harmed CND and the cause of peace. It is essential the film is not given publicity it does not deserve and I urge those planning demonstrations to keep to the following guide-lines:

- ★ Picketing of cinemas should be done silently and with dignity.
- ★ Leaflets distributed should be concise and make the point that this film uses our world-famous logo totally without permission.



Equity members who cared to protest

Lower down his column, Hughes continued: "There is truth in what the film says, but did the emphasis have to be quite so blatant? The not quite hidden politics, which verge on the acceptable face of fascism, if there is one, are as

arrant, if not as aberrant, as any left-wing excess."

In the **Daily Mirror** Arthur Thirkell agreed that **"Who Dares Wins"** is not all that daring but thought CND's protests were "oversensitive." Not so Margaret Hinxman in the **Daily Mail**.

"I can appreciate the outrage of CND supporters," she wrote. "Nuclear disarmers are concerned, thoughtful people genuinely worried about the future, not to mention the huge cost of maintaining a nuclear deterrent. I don't blame them for getting upset at being portrayed as terrorist nutters."

One last critic, Bruce Kent, general secretary of CND. Below he writes what he thinks and what should be done. □

**G C M**

Gay Christian Movement  
for details of publications  
books, counsellors, pen-  
pals and local groups  
Contact BM 6914  
London WC1N3XX

TEL 012 835 165

**MARRAKESH EXPRESS**

**TIME TRAVELLERS WANTED.**  
Travel back in time—visit  
medieval MOROCCO  
23 day Overland Treks from High  
Ketana to the Sizzling Sahara.  
New minibus/camping gear +  
stereo headphones  
Free colour brochure: 02602 6880  
(24 hrs), Ambleside Court,  
Congleton, Cheshire CW12 4HZ.

# Students For Peace And Security

## An Alternative To The Ban-The-Bomb Crowd

By Harold Johnson

As the recent "Ground Zero" activities on the nation's campuses indicated, there is increasing worry among American college students about the threat of nuclear war.

Not all the concerned young people, however, believe the answer lies in a freeze on arsenals at their present levels or a reduction in America's nuclear capabilities.

Indeed, one recently established

### Even Carter's Defense Secretary Critical Of Freeze Idea

"A debate on the freeze can thus be useful in educating the public, in pushing the administration toward resumption of negotiations on limiting and reducing strategic arms, and in initiating a drive for negotiations on preventing nuclear war at whatever level of nuclear armament exists. But the freeze proposal is no substitute for, and I fear it will detract from, those other specific negotiations and steps. And it is no substitute for U.S. and allied military strength, nuclear and conventional."—Harold Brown, President Carter's Defense Secretary, writing in the *Washington Post*, March 28, 1982.

student peace organization asserts just the opposite. The Students for Peace and Security, a nationwide association headquartered at Tufts University, declares that only an American nuclear buildup will induce the Soviets to enter into serious arms negotiations.

"A nuclear freeze or partial U.S. disarmament would codify Soviet military superiority," says Tufts undergraduate Melanie Sturm, a member of the SPS national steering committee. And such a situation, she asserts, would increase the likelihood of war by "emboldening the Soviets to continue an aggressive foreign policy."

A belief that "this kind of argument needed to be made on the campuses" motivated students at Tufts and Harvard to found SPS, according to Dan Marcus, one of the organization's leaders.

With chapters at universities across the country—including Columbia, Princeton and the University of Texas at Austin—SPS has sponsored a number of seminars and demonstrations, with the object, says Marcus, of "educating students about the Soviet threat to peace."

Speakers at SPS functions have included author Midge Decter, sociologist Peter Berger and National Security Council member Richard Pipes.

Response from students has been "encouraging," says Sturm. "People are asking questions, and there's a new willingness to consider approaches to maintaining peace that many have previously dismissed out of hand." She admits, though, that some of her peers question both the SPS' commitment to peace and its "morality." She vigorously contests "the contention of disarmament proponents that theirs alone is a 'moral'


### No First Use Policy Favors Soviets

"Those in the West who advocate the adoption of a 'no first use' policy seldom go on to propose that the United States reintroduce the draft, triple the size of its armed forces, and put its economy on wartime footing. Yet in the absence of such steps, a pledge of no first use effectively leaves the West nothing with which to counter-balance the Soviet conventional advantages and geopolitical position in Europe."—Secretary of State Alexander Haig, April 6, 1982.

position—and that only they are concerned with peace."

"In reality," she declares, "it is military weakness that is the surest invitation to conflict." She cites as an example the British appeasement of Nazi Germany in the years preceding World War II.

Among the most infamous examples of that appeasement was the proclamation by students in the Oxford Union that they would "not fight for King and country." That "ever-shameful resolution," according to Churchill, contributed to the outbreak of war by helping convince Hitler and Mussolini that Britain was "a frightened, flabby old woman...."

It is the aim of the SPS, says Sturm, "to help keep American students from sending such a message to the men in the Kremlin." 

Mr. Johnson is Associate Editor at Public Research, Syndicated.

Copyright © Public Research, Syndicated, 1982.

note is merely... what John Exter aptly calls an "IOU nothing." We have had IOU nothing money before: with John Law and the Mississippi Bubble; the assignats of the French Revolution; the Continental dollar; the Civil

ended.

The Fed should rely on the rediscount window, limited to short-term commercial paper, as the primary method for creating new Federal Reserve credit and bank re-

static monetary mechanism... was the classical international gold standard. Its record in this country might have been even better if not for the shortcom-

Congressman Kemp is a Republican from New York State.

4/7/82

## Student Group Forms to Oppose Disarmament

By DOROTHY RABINOWITZ

Medford, Mass.

Within the next few weeks, pro-disarmament and anti-nuclear groups will begin a spring "peace offensive" on American campuses. That effort to mobilize students en masse after the manner of the anti-war activists of the 1960s is, as peace offensive strategists and everyone else doubtless realizes, likely to be an uphill battle. Nothing is more evident on campuses today than the disinclination of students to see a repeat of the politicized chaos that passed for college education in the Sixties and early Seventies. The exception, perhaps, will be Harvard and other institutions in the Boston area, currently the center of disarmament activism.

That fact makes all the more noteworthy the emergence, in this same center of activism, of a newly formed national student organization whose purpose is to support a strong defense. The Students for Peace and Security, founded recently by students at Tufts and Harvard, want, their steering committee says, to show that "the defeatist attitude and cry for unilateral disarmament do not go unchallenged on the campuses."

The first conference of the organization, attended by about 400 students at the Tufts campus recently, provided dramatically clear evidence that there are a number of other things also not about to go unchallenged on campuses today. Among these is the kind of political orthodoxy that requires that uncongenial ideas be stifled—the sort of orthodoxy that prevailed in the Sixties, and in some measure, evidently, still prevails. That evidence revealed itself in the new organization's choice of a main speaker for its first public meeting, Richard Pipes, Harvard history professor and The National Security Council's senior Soviet specialist.

What gave this choice its special pl-

quency can be appreciated only in the light of certain events at Harvard some months ago. In November, Mr. Pipes, a supporter of maximum defense preparedness, was invited, with a number of others, to address a convocation on the dangers of the arms race. A few days before the event, however, Mr. Pipes received a phone call from an embarrassed student coordinator, informing him that he had in effect been disinvited. Subsequent inquiries as to why Mr. Pipes's invitation had been withdrawn brought forth vague murmurs of "a misunderstanding"—in short, those usual equivocations in the presence of unpleasant facts at which academicians and politicians are so peculiarly adept. Finally one of the sponsors of the meeting put the matter bluntly: Mr. Pipes was told that his presence would "not harmonize with the spirit of the convocation."

Noteworthy as was the refusal to allow Mr. Pipes to speak, there was something still more noteworthy about the list of other invited participants who remained to speak at Harvard's convocation—in particular, the fact that that list of approved speakers included a member of the Soviet embassy, a presence whose associations the event's planners apparently considered more harmonious "with the spirit of the convocation" than that of a member of the U.S. National Security Council.

It was clearly with this recent spectacle in mind (by no means the first time that progressive advocates of peace and freedom at Harvard had sought to deny a forum to those with opposing views) that the Students for Peace and Security chose Richard Pipes as their keynote speaker. The organization has chapters at Columbia, Georgetown, the University of Pennsylvania, the University of California at Berkeley and the University of Texas at Austin. The Tufts Harvard group's leaders say the organization was conceived as a re-

sponse to those advocates of unilateral disarmament who refuse to see the threat to peace posed by the Soviet Union.

The anti-American, anti-democratic bias evident among the West European peace marchers in recent months fueled that response further, as did the activities of the home-grown marchers. The Students for Peace and Security say that not the least galling quality of the anti-nuclear, pro-disarmament elements in the U.S. is the moral attitudes in which those elements cloak themselves. "As soon as you mention the need for strong defense," says Melanie Sturm of Tufts, "you are ipso facto the enemy of all human life. Only they care about humanity."

Fabian Bachrach, a 19-year-old Tufts student, adds that people like themselves, organized to counter the unilateral disarmament movement's efforts to deny the threat posed by the Soviet buildup, are of course immediately judged guilty of trying to destroy all earthly life—as are all those who dissent from the aims and the politics of the disarmament movement.

In short, these students had come up hard against that inimitable capacity of the political left for misidentifying its goals with those of all humanity, and it was hard not to envy them the freshness of the encounter. They had responded by stating goals of their own, among them that their fellow students and others come to understand that the defense of freedom requires more than enlisting in the ban-the-bomb movement. "The idea," says Mr. Bachrach, "that we can't afford a large defense budget is put forward by people who don't understand that what we really can't afford to do is put a price on freedom." The students further point out that the Soviet Union has spent huge sums on defense and built intercontinental ballistic missiles that are seven times the megatonnage of America's, and submarine and ICBM forces

combined of roughly five times that of the U.S.

The Soviets, the Students for Peace and Freedom also note, have robbed their own citizens of human rights, imposed repressive communist governments throughout Eastern Europe, instituted the Berlin blockade, stamped out freedom in Czechoslovakia, Hungary and Poland, and have invaded Afghanistan. It is in the face of this history that both the Soviet Union and those propagandizing here and in Western Europe for disarmament continue to put forward the notion of the U.S. as an aggressor nation, in the grip of militarists, about to lead the rest of the world into the abyss of nuclear annihilation.

The 19- and 20-year-old leaders of Students for Peace and Security were small children when Bernadine Debra, Mark Rudd and other SDS revolutionaries in company with their obedient servants among the professoriate were marching through the streets carrying Viet Cong flags and wreaking havoc on campuses. Young as they are, the Students for Peace and Security and those considerable numbers who share their beliefs seem to have discovered some basic truths that eluded their '60s forebears: "... But it is not America," says the student organization, "which invaded other countries, sent its own people into rough seas in small boats... The refugees in Europe have never gone East, those in Vietnam never went North."

It will be well to remember the existence of such voices this spring, when the network news cameras begin grinding out their shots of the "peace offensive" rallies, and when the propagandists of that movement begin their efforts to convince us that the sentiment for disarmament is sweeping the campuses.

Dorothy Rabinowitz is a writer living in New York City.

# Editorial Page

Unsigned articles are the opinion of The World-Herald.

## 'Freeze Based on Faulty Assumptions'

The writer, from Omaha, a student at Tufts University, is national chairman of Students for Peace and Security. The organization has 27 chapters on campuses across the nation, including the University of Nebraska-Lincoln.

By Melanie Sturm

The nuclear freeze movement must be respected for the spirit that motivates its efforts. No one can argue with its plea for global peace and security and its denunciation of nuclear holocaust. The demonstrations are well-meant and are understandable in the context of the deep-seated yearning of all people for a peaceful world.

However, the freeze movement seems to be espousing a global truism in that everyone abhors nuclear war and destruction and is desirous of peace. In its naive zeal to promote its cause, the movement longs for a world that does not exist and never will. It longs for a world devoid of nuclear weapons.

When analyzing the premises upon which the freeze is based, the simplistic and misguided nature of the movement surfaces. Illustrating this clearly is the movement's notion that a freeze will somehow encourage the Soviets to lose their expansionist appetites. That is a barren dream that denies the opportunistic nature of the Soviet Union and contradicts the evidence of the Soviets' unrelenting determination to exploit every opportunity to extend military and political influence around the world.

The apt image of the Soviet Union is not of a genuine and trustworthy partner in the global effort to limit nuclear arms and promote peace. Instead, its image can be likened to that of a hotel burglar who sneaks along the corridors at night, checking doorknobs, ready to enter any room he finds unlocked. The West must keep its doors locked.

The freeze movement abounds in other mistaken ideas and flawed premises that must be clearly and vigorously rebutted if we are to achieve the aims of reduced levels of nuclear weapons, global peace and democratic security.

In particular, the movement emphasizes the issue of 'overkill' as the main reason for a freeze. According to the overkill logic, since each superpower can already kill the other many times over, why not just stop developing nuclear weapons, regardless of who is ahead?

This reasoning is faulty because it disregards the fact that nuclear weapons are not only military weapons but also political weapons. Therefore, the side possessing more nuclear weapons has the potential for what Eugene Rostow calls "nuclear blackmail," and a brighter hope of spreading its influence.

The defeatism, fear and hysteria the movement has generated blinds its advocates to the benefits of American possession of nuclear weapons. In 37 years — since the dawn of the nuclear age — there has not been a nuclear war. American nuclear capability has also redressed an enormous imbalance in conventional forces, though, admittedly, this should not have been the case.

Another fallacy embedded in the wording of the freeze proposal is the insistence that the freeze would be bilateral.

A demand for a freeze would ask the United States to trust the Soviet Union to abide by yet another treaty, after

it has already violated most of the treaties it has entered into in the past.

To believe that the Soviets would freeze production and deployment of nuclear arms, when to keep building would give nuclear mastery, is frighteningly ignorant. A nuclear freeze would mean, in essence, unilateral American disarmament.

The bilateral fallacy also is illustrated when one examines previous attempts at freezes. To limit the arms "race" of the 1920s and renounce war as an instrument of policy, the United States, Britain and Japan agreed upon the maintenance of a 5-5-3 naval ratio.

The United States scrapped 15 ships on which an immense investment had already been made, while the less morally conscious Japanese and Nazis lulled the democracies into a false sense of security. They capitalized upon the opportunity to achieve naval superiority, World War II ensued.

It is essential that the United States devote the necessary resources to defend itself and the Western world, not

### Another Point of View

freeze itself into a position of inferiority, so as to avoid nuclear war and political blackmail.

A freeze codifying Soviet superiority would result in a growing lack of confidence in the United States for its security. This would lead to a desire among these nations to become nuclearly self-sufficient and therefore to the proliferation of nuclear arms, the opposite of what the movement seeks to achieve.

If not the freeze, then what?

For those interested in achievable, bilateral arms reduction, the questions come down to this: With which approach are the Soviets more likely to accept significant arms cutbacks? Would they be more accommodating if they saw the power of the United States declining? Or would they be more amenable to negotiation if faced with a U.S. nuclear arsenal that will not be vulnerable to their growing threat?

The proposal for a freeze is a hastily thought-out substitute for what ought to be this country's first arms control objective: substantial reductions in nuclear missiles on both sides.

Advocates of a freeze play right into Soviet hands. Why should the Soviets enter into serious negotiations when there are good prospects that the United States will eventually accept military inferiority?

The lesson is clear. If we react emotionally and with fear to tough, complicated security policy issues, we will inevitably make decisions without regarding the facts. Such decisions certainly wouldn't serve the national interest.

As a nation, we would do well to recall the famous words, "united we stand, divided we fall." For only united with our allies, and as a nation, can we ever hope to preserve the human rights and civil liberties that we in the West are lucky enough to enjoy.

Boston Sunday Globe  
April 12, 1982

# Individual effort

who has  
situate  
ed this  
to do  
ration

member  
bers of  
Church  
formal  
ational-  
"pub"  
wine,  
talk  
the

rather  
mcm-  
tuate  
ntar-  
road

Rev.  
eers  
reap-  
ic li-  
ulat-

over  
ts of  
last  
ing  
and  
medi-  
and

rap-  
the  
su-  
nu-  
in  
also

rea  
vor  
it  
at-  
the

the  
the  
the

the  
the  
the  
the

45  
on-

## They'll fight N-freeze drive

Ten college students will gather around a conference table at Tufts University tonight and try to figure out how to combat the nuclear freeze movement that has started to take hold in the country.

The students - eight from Tufts and two from Harvard - comprise the national steering committee of a new campus organization, Students for Peace and Security, which is pledged to a conservative foreign and defense policy for the United States.

The creation of the group is a reaction to the fact that disarmament and antinuclear issues have begun to attract significant support on college campuses.

Their immediate goal is to come up with a strategy for countering the June 12 mass demonstration in New York being planned by the growing nuclear freeze coalition.

Steering committee member Ian Ballou, a Tufts junior, said that "the thinking of those who support a nuclear freeze is only a little less naive than those who advocate unilateral disarmament."

Underlying the group's philosophy is the belief that the Soviet Union represents a constant threat to world peace and that the United States must build up, rather than reduce, its nuclear arsenal.

Ballou said that he and his colleagues are concerned that "the nuclear freeze people are getting a head start in the media" and that if they succeed, the country will be "giving away its bargaining chips" in any nuclear arms negotiations with the Soviets.

- ROBERT LEVEY

In bringing the the issue to the  
forefront of town politics


# R



Lands  
Creosol  
Dippel

# 28

4'x6'x4'





# The Inside Page

The latest issue of *Foreign Affairs*, journal of the Council on Foreign Relations (C.F.R.), calls for the U.S. officially to renounce first use of nuclear weapons under any circumstances. Intelligence specialists on Soviet covert action see this as providing the pro-Soviet platform for Washington lobbyists who work for large corporations doing multimillion-dollar business with the Soviets.

★ ★ ★

Richard J. Jacob, chief executive officer of the Dayco Corporation, wanted to sell to the Soviet Union while taking a public position favoring a hard-line U.S. policy toward Moscow. He even had shipping crates of rubber belts destined for Soviet industry labeled "European Exports." But there were red faces at Dayco when Jacob had to write off a loss of \$23.5 million in a swindle by the agent who put together the Soviet deal.

★ ★ ★

Comptroller General Charles A. Browner is demanding that his G.A.O. be given full access to the secret narcotics intelligence files of the Drug Enforcement Administration. However, D.E.A. remembers not only recent scandals concerning Soviet penetration of G.A.O. but that once G.A.O. obtained access to the F.B.I.'s raw intelligence files certain sensitive

information was given to Congressional Committees and promptly leaked. With many narcotics informants to protect, and major investigations focusing on Fidel Castro's central role in drug smuggling, D.E.A. is resisting what it views as dangerous G.A.O. empire-building.

★ ★ ★

Speculation in New York about the huge moving aerial array atop Uganda's Mission to the United Nations can end. The equipment, known as a log periodic beam antenna, is used for sending and receiving radio messages to and from Kampala. But Uganda has neither sought nor received State Department permission for a transmitter, so a minor diplomatic incident has resulted from our inquiries.

★ ★ ★

The "nuclear freeze" gang isn't having everything its own way. Students at Tufts and Harvard have formed a counter-group, Students for Peace and Security (S.P.S.). Bipartisan and very vocal, it has confronted disarmament speakers in campus meetings and countered distortions with facts. New S.P.S. groups are being formed at other prestigious universities including Georgetown, Columbia, and (wonder of wonders) the University of California at Berkeley!

THE REVIEW OF THE NEWS

April 21, 1982 p 4

## Students for peace, but not the freeze

By Melanie Sturm and Ian Ballon

Students for Peace and Security, a nationwide student movement, was formed to counter the pacifist elements of the contemporary peace movement. SPS strives to raise the level of debate from the emotional to the intellectual level. The pacifist elements ignore the geopolitical realities of today's situation.

Debating whether most or all of the world would be obliterated in a nuclear war is not the issue, although this line of reasoning has the potential to scare people into siding with it. The real threat to the Western world is not the eventuality of nuclear war but what Eugene Rostow, head of the Arms Control and Disarmament Agency, refers to as the threat of nuclear blackmail. A nuclear war only becomes inevitable when one side possesses nuclear capacities that are left unchallenged. The pacifist movement is attempting to sway public opinion to demoralize America's will to meet the Soviet challenge.

Many in the United States today support a nuclear freeze. But for the US to disarm or for a nuclear freeze to be enacted would simply codify Soviet military superiority. Since nuclear weapons are an indication of a country's political power, such moves would only serve the interest of the Soviet Union, dedicated to the worldwide spread of communism. They would not give Moscow an incentive to pursue a less aggressive foreign policy.

After all, was the Soviet invasion of Afghanistan a response to the American threat? On the contrary, the invasion was undertaken because America's expected response to such a move was judged to be minimal.

Chamberlain's appeasement policy toward the Nazis prior to World War II and the Bolsheviks' "no peace, no war" strategy during World War I illustrate that attempts at peace-through-weakness only lead to an intensification of conflict.

It is only then, when the Soviets no longer have a military and psychological advantage over us, or the belief that they can drive a wedge between the members of the Western alliance, that meaningful disarmament negotiations may be held. If the current political and strategic status quo is maintained, the Soviets will never have an incentive to pursue arms reductions or even to deemphasize their goal of world revolution.

Students for Peace and Security seeks to reverse three trends. The Soviet global expansion must be stopped. The gap between US and Soviet military outlays should be closed. In order to achieve these two goals, American public opinion must be unified. It is this third trend — the growing isolationist tendencies of American public opinion — that is weakening our resolve to meet the Soviet threat.

SPS recently held conferences on major college campuses to educate students on the Soviet threat. By educating college students through conferences (the next round is scheduled May 1), and by bringing attention to our cause by holding rallies and demonstrations, Students for Peace and Security hopes to focus, within the peace movement, on the political aspects of the Soviet threat — not the emotional dimensions of a nuclear war.

The moral burden for defending the integrity of the Western world lies with the US. If Soviet and American defense capabilities are brought into line, and if American public opinion is unified, the threat of a nuclear war will diminish, and peace — the reduction of global tensions between the two superpowers — will have been achieved.

Melanie Sturm and Ian Ballon, who attend Tufts University, are on the national steering committee of Students for Peace and Security

MARK A. LOVEDAY  
WOOLVERSTONE HOUSE  
PINE GROVE, BISHOPS STORTFORD  
HERTS, ENGLAND  
phone (0279) 52160

work:  
COALITION FOR PEACE THROUGH SECURITY

(over)



# Students for Peace and Security

Boston  
P.O. Box 98  
Tuft Station  
Medford, Massachusetts 02153  
(617) 666-1545

England  
Coalition of Peace Through Security  
Arrow House  
27-31 Whitehall  
London SW1 England

## MATERIALS AVAILABLE

- "Questions and Answers: Formulating a Foreign Policy that will Achieve Peace and Security in the Free World," SPS basic brochure.
- Melanie Sturm and Ian Ballon, "Students for Peace but not the Freeze," reprinted from the Christian Science Monitor.
- "Against the Freeze," New York Times lead editorial, October 31, 1982.
- Reader's Digest reprint on "Gas Warfare in Laos: Communism's Drive to Annihilate a People."
- High Frontier Study--a summary.
- AFL-CIO Executive Committee on Strategic Arms Control.
- "Time to Back Away," editorial against the freeze, June 11, 1982 Wall Street Journal.
- Richard Pipes, "Why the Soviet Union Thinks it Could Fight and Win a Nuclear War" from Commentary magazine.
- Frank Chapple, "The False Promise of Nuclear Peace," from Reader's Digest (British) on the Soviet connection to the freeze movement.
- Vladimir Bukovsky, "The Soviet Union and the Peace Movement," Commentary magazine.
- "Up in Central Park," Wall Street Journal, June 15, 1982.
- U.S. Department of State, "The Nuclear Freeze," April 1982.
- U.S. Department of State, "Chemical Warfare in Southeast Asia and Afghanistan," 31 page booklet.
- U.S. Department of State, "Paths Toward Peace: Deterrence and Arms Control," (the text of the President's November 22, 1982 address to the nation).
- U.S. Department of State Policy Paper, "Preserving Nuclear peace in the 1980's," June 22, 1982.
- "In Search of Peace: American Initiatives, 1946-1982," U.S. Arms Control and Disarmament Agency booklet.

1. Arms Control and the Nuclear Freeze Proposal, April 1982
2. U.S. Arms Control Policy, July 1982
3. Arms Control and NATO INF Modernization, July 1982
4. START Proposal, July 1982
5. US-Soviet Comparative Charts
6. Presidential Speeches and Statements
  - U.S. Program for Peace and Arms Control, November 18, 1981
  - Arms Control and the Future of East-West Relations, May 9, 1982
  - Preserving Freedom, June 11, 1982
  - Agenda for Peace, June 17, 1982
  - Statement on the opening of START, June 25, 1982
  - Letter to Amb. Rowny, June 25, 1982
7. The New Republic, "How to Prevent Nuclear War," by Charles Krauthammer
8. The Washington Post, "Freeze and Anti-Freeze," by William G. Hyland, 4/2/82
9. The Christian Science Monitor, "The Two Freezes," by Robert R. Bowie, 3/26/82
10. The Washington Post, "Hysteria," by Richard Cohen, 6/8/82
11. The Wall Street Journal, "Peddling Nuclear Fear," 3/22/82
12. The New York Times, "Poll Shows Nuclear Freeze Backed if Soviet Doesn't Gain," by Judith Miller, 5/30/82
13. The New York Times, "Nuclear Freeze: 'Junk Thought'," by Benjamin J. Stein, 5/5/82
14. Los Angeles Times, "Sorting Out the Nuclear Confusion," by William G. Hyland, 4/13/82
15. Los Angeles Times, "Thinking the Unthinkable Takes Logic," by Jody Powell, 3/14/82
16. The Washington Post, "Cooling the Freeze," editorial, 8/4/82
17. The Washington Post, "A Nuclear Freeze - or a Cut?," by Edward L. Rowny, 3/21/82
18. "Nuclear Freeze," by Richard Burt, Director, Bureau of Politico-Military Affairs, Department of State
19. "A Freeze on Nuclear Weapons?," an interview with Senator Mark O. Hatfield (R-Ore), and Richard R. Burt, Director, Bureau of Politico-Military Affairs, Department of State, U.S. News and World Report, 4/5/82
20. The New Republic, "It's a Start," May 26, 1982
21. Testimony of Professor Hans A. Bethe to the Senate Foreign Relations Committee on May 13, 1982
22. The Washington Post, "Nuclear Freeze: It's Not That Simple," by R. James Woolsey, 3/17/82
23. The Washington Post, "A Nuclear Freeze," by Harold Brown, 3/28/82
24. Message of Pope John Paul II, Second Special Session of the General Assembly Devoted to Disarmament, New York, June 11, 1982
25. Unofficial Status Report on Soviet Disarmament Group, September, 1982



# Students for Peace and Security

**Boston**

P.O. Box 98

Tuft Station

Medford, Massachusetts 02153

(617) 666-1545

**England**

Coalition of Peace Through Security  
Arrow House

27-31 Whitehall

London SW1 England

## The KGB Connections

**A feature-length film  
distributed for  
educational use  
by The Committee  
for the Free World**

The KGB Connections, produced by three young Canadian filmmakers and originally televised by the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation, is an illuminating investigation into Soviet espionage activities in North America.

The only documentary of its kind available to the public, the film examines the entire range of KGB operations in the United States—from the theft of technological and industrial secrets to “disinformation” in the press; from penetration of the United Nations staff to covert support for extremist radical groups; from the infiltration of “illegals” to the recruitment of agents at the highest levels of the American government.

The film's startling revelations are based on exclusive interviews with former KGB officers and Communist operatives, among them: the highest-ranking Soviet official ever to defect from the United Nations Secretariat; the one-time KGB station chief in Prague who was the real author of a book on the CIA that American journalists accepted as a bona fide source of information; and the remarkable Hedde Massing, a top recruiter for Soviet intelligence who first met Alger Hiss while they were both trying to enlist the same man as a Soviet spy.

The KGB Connections can be obtained for college use and is suitable for both classroom and general student audiences. The film is one hour and forty minutes in length and is available in a 16mm version as well as on videotape cassette.

~~The rental charge is \$40.00; a deposit of \$100.00, to be refunded upon return of the film in proper condition, is required.~~

FREE OF CHARGE TO ALL DULY  
RECOGNIZED SPS CHAPTERS

Dana,

Rule Britannia!

my former volunteer, a former leader in the  
Federated Conservative Students:

Mark Loveday  
Wolverstone House  
Pine Grove, Bishops Stortford  
Herts, England  
phone 0 279 52169

his office:  
The Coalition for Peace Through Security  
27/31Whitehall  
London SW1A 2BX  
England  
01 839 3951


My two friends newly elected as Conservative MP's

Edward Leigh (pronounced Lee)  
Simon Coombs

both available at Commons, Leigh also at CPTS.

I think you met Edward. Simon is husband to my  
good friend Cathy Coe Coombs, until recently  
national treasurer of Young Republicans.

Edward hosted Paul Weyrich and me as we and  
other Yanks did a workshop for (lower case)  
conservatives in London two years ago.



# WITHDRAWAL SHEET

Ronald Reagan Library

*Collection Name*

BLACKWELL, MORTON: FILES

*Withdrawer*

KDB 6/7/2010

*File Folder*

COALITION FOR PEACE THROUGH SECURITY

*FOIA*

F09-0043/01

STRAUSS, C

*Box Number*

9076

5

---

*DOC Document Type*

*No of*

*Doc Date*

*Restric-*

*NO Document Description*

*pages*

*tions*

---

1 LETTER

4 10/27/1982 B6

JACK ABRAMOFF TO F. LEONARD HOLIHAN

---

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]

B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]

B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]

B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]

B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]

B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]

B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]

B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.