## Ronald Reagan Presidential Library Digital Library Collections

This is a PDF of a folder from our textual collections.

Collection: Press Secretary, White House Office of: Press Releases and Press Briefings

Folder Title: Press Releases: 9345 11/18/1985

**Box:** 118

To see more digitized collections visit: <a href="https://reaganlibrary.gov/archives/digital-library">https://reaganlibrary.gov/archives/digital-library</a>

To see all Ronald Reagan Presidential Library inventories visit: <a href="https://reaganlibrary.gov/document-collection">https://reaganlibrary.gov/document-collection</a>

Contact a reference archivist at: <a href="mailto:reagan.library@nara.gov">reagan.library@nara.gov</a>

Citation Guidelines: <a href="https://reaganlibrary.gov/citing">https://reaganlibrary.gov/citing</a>

National Archives Catalogue: <a href="https://catalog.archives.gov/">https://catalog.archives.gov/</a>

### THE WHITE HOUSE

# Office of the Press Secretary (Geneva, Switzerland)

For Immediate Release

November 18, 1985

INTERVIEW OF LABASSADOR AMBASSADOR ARTHUR A. HARTMAN BY CNN

Motel Intercontinental Geneva, Switzerland

9:40 A.M. (L)

Q Ambassador Hartman, can you give me some sense -- you've been involved in these discussions -- of what the President's mood is and what the delegation's mood is as you await Mr. Gorbachev's arrival?

AMBASSADOR HARTMAN: Well, the mood is one of expectation. This has -- it's been a long time since we've met with a Soviet leader. The President has been wanting to meet with Soviet leaders for some time; three have died during his term of office. And he's looking forward to this meeting where he thinks that he will be able to escablish a relationship and try and get our bilateral relationship, at least, on a more constructive basis.

Q You used the term "establish a relationship." Larry Speakes, the White House spokesman, has talked about a fundamentally new relationship, and yet, you sit in Moscow as the Ambassador -- the relationship exists and has existed for a long time. What does that mean "establish" --

AMBASSADOR HARTMAN: Well, when I said "establish," I meant a personal relationship between the two where they're communicating with each other and, perhaps, understanding each other better than they have been just through the letters that they've exchanged. But I think in terms of what we're looking for between the two countries, we'd like to break through some of these problems that we've been discussing for so long and see whether we can't make some progress in these negotiations. The Soviets, after all, have only come in with a proposal within the last month and a half and those negotiations had essentially been stymied and now we're looking for -- hopefully, some new impulse to those negotiations so we can get going.

Q What is the mood in Moscow as you observe it there? What do you see the Soviets and Mr. Gorbachev wanting and needing out of this summit?

AMBASSADOR HARTMAN: Well, I think it's bad to start talking in terms of need. Quite frankly, I think that portrays a situation that doesn't exist. I think basically the Soviet leadership is looking at its internal situation, its -- Mr. Gorbachev has just come to power, he's looking at how he can consolidate that power, and he's looking at what policies he has to follow in order to do something about an economy that's essentially stagnating, and where real change is necessary. And I think he probably knows that, but he also knows it's going to be very difficult to bring change to the kind of whole system that they have had, which is central control of a broadly based economy and they found you can't do it.

Q When you say consolidate power, we hear that term from Kremlin watchers saying -- to suggest that he is not as powerful as some of the past leaders have been -- that he has not reached that stage; he's in control, but doesn't have the full grasp, is that what you're saying?

AMBASSADOR HARTMAN: Yes. Well, since Stalin's day you've had what is -- really amounts to a kind of collective leadership -- coalition of forces within the Soviet Union. It took Brezhnev perhaps ten years of his 18 years in power to get to the height of control that he had and the height of power that he had in the 70's. Gorbachev has actually moved much quicker than I think many observers thought in replacing people in the Politburo and now he has an opportunity before this congress that comes in February to make changes -- significant changes -- in the central committee of the communist party, which really runs the country.

Q Is that more of a key for Gorbachev than is this summit? Is that a more important date -- the February party congress?

AMBASSADOR HARTMAN: Yes. I really can't say, in his terms what's more important --

Q How about in your terms?

AMBASSADOR HARTMAN: In my terms, I will look at that February congress very closely, because I think in terms of the long run, history of that country and its ability to function in the rest of the world, that congress is going to be important -- not so much for what the congress does, but what it indicates about future Soviet policy.

Q What does this summit do for Gorbachev in terms of the congress?

AMBASSAMOR HARTMAN: I think what the summit does -- and he's had a progression of meetings with world leaders -- is to show that he can, for the communist party and for Soviet leadership, deal with the outside world. And I think it was necessary for him to have a meeting with the President of the United States before that Congress. What that means in terms of the success or failure or progress in this meeting, I can't tell you -- tell you better on Thursday.

Q The Soviets have, in advance of the summit, agreed to release a number of Soviet citizens who are married to Americans, a couple of cases of dual citizenship -- clearly, a gesture of some sort, but is -- what do you make of that? Is it anything more than a gesture?

AMBASSADOR HARTMAN: Well, I should caution on that that we have only heard this to one of our officers in Moscow. To my knowledge, the individuals themselves have not been notified. So I can't tell whether there are any conditions attached or exactly what this means. If this list of people, in fact, are able to leave, we would consider that a positive development, but I should point out that there are many others in exactly the same situation. We have been told in the past that permissions have been held up because of security reasons. There's a man who wants to join his wife and has been separated from her for 50 years and I really find it difficult to believe that it's a security situation that prevents that.

Q You say that you've only heard it through one of the officers in the embassy being told but --

AMBASSADOR HARTMAN: The foreign officer.

o -- by the foreign officer, but --

AMBASSADOR HARTHAN: It wasn't really an official thing. And the next step is for the Soviets to notify the individuals involved that they should come in and get their papers in order.

Q How much of a cautionary signal are you trying to

send here? Are you -- it happened?

AMBASSADOR HARTHAM: I'm just saying that in the past I have seen people disappointed and therefore I don't like to base myself on anything other than an official move by the Soviet government to the individual involved.

Q Is this a distraction from the essential elements of the summit?

AMBASSADOR HARTMAN: Human rights problems I don't think are a distraction at all. I think that's one of the fundamental things that we'll be discussing here.

Q But in the sense that, if it does come about, that the Soviets have said -- look what we're doing; we're not holding these people back. How do you make the point that --

AMBASSADOR HARTMAN: Well, I think it's --

Q -- indeed, there are so many more.

ANBASSADOR HARTMAN: No, I think it's important. We have tried over the last period of time -- and the President has made this quite clear -- that he would like, in a quiet way, to discuss some of these matters. In fact, I'm sure you've noticed the Soviets have a different tactic toward these problems now, too. They've taken the offensive; they're quite aggressive in returning our charges and saying that the United States is guilty of civil rights and human rights violations. We question that, but we welcome the debate. In our society these things are not hidden away. So, if they want to debate it with us publicly, that's fine. But we want some progress on cases that we think fall clearly under the obligations that the Soviet government has accepted in Helsinki and elsewhere.

 ${\tt Q}\,{\tt Do}$  we have any indication of any move on such cases as Scharansky, Sakharov?

AMBASSADOR HARTMAN: None that I've heard, no.

Q Let me expand that question in terms of what the Soviets do to get attention. We all see this public relations effort somewhat different, far more expansive here than at previous summits. What do you make of that, and are they succeeding?

AMBASSADOR HARTMAN: I personally don't think it's succeeding; I think it's new, it's different. It's newsworthy in that sense because there's no other news here except that the Soviets are treating these matters in a different way. But I think if you examine carefully what they're saying, Soviet positions haven't changed and so the difficulties that we have with them on human rights or regional problems -- Afghanistan, for example -- were, indeed, on their proposals in Geneva which we think are rather one-sided. The positions have not changed, so they're selling a position that is rather difficult to sell. And I think sooner or later people get over the newness of the technique and begin to look, once again, at the substance.

Same old soap in a fancier box?

AMBASSADOR HARTMAN: Perhaps. But we hope that during this meeting, actually though, we're going to be able to make some progress and maybe the propagandas haven't quite caught up with the real positions. That's our hope.

- Q Same old Politburo in a better tailored suit?

  AMBASSADOR HARTMAN: Possibly.
  - Q Is Mr. Gorbachev --

AMBASSADOR HARTMAN: He's younger, he's a member of a new generation, he's not the fellow who represents what we've been accustomed to. And that's been clear in our conversation with him. He's a -- he doesn't like long speeches; he likes to have really vigorous exchanges, as the Secretary said -- they were very vigorous -- and I think our President ought to welcome that, too.

Q Let me just ask one final thing. There are a lot of agreements that are in the discussion stage -- civil aviation, consular, cultural -- the President talks about swapping TV programs and soccer stars. How much of that is likely to occur here?

AMBASSADOR HARTMAN: Some of it will occur. I can't tell you how much. All of that, it seems to me, is part of the background and perhaps helped move the relationship in a more constructive direction. Neither side considers it to be the sort of fundamental thing that they'd like to get agreement on. And that's what the President's going to be focusing on.

So you don't want us to count papers?

AMBASSADOR HARTMAN: I wouldn't count papers, no. That's a 1970's trick.

Q Ambassador Hartman, thank you very much.

9:50 A.M. (L)

### THE WHITE HOUSE

### Office of the Press Secretary (Geneva, Switzerland)

For Immediate Release

November 18, 1985

INTERVIEW OF AMBASSADOR AMBASSADOR ARTHUR A. HARTMAN BY CNN

Motel Intercontinental Geneva, Switzerland

9:40 A.M. (L)

Q Ambassador Hartman, can you give me some sense -- you've been involved in these discussions -- of what the President's mood is and what the delegation's mood is as you await Mr. Gorbachev's arrival?

AMBASSADOR HARTMAN: Well, the mood is one of expectation. This has -- it's been a long time since we've met with a Soviet leader. The President has been wanting to meet with Soviet leaders for some time; three have died during his term of office. And he's looking forward to this meeting where he thinks that he will be able to establish a relationship and try and get our bilateral relationship, at least, on a more constructive basis.

Q You used the term "establish a relationship." Larry Speakes, the White House spokesman, has talked about a fundamentally new relationship, and yet, you sit in Moscow as the Ambassador -- the relationship exists and has existed for a long time. What does that mean "establish" --

AMBASSADOR HARTMAN: Well, when I said "establish," I meant a personal relationship between the two where they're communicating with each other and, perhaps, understanding each other better than they have been just through the letters that they've exchanged. But I think in terms of what we're looking for between the two countries, we'd like to break through some of these problems that we've been discussing for so long and see whether we can't make some progress in these negotiations. The Soviets, after all, have only come in with a proposal within the last month and a half and those negotiations had essentially been stymied and now we're looking for -- hopefully, some new impulse to those negotiations so we can get going.

Q What is the mood in Noscow as you observe it there? What do you see the Soviets and Mr. Gorbachev wanting and needing out of this summit?

AMBASSADOR HARTMAN: Well, I think it's bad to start talking in terms of need. Quite frankly, I think that portrays a situation that doesn't exist. I think basically the Soviet leadership is looking at its internal situation, its -- Mr. Gorbachev has just come to power, he's looking at how he can consolidate that power, and he's looking at what policies he has to follow in order to do something about an economy that's essentially stagnating, and where real change is necessary. And I think he probably knows that, but he also knows it's going to be very difficult to bring change to the kind of whole system that they have had, which is central control of a broadly based economy and they found you can't do it.

Q When you say consolidate power, we hear that term from Kremlin watchers saying -- to suggest that he is not as powerful as some of the past leaders have been -- that he has not reached that stage; he's in control, but doesn't have the full grasp, is that what you're saying?

AMBASSADOR HARTMAN: Yes. Well, since Stalin's day you've had what is -- really amounts to a kind of collective leadership -- coalition of forces within the Soviet Union. It took Brezhnev perhaps ten years of his 18 years in power to get to the height of control that he had and the height of power that he had in the 70's. Gorbachev has actually moved much quicker than I think many observers thought in replacing people in the Politburo and now he has an opportunity before this congress that comes in February to make changes -- significant changes -- in the central committee of the communist party, which really runs the country.

Q Is that more of a key for Gorbachev than is this summit? Is that a more important date -- the February party congress?

AMBASSADOR HARTMAN: Yes. I really can't say, in his terms what's more important --

Q How about in your terms?

AMBASSADOR HARTMAN: In my terms, I will look at that February congress very closely, because I think in terms of the long run, history of that country and its ability to function in the rest of the world, that congress is going to be important -- not so much for what the congress does, but what it indicates about future Soviet policy.

Q What does this summit do for Gorbachev in terms of the congress?

AMBASSADOR HARTMAN: I think what the summit does -- and he's had a progression of meetings with world leaders -- is to show that he can, for the communist party and for Soviet leadership, deal with the outside world. And I think it was necessary for him to have a meeting with the President of the United States before that Congress. What that means in terms of the success or failure or progress in this meeting, I can't tell you -- tell you better on Thursday.

Q The Soviets have, in advance of the summit, agreed to release a number of Soviet citizens who are married to Americans, a couple of cases of dual citizenship -- clearly, a gesture of some sort, but is -- what do you make of that? Is it anything more than a gesture?

AMBASSADOR HARTMAN: Well, I should caution on that that we have only heard this to one of our officers in Moscow. To my knowledge, the individuals themselves have not been notified. So I can't tell whether there are any conditions attached or exactly what this means. If this list of people, in fact, are able to leave, we would consider that a positive development, but I should point out that there are many others in exactly the same situation. We have been told in the past that permissions have been held up because of security reasons. There's a man who wants to join his wife and has been separated from her for 50 years and I really find it difficult to believe that it's a security situation that prevents that.

Q You say that you've only heard it through one of the officers in the embassy being told but --

AMBASSADOR HARTMAN: The foreign officer.

Q -- by the foreign officer, but --

AMBASSADOR HARTMAN: It wasn't really an official thing. And the next step is for the Soviets to notify the individuals involved that they should come in and get their papers in order.

Q How much of a cautionary signal are you trying to

send here? Are you -- it happened?

AMBASSADOR HARTHAM: I'm just saying that in the past I have seen people disappointed and therefore I don't like to base myself on anything other than an official move by the Soviet government to the individual involved.

Q Is this a distraction from the essential elements of the summit?

AMBASSADOR HARTMAN: Human rights problems I don't think are a distraction at all. I think that's one of the fundamental things that we'll be discussing here.

Q But in the sense that, if it does come about, that the Soviets have said -- look what we're doing; we're not holding these people back. How do you make the point that --

AMBASSADOR HARTMAN: Well, I think it's --

Q -- indeed, there are so many more.

ANDASSADOR HARTMAN: No, I think it's important. We have tried over the last period of time — and the President has made this quite clear — that he would like, in a quiet way, to discuss some of these matters. In fact, I'm sure you've noticed the Soviets have a different tactic toward these problems now, too. They've taken the offensive; they're quite aggressive in returning our charges and saying that the United States is guilty of civil rights and human rights violations. We question that, but we welcome the debate. In our society these things are not hidden away. So, if they want to debate it with us publicly, that's fine. But we want some progress on cases that we think fall clearly under the obligations that the Soviet government has accepted in Helsinki and elsewhere.

Q Do we have any indication of any move on such cases as Scharansky, Sakharov?

AMBASSADOR HARTMAN: None that I've heard, no.

Q Let me expand that question in terms of what the Soviets do to get attention. We all see this public relations effort somewhat different, far more expansive here than at previous summits. What do you make of that, and are they succeeding?

AMBASSADOR HARTMAN: I personally don't think it's succeeding; I think it's new, it's different. It's newsworthy in that sense because there's no other news here except that the Soviets are treating these matters in a different way. But I think if you examine carefully what they're saying, Soviet positions haven't changed and so the difficulties that we have with them on human rights or regional problems -- Afghanistan, for example -- were, indeed, on their proposals in Geneva which we think are rather one-sided. The positions have not changed, so they're selling a position that is rather difficult to sell. And I think sooner or later people get over the newness of the technique and begin to look, once again, at the substance.

Same old soap in a fancier box?

AMBASSADOR HARTMAN: Perhaps. But we hope that during this meeting, actually though, we're going to be able to make some progress and maybe the propagandas haven't quite caught up with the real positions. That's our hope.

- Q Same old Politburo in a better tailored suit?

  AMBASSADOR HARTMAN: Possibly.
- Q Is Mr. Gorbachev --

AMBASSADOR HARTMAN: He's younger, he's a member of a new generation, he's not the fellow who represents what we've been accustomed to. And that's been clear in our conversation with him. He's a -- he doesn't like long speeches; he likes to have really vigorous exchanges, as the Secretary said -- they were very vigorous -- and I think our President ought to welcome that, too.

Q Let me just ask one final thing. There are a lot of agreements that are in the discussion stage -- civil aviation, consular, cultural -- the President talks about swapping TV programs and soccer stars. How much of that is likely to occur here?

AMBASSADOR HARTMAN: Some of it will occur. I can't tell you how much. All of that, it seems to me, is part of the background and perhaps helped move the relationship in a more constructive direction. Neither side considers it to be the sort of fundamental thing that they'd like to get agreement on. And that's what the President's going to be focusing on.

Q So you don't want us to count papers?

AMBASSADOR HARTMAN: I wouldn't count papers, no. That's a 1970's trick.

Q Ambassador Hartman, thank you very much.

9:50 A.M. (L)