Ronald Reagan Presidential Library Digital Library Collections

This is a PDF of a folder from our textual collections.

Collection: President, Office of the: Presidential

Briefing Papers: Records, 1981-1989

Folder Title: 11/03/1981(Case File: 046912)

Box: 9

To see more digitized collections visit:

https://reaganlibrary.gov/archives/digital-library

To see all Ronald Reagan Presidential Library inventories visit:

https://reaganlibrary.gov/document-collection

Contact a reference archivist at:

reagan.library@nara.gov

Citation Guidelines: https://reaganlibrary.gov/citing

1900/

ID#0469/2

DR

WHITE HOUSE OFFICE OF RECORDS MANAGEMENT WORKSHEET

| of the INTERNAL | | | Sı. | | 0 - 1 |
|--|----------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------------|
| ∠ H · INTERNAL | | P | . 1 | plect | Codes: |
| Name of Document: BRIEFING PAPERS PRESIDENT'S SCH APPOINTMENTS F | EDULED V | | HU | 01 | 5 |
| Subject: 1- Former Sena | to Se | luruel | 140 | 01 | 3.30 |
| bill sessat | t voli | ing right | | | 29 |
| 27 Secorel Private me | eling e | irth King | FG | 01 | 0.02 |
| 3- Bruferg Memorar Pres. "Fall Offensine" | nclum | for the | RH | 03 | 3.03 |
| 4- A Cabinet Council | m Com | merce and | BE | 00 | 5 |
| trade. | · | | | | 1 |
| 5- the Excellency arch Primate of the Great | A | | LE | · | |
| in with and south | - | | | | |
| 6- Sen. Howevel Baker | ^ | | | | |
| Mc Chure, Ser. Pete | Dames | ici, lex. | | | |
| Bob Michel, Rep. Ti | m Brez | fluill, and | | | |
| Rep. Bud Brown to | clisens | s phanel | | | |
| deregulation of natur | - | | | | |
| 7- Sen. Howard Barker | , Sen, | Strem Thur | man, | | |
| and Sen. Onin Hate | h to | leeus | | | |
| Vesting Rights act. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| ROUTE TO: | A | CTION | DISI | POSITI | ON |
| Office/Agency (Staff Name) | Action Code | Tracking Date YY/MM/DD | Type of Response | Code | Completion Date YY/MM/DD |
| RMMATT . | RSZ | 81,11,09 | the state was the contract of | \mathcal{L} | 81,11,09 |

WASHINGTON

THE PRESIDENT'S SCHEDULE Tuesday, November 3, 1981

| 9:00 am (30 min) | Staff Time (Baker, Meese, Deaver) | Oval Office |
|----------------------|---|----------------------|
| 9:30 am (20 min) | Meeting with former Sen. Ed Brooke re Voting Rights Bill (Melvin Bradley) (available | Oval Office in a.m.) |
| 10:00 am (30 min) | Personal Staff Time | Oval Office |
| 10:30 am (45 min) | Second Meeting with King Hussein (Richard Allen) | Oval Office |
| 11:15 am (10 min) | Departure Statements (Richard Allen) | C-9 Station |
| 11:30 am (30 min) | Personal Staff Time | Oval Office |
| 12:00 m (90 min) | Working Luicheon (Richard Dirman/Craig Fuller) (TAB A) | Cabinet Room |
| 1:45 pm (15 min) | Meeting with Archbiship Iakovos, Primate of the Greek Orthodox Church in North and South America (Elizabeth Dole) (TAB B) | Oval Office |
| 2:00 pm (30 min) | Personal & aff Time | Oval Office |
| 2:30 pm (90 min) | Meeting with Cabinet Council on Commerce and Trade (Craig Fuller) (TAB C) | Cabinet Room |
| 4:00 pm (30 min) | Haircut | W. Basement |
| 4:30 pm (30 min) | Meeting with Selected Members of Senate and House re phased decontrol of gas (Max Friedersdorf) (Senators Vaker, Domenici and McClure; (TAB D) | Oval Office |
| 5:00 pm (20 min) | Cong. Bud Frown, Broyhill and Michel) Meeting with Senators Baker, Hatch and Thurmond re Voting Rights Bill (Max Friedersdorf) (TAB E) | Oval Office |
| 5:30 pm (30 min) | (Max Friedersdorf) (available in a | Oval Office |
| 6:00 pm | To the Residence | Residence |

WASHINGTON November 3, 1981

MEETING WITH: Former Senator Edward Brooke

LOCATION: Oval Office

TIME: November 3, 1981

9:30 a.m. - 9:50 a.m.

FROM: Melvin Bradley

<u>PURPOSE</u>: To meet with Senator Brooke to discuss the Voting Rights Bill. The meeting provides a forum for the President to be briefed on the Voting Rights Bill from the perspective of black Americans.

BACKGROUND: On November 4, the President will meet with the Cabinet to discuss the Voting Rights Bill. Prior to the Cabinet meeting the President will meet with certain members of the House and Senate to receive their comments regarding the bill. It was suggested that the President receive more comments from the perspective of black Americans. Senator Brooke was asked to brief the President in this regard.

PARTICIPANTS: Senator Edward Brooke, Mel Bradley

TALKING POINTS: Your meeting with Senator Brooke is essentially a listening session.

- o You will meet with the Cabinet tomorrow, November 4, 1981. A decision will be made on the Voting Rights Bill.
- o You will announce your decision Thursday, November 5, 1981.
- o Senator Brooke's comments will probably center on:
 - A. The requirement of specific proof of intent to discriminate... also known as the "Effects" test, the "Purpose" test.
 - B. The bill's bailout provisions.
 - C. Pre-clearance requirements.

WASHINGTON

BRIEFING MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: "FALL OFFENSIVE"

Tuesday, November 3, 1981 12:00-1:30 (90 minutes)

The Cabinet Room

From: RICHARD G. DARMAN C. C.

CRAIG L. FULLER C

I. PURPOSE

The purpose of the meeting is to continue yesterday's discussion of the Fall Offensive in the light of revised economic forecasts.

II. AGENDA

12:15-12:30 Political Context

| | Reactions | to | proposed | cuts | Stockman |
|--|-----------|----|----------|------|----------|
|--|-----------|----|----------|------|----------|

-- Domenici Plan Stockman

-- Second Continuing Resolution Stockman

12:30-12:45 -- Tax situation and possible Regan

evolution

12:45-1:15 Tax Options Regan

1:15-1:30 Issues

-- How to steer or respond to Baker, Domenici et al.

-- What to do <u>re</u> 2nd continuing resolution

III. PARTICIPANTS

The President
Secretary Regan
Director Stockman
Edwin Meese III
James A. Baker III
Michael K. Deayer

Martin Anderson Richard G. Darman Craig L. Fuller Max Friedersdorf David R. Gergen Murray L. Weidenbaum

WASHINGTON October 20, 1981

MEETING WITH His Excellency Archbishop Iakovos

Primate of the Greek Orthodox Church

in North and South America

DATE November 3, 1981

LOCATION Oval Office TIME 1:45-2:00 pm

FROM: Elizabeth H. Dol

I. PURPOSE To receive His Excellency, Archbishop Iakovos, who wishes to pay a courtesy call and offer the prayers and best wishes of the Greek American community.

II. BACKGROUND Archbishop Iakovos is the head of the Greek Orthodox Church in North and South America and, as such, is the spiritual leader of 5.5 million people. Most Greek Orthodox live in the New York-Connecticut-D.C. area, but there are also ten other diocese located throughout the United States, Canada (Toronto), and South America (Buenos Aires).

Archbishop Iakovos is 70 year old and has served as Primate for 22 years. He is a former President of the World Council of Churches and currently serves as Chairman of the Standing Conference of Orthodox Bishops in America (SCOBA). The Archbishop has been active in both the civil rights and ecumenical movements, and last year was awarded the Medal of Freedom by President Carter.

- III. PARTICIPANTS Archbishop Iakovos, Fr. Alex Karloutsos, Tom Korologos, Jack Burgess (Office of Public Liaison)
- IV. PRESS PLAN Photo/writing pool
- V. SEQUENCE OF EVENTS
 - 1:45 pm Archbishop Iakovos and the others will enter the Oval Office where you will greet them and escort the Archbishop to the chairs in front of the fireplace.
 - 1:50 pm After you and the Archbishop are seated, the press pool will enter for a photo opportunity and then depart.
 - 2:00 pm The Archbishop will thank you for the visit and the party will depart the Oval Office.

Attachment: Talking Points

SUGGESTED TALKING POINTS FOR MEETING WITH ARCHBISHOP IAKOVOS

NOTE: (According to protocol, Archbishop Iakovos can be addressed either as "Your Excellency" or simply "Excellency."

His assistant can be called "Father Alex.")

(On a personal note, Archbishop Takovos is an avid fan of the Boston Red Sox baseball team. Father Alex is a Yankee fan, should you wish to console him over their loss to the Dodgers in the World Series).

Regarding the new government in Greece, we are conducting "business as usual" with the Greek government and not responding to any of Mr. Papandreou's public statements on U.S. - Greek issues. You might ask how the Archbishop feels the Orthodox Church will fare under the new government.

With the new Papandreou government, it is more important than ever for Americans with ties to Greece to emphasize the fundamental interests which unite our two countries. We hope this Administration can continue to merit the support of Greek Americans.

We know that the resolution of the Cyprus problem is a matter of great concern to many in the Greek Orthodox Church. We have been working actively, primarily behind the scenes, to foster a negotiated solution between Greek and Turkish Cypriots.

 \boldsymbol{C}

D

1

WASHINGTON

November 2, 1981

MEETING WITH SENATOR HOWARD BAKER, SENATOR

JAMES MCCLURE, SENATOR PETE DOMENICI, REPRESENTATIVE
BOB MICHEL, REPRESENTATIVE JIM BROYHILL AND

REPRESENTATIVE BUD BROWN

DATE: Tuesday, November 3, 1981

LOCATION: The Oval Office

TIME: 4:30 p.m. (30 minutes)

FROM: Max L. Friedersdorf

I. PURPOSE

To discuss with the Senate and House leadership the legislative considerations regarding your decision to send a phased deregulation of natural gas bill to Congress.

II. BACKGROUND

The Cabinet Council on Resources and Environment has recommended to you that natural gas prices be deregulated gradually over a three year period. The details of how that will be achieved are now being worked out. Your decision on this matter was postponed until you met with members who had requested an opportunity to discuss this issue with you.

On November 5 and 6 , the Senate Energy and Natural Resources Committee will be holding oversight hearings on the Natural Gas Policy Act (NGPA). Chairman McClure is intending to use these hearings to show how unnecessarily complicated natural gas regulatory policy is now, how it is restraining incentives to discover and market new ntaural gas, and how the current law fails to achieve what the Congress originally intended when it enacted the NGPA -- deregulation of natural gas by 1985.

It is his intention, we are told, to set the stage for your phased deregulation announcemnt. He intends to use these hearings to show the Congress the deficiencies of the Act and then convince them of how your recommendation corrects those deficiencies and moves towards a deregulated marketplace, by the originally intended date -- 1985.

The Senators will probably be concerned that any announcement you make interact constructively with their hearings.

They are concerned that if you decide to send up a bill and you announce your decision before the hearings, all of the time during the hearings will be spent discussing your recommendation and not the deficiencies of the Act. This would create problems for your main witness, Secretary Edwards, since the legal and substantive details on the bill are still being worked out and would not be available by November 5.

If it is your decision to proceed with legislative proposals consistent with the Cabinet Council recommendation, it is important that the Congress begin to move on a bill this year. There is a strong sense of urgency among both Senate and House Republican proponents of deregulation because of the anticipated fly-up of costs in mid 1984 and because of the political risks of waiting until we get too close to the 1982 elections.

Our problem in this regard is that the Democrats set the agenda in the House and none of the Democrat leadership is enthusiastic about opening up the NGPA. Republican leaders on the Energy and Commerce Committee believe that we can overcome Democrat reticence, however, and full Committee Ranking Jim Broyhill (R-NC) and his Fossil and Synthetic Fuels Subcommittee ranking, Bud Brown (R-OH), are likely to recommend that you meet with Committee Chairman John Dingell (D-MI) for the purpose of communicating personally the importance of opening up the issue this year.

III. PARTICIPANTS

The President
Secretary James Edwards
Senator Howard Baker
Senator James McClure
Senator Pete V. Domenici
Representative Bob Michel
Representative Jim Broyhill
Representative Bud Brown

Staff

Ed Meese Martin Anderson Max Friedersdorf

IV. PRESS PLAN

None

V. SEQUENCE OF EVENTS

The Senators and Congressmen will enter the Northwest Gate into the West Lobby and be escorted into the Oval Office.

Attachment: Talking Points

SUGGESTED TALKING POINTS FOR MEETING WITH SENATOR HOWARD BAKER, SENATOR JAMES MCCLURE AND SENATOR PETE DOMENICI, REPRESENTATIVE BOB MICHEL, REPRESENTATIVE JIM BROYHILL AND REPRESENTATIVE BUD BROWN

- -- I have received from the Cabinet Council on Resources and Environment a recommendation to send a phased, 3 year deregulation of natural gas bill to the Congress.
- -- I have not yet made the decision to do that -- I wanted to talk to you about it first.
- -- Deregulation of natural gas is, as you know, a campaign commitment of mine which I intend to fulfill. It also makes sense now because of the problems created by the Natural Gas Policy Act and the predicament the Act is getting us into -- the closer we get to 1985, the stronger the inevitable political pressure will be to extend controls long after 1985.
- -- I need to know what you think is the best time and method of making a recommendation to the Congress.
- -- I need, most of all, to get your views on the chances of moving the bill through the Congress this year and your individual commitment to helping to achieve that end.

WASHINGTON

BRIEFING MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: "FALL OFFENSIVE"

Tuesday, November 3, 1981 12:00-1:30 (90 minutes)

The Cabinet Room

12:07-

CRAIG L. FULLER C

I. PURPOSE

The purpose of the meeting is to continue yesterday's discussion of the Fall Offensive in the light of revised economic forecasts.

II. AGENDA

12:15-12:30 Political Context

| Reactions | to | proposed | cuts | Stockman |
|---------------|----|----------|------|----------|
| | | | | |

-- Domenici Plan Stockman

-- Second Continuing Resolution Stockman

12:30-12:45 -- Tax situation and possible Regan

evolution

12:45-1:15 Tax Options Regan

1:15-1:30 Issues

- -- How to steer or respond to Baker, Domenici et al.
- -- What to do <u>re</u> 2nd continuing resolution

III. PARTICIPANTS

| -The | Presi | dent |
|------|-------|------|
| | | |

⁻Secretary Regan

SEC. BALDRIOGE

David R. Gergen

Murray L. Weidenbaum

- SPEAKES

_ Director Stockman

⁻ Edwin Meese III

⁻ James A. Baker III

⁻ Michael K. Deaver

⁻ Martin Anderson

⁻ Richard G. Darman

⁻Craig L. Fuller

__Max Friedersdorf

WASHINGTON

November 2, 1981

MEETING WITH SENATE MAJORITY LEADER HOWARD BAKER,

SENATOR STROM THURMOND (R-S.C.) AND SENATOR

ORRIN HATCH (R-UTAH)

DATE:

Tuesday, November 3, 1981

LOCATION:

The Oval Office

TIME:

5:00 PM (20 minutes)

FROM:

Max L. Friedersdorf

I. PURPOSE

To discuss and develop the Administration's position regarding the extension of the Voting Rights Act with the Senate Republican Leaders.

II. BACKGROUND

The House of Representatives passed an extension of the Voting Rights Act on October 5, 1981, by a vote of 389 yeas to 24 nays. During consideration of the bill, several amendments were offered by Republicans to modify the bill; however those amendments were overwhelmingly defeated. The House Republicans have indicated a willingness to work closely with the Administration to help improve the bill in the Senate or in conference. Improvements which they would recommend include: further easing of the bail-out requirements; venue changes to allow a jurisdiction to bring a bail-out suit in a local Federal district court rather than in the District Court for the District of Columbia; and modification of the language assistance (bilingual) provisions.

On October 14, 1981, Senator Robert Byrd (Minority Leader) used procedural tactics to keep the House-passed voting rights bill (H.R. 3112) from being referred to the Senate Judiciary Committee. The bill is now on the Senate calendar and could be called up for consideration at any time. Senator Thurmond, Chairman of the Senate Judiciary Committee, indicated at that time that his Committee will hold hearings on the Voting Rights Act whether the legislation is referred to it or not. Although Thurmond has stated publicly that he favors a nationwide extension of the Voting Rights Act, he is aware that this position is not one likely to be supported by a majority of the Senate. The Senate Republican Leadership apparently has convinced Thurmond that extension of the Voting Rights Act with modified bail-out provisions is the only viable approach to this legislation.

STROM THURMOND, S.C., CHAIRMAN
CHARLES MCC. MATHIAS, JR., MD.
PAUL LAXALT. NEV.
ORRIN G. HATCH. UTAH
ROBERT DOLE. KANS.
ALAN K. SIMPSON, WYO.
JOHN EAST. N.C.
CHARLES E. GRASSLEY. IDWA
JEREMIAH DENTON, ALA.
ARLEN SPECTER. PA.

JOSEPH R. BIDEN, JR., DEL.
EDWARD M. KENNEDY, MASS.
ROBERT C. BYRD, W. VA.
HOWARD M. METZENBAUM, OHIO
DENNIS DECONCINI, ARIZ.
PATRICK J. LEAHY, VT.
MAX BAUCUS, MONT,
HOWELL HEFLIN, ALA.

VINTON DEVANE LIDE, CHIEF COUNSEL, QUENTIN CROMMELIN, JR., STAFF DIRECTOR

United States Senate

COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY WASHINGTON. D.C. 20510
October 22, 1981

The President
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20500

045107

Dear Mr. President:

As the Republican members of the Subcommittee on the Constitution of the Committee on the Judiciary, we would like to inform you of the plans relative to actions on the Voting Rights Act.

First, we believe that it is necessary to dispel the reports that there is a movement in the Committee to impede fair consideration of legislation of this nature. This is not the case. The Voting Rights Act of 1965 has had a most salutary effect in increased voter participation, and it is our intention to insure complete and continued protection of the unhindered right of all people to register and to vote.

We do believe, however, that fundamental fairness requires a thorough and exhaustive examination of all of the issues surrounding this matter, and that is our goal. In this light, beginning on January 13, 1982, we shall begin a series of eight hearings on Voting Rights. We shall urge all persons to withhold judgment on final consideration of any one particular approach until the Subcommittee on the Constitution has completed its hearings. We especially ask that you give attention to the hearings and utilize the findings in your deliberations.

Respectfully,

Orrin G. Hatch

Strom Thurmon

Charles E. Grassley

The Republican Members of the Subcommittee on the Constitution, of the Senate Judiciary Committee, wrote on October 22, 1981 to inform you of their planned actions on the Voting Rights Act. Senator Hatch, Chairman of the Subcommittee, will begin a series of eight hearings on this issue on January 13, 1982. The Subcommittee Members stated that they "will urge all persons to withhold judgment on final consideration of any one particular approach until the Subcommittee has completed its hearings." However, staff have indicated that this letter was not intended to foreclose the Administration from setting forth its position on the Voting Rights Act prior to those hearings. (A copy of the letter is attached.)

III. PARTICIPANTS

The President Senate Majority Leader Howard Baker Senator Strom Thurmond Senator Orrin Hatch

Staff

Edwin Meese Max Friedersdorf Fred Fielding

IV. PRESS PLAN

White House photographer only.

V. SEQUENCE OF EVENTS

Senators enter the Oval Office for informal discussion on the Voting Rights Act for 20 minutes.

Attachment A. Talking Points

Attachment B. October 22 letter from Republican Members of Subcommittee on the Constitution of the Senate Judiciary Committee

WASHINGTON

TALKING POINTS ON VOTING RIGHTS ACT
FOR MEETING WITH SENATORS BAKER, THURMOND, AND HATCH
TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 3, 1981

BACKGROUND ON THE ACT

- -- The Act contains two separate sets of provisions:
 - (a) the permanent provisions, which generally forbid interfering with the right to vote on account of race, and which apply nationwide. Under a Supreme Court ruling handed down only last year, violation of the permanent provisions requires a showing of unlawful purpose.
 - (b) the temporary or so-called "special" provisions which require certain jurisdictions (principally in the South, but with the addition of the language minority provisions, elsewhere as well) to pre-clear all changes in electoral procedures with the Attorney General or the Federal District Court in Washington. The submitting jurisdiction must satisfy the Attorney General that the proposed change has neither the purpose nor the "effect" of discriminating on account of race.

Covered jurisdictions were originally given an opportunity to "bail out" of the pre-clearance requirement by 1970. That was later extended (twice) until 1982.

THE HOUSE-PASSED BILL (H.R. 3112)

- -- Extended the time when covered jurisdictions could apply for bail-out from 1982 to 1984, in order to cover this decade's reapportionments. We have no objection to this.
- -- Added new conditions that must be met before a bail-out order could be issued and made those conditions permanent. Some jurisdictions were shown in the House hearings to be foot-dragging, but the new bail-out requirements are to be imposed on all. The Department of Justice questions the fairness of the new bail-out test.

-- Amended the permanent provisions of the Act to impose a "results" test nationwide. This goes beyond the constitutional standard of intent set down by the Supreme Court only last year. Proponents of this change argue that intent is too difficult to prove and too easy to disguise. But, in sharp contrast to the evidentiary record amassed by Congress in 1965, there is no evidence in the House record this time to support the extension of a results test to non-covered jurisdictions throughout the nation. The Department of Justice strongly objects to this change.

DISCUSSION

- -- The Administration (and the GOP) want to avoid the political accusation that we seek to "weaken" the Voting Rights Act. Objectively speaking, the House bill goes substantially beyond current law, and the delicate task is to effect such changes as we can in the House bill without at the same time appearing to "water down" needed legal protections.
- -- Pursuit of the foregoing strategy depends heavily on the ability of Senators Baker and Thurmond to reach a general agreement.
- -- Senator Thurmond's actual position is probably a good deal more flexible than his prior public statements may suggest. Senator Baker has been generally "liberal" on the Act.
- -- One of the central difficulties with the current debate over revising and extending the Act is that the Act has been made into a major politicial symbol, whereas only lawyers for the most part are familiar with the implications of what the House did. Long-time Northern supporters of the Act, for example, may be unaware that the addition of a "results" test to the permanent provisions will subject their states and locales to possible litigation in which the legal test will be the same as that which now applies only to the specially covered jurisdictions.
- -- The House bill is being held at the Senate desk at the request of the majority leadership in order to prevent dilatory tactics on the part of the Senate Judiciary Committee. This means in theory that the bill could be called up at any time, but as a practical matter, the Committee will be given opportunity to conduct hearings for some reasonable

period of time. Properly conducted, those hearings can and should become the means through which the full implications of the House bill are brought to light.

-- It would be extremely beneficial if Senator Baker could assist in providing sufficient time to develop an adequate hearing record in the Senate -- it being understood that the Committee will conclude its deliberations by a date certain. It would also be wise if he could convey the Administration's concerns with the House bill in such a way as to avoid any appearance of "weakening" the Voting Rights Act.

Residence

Def

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

THE PRESIDENT'S SCHEDULE Tuesday, November 3, 1981

| 6.03 | Tuesday, November 3, 1981 | |
|---|---|----------------------|
| 9:05 2 9:05 2 9:00 am (30 min) | Staff Time (Baker, Meese, Deaver) | Oval Office |
| 9:30 am (20 min) | Meeting with former Sen. Ed Brooke re Voting Rights Bill (Melvin Bradley) 9:33 - 9:55 (available | Oval Office in a.m.) |
| 10:00 am (30 min) 10:25 | Personal Staff Time BUSH, Em , ALLEN | Oval Office |
| 10:30 am (45 min) | Second Meeting with King Hussein (Richard Allen) | Oval Office |
| /11:15 am (10 min) | Departure Statements (Richard Allen) | C-9 Station |
| 11: 23 am (30 min) //: 48 - 13 | Personal Staff Time 2:00 Sec. MAR BALDRIDGE | Oval Office |
| 12:00 m (90 min) | Working Luncheon /2:07- (Richard Darman/Craig Fuller) (TAB A) | Cabinet Room |
| 1:45 pm (15 min) | Meeting with Archbiship Iakovos, Primate of the Greek Orthodox Church in North and South America phoro opp. (Elizabeth Dole) (TAB B) | Oval Office |
| 2:00 pm (30 min) | LE ALME & DE Prospero - Z:19 Personal Staff Time | Oval Office |
| 2:3 8 pm — (90 min) | Meeting with Cabinet Council on Commerce and Trade - 4:00 (Craig Fuller) (TAB C) | Cabinet Room |
| 4:00 pm (30 min) | Haircut 4:05 - 4: 40 | W. Basement |
| 4:30 pm (30 min) | Meeting with Selected Members of Senate and House re phased decontrol of gas 4:45-5:3 (Max Friedersdorf) (Senators Baker, Domenici and McClure; (TAB D) Cong. Bud Brown, Broyhill and Michel) | Oval Office Ö |
| 5:00 pm (20 min) | Meeting with Senators Baker, Hatch and Thurmond re Voting Rights Bill 5:32 6:05 (TAB E) | Oval Office |
| 5:30 pm (30 min) | Congressional Time re Farm Bill (Available in a. | Oval Office m.) |

6:00 pm 6:17

To the Residence

WASHINGTON

November 3, 1981

MEETING WITH:

Former Senator Edward Brooke

LOCATION:

Oval Office

TIME:

November 3, 1981

9:30 a.m. - 9:50 a.m.

FROM:

Melvin Bradley

<u>PURPOSE</u>: To meet with Senator Brooke to discuss the Voting Rights Bill. The meeting provides a forum for the President to be briefed on the Voting Rights Bill from the perspective of black Americans.

BACKGROUND: On November 4, the President will meet with the Cabinet to discuss the Voting Rights Bill. Prior to the Cabinet meeting the President will meet with certain members of the House and Senate to receive their comments regarding the bill. It was suggested that the President receive more comments from the perspective of black Americans. Senator Brooke was asked to brief the President in this regard.

PARTICIPANTS: Senator Edward Brooke, Mel Bradley MEESE

TALKING POINTS: Your meeting with Senator Brooke is essentially a listening session.

- o You will meet with the Cabinet tomorrow, November 4, 1981. A decision will be made on the Voting Rights Bill.
- o You will announce your decision Thursday, November 5, 1981.
- o Senator Brooke's comments will probably center on:
 - A. The requirement of specific proof of intent to discriminate... also known as the "Effects" test, the "Purpose" test.
 - B. The bill's bailout provisions.
 - C. Pre-clearance requirements.

VISIT OF KING HUSSEIN OF THE HASHEMITE KINGDOM OF JORDAN

Second Private Meeting with King Hussein Tuesday, November 3, 10:30 a.m. Oval Office

10:30-

____The President ____The Vice President ____Secretary Haig ___Dick Allen

Ambassador Richard Viets

的。""我看到这一点,我们就会一点就会的感情,你们就是这些人,我们就是这个人,我们就是这个人,我们就是这个人,我们的人,我们就是这个人,我们就是这个人的人,不会

a construction of substantial particular problems and a contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction in

CABINET COUNCIL ON COMMERCE AND TRADE

PARTICIPANTS

November 3, 1981

The President

The Vice President

The Secretary of Commerce

The Secretary of State

The Secretary of the Treasury

The Secretary of Defense

The Attorney General

The Secretary of the Interior

The Secretary of Agriculture

The Secretary of Labor

The Secretary of Health and Human Services

The Secretary of Housing and Urban Development

The Secretary of Transportation

The Secretary of Energy

The Counsellor to the President

Ed Harper ---- The Director, Office of Management and Budget

The Chief of Staff

The U.S. Trade Representative

The Chairman, Council of Economic Advisers

Martin Anderson

Dennis Kass, Executive Secretary

For Presentation:

John Fowler, General Counsel, DOT

-Mark Fowler, Chairman, FCC-

John F. Lehman, Secretary of the Navy Harold Shear, Maritime Administrator

Darrell Trent, Deputy Secretary of Transportation

William Baxter, Assistant Attorney General

Sherman Unger, General Counsel, Department of

Commerce

Paul Robinson, U.S. Ambassador to Canada

Ray Waldmann, Assistant Secretary of Commerce

Emanuel Savas, Assistant Secretary of Housing and

Urban Development

Richard V. Allen Richard Darman Max Friedersdorf

Craig Fuller

- Dave Gergen-

Rich Williamson Kenneth Cribb, Jr.

Rutherford Poats Jim Jenkins Ed Gray

Pete Roussel

WASHINGTON October 20, 1981

MEETING WITH His Excellency Archbishop Iakovos

Primate of the Greek Orthodox Church

in North and South America

DATE November 3, 1981

LOCATION Oval Office TIME 1:45-2:00 pm

FROM: Elizabeth H. Dol

I. <u>PURPOSE</u> To receive His Excellency, Archbishop Iakovos, who wishes to pay a courtesy call and offer the prayers and best wishes of the Greek American community.

BACKGROUND Archbishop Iakovos is the head of the Greek Orthodox Church in North and South America and, as such, is the spiritual leader of 5.5 million people. Most Greek Orthodox live in the New York-Connecticut-D.C. area, but there are also ten other diocese located throughout the United States, Canada (Toronto), and South America (Buenos Aires).

Archbishop Iakovos is 70 year old and has served as Primate for 22 years. He is a former President of the World Council of Churches and currently serves as Chairman of the Standing Conference of Orthodox Bishops in America (SCOBA). The Archbishop has been active in both the civil rights and ecumenical movements, and last year was awarded the Medal of Freedom by President Carter.

- III. PARTICIPANTS Archbishop Iakovos, Fr. Alex Karloutsos, MKD Tom Korologos, Jack Burgess (Office of Public Liaison)
- IV. PRESS PLAN Photo/writing pool
- V. SEQUENCE OF EVENTS
 - 1:45 pm Archbishop Iakovos and the others will enter the Oval Office where you will greet them and escort the Archbishop to the chairs in front of the fireplace.

- 1:50 pm After you and the Archbishop are seated, the press pool will enter for a photo opportunity and then depart.
- 2:00 pm The Archbishop will thank you for the visit and the party will depart the Oval Office.

Attachment: Talking Points

SUGGESTED TALKING POINTS FOR MEETING WITH ARCHBISHOP IAKOVOS

NOTE: (According to protocol, Archbishop Iakovos can be addressed either as "Your Excellency" or simply "Excellency."

His assistant can be called "Father Alex.")

(On a personal note, Archbishop Iakovos is an avid fan of the Boston Red Sox baseball team. Father Alex is a Yankee fan, should you wish to console him over their loss to the Dodgers in the World Series).

Regarding the new government in Greece, we are conducting "business as usual" with the Greek government and not responding to any of Mr. Papandreou's public statements on U.S. - Greek issues. You might ask how the Archbishop feels the Orthodox Church will fare under the new government.

With the new Papandreou government, it is more important than ever for Americans with ties to Greece to emphasize the fundamental interests which unite our two countries. We hope this Administration can continue to merit the support of Greek Americans.

We know that the resolution of the Cyprus problem is a matter of great concern to many in the Greek Orthodox Church. We have been working actively, primarily behind the scenes, to foster a negotiated solution between Greek and Turkish Cypriots.

WASHINGTON .

November 2, 1981

MEETING WITH SENATOR HOWARD BAKER, SENATOR

JAMES MCCLURE, SENATOR PETE DOMENICI, REPRESENTATIVE

BOB MICHEL, REPRESENTATIVE JIM BROYHILL AND

REPRESENTATIVE BUD BROWN

DATE: Tuesday, November 3, 1981

LOCATION: The Oval Office

TIME: 4:30 p.m. (30 minutes)

FROM: Max L. Friedersdorf

I. PURPOSE

J. 45-8.36

To discuss with the Senate and House leadership the legislative considerations regarding your decision to send a phased deregulation of natural gas bill to Congress.

II. BACKGROUND

The Cabinet Council on Resources and Environment has recommended to you that natural gas prices be deregulated gradually over a three year period. The details of how that will be achieved are now being worked out. Your decision on this matter was postponed until you met with members who had requested an opportunity to discuss this issue with you.

On November 5 and 6, the Senate Energy and Natural Resources Committee will be holding oversight hearings on the Natural Gas Policy Act (NGPA). Chairman McClure is intending to use these hearings to show how unnecessarily complicated natural gas regulatory policy is now, how it is restraining incentives to discover and market new ntaural gas, and how the current law fails to achieve what the Congress originally intended when it enacted the NGPA -- deregulation of natural gas by 1985.

It is his intention, we are told, to set the stage for your phased deregulation announcemnt. He intends to use these hearings to show the Congress the deficiencies of the Act and then convince them of how your recommendation corrects those deficiencies and moves towards a deregulated marketplace, by the originally intended date -- 1985.

The Senators will probably be concerned that any announcement you make interact constructively with their hearings.

They are concerned that if you decide to send up a bill and you announce your decision before the hearings, all of the time during the hearings will be spent discussing your recommendation and not the deficiencies of the Act. This would create problems for your main witness, Secretary Edwards, since the legal and substantive details on the bill are still being worked out and would not be available by November 5.

If it is your decision to proceed with legislative proposals consistent with the Cabinet Council recommendation, it is important that the Congress begin to move on a bill this year. There is a strong sense of urgency among both Senate and House Republican proponents of deregulation because of the anticipated fly-up of costs in mid 1984 and because of the political risks of waiting until we get too close to the 1982 elections.

Our problem in this regard is that the Democrats set the agenda in the House and none of the Democrat leadership is enthusiastic about opening up the NGPA. Republican leaders on the Energy and Commerce Committee believe that we can overcome Democrat reticence, however, and full Committee Ranking Jim Broyhill (R-NC) and his Fossil and Synthetic Fuels Subcommittee ranking, Bud Brown (R-OH), are likely to recommend that you meet with Committee Chairman John Dingell (D-MI) for the purpose of communicating personally the importance of opening up the issue this year.

III. PARTICIPANTS



The President
Secretary James Edwards
Senator Howard Baker
Senator James McClure
Senator Pete V. Domenici
Representative Bob Michel
Representative Jim Broyhill
Representative Bud Brown

Staff

Ed Meese Martin Anderson Max Friedersdorf

STOCKMAN PRESS PLAN

None

IV.

WASHINGTON

November 2, 1981

MEETING WITH SENATE MAJORITY LEADER HOWARD BAKER,

SENATOR STROM THURMOND (R-S.C.) AND SENATOR

ORRIN HATCH (R-UTAH)

DATE:

Tuesday, November 3, 1981

LOCATION:

The Oval Office

TIME:

5:00 PM (20 minutes)

FROM:

Max L. Friedersdorf M. J.

I. PURPOSE

To discuss and develop the Administration's position regarding the extension of the Voting Rights Act with the Senate Republican Leaders.

II. BACKGROUND

The House of Representatives passed an extension of the Voting Rights Act on October 5, 1981, by a vote of 389 yeas to 24 nays. During consideration of the bill, several amendments were offered by Republicans to modify the bill; however those amendments were overwhelmingly defeated. The House Republicans have indicated a willingness to work closely with the Administration to help improve the bill in the Senate or in conference. Improvements which they would recommend include: further easing of the bail-out requirements; venue changes to allow a jurisdiction to bring a bail-out suit in a local Federal district court rather than in the District Court for the District of Columbia; and modification of the language assistance (bilingual) provisions.

On October 14, 1981, Senator Robert Byrd (Minority Leader) used procedural tactics to keep the House-passed voting rights bill (H.R. 3112) from being referred to the Senate Judiciary Committee. The bill is now on the Senate calendar and could be called up for consideration at any time. Senator Thurmond, Chairman of the Senate Judiciary Committee, indicated at that time that his Committee will hold hearings on the Voting Rights Act whether the legislation is referred to it or not. Although Thurmond has stated publicly that he favors a nationwide extension of the Voting Rights Act, he is aware that this position is not one likely to be supported by a majority of the Senate. Republican Leadership apparently has convinced Thurmond that extension of the Voting Rights Act with modified bail-out provisions is the only viable approach to this legislation.

The Republican Members of the Subcommittee on the Constitution, of the Senate Judiciary Committee, wrote on October 22, 1981 to inform you of their planned actions on the Voting Rights Act. Senator Hatch, Chairman of the Subcommittee, will begin a series of eight hearings on this issue on January 13, 1982. The Subcommittee Members stated that they "will urge all persons to withhold judgment on final consideration of any one particular approach until the Subcommittee has completed its hearings." However, staff have indicated that this letter was not intended to foreclose the Administration from setting forth its position on the Voting Rights Act prior to those hearings. (A copy of the letter is attached.)

III. PARTICIPANTS

The President
Senate Majority Leader Howard Baker
Senator Strom Thurmond
Senator Orrin Hatch
A.G. Smith
Staff

Edwin Meese
Max Friedersdorf
Fred Fielding

Mike UHLMANN - OPD

Bean Requores - Justice

IV. PRESS PLAN

White House photographer only.

V. SEQUENCE OF EVENTS

Senators enter the Oval Office for informal discussion on the Voting Rights Act for 20 minutes.

Attachment A. Talking Points

Attachment B. October 22 letter from Republican Members of Subcommittee on the Constitution of the Senate Judiciary Committee

WASHINGTON

THE PRESIDENT'S SCHEDULE Tuesday, November 3, 1981

| 9:00 am (30 min) | Staff Time (Baker, Meese, Deaver) | Oval Office |
|----------------------|--|-----------------|
| 9:30 am (20 min) | Meeting with former Sen. Ed Brooke re Voting Rights Bill (Melvin Bradley) (available | |
| 10:00 am (30 min) | Personal Staff Time | Oval Office |
| 10:30 am (45 min) | Second Meeting with King Hussein (Richard Allen) | Oval Office |
| ll:15 am (10 min) | Departure Statements (Richard Allen) | C-9 Station |
| ll:30 am (30 min) | Personal Staff Time | Oval Office |
| 12:00 m (90 min) | Working Luncheon (Richard Darman/Craig Fuller) (TAB A) | Cabinet Room |
| 1:45 pm (15 min) | Meeting with Archbiship Iakovos, Primate of the Greek Orthodox Church in North and South America | Oval Office |
| | (Elizabeth Dole) (TAB B) | |
| 2:00 pm (30 min) | Personal Staff Time | Oval Office |
| 2:30 pm (90 min) | Meeting with Cabinet Council on Commerce and Trade (Craig Fuller) (TAB C) | Cabinet Room |
| 4:00 pm (30 min) | Haircut | W. Basement |
| 4:30 pm (30 min) | Meeting with Selected Members of Senate and House re phased decontrol of gas (Max Friedersdorf) (Senators Baker, Domenici and McClure; (TAB D) Cong. Bud Brown, Broyhill and Michel) | Oval Office |
| 5:00 pm (20 min) | Meeting with Senators Baker, Hatch and Thurmond re Voting Rights Bill (Max Friedersdorf) (TAB E) | Oval Office |
| 5:30 pm (30 min) | Congressional Time re Farm Bill (Max Friedersdorf) (available in a. | Oval Office m.) |
| 6:00 pm | To the Residence | Residence |

WASHINGTON

BRIEFING MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: "FALL OFFENSIVE"

Tuesday, November 3, 1981 12:00-1:30 (90 minutes)

The Cabinet Room

From:

RICHARD G. DARMAN . . .

CRAIG L. FULLER C

I. PURPOSE

The purpose of the meeting is to continue yesterday's discussion of the Fall Offensive in the light of revised economic forecasts.

II. AGENDA

12:15-12:30 Political Context

| 12:15-12:50 | Political Context | | | |
|-------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|----------|--|
| | | Reactions to proposed cuts | Stockman | |
| | | Domenici Plan | Stockman | |
| | | Second Continuing Resolution | Stockman | |
| 12:30-12:45 | | Tax situation and possible evolution | Regan | |
| 12:45-1:15 | Tax | Options | Regan | |
| 1:15-1:30 | Issu | es | | |
| | | | | |

- How to steer or respond to Baker, Domenici et al.
- What to do re 2nd continuing resolution

III. PARTICIPANTS

The President Secretary Regan Director Stockman Edwin Meese III James A. Baker III Michael K. Deaver MARY BALDRIDGE -

Martin Anderson Richard G. Darman Craig L. Fuller Max Friedersdorf David R. Gergen Murray L. Weidenbaum

WASHINGTON October 20, 1981

EMINENCE -

MEETING WITH His Excellency Archbishop Takovos
Primate of the Greek Orthodox Church

in North and South America

DATE November 3, 1981

LOCATION Oval Office TIME 1:45-2:00 pm

FROM: Elizabeth H. Dole

I. PURPOSE To receive His Excellency, Archbishop Takovos, who wishes to pay a courtesy call and offer the prayers and best wishes of the Greek American community.

II. BACKGROUND Archbishop Iakovos is the head of the Greek Orthodox Church in North and South America and, as such, is the spiritual leader of 5.5 million people. Most Greek Orthodox live in the New York-Connecticut-D.C. area, but there are also ten other diocese located throughout the United States, Canada (Toronto), and South America (Buenos Aires).

Archbishop Iakovos is 70 year old and has served as Primate for 22 years. He is a former President of the World Council of Churches and currently serves as Chairman of the Standing Conference of Orthodox Bishops in America (SCOBA). The Archbishop has been active in both the civil rights and ecumenical movements, and last year was awarded the Medal of Freedom by President Carter.

- III. PARTICIPANTS Archbishop Takovos, Fr. Alex Karloutsos, Tom Korologos, Jack Burgess (Office of Public Liaison)
- IV. PRESS PLAN Photo/writing pool
- V. SEQUENCE OF EVENTS
 - 1:45 pm Archbishop Iakovos and the others will enter the Oval Office where you will greet them and escort the Archbishop to the chairs in front of the fireplace.
 - 1:50 pm After you and the Archbishop are seated, the press pool will enter for a photo opportunity and then depart.
 - 2:00 pm The Archbishop will thank you for the visit and the party will depart the Oval Office.

SUGGESTED TALKING POINTS FOR MEETING WITH ARCHBISHOP IAKOVOS

NOTE: (According to protocol, Archbishop Iakovos can be addressed either as "Your Excellency" or simply "Excellency."

His assistant can be called "Father Alex.")

(On a personal note, Archbishop Iakovos is an avid fan of the Boston Red Sox baseball team. Father Alex is a Yankee fan, should you wish to console him over their loss to the Dodgers in the World Series).

Regarding the new government in Greece, we are conducting "business as usual" with the Greek government and not responding to any of Mr. Papandreou's public statements on U.S. - Greek issues. You might ask how the Archbishop feels the Orthodox Church will fare under the new government.

With the new Papandreou government, it is more important than ever for Americans with ties to Greece to emphasize the fundamental interests which unite our two countries. We hope this Administration can continue to merit the support of Greek Americans.

We know that the resolution of the Cyprus problem is a matter of great concern to many in the Greek Orthodox Church. We have been working actively, primarily behind the scenes, to foster a negotiated solution between Greek and Turkish Cypriots.

WASHINGTON

November 2, 1981

MEETING WITH SENATOR HOWARD BAKER, SENATOR JAMES MCCLURE, SENATOR PETE DOMENICI, REPRESENTATIVE BOB MICHEL, REPRESENTATIVE JIM BROYHILL AND REPRESENTATIVE BUD BROWN

Tuesday, November 3, 1981 DATE:

The Oval Office LOCATION:

4:30 p.m. (30 minutes) TIME:

Max L. Friedersdorf FROM:

I. PURPOSE

To discuss with the Senate and House leadership the legislative considerations regarding your decision to send a phased deregulation of natural gas bill to Congress.

II. BACKGROUND

The Cabinet Council on Resources and Environment has recommended to you that natural gas prices be deregulated gradually over a three year period. The details of how that will be achieved are now being worked out. Your decision on this matter was postponed until you met with members who had requested an opportunity to discuss this issue with you.

On November 5 and 6 , the Senate Energy and Natural Resources Committee will be holding oversight hearings on the Natural Gas Policy Act (NGPA). Chairman McClure is intending to use these hearings to show how unnecessarily complicated natural gas regulatory policy is now, how it is restraining incentives to discover and market new ntaural gas, and how the current law fails to achieve what the Congress originally intended when it enacted the NGPA -- deregulation of natural gas by 1985.

It is his intention, we are told, to set the stage for your phased deregulation announcemnt. He intends to use these hearings to show the Congress the deficiencies of the Act and then convince them of how your recommendation corrects those deficiencies and moves towards a deregulated marketplace, by the originally intended date -- 1985.

The Senators will probably be concerned that any announcement you make interact constructively with their hearings.

They are concerned that if you decide to send up a bill and you announce your decision before the hearings, all of the time during the hearings will be spent discussing your recommendation and not the deficiencies of the Act. This would create problems for your main witness, Secretary Edwards, since the legal and substantive details on the bill are still being worked out and would not be available by November 5.

If it is your decision to proceed with legislative proposals consistent with the Cabinet Council recommendation, it is important that the Congress begin to move on a bill this year. There is a strong sense of urgency among both Senate and House Republican proponents of deregulation because of the anticipated fly-up of costs in mid 1984 and because of the political risks of waiting until we get too close to the 1982 elections.

Our problem in this regard is that the Democrats set the agenda in the House and none of the Democrat leadership is enthusiastic about opening up the NGPA. Republican leaders on the Energy and Commerce Committee believe that we can overcome Democrat reticence, however, and full Committee Ranking Jim Broyhill (R-NC) and his Fossil and Synthetic Fuels Subcommittee ranking, Bud Brown (R-OH), are likely to recommend that you meet with Committee Chairman John Dingell (D-MI) for the purpose of communicating personally the importance of opening up the issue this year.

VICE PRESIDENT

III. PARTICIPANTS

The President
Secretary James Edwards
Senator Howard Baker
Senator James McClure
Senator Pete V. Domenici
Representative Bob Michel
Representative Jim Broyhill
Representative Bud Brown

Staff

Ed Meese Martin Anderson Max Friedersdorf

IV. PRESS PLAN

None

V. SEQUENCE OF EVENTS

The Senators and Congressmen will enter the Northwest Gate into the West Lobby and be escorted into the Oval Office.

Attachment: Talking Points

SUGGESTED TALKING POINTS FOR MEETING WITH
SENATOR HOWARD BAKER, SENATOR JAMES MCCLURE
AND SENATOR PETE DOMENICI, REPRESENTATIVE BOB MICHEL,
REPRESENTATIVE JIM BROYHILL AND REPRESENTATIVE
BUD BROWN

- -- I have received from the Cabinet Council on Resources and Environment a recommendation to send a phased, 3 year deregulation of natural gas bill to the Congress.
- -- I have not yet made the decision to do that -- I wanted to talk to you about it first.
- -- Deregulation of natural gas is, as you know, a campaign commitment of mine which I intend to fulfill. It also makes sense now because of the problems created by the Natural Gas Policy Act and the predicament the Act is getting us into -- the closer we get to 1985, the stronger the inevitable political pressure will be to extend controls long after 1985.
- -- I need to know what you think is the best time and method of making a recommendation to the Congress.
- -- I need, most of all, to get your views on the chances of moving the bill through the Congress this year and your individual commitment to helping to achieve that end.

E

WASHINGTON

November 2, 1981

MEETING WITH SENATE MAJORITY LEADER HOWARD BAKER,

SENATOR STROM THURMOND (R-S.C.) AND SENATOR

ORRIN HATCH (R-UTAH)

ATE: Tuesday, November 3, 1981

LOCATION: The Oval Office

TIME: 5:00 PM (20 minutes)

FROM: Max L. Friedersdorf /// /

I. PURPOSE

To discuss and develop the Administration's position regarding the extension of the Voting Rights Act with the Senate Republican Leaders.

II. BACKGROUND

The House of Representatives passed an extension of the Voting Rights Act on October 5, 1981, by a vote of 389 yeas to 24 nays. During consideration of the bill, several amendments were offered by Republicans to modify the bill; however those amendments were overwhelmingly defeated. The House Republicans have indicated a willingness to work closely with the Administration to help improve the bill in the Senate or in conference. Improvements which they would recommend include: further easing of the bail-out requirements; venue changes to allow a jurisdiction to bring a bail-out suit in a local Federal district court rather than in the District Court for the District of Columbia; and modification of the language assistance (bilingual) provisions.

On October 14, 1981, Senator Robert Byrd (Minority Leader) used procedural tactics to keep the House-passed voting rights bill (H.R. 3112) from being referred to the Senate Judiciary Committee. The bill is now on the Senate calendar and could be called up for consideration at any time. Senator Thurmond, Chairman of the Senate Judiciary Committee, indicated at that time that his Committee will hold hearings on the Voting Rights Act whether the legislation is referred to it or not. Although Thurmond has stated publicly that he favors a nationwide extension of the Voting Rights Act, he is aware that this position is not one likely to be supported by a majority of the Senate. The Senate Republican Leadership apparently has convinced Thurmond that extension of the Voting Rights Act with modified bail-out provisions is the only viable approach to this legislation.

The Republican Members of the Subcommittee on the Constitution, of the Senate Judiciary Committee, wrote on October 22, 1981 to inform you of their planned actions on the Voting Rights Act. Senator Hatch, Chairman of the Subcommittee, will begin a series of eight hearings on this issue on January 13, 1982. The Subcommittee Members stated that they "will urge all persons to withhold judgment on final consideration of any one particular approach until the Subcommittee has completed its hearings." However, staff have indicated that this letter was not intended to foreclose the Administration from setting forth its position on the Voting Rights Act prior to those hearings. (A copy of the letter is attached.)

III. PARTICIPANTS

The President
Senate Majority Leader Howard Baker
Senator Strom Thurmond
Senator Orrin Hatch

Staff

Edwin Meese Max Friedersdorf Fred Fielding

IV. PRESS PLAN

White House photographer only.

V. SEQUENCE OF EVENTS

Senators enter the Oval Office for informal discussion on the Voting Rights Act for 20 minutes.

Attachment A. Talking Points

Attachment B. October 22 letter from Republican Members of Subcommittee on the Constitution of the Senate Judiciary Committee

STROM THURMOND, S.C., CHAIRMAN

CHARLES MCC. MATHIAS, JR., MD. PAUL LAXALT. NEV. ORRIN G. HATCH, UTAH ROBERT DOLE. KANS. ALAN K. SIMPSON, WYO. JOHN EAST. N.C. CHARLES E. GRASSLEY, IOWA JEREMIAH DENTION. ALA. ARLEN SPECTER, PA.

JOSEPH R. BIDEN, JR., DEL.
EDWARD M. KENNEDY, MASS.
ROBERT C. BYRD. W. VA.
HOWARD M. METZENBAUM, OHIO
DENNIS DECONCINI, ARIZ.
PATRICK J. LEAHY, VT.
MAX BAUCUS. MONT.
HOWELL HEFLIN, ALA.

VINTON DEVANE LIDE, CHIEF COUNSEL, QUENTIN CROMMELIN, JR., STAFF DIRECTOR

United States Senate

COMMITTEE ON THE JÜDICIARY WASHINGTON. D.C. 20510
October 22, 1981

The President
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20500

045107

Dear Mr. President:

As the Republican members of the Subcommittee on the Constitution of the Committee on the Judiciary, we would like to inform you of the plans relative to actions on the Voting Rights Act.

First, we believe that it is necessary to dispel the reports that there is a movement in the Committee to impede fair consideration of legislation of this nature. This is not the case. The Voting Rights Act of 1965 has had a most salutary effect in increased voter participation, and it is our intention to insure complete and continued protection of the unhindered right of all people to register and to vote.

We do believe, however, that fundamental fairness requires a thorough and exhaustive examination of all of the issues surrounding this matter, and that is our goal. In this light, beginning on January 13, 1982, we shall begin a series of eight hearings on Voting Rights. We shall urge all persons to withhold judgment on final consideration of any one particular approach until the Subcommittee on the Constitution has completed its hearings. We especially ask that you give attention to the hearings and utilize the findings in your deliberations.

Respectfully,

Orrin G. Hatch

Strom Thurmond

Charles E. Grassley

WASHINGTON

TALKING POINTS ON VOTING RIGHTS ACT FOR MEETING WITH SENATORS BAKER, THURMOND, AND HATCH TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 3, 1981

BACKGROUND ON THE ACT

- -- The Act contains two separate sets of provisions:
 - (a) the <u>permanent</u> provisions, which generally forbid interfering with the right to vote on account of race, and which apply <u>nationwide</u>. Under a Supreme Court ruling handed down only last year, violation of the permanent provisions requires a showing of unlawful purpose.
 - (b) the temporary or so-called "special" provisions which require certain jurisdictions (principally in the South, but with the addition of the language minority provisions, elsewhere as well) to pre-clear all changes in electoral procedures with the Attorney General or the Federal District Court in Washington. The submitting jurisdiction must satisfy the Attorney General that the proposed change has neither the purpose nor the "effect" of discriminating on account of race.

Covered jurisdictions were originally given an opportunity to "bail out" of the pre-clearance requirement by 1970. That was later extended (twice) until 1982.

THE HOUSE-PASSED BILL (H.R. 3112)

- -- Extended the time when covered jurisdictions could apply for bail-out from 1982 to 1984, in order to cover this decade's reapportionments. We have no objection to this.
- -- Added new conditions that must be met before a bail-out order could be issued and made those conditions permanent. Some jurisdictions were shown in the House hearings to be foot-dragging, but the new bail-out requirements are to be imposed on all. The Department of Justice questions the fairness of the new bail-out test.

-- Amended the permanent provisions of the Act to impose a "results" test nationwide. This goes beyond the constitutional standard of intent set down by the Supreme Court only last year. Proponents of this change argue that intent is too difficult to prove and too easy to disguise. But, in sharp contrast to the evidentiary record amassed by Congress in 1965, there is no evidence in the House record this time to support the extension of a results test to non-covered jurisdictions throughout the nation. The Department of Justice strongly objects to this change.

DISCUSSION

- -- The Administration (and the GOP) want to avoid the political accusation that we seek to "weaken" the Voting Rights Act. Objectively speaking, the House bill goes substantially beyond current law, and the delicate task is to effect such changes as we can in the House bill without at the same time appearing to "water down" needed legal protections.
- -- Pursuit of the foregoing strategy depends heavily on the ability of Senators Baker and Thurmond to reach a general agreement.
- -- Senator Thurmond's actual position is probably a good deal more flexible than his prior public statements may suggest. Senator Baker has been generally "liberal" on the Act.
- -- One of the central difficulties with the current debate over revising and extending the Act is that the Act has been made into a major politicial symbol, whereas only lawyers for the most part are familiar with the implications of what the House did. Long-time Northern supporters of the Act, for example, may be unaware that the addition of a "results" test to the permanent provisions will subject their states and locales to possible litigation in which the legal test will be the same as that which now applies only to the specially covered jurisdictions.
- -- The House bill is being held at the Senate desk at the request of the majority leadership in order to prevent dilatory tactics on the part of the Senate Judiciary Committee. This means in theory that the bill could be called up at any time, but as a practical matter, the Committee will be given opportunity to conduct hearings for some reasonable

period of time. Properly conducted, those hearings can and should become the means through which the full implications of the House bill are brought to light.

-- It would be extremely beneficial if Senator Baker could assist in providing sufficient time to develop an adequate hearing record in the Senate -- it being understood that the Committee will conclude its deliberations by a date certain. It would also be wise if he could convey the Administration's concerns with the House bill in such a way as to avoid any appearance of "weakening" the Voting Rights Act.

WASHINGTON

MRS. REAGAN'S SCHEDULE

TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 3, 1981 - ELECTION DAY

Mrs. Reagan has no scheduled appointments or activities.