THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

February 3, 1984

MEMO TO MICHAEL K. DEAVER

FROM: Bill Sittmann

I spoke to Kimmitt about this. It is a nice idea but at the same time politically it may not look good. i.e. Warmonger

Notified Secorr

FD

OK

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20330

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

January 30, 1984

MEMORANDUM FOR THE HONORABLE MICHAEL K. DEAVER
DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF, THE WHITE HOUSE

Dear Mike:

This will confirm our recent conversation concerning the B-1. It now appears that it can rollout in September, 1984, as early as Labor Day.

We will not attempt to fly it the day it rolls out.

Rollout would be a good three or four months early and the program is running under budget. It's a good show piece.

It occurred to me that the President might want to officiate at the rollout, and the day after Labor Day might be ideal, particularly if he spends the holiday in California.

Rollout will be at Palmdale, California.

Sincerely,

Verne Orr

EYES ONLY

Milan David Bish
Ambassador of the United States of America
Bridgetown, Barbados

January 31, 1984

Dear

The Honorable
Michael K. Deaver
The White House
Washington, DC 20500

Dear Mike:

Thank you very much for the kindness you extended to me on January 9. Inviting me to see the President was very much appreciated.

As always, you can be assured that you have a friend in Milan Bish. If I can be of assistance to you in any way personally, please let me know. We have all gone through a lot together.

Sincerely yours,

Milan D. Bish
Ambassador

GRAPHNET
Electronic Mail Service Center
99 WEST SHEFFIELD AVENUE, ENGLEWOOD, NEW JERSEY 07631

FAX GRAM®

(201) 569-7707

C916 32-1 P807 26 02/01 04:21 RT DEL

file

TC
6A 01/30 23:25 E930 833-1 C071 46 01/31/84 08:23 CO
/ WGB833 SWU036 OXB115
UWNX CO AAMV 074
MELBOURNE VIC 74/72 31 1217

MR BEAVER
WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON DC 20500

DULCIE AND I ARE THRILLED THE PRESIDENT IS SEEKING A SECOND TERM STOP REACTION DOWNUNDER VERY GOOD STOP PLEASE CONVEY OUR WARMEST WISHES TO THE PRESIDENT AND MRS REAGAN STOP WE ARE NOW GETTING READY FOR THE NEXT BIG OCCASION AS HE WILL WIN EASILY STOP YOU PERSONALLY MUST ACCEPT MUCH CREDIT FOR YOUR OWN CONTRIBUTION TO THE SUCCESS OF TERM1 STOP BEST WISHES ALL
JOHN P YOUNG

COL 2024566475 1 P

NNNN

GARRICK ASSOCIATES, INC.
Public & Government Relations

233 South Euclid Avenue • Pasadena, California 91101 • (213) 577-2110

February 7, 1984

Personal

The Honorable Michael K. Deaver
Deputy Chief of Staff and
Assistant to the President
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mike:

Chuck Luckman is an old friend as well as a former client. He currently is working with me on a fund-raising effort for the Reagan-Bush '84 campaign.

I thought you should be aware of the enclosed correspondence Chuck had with Ed Meese and of his visit with the President.

Chuck has a unique ability to walk the line between adverse organizations and individuals and generate mutual understanding.

I just thought I would pass this on to you FYI.

Keep well and warm.

For the moment we are working with Gordon Luce to reach and pass the financial goal for Reagan-Bush '84. When that is done perhaps you will have some meaningful assignment for me.

We must win - again.

Most cordially,

Bob Garrick

RMG:fm

The Luckman Partnership, Inc.

Planning
Architecture
Engineering

9220 Sunset Boulevard
Los Angeles, California 90069
Telephone 213/274-7755

~~Confidential~~

January 26, 1984

GDB 4/14/11

Dear Ed:

The sad part of your life is no excitement, no challenges, no new fields to conquer. Too bad, but keep trying - and my warm congratulations, again!

Last Thursday George Allen and I had a chance to show the President our concept drawings for the new United States Fitness Academy. He was nice enough to express his compliments.

Reading of your reaching agreement with Stanford has caused me to inquire if you would consider throwing our hat into the hopper as architects.

We have done a lot of work over the years for both sides of the "controversial fence" at Stanford. On one side we designed the Hoover Institute, securing former President Hoover's approval just before he died for attaching it to his beloved Hoover Tower.

On the other side, we have worked with the faculty in the design of educational buildings, and with the Engineering faculty in designing the $200M Linear Accelerator Project on the campus.

Maybe we could be of help. It would be a pleasure and an honor.

With warmest good wishes on your new assignment.

Cordially,

Charles Luckman

The Honorable Edwin Meese III
Counsellor to the President
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20550

bcc: James M. Luckman

District Office and Home Address
1540 Greenleaf Avenue
Lake Forest, Illinois 60045
(312) 234-8454

Capitol Address
Stratton Office Building
Rooms 2009-2010
Springfield, Illinois 62706
(217) 782-6400

Republican Chairman
Illinois Conference of Women Legislators (COWL)

Illinois House of Representatives
Virginia Fiester Frederick
State Representative - 59th District

Secretary, Republican Caucus
Minority Spokesman
Local School District Reorganization Committee
Revenue Committee
Other committees:
Cities and Villages
Higher Education
Rape Study
Commission on Children

February 7, 1984

Mr. Michael K. Deaver
Assistant to the President
Deputy Chief of Staff
The White House
Washington, DC 20500

Dear Mr. Deaver:

I would like to thank you, first of all, for the consideration you and Mr. Ryan gave my October inquiry about becoming a member of President Reagan's delegation to China. While I fully understand the standard reasons Mr. Ryan gave for not including me, it is hard to appreciate why my China background and offer of help were considered unavailable and unnecessary in view of the size of the delegation which will be going to China with the President. I know my good friends in Peking will be surprised that I was not invited to come along.

My other reason for writing today is to comment on the article in last week's NEWSWEEK about the President's proposal for travelling around China in an American aircraft. The President should know that the Chinese are a very proud people and that such a demand by a visitor, even the President of the United States, represents a "loss of face" for the Chinese people. Since other visiting heads of government are accepting the full hospitality of the Chinese government, including their mode of travel, for President Reagan to do otherwise is to place an immediate and significant barrier in the upcoming talks between the People's Republic of China and the United States.

Unless it is too late, may I suggest that those of you in the White House who are planning the China trip should yield to the Chinese on the airplane matter and all

other matters of convenience, comfort and security, wherever it appears at all feasible to do so. Based on my six visits to mainland China since 1976, I know most Chinese officials consider all American officials to be arrogant and high handed already. In the interests of getting the most out of President Reagan's April trip, let us not perpetuate this impression unnecessarily.

Sincerely yours,

Virginia F. Frederick (lt)

Virginia Fiester Frederick
State Representative

VFF:lt

180045

TR

ER

November 8, 1983

Dear Representative Frederick:

Your letter requesting to be included as a member of the delegation visiting the People's Republic of China next April has been forwarded to the Office of Presidential Appointments and Scheduling.

We appreciate your willingness to serve as part of the official party that will be going with the President on his trip next spring. I regret I must tell you, however, it is standard policy for only White House staff members and State Department officers to accompany the President on official foreign visits.

Thank you for your interest, and your thoughtfulness of the President.

With best wishes,

Sincerely,

FREDERICK J. RYAN, JR.
Director, Presidential
Appointments and Scheduling

Ms. Virginia Fiester Frederick
State Representative - 59th District
Illinois House of Representatives
Stratton Office Building
Rooms 2009-2010
Springfield, IL 62706

bcc: Bill Sittmann
Margaret Tutwiler
Lee Verstandig

FJR/mrc

file

WILLIAM A. WILSON
10475 Bellagio Road
Los Angeles, California 90077
Telephone 270-3181

February 8, 1984

Mr. Michael K. Deaver
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mike:

I am enclosing a letter which was written to Lorena Nidorf and which is self-explanatory. I believe that Lorena spoke to Mrs. Reagan about this who, in turn, suggested that I send this letter to you for your information and any action you feel is appropriate.

It was good to see you in Washington last week and I hope to have an opportunity to see you while you are in California on your next trip.

With every best wish, I am,

Yours sincerely,

Bill

W. A. Wilson

WAW:sf
Enclosure

J. F. F. AUTOGRAPHS, INC.
25 MANHASSET AVENUE
PORT WASHINGTON, N.Y. 11050
~~516-883-8016~~

12/17/83

Mrs. Lorena Nidorf

Dear Miss Nidorf:

We are interested in purchasing letters written by President Ronald Reagan.

If you have any Reagan letters you care to sell, kindly send us a Xerox copy and we will quote you prices for same.

Sincerely,

J. F. F.

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

February 21, 1984
4:30 for 30 minutes

Participants:

Mike McManus
Mike Baroody
John Herrington

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

February 8, 1984

MMe
You cover?

MEMORANDUM FOR MIKE DEAVER

FROM: MIKE BARODY MB

SUBJECT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS SLOTS IN DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES

We have talked briefly in the past about my concerns about some of the Departmental Public Affairs operations.

The most important thing to focus on is how any future vacancies might be filled. In the past year some of these important positions have been filled by the Personnel Office here without consultation with us. For example, Stephanie Lee-Miller was appointed Assistant Secretary at HHS (and now holds a recess appointment) and Jayne Gallagher is the designee at HUD.

I think it would be extremely useful for you to call a meeting with John Herrington, Mike McManus, me and you to discuss how in the future we could find a better way to consult before such appointments are made.

We should definitely do this before any decisions are made about the Education Department, when Anne Graham's post opens up.

30 min.

✓ 4:30 am

2/21 ✓

cc: M. McManus
K. Reid

TAC INTERNATIONAL, INC.

1511 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005

ANNA C. CHENNAULT
PRESIDENT

Telex 64513
(202) 347-0516

file

February 9, 1984

The Honorable
Michael Deaver
Assistant to the President
The White House
Washington, D.C.

Dear Mike:

Enclosed is copy of clipping on the chef who will prepare our special dinner. Thought you might find it of interest.

Warmest regards,

Anna

Anna Chennault
Enclosure

I mis Feb 24th

Marysville
9 February 1984 -

Dear Michael,

All those people who are responsible for getting & appointing Ms. Chavez deserve to be pleased with themselves. If the general and specific sense of this article (which I expect you will have seen) is accurate, then she sounds just exactly like the kind of person we need: someone who can put the issues into a perspective that is related to a sense of what goes on in the real world.

No doubt, her critics will be mostly those people who sit around making up their own version of how the world ought to be and then finding ways to force everyone else to conform.

Good show and good luck!

I'm also still looking toward the day when we can sit around the dinner table and carry on a normal conversation.

Gordon

Gordon Taylor
214 Johnson Ave
Marysville, Calif.
95901

MARYSVILLE, CA 959
P.M.
19 FEB
1984

Mr. Michael Deaver
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave
Washington, D.C.
20500

Personal

EMBASSY OF THE UNITED STATES
OTTAWA, CANADA

February 10, 1984

The Honorable Michael K. Deaver
Deputy Chief of Staff
The White House
Washington, D.C.

Dear Mike:

Enclosed is a statement on the military balance taken from recent speeches which I hope will be of interest to you.

I trust all is well with you and hope to see you briefly when I am next in Washington in April.

With all best wishes,

Paul

Paul H. Robinson, Jr.
Ambassador

EMBASSY OF THE UNITED STATES
OTTAWA, CANADA

STATEMENT ON THE MILITARY BALANCE

FROM SPEECHES BY PAUL H. ROBINSON, JR.

UNITED STATES AMBASSADOR TO CANADA

In my travels across Canada, I have noted a broad range of views on the issue of national defense. A surprising number of people seem to underestimate the circumstances and the gravity of the world situation today. Others, who agree that this is a dangerous period in history, seem to think that these dangers are equally attributable to United States and to Soviet behavior. Therefore, I have felt that it would be useful, as U.S. Ambassador to Canada, to address some of these issues openly because they vitally affect the destinies of the people of both nations. As President Reagan has said, all of our defense efforts must be directed towards the preservation of peace and towards the prevention of a nuclear war that is not winnable, would not be confined to Europe alone and must never be allowed to occur. At a time when the Soviet Union has chosen to leave the negotiating table rather than seriously discuss proposals to lower intermediate and strategic nuclear force levels, it is well to recall events which have led us to the present anxious situation.

Over 40 years ago the Soviet Union embarked upon an unparalleled policy of territorial aggrandizement with the invasion of the Baltic States of Latvia, Lithuania and Estonia. Today, its policy remains the same. It will, of course, be remembered that four years ago the Soviet Union invaded Afghanistan. Today the Soviets have 110,000 troops in that country. In addition, its direct intervention in Poland by the imposition of martial law is fresh in our memories. Recently, we have also seen the use of Soviet surrogates in Angola, in Ethiopia and in South Yemen.

Over 20 years ago, following the Cuban missile crisis, the Soviet Union embarked upon an unprecedented military build-up, the likes of which has not been seen since the Nazi rearmament prior to the Second World War. Over the last ten years the Soviets have devoted twice as much of their Gross National Product to military expenditures as the United States. What has this produced in terms of the balance of conventional arms in the world today? The Soviets have 361 fleet submarines; that is three times what the U.S. has. The Soviets have 20,000 pieces of field artillery and mortars; that is four times what the U.S. has. The Soviets have 50,000 tanks and 62,000 armoured vehicles; that is five times what the U.S. has. In addition, the Soviets have us outnumbered two to one in tactical air. So it is two to one in tactical air; three to one in fleet submarines; four to one in field artillery and mortars and five to one in tanks and armoured vehicles. One final statistic; they have produced six times as many ICBMs as the United States in the last ten years. This is all declared for the world to see in a publication of the prestigious International Institute for Strategic Studies entitled THE MILITARY BALANCE. These are somber facts, but facts they are.

In these circumstances, the efforts of our allies assume even greater importance. We are pleased to see that Canada has turned the corner in appropriations for defense to the extent that last year's budget increased expenditures by 11.3 percent before inflation and that the Government of Canada has again reaffirmed its commitment for a three percent annual increase in defense expenditures net of inflation. However, Canada remains at the bottom of the NATO heap with Luxembourg and Iceland in terms of defense expenditures as a percentage of Gross National Product. We would hope that this shortfall will be remedied.

What is our policy? The United States and NATO have pursued a common defensive goal which is to maintain a credible deterrent force at the lowest possible level. What this means is that the NATO policy is a two-track policy of negotiation in good faith on the one hand and deployment to counterbalance Soviet armament and missiles on the other if these negotiations do not bear fruit. This NATO decision was made in December of 1979; deployment began in December 1983.

The Soviets have now terminated the INF negotiations in Geneva. They have also left the START negotiations in Geneva and have not agreed to a date for a renewal of these strategic talks. They have said that their reason for doing so is our planned deployment of 572 Pershing II and cruise intermediate missiles over a period of five years saying that these deployments are destabilizing. What then is the balance of intermediate range missiles in Europe today? The Soviets have 611 missiles in place in Europe today. Of these, 243 are MIRVed three times producing just under 1100 warheads in the Soviet arsenal. Pursuant to the NATO agreement we deployed 10 Pershing IIs and 15 cruise missiles in Europe in December 1983. These new missiles, when added to the 180 Pershing I's already in place (which will be removed as additional Pershing II's and Cruise Missiles are deployed) total 205 warheads. Therefore, the warhead ratio is 1100 to 205 or better than five to one. At the end of the deployment period the Soviet ratio would be two to one; 1100 to 572 if no additional Soviet missiles are deployed. Under these circumstances, anyone who says that deployment of the NATO intermediate range missiles in Europe is destabilizing, is either ignorant or lying.

Insofar as the strategic arms reduction talks are concerned, the President has said that our initial object is to reduce strategic warheads by one-third. This would amount to 5,000 warheads. He would also reduce launchers (ground, sea and air launched) by one-half by the end of the first phase of these negotiations. Moreover, it should be remembered that the President over a year ago suggested a zero-zero option which would remove all intermediate range missiles from the European continent. The Soviets objected to this as they would have had to remove 1100 to our 180 warheads. Insofar as battlefield weapons are concerned, it should be noted that four months ago we and our allies agreed to withdraw 1400 nuclear weapons from Western Europe. This was unilaterally decided and comes after removal of a thousand battlefield nuclear weapons from Europe three years ago. Even if all our planned intermediate range missiles have to be deployed in Europe, however, America's total nuclear weapons have declined by one-third in the past 20 years. In terms of total megatonnage (destructive power) our nuclear stockpile has been cut by 40 percent since 1970.

To further reduce the nuclear stockpile, the President has suggested what is termed a "build-down"; this is to say that two nuclear warheads must be removed for each new warhead in a more advanced system deployed. The long-range objective of this would be to remove nuclear weapons entirely.

The question remains as to whether or not the Soviets will return to the negotiating table. It certainly is in their interest as well as our own. The Soviet intransigency is a misguided attempt to influence elections in the United States and to paint the President as a "warmonger". This in spite of the fact that the Soviets are the ones who have unilaterally walked out on the INF talks while still preserving their overwhelming military strength in Europe. Furthermore, their strategy of attempting to influence elections will backfire with the American electorate just as it did in the German election of 1983.

The President has said that despite our differences the United States and the Soviet Union continue to share common interests, and that "foremost among them is to avoid war and reduce the level of arms." In January of this year the President, in a major address on Soviet-American relations, said that there is no rational alternative but to steer a course which he described as credible deterrence and peaceful competition. If we adopt this approach we might find areas in which we could engage in constructive cooperation. He further said that we must and will engage the Soviets in a dialogue "that will serve to promote peace in the troubled regions of the world, reduce the level of arms and build a constructive working relationship."

In any event, we look forward to the eventual removal of all nuclear weapons from the world scene. We will continue to deal with the Soviets in Vienna and Stockholm or anywhere in the world to bring about meaningful arms reduction and thereby forge a new basis for peace and understanding in the world.

Ottawa, February 1984

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

TO JACK COURTEMACHE

FROM: BILL SITTMANN

Michael K. Deaver would like your ideas on the attached subject.

THank you.

Bill
call Terry
& tell him
we can't do.

Bill -
this would be a mistake.
Rabbi Hier is trying to build this into a fund raiser.
Marshall Breger our Jewish liaison feels very strongly against including more. —

Jack

Bill. discuss with J. Courtemanche

February 13, 1984

TO : Mike

FROM: Terry Scanlon

The President meets with Simon Wiesenthal on Thursday, February 16, 1984 at 4:30 p.m. Some of the prominent Board members of the Simon Wiesenthal Center should be invited to that meeting. As many Jews as possible at the meeting could balance any fall out from the Mubarak-Hussein meeting this week.

The Center is the only truly apolitical Jewish organization--all of the others are identified as liberal or have famous liberal spokesmen (i.e., Hyman Bookbinder of the American Jewish Committee) --and the only organization that has not attacked the Administration on any issue.

The Center is the only organization national in scope that is based in California. It is the fastest growing Jewish organization (11,000 in 1977; almost 200,000 in 1984) and has the most up to date mailing list in the Jewish community.

The more Board members that meet the President the greater will be their support. (I attended the January 22nd meeting with several right to life leaders. This certainly is the case with us.) These people are primed to support the President both in terms of organization and in terms of money. But they need a little encouragement and the opportunity to meet the President is all that is needed.

Many thanks. Please call if you have questions (634-7771).

TD 2/15

FYI

Roland Arnall, Chairman REA Enterprises, Los Angeles, CA
Chairman Long Beach Savings & Loan

Sam Belzberg, Chairman of the Board, First City Trust
Vancouver, B.C.

William Belzberg, Chairman, Far West Financial Corp. and
Far West Savings & Loan, Beverly Hills, CA

Hyman and Hinda Belzberg, Calgary Oil, Inc. Calgary, B.C.

Ivan Boesky, Ivan F. Boesky Corp., New York, NY

Alan Casden, Chairman, President, The Mayer Group
Beverly Hills, CA

Alan Greenberg, Managing Partner, Bear Stearns & Co.
New York, NY

Rabbi Marvin Hier, Dean, Simon Wiesenthal Center
Los Angeles, CA

Ira Lipman, President Guardsmark, Inc., Memphis, TN

Martin Mendelsohn, Legal Counsel to Simon Wiesenthal and Simon
Wiesenthal Center, Washington, DC

Larry Mizel, President, MDC Corp., Denver, CO

Ronald Pearlman, President, McAndrews & Forbes, New York, NY

Martin Rosen, Rosen & Reade, Legal Counsel to Simon
Wiesenthal, New York, NY

William Weinberg, President, WK Corporation and La Societe
(Million Dollar Investors in Israel Bonds)

1771 N STREET, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF BROADCASTERS

EDWARD O. FRITTS
PRESIDENT
(202) 293-3516

February 14, 1984

The Honorable Michael K. Deaver
Deputy Chief of Staff and
Assistant to the President
The White House
Washington, DC 20500

Dear Mr. Deaver:

Thanks very much for taking time from your busy schedule to meet with us regarding the President's participation in our upcoming Convention.

Although we are disappointed he won't be able to make an appearance in person, we understand the constraints of his schedule following a trip abroad and we are pleased and grateful for the opportunity to chat with him live via satellite.

It was a pleasure to meet you and we look forward to working with you in the future on matters affecting the broadcasting industry.

Sincerely,

Eddie Fritts

cc: Mr. Michael A. McManus

LANE PUBLISHING CO.
SUNSET MAGAZINE - BOOKS - FILMS

L. W. LANE, JR.
Chairman of the Board
and
Publisher, Sunset Magazine

file

February 14, 1984

The Honorable Michael K. Deaver
Assistant to the President and
Deputy Chief of Staff
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mike:

I am very sorry that I will be a proud father attending my son's engagement dinner party this Friday night, February 17, instead of a proud Republican at a dinner in your honor in Los Angeles.

Otherwise I would be with my fellow CFTR assocaties to honor you at the "3rd Annual Ronald Reagan Dinner"! I am sending another contribution to CFTR to help that good cause.

I was sorry to miss joining a group of fellow Eagles at the Innauguration Anniversary Dinner in Washington to celebrate the President's "official" announcement of his candidacy -- I have been and will continue to give him and the Administration my maximum support, I can promise you.

On a more positive note, I will be at the White House next week on February 23 for a morning briefing and, I understand, luncheon with the President for a small group of publishers. I have sent my acceptance to Karna Small. I appreciate your hectic schedule, but hope you might be involved. It was nice to visit with you briefly at the dinner in San Francisco for Zhao Ziyang.

Very sincerely,

Bill Lane

LWLJr/kh

WILLOW & MIDDLEFIELD ROADS, MENLO PARK, CALIFORNIA 94025 TEL.(415) 321-3600

The Ward L. Quaal Company
401 North Michigan Avenue
Suite 3140
Chicago, Illinois 60611

Ward L. Quaal
President

Telephone
312/644-6066

February 7, 1984
Dictated 2/5/84
Los Angeles, Ca.

The Honorable Michael K. Deaver
Special Assistant to the President
and Deputy Chief of Staff
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, D.C. 20505

Dear Mike:

The enclosed clipping carrying a comment by the irresponsible and, obviously, unsuccessful coach of the Iowa Hawkeyes speaks for itself.

Here is a man so frustrated by his lack of success with his team to place the blame upon "three-man officiating", and then calling upon the Big Ten Conference to save the money and use it to help feed parts of the world. He completes his statement with a phrase "because we never give it to the people in America!".

I should like to suggest, Mike, that you have someone write this individual and tell him of the billions of dollars we are expending today, via the Reagan administration, to feed the poor and the ailing, and that the budget far exceeds that of any prior administration.

We have reached a very "low point" in our country when an athletic personality at one of our great universities will use his own shortcomings, and his own setbacks, to attack our country and our great President. Mike, I do hope you will have someone "follow through" and educate this irresponsible person.

Warmest personal regards.

Very sincerely,

Ward L. Quaal

WLQ/smj
Enclosure



WARD L. QUAAL
PRESIDENT
WESTERN FIELD SERVICE OFFICE, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, 213 277-9399

Morning Briefing

Officials' Calls Have Raveling Unraveling

George Raveling

In Iowa's 48-46 loss to Purdue Saturday, Hawkeyes Coach George Raveling was called for two technical fouls. He wasn't happy.

Afterward, he said: "It's a waste of money for three-man officiating. Let's get back to two-man officiating.

"Everybody is grappling with economics nowadays. Let's take the money we save and feed the kids in Syria, Lebanon or Biafra, because we never give it to the people in America."

Add Raveling: Asked how fans are reacting to Iowa's miserable start (2-7 in the Big Ten), he said: "People who are loyal and supportive, those in the majority, don't say anything. All you hear is the rough bar voice telling you don't know what you're doing. Somebody's telling you how to coach when you've been coaching for 22 years, and the most athletic thing they've done is jump to a conclusion."

Add Forgettable Quotes: Said Marlin Starling, predicting a win on the eve of Saturday's fight against WBA welterweight champion Donald Curry: "I hit and don't get hit."

Said Starling Saturday, explaining his loss to Curry: "He hit and didn't get hit."

Forgettable Quotes Cont'd: Said LSU basketball Coach Dale Brown last month: "Kentucky is one of the two or three best teams to ever play college basketball. They have all the ingredients."

After Saturday's loss to Alabama, Kentucky already is a three-time loser in the Southeastern Conference.

Is Patrick Ewing being overprotected from the media by Georgetown Coach John Thompson? Boston College Coach Gary Williams thinks so.

Williams told John Feinstein of the Washington Post: "I want my kids exposed to the press. They may get burned a time or two, but I think overall the experience is good for them. They're going to meet a lot of weirdos in the real world so why not let them talk to reporters?"

What does he mean by that?

Said Indiana Pacers Coach Jack McKinney, after Friday night's 125-106 loss to the Boston Celtics: "We had one large flat spot and it happened to cover the entire game."

Al McGuire told USA Today that he and Billy Packer are still buddies, even though they now work for rival networks.

McGuire: "I stayed at his house two nights ago. He left a bill. He throws nickels around like sewer covers."

For the Record: Virtually every wire story on Warren Moon credits him with leading the Edmonton Eskimos to five Grey Cup titles in the Canadian Football League. Not so.

Moon played on all five teams, but in his first two years, the No. 1 Edmonton quarterback was Tom Wilkinson. In the third year they shared the position, then Moon took over the last two years.

Quotebook

Trojans Fi

By MAL FLORENCE, *Times Staff Writer*

PULLMAN, Wash.—There are many ways to motivate a team and USC Coach Stan Morrison used the threat of a film to achieve his goal.

"The coach said that we would have to watch the Washington game tonight after playing Washington State," forward Ron Holmes said. "We *didn't* want to see that film again."

USC was routed by Washington, 79-47, Thursday night, but with the specter of the "horror film" providing some motivation, the Trojans rebounded to beat Washington State, 68-61, Saturday afternoon at Friel Court.

Holmes, a 6-5 junior, who admits he has been inconsistent, supplied the offense for the Trojans when they needed it. He scored a game-high 22 points on 7 of 10 shooting from the field and 8 of 8 from the free throw line.

For the game, USC made 18 of 21 foul shots—16 of 18 in the second half.

So the Trojans got a split in the Northwest and a monkey off their back. USC had lost 14 straight road games dating back to last season.

"The first thing we asked Coach Morrison when we came into the dressing room after today's game was whether we had to see the Washington film tonight," Holmes said. "He said we didn't and that we could go to a movie of our choice."

USC improved its Pacific 10 record to 4-5 (fifth place) by winning. The Trojans are 9-13 overall.

"We played a hard, intelligent game," Morrison said, "and I was really happy for Ron Holmes after his technical foul resulted in a five-point swing in the second half."

USC led, 49-43, midway through the second half when Holmes fouled WSU forward Mike Wurm on a shot attempt. It was Holmes' fourth foul and, when he complained about it, he was assessed with a technical

Cummings All

By JERRY CROWE, *Times Staff Writ*

Kirsten Cummings looked sharp Saturday in an aqua blouse, print skirt and lavender boots.

But her teammates on the Cal State Long Beach women's basketball team would have preferred a brown and gold outfit with white basketball shoes.

The Ward L. Quaal Company
401 North Michigan Avenue
Suite 3140
Chicago, Illinois 60611

Ward L. Quaal
President

Telephone
312/644-6066

February 10, 1984

The Honorable Michael K. Deaver
Assistant to the President
Deputy Chief of Staff
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, D.C. 20505

Dear Mike:

This note is tardy because it had been my hope that I would have had an opportunity to visit with you when in the White House on two occasions recently; namely, January 19 and January 26.

I want you to know, Mike, that our great President and I visited about you during the reception in behalf of the President's Council on Physical Fitness and Sports, on which I serve.

Mike, I think you know that fine mutual friend of ours, that great President, has tremendous confidence in you. In fact, at one point in our conversation he referred to the "great Mike". I thought you would like to know.

I have had the good fortune to know the President since the early 1940's. We began our careers the same way, in the general announcing and sports reporting field for Class 1-A clear channel stations. In those years I referred to him as "Dutch and he was with WHO, as you know so well, and I was at WGN in Chicago. Later, following World War II, working up through the ranks, I became President and served as General Manager of the national corporation, known as WGN Continental Broadcasting, for twenty years, with the last seventeen as President.

Throughout those years, I have enjoyed a wonderful relationship with Ron and Nancy and, of course, Nancy's dear parents, close friends of many, many years. In fact, Mike, forty-two years ago, I was on the air daily with Nancy's mother and,

Los Angeles, California 213/277-9399: 714/493-3316

of course, the late Dr. Loyal Davis and I were golf partners.

What is important in this communication is the fact that a fine and dedicated associate of Ronald Reagan is his new "communicator", and the President could not have acted more wisely. In that regard, Mike, I cannot stress adequately how firmly he believes in you and how confident he feels about everything you do.

As we move underway now with more intensity in the campaign of 1984, I am just one of many, many persons who are relieved that "you are there", Mike.

Kindest personal regards and heartiest congratulations and, concurrently, thank you for what you are doing for President Reagan and thank you for being such a fine American!

Very sincerely,

Ward L. Quaal

WLQ/smj