

Ronald Reagan Presidential Library  
Digital Library Collections

---

This is a PDF of a folder from our textual  
collections.

---

**Collection:** Deaver, Michael: Files  
**Folder Title:** January 1984 Outgoing (7)  
**Box:** 16

---

To see more digitized collections visit:

<https://reaganlibrary.gov/archives/digital-library>

To see all Ronald Reagan Presidential Library inventories visit:

<https://reaganlibrary.gov/document-collection>

Contact a reference archivist at: [reagan.library@nara.gov](mailto:reagan.library@nara.gov)

Citation Guidelines: <https://reaganlibrary.gov/citing>

National Archives Catalogue: <https://catalog.archives.gov/>

# WITHDRAWAL SHEET

## Ronald Reagan Library

**Collection Name** DEAVER, MICHAEL: FILES

**Withdrawer**

KDB 7/27/2011

**File Folder** JANUARY 1984 OUTGOING (7)

**FOIA**

F97-0066/19

**Box Number** 17

COHEN, D

17

DOC NO	Doc Type	Document Description	No of Pages	Doc Date	Restrictions
1	LETTER	DEAVER TO CORRESPONDENT	2	1/31/1984	B6
2	LETTER	TO DEAVER FROM PERSON SEEKING AIR FORCE COMMISSION	1	1/8/1984	B6

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

# THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

January 26, 1984

Dear Ms. Berne:

Thank you for your letter concerning the President's actions on issues of particular concern to women and their families.

This Administration has not sought to dismantle agencies and commissions which directly benefit women and their families. The Equal Employment Opportunity Commission continues to enforce equal pay and age discrimination laws. The President worked with Congress to reorganize the Civil Rights Commission; otherwise, the impasse in Congress could have allowed the authorization for the Commission to run out. The objectives of this independent panel -- as contained in its authorizing legislation -- remain the same as before.

President Reagan believes existing constitutional and legal guarantees can protect women from discrimination. In some cases, existing laws and regulations may discriminate against women. To date, the Justice Department has found 140 federal statutes with sex bias; legislation to correct most of these statutes will be a priority of the Administration during the current session of Congress. The President's Task Force on Legal Equity for Women will work to implement the work of the Justice Department.

I believe you will be interested in specific Administration initiatives that are of particular importance to women. As part of the President's economic program, the "marriage tax penalty" has been virtually eliminated and the "widow's tax" on surviving spouse's family property has been eliminated. New rules for individual retirement accounts allow women to contribute more to their retirement funds and receive the tax benefits afforded to all wage earners. Maximum child care tax credits have almost doubled and employees no longer pay taxes on employer contributions to day care. During the coming legislative session, this Administration will continue to support bills to ensure more equitable pension benefits for women.


The President has appointed more than 1,200 women to top government posts. As evidence of his commitment to full participation by women at the highest levels of government, the President appointed the first woman to the Supreme Court and currently presides over a Cabinet that includes three women: Margaret Heckler, Secretary of Health and Human

Services; Elizabeth Dole, Secretary of Transportation; and Jeane Kirkpatrick, Ambassador to the United Nations. In the White House, twice as many top jobs are held by women as during the Carter Administration.

While certain issues may be of particular interest to women, a strong economy benefits all Americans. For women in the workforce and in the home, lower taxes and inflation mean more purchasing power. The economy has revived and homes are more affordable. You may be interested to learn that the unemployment rate for women who head households is closing in on the January 1981 rate. An expanding economy means that working women are less threatened by layoffs and young women have more opportunities for starting their careers.

Again, thank you for your letter. I appreciated hearing of your concerns. If I can be of further assistance, please let me know.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Michael K. Deaver", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

MICHAEL K. DEEVER  
Assistant to the President  
Deputy Chief of Staff

Ms. Cynthia Wallace Berne  
323 Woodbridge Street  
Manchester, Connecticut 06040

rec'd 11/14/83

November - 9, 1983  
323 Woodbridge St  
Manchester, Ct.  
06040

Michael Deaver  
Deputy Chief of Staff  
The White House  
1600 Pennsylvania Ave  
Washington D.C.

184730

Dear Mr. Deaver,

I find it difficult to understand how President Reagan can continue to tout a record of support for women's issues while continuously working to dismantle several commissions and programs which directly benefit women and their families.

This incredible discrepancy between what the President says and does will not go unnoticed in the coming election. I do indeed still support women's economic rights. Let him show it by his actions in coming months.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Wallace/BA

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

January 26, 1984

Dear Nancy:

Sorry you couldn't come down for a discussion of budget items laid out in your letter of December 16. I gave the letter wide circulation and we appreciated your advice. If you have any questions next week when the budget is out, we'll be glad to sit down with you.

In the meantime, I hope you had a relaxing recess and that the second session is productive for us all.

With best wishes.

Sincerely,



MICHAEL K. DEEVER  
Assistant to the President  
Deputy Chief of Staff

The Honorable Nancy Kassebaum  
United States Senate  
Washington, D.C. 20510

OLYMPIA J. SNOWE  
2ND DISTRICT, MAINE

COMMITTEES  
FOREIGN AFFAIRS  
JOINT ECONOMIC COMMITTEE  
SELECT COMMITTEE  
ON AGING

WASHINGTON OFFICE:  
133 CANNON HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20515  
(202) 225-8308

*Bill for a ...*

**Congress of the United States**  
**House of Representatives**  
**Washington, D.C. 20515**

December 16, 1983

DISTRICT OFFICES  
IN MAINE, CALL TOLL-FREE  
1-800-432-1599

FEDERAL BUILDING  
202 HARLOW STREET, ROOM 209  
BANGOR, MAINE 04401  
(207) 942-8308

146 MAIN STREET  
AUBURN, MAINE 04210  
(207) 786-2451

197 STATE STREET  
POST OFFICE BOX 722  
PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769  
(207) 764-5124

Michael K. Deaver  
Deputy Chief of Staff  
The White House  
Washington, D.C. 20500

102663

Dear Mike:

We want to commend you for the important role you have played in bringing economic equity for women to the forefront at the White House. We are encouraged by the positive outcome of our meetings with you and the President, and we believe that our record of achievement during the first session of the 98th Congress serves as a clear expression of our party's commitment to economic equity for women.

The various titles of the bipartisan Economic Equity Act have received favorable attention in both the House and Senate, and key Republicans have initiated alternative proposals to address the problems of private pension reform, spousal IRAs, and child support enforcement. With the support of the Administration, many of these bills are moving closer to final passage in the Congress. While holding the promise for substantial improvement in the economic status of women's lives, these achievements will also have a positive bearing on the Republican party's standing with women. It is important that we continue to seek legislative changes that will provide greater legal and economic equity for American women, and we hope to continue working closely with the Administration to this end during the second session of the 98th Congress.

We write today about another matter that will be of immediate concern when Congress returns in January: the fiscal year 1985 budget. The impact of the President's budget on women has been the source of repeated criticism and has led to an erosion of support among the general public who perceive the President's domestic spending policies as "unfair."

We strongly urge that the President give serious consideration to the economic implications of seeking additional reductions in programs of importance to women in FY 85. The U.S. Civil Rights Commission underscored the magnitude of the problem of women in poverty in their recent report entitled, A Growing Crisis: Disadvantaged Women and Their Children. Clarence Pendleton writes, in a letter accompanying the report,




"It is true that Federal, State and local governments have made considerable progress toward alleviating poverty conditions. Over the past two decades, the number of persons in poor families headed by white males decreased by 50 percent. However, during the same period, the number of persons in poor families headed by women increased by 54 percent."

Clearly, the level of funding for social programs in the FY 85 budget is an issue of concern to women. It is our grave concern that all of the Administration's recent efforts to address women economically through pension reform, spousal IRAs, dependent care tax credit expansions, and child support enforcement may be negated by budget recommendations that seek additional reductions in the programs of primary importance to women. Further, recent legislative activity has clearly demonstrated that a bipartisan majority of the Congress strongly opposes further cuts in these programs. We believe the President will meet strong opposition in Congress if he seeks to further reduce these important programs in FY 85.


We have attached a list of the programs we feel should not suffer further reductions in the FY 85 budget. While we recognize the urgent need to reduce the federal deficit, we believe that adequate funding for these programs is essential if we are to avert the rapid increase of women and children living in poverty.

Again, we commend you for your major role in advancing legislation that will make an important contribution to the economic security of American women. We would welcome the opportunity to discuss these budget issues with you, and request your thoughtful consideration of our concerns.


Sincerely,




BOBBI FIEDLER



OLYMPIA J. SNOWE



NANCY L. JOHNSON



CLAUDINE SCHNEIDER



NANCY L. KASSEBAUM



## WOMEN AND THE FY 85 BUDGET

Federal assistance to the poor - It is a simple fact that funding reductions in programs for the needy disproportionately hurt women and their children, who comprise the largest share of those living in poverty.

For this reason, adequate funding for the food stamp program, supplemental security income, subsidized housing, low-income energy assistance, and federal health programs, such as Medicare and Medicaid, in FY 85 is essential if we are to avert the rapid rise of women and children in poverty.

Consider, for example, the importance of the food stamp program to women. Women and children comprise the vast majority of food stamp recipients. A number of these recipients are single heads of household, who are among the working poor, and depend heavily on the food stamp program to insure adequate nutrition for their families. Most often, these family's economic problems are compounded by reductions in several programs at the same time, i.e. a reduction in food stamps is accompanied by reductions in low-income energy assistance, subsidized housing, and Medicaid.

The aforementioned five programs -- supplemental security income, food stamps, subsidized housing, low-income energy assistance, and Medicaid -- comprise the core of federal assistance to the poor, and we believe this vital federal safety net cannot be reduced further without hurting many women and children.

Programs of particular importance to women - The following six programs are federal means-tested programs that are directed primarily at poor women and their children. Reductions in these programs will be especially harmful to women, and will be interpreted by many as further evidence of the Administration's insensitivity toward women.

→ We oppose further reductions in these programs.

1. Aid to Families with Dependent Children -- The 3.5 million families who receive AFDC are mostly small, single-parent, female-headed families with young children. The combined AFDC/food stamp benefits currently available to families of three and four members are below the poverty level in all but two states, and are below 76% of the poverty level in more than half of the remaining states. The AFDC program was reduced by \$1 billion in FY 82, and \$85 million in FY 83. Congress failed, however, to approve changes proposed by the Administration that would have reduced AFDC payments by \$1.2 billion in FY 1984.
2. School nutrition programs -- The school lunch program serves 23 million children in over 90,000 schools. Another 3.4 million children in 34,000 schools participate in the school breakfast program. About 80 percent of the federal funds in the school lunch program and over 95% of the federal school breakfast funds

support meals for low income children. Federal support of these programs was cut in fiscal year 1982 by 35%, resulting in three million children being dropped from the school lunch program. Congress has rejected proposals during the past two years to further reduce funding for these programs.

3. Women, Infants and Children supplemental feeding program - Approximately 2.35 million women and children are currently served by the WIC program; an additional 7 million more are eligible but cannot be served because funds are insufficient. The House recently voted to increase the authorization level for the WIC program.

4. Social Services Block Grant - The Social Services Block Grant program provides funding for many services of particular importance to women and their families, including child care, foster care, and day care for the elderly. Day care programs have been forced to compete with other social services for the needy in the block grant, and as a result, many day care programs have been cut back. Lack of adequate day care is a major discentive for women to work, and accounts for the failure of many women to successfully move off of welfare.

5. Family Planning - Title X is central to the national family planning clinic system because it establishes a uniform structure for service delivery, and serves as the major source of family planning funds. Title X's funding was slashed by more than 25% in FY 82, however, since that time Congress has rejected Administration proposals to place the program in a block grant.

*the...  
best...  
direct...*

6. Work Incentive Program - The WIN program represents the only federal program solely committed to helping welfare mothers move off welfare and into the workforce. The Administration has repeatedly proposed eliminating all federal matching payments for the program. Funding of this type of program needs to continue at a significant level if we are to successfully reduce the numbers of women who must depend on federal assistance.

Equal opportunity in education - The following two programs represent the sum total of the federal government's small, but vital, effort to insure equality of opportunity in education. Both programs have been the target of repeated efforts to eliminate funding, while continuing to receive strong support in Congress.

1. Women's Educational Equity Act Program - WEEA supports the creation, testing and national dissemination of new materials and techniques that promote equal education for girls and boys. The program has suffered a 40% reduction in funds since 1980.

2. Title IV of the Civil Rights Act - Title IV funded programs provide states and school districts with technical assistance needed to comply with federal laws prohibiting discrimination on the basis of sex, race, and national origin. Title IV funding was reduced from \$37 million in FY 81 to \$24 million in FY 83.

THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON

January 26, 1984

Dear Bobbi:

Thanks for your note earlier this week.

I understand that you met with Jack Svahn, Joe Wright, and Nancy this morning on the budget. We look forward to working more closely with you through this budget season.

Nancy talked to Ed Meese and, as she told you, he will be glad to meet with you and your colleagues as soon as he gets over to Justice.

On a personal note, Bobbi, thanks again for being so helpful to Nancy and to me.

With best wishes.

Sincerely,



MICHAEL K. DEAVER  
Assistant to the President  
Deputy Chief of Staff

The Honorable Bobbi Fiedler  
House of Representatives  
Washington, D.C. 20515

*Name known -  
Barbara Self*

*Congress of the United States  
House of Representatives  
Bobbi Fiedler*

January 24, 1984

*Bill  
ask W. Res get  
what I shld  
do on this.*

The Honorable Michael K. Deaver  
Deputy Chief of Staff  
The White House  
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mike:

I regretted to learn that a number of cutbacks in assistance programs directly affecting women are included in the FY 85 budget.

As soon as I complete an analysis of the budget's impact on women and possible alternative proposals for the House Republicans on women's budget concerns, I will forward it to you.

Regarding legal equity issues, I believe it would be most useful for the Republican congresswomen to meet with Attorney General designate, Ed Meese, in the near future. Your assistance in arranging a meeting would be most appreciated.

Sincerely,

*Bobbi*


BOBBI FIEDLER  
Member of Congress

*P.S. I hope the Congressional break  
gives you some breathing room, you  
deserve it*

BF:dj

THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON

January 26, 1984



Dear Bobbi:

Thanks for your note earlier this week.

I understand that you met with Jack Svahn, Joe Wright, and Nancy this morning on the budget. We look forward to working more closely with you through this budget season.

Nancy talked to Ed Meese and, as she told you, he will be glad to meet with you and your colleagues as soon as he gets over to Justice.

On a personal note, Bobbi, thanks again for being so helpful to Nancy and to me.

With best wishes.

Sincerely,

Handwritten signature of Michael K. Deaver.

MICHAEL K. DEEVER  
Assistant to the President  
Deputy Chief of Staff

The Honorable Bobbi Fiedler  
House of Representatives  
Washington, D.C. 20515

*Trans. Bureau of Finance  
Part of a file*

Congress of the United States

House of Representatives

Bobbi Fiedler

January 24, 1984

*Bill  
ask W. Res get  
what I should  
do on this.*

The Honorable Michael K. Deaver  
Deputy Chief of Staff  
The White House  
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mike:

I regretted to learn that a number of cutbacks in assistance programs directly affecting women are included in the FY 85 budget.

As soon as I complete an analysis of the budget's impact on women and possible alternative proposals for the House Republicans on women's budget concerns, I will forward it to you.

Regarding legal equity issues, I believe it would be most useful for the Republican congresswomen to meet with Attorney General designate, Ed Meese, in the near future. Your assistance in arranging a meeting would be most appreciated.

Sincerely,

*Bobbi*

BOBBI FIEDLER  
Member of Congress

*P.S. I hope the Congressional break  
gives you some breathing room, you  
deserve it.*

BF:dj

# THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

January 26, 1984

Dear Ms. Brown:

Thank you for your letter concerning the President's record on issues of particular concern to women.

While you may disagree with the results of the recent compromise that reorganized the Civil Rights Commission, it is wrong to interpret the actions of the President as evidence that he opposes equal rights for women and minorities. While the membership of the independent panel has changed, its objectives -- as contained in authorizing legislation -- remain much the same as before.

While this Administration stresses a philosophy with which you are free to disagree, there has been no attempt to diminish equal rights for women or minorities. In fact, this Administration actively enforces laws guaranteeing equal rights in education and employment. The Justice Department has filed 19 new cases alleging sex discrimination in employment; the Carter Administration filed fewer cases during a comparable period. You may be interested in knowing that in *Hishon v. King and Spaulding*, the Justice Department will argue before the Supreme Court that Title VII prohibits law firms from refusing to consider women associates for partnerships in law firms on an equal basis with their male colleagues.

I believe you will be interested to learn of specific Administration initiatives that affect women directly. As part of the President's economic recovery program, tax credits for child care have been increased from \$400 for one child to a maximum of \$720. The President also signed into law an extension of flexible time schedules so that Federal employees may adjust their workday to coordinate with the hours of their child's day care center.

More than four million women were entitled to child support payments in 1981, but over half of them received only partial payments or none at all. Last year, the Administration began to use IRS procedures to collect child support payments from delinquent parents' income tax refunds. Total collections are now up almost two thirds from the 1980 level. During this session of Congress, the President will continue to press for major improvements in the Child Support Enforcement Program.



As you know, President Reagan opposed the Equal Rights Amendment because he believes existing constitutional and legal guarantees can provide women with sufficient protection from discrimination. Some laws and regulations may need to be changed. The Justice Department has identified 140 federal statutes with sex bias; corrective legislation has been introduced and is a high priority on the President's legislative agenda. The "Fifty States Project" coordinated by the White House works with governors to identify and reform biased state laws.

Again, thank you for your letter and expressions of concern. If I can be of further assistance to you, please let me know.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "M. Deaver", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

MICHAEL K. DEEVER  
Assistant to the President  
Deputy Chief of Staff

Ms. Jennifer A. Brown  
13 Lucian Street  
Manchester, Connecticut 06040

Ms. Jennifer A. Brown  
13 Lucian Street  
Manchester, Connecticut 06040

Dear Ms. Brown:

Thank you for your letter concerning the President's record on issues of particular concern to women.

While you may disagree with the results of the recent compromise that reorganized the Civil Rights Commission, it is wrong to interpret the actions of the President as evidence that he opposes equal rights for women and minorities. While the membership of the independent panel has changed, its objectives -- as contained in authorizing legislation -- remain much the same as before.

While this Administration stresses a philosophy with which you are free to disagree, there has been no attempt to diminish equal rights for women or minorities. In fact, this Administration actively enforces laws guaranteeing equal rights in education and employment. The Justice Department has filed 19 new cases alleging sex discrimination in employment; the Carter Administration filed fewer cases during a comparable period. You may be interested in knowing that in *Hishon v. King and Spaulding*, the Justice Department will argue before the Supreme Court that Title VII prohibits law firms from refusing to consider women associates for partnerships in law firms on an equal basis with their male colleagues.

Ms. Jennifer Brown  
Page 2

I believe you will be interested to learn of specific administrative initiatives that affect women directly. As part of the President's economic recovery program, tax credits for child care have been increased from \$400 for one child to a maximum of \$720. The President also signed into law an extension of flexible time schedules so that Federal employees may adjust their workday to coordinate with the hours of their child's day care center.

More than four million women were entitled to child support payments in 1981, but over half of them received only partial payments ~~or~~ none at all. Last year, the Administration began to use IRS procedures to collect child support payments from delinquent parents' income tax refunds. Total collections are now up almost two thirds from the 1980 level. During this session of Congress, the President will continue to press for major improvements in the Child Support Enforcement Program.

As you know, President Reagan opposed the Equal Rights Amendment because he believes existing Constitutional and legal guarantees can provide women with sufficient protection from discrimination. Some laws may need to be ~~strengthened and others more rigorously enforced.~~ <sup>and regulations</sup> ~~may need~~ <sup>to be changed, however</sup> ~~To identify areas of the law which need improvement, the President established the Task Force on Legal Equity for Women. The task force, working with the Justice Department, has identified 140 federal statutes with sex bias; corrective legislation has been introduced and is a high priority on the President's legislative agenda. The~~

Ms. Jennifer A. Brown  
Page 3

"Fifty States Project" coordinated by the White House works with governors to identify and reform biased state laws.

Again, thank you for your letter and expressions of concern. If I can be of further assistance to you, please let me know.

Sincerely,

Michael Deaver

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

January 26, 1984

Dear Mr. Mack:

Enclosed you will find the picture and biography of Mr. Deaver you requested.

Do hope they are what you need and that all plans are going well for the Friday, February 17th dinner.

If I can be of further assistance do not hesitate to call.

Sincerely,



Gail W. Ledwig  
Secretary to  
Michael K. Deaver

Mr. Curtis Mack  
Executive Director  
Citizens for the Republic  
1253 7th Street, Suite 200  
Santa Monica, California 90401

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

January 26, 1984

Dear Jim:

Many thanks for sending the unique philatelic cover which was carried aboard the Space Shuttle Challenger during its Earth-orbiting mission August 30-September 5, 1983.

Your thoughtfulness which prompted your sending it to me is greatly appreciated.

I want you to know you and your associates at the National Aeronautics and Space Administration have my best wishes on the occasion of your 25th Anniversary.

With warm regards.

Sincerely,



MICHAEL K. DEEVER  
Assistant to the President  
Deputy Chief of Staff

The Honorable James M. Beggs  
Administrator  
National Aeronautics and Space Administration  
Washington, D.C. 20546



National Aeronautics and  
Space Administration

Washington, D.C.  
20546

Office of the Administrator

JAN 26 1984

Mr. Michael K. Deaver  
Assistant to the President and  
Deputy Chief of Staff  
The White House  
Washington, DC 20500


  
Dear Mr. Deaver:

Enclosed is a philatelic cover (envelope) which was carried aboard the Space Shuttle Challenger during its Earth-orbiting mission August 30 - September 5, 1983. I would like you to have this philatelic memento which honors the 25th Anniversary of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and the issuance of the \$9.35 American Eagle stamp.

This unique cover, with approximately 260,000 others, was part of the Shuttle's payload. The covers are being made available to stamp collectors worldwide, who have for many years expressed an interest in acquiring such an item that has traveled in space. I feel that the covers will provide a means of sharing the excitement and adventure of both the space program and stamp collecting. The enclosed cover folder describes this joint Postal Service/NASA project in more detail.

Please accept this cover with my best wishes.

Sincerely,

  
James M. Beggs  
Administrator

Enclosure



THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

January 26, 1984

Dear George:

The President would be very pleased if Mrs. Shultz would accompany the United States party when we travel to China for the State Visit in April.

If you have any questions about this trip, please let me know.

With best wishes,

Sincerely,



MICHAEL K. DEEVER  
Assistant to the President  
Deputy Chief of Staff

The Honorable George P. Shultz  
Secretary fo State  
Washington, D.C. 20520

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

January 26, 1984

Dear Ms. Lord:

Thank you for your letter concerning the President's commitment to equal employment opportunities for American women.

The President has not dismantled any agency responsible for monitoring or enforcing equal employment legislation. The Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, for example, continues to enforce the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and other equal pay and age discrimination legislation. In 1982, the EEOC obtained more than \$100 million in back pay for victims of employment discrimination. That figure represents a 74 percent increase over the final year of the previous administration.

With respect to regulations concerning sex discrimination and government contracts, the Office of Federal Contract Compliance continues to enforce existing regulations. During the public comment period on proposed changes in these regulations, the Administration received information from a variety of organizations interested in civil rights and women's issues. Please be assured that their concerns will be taken into consideration should new regulations be issued in the future.

I believe you will be interested to learn of specific initiatives this Administration is pursuing that directly affect women. The Justice Department has filed 19 new cases alleging sex discrimination in employment and has brought to conclusion six other cases filed during the previous administration. The Department has also moved decisively to enforce the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, which prohibits discrimination in the extension of credit based on sex or marital status.

You expressed concern for the "economic rights" of women. When President Reagan took office sky-high inflation and interest rates threatened the economic security of every American. Women at home saw inflation and taxes undermining their family budgets. The President's economic reforms have cut inflation to less than 4 percent last year; elderly and poor women on fixed incomes need no longer fear annual erosion of their buying power. The recovery has provided more than 1.6 million new jobs for working women. The unemployment rate for women age 25 to 54 is closing in on the January 1981 rate.

No one can narrowly define "women's issues," but the Administration has achieved a solid record on issues of importance to women. While the President stresses a philosophy with which you are free to disagree, it is incorrect to assume that an attempt has been made to diminish equal opportunity for women or minorities in this country.

Again, thank you for your interesting letter. I appreciated the opportunity to hear of your concerns. If I may be of further assistance in any way, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Michael K. Deaver", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

MICHAEL K. DEEVER  
Assistant to the President  
Deputy Chief of Staff

Ms. Kathryn J. Lord  
Post Office Box 183  
Storrs, Connecticut 06268

rac22

11-16-83 P. O. Box 183

Storrs, CT

06268

Michael Deaver  
Deputy Chief of Staff

The White House

1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20500

184-727

Dear Mr Deaver:

In his 1980 campaign, President Reagan declared that although he could not support the ERA, he was for women's economic rights.

Since taking office, he has dismantled the agencies responsible for equal economic opportunity in this country. Proposed changes in regulations for federal contractors would eliminate the Department of Labor's program to deal with sex and race discrimination by federal contractors.

If these changes are approved, women will notice. It will mean that President Reagan has no intention of supporting women's rights.

I recommend that the Administration withdraw these regressive proposals.

Sincerely, Kathryn G. Lord



THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

January 31, 1984

Dear Rich:

Congratulations on the birth of your son.  
I know how proud you and Jane must be.

Thank you for your note of acknowledgment  
regarding Jaroslovsky's article. I'm glad  
I wasn't the only one to have read it.  
It's an ego boost when you read that your  
efforts are appreciated.

Thanks again for writing.

With best wishes,

Sincerely,



MICHAEL K. DEAVER  
Assistant to the President  
Deputy Chief of Staff

The Honorable Richard S. Williamson  
Ambassador  
United States Mission to the United Nations  
System Organizations in Vienna  
Kundmannngasse 21  
A - 1030 Vienna, Austria



UNITED STATES MISSION TO THE  
UNITED NATIONS SYSTEM ORGANIZATIONS IN VIENNA

January 17, 1984

KUNDMANNGASSE 21  
A-1030 VIENNA, AUSTRIA  
TELEPHONE 31 55 11

*note*

Dear Michael:

Congratulations on Rich Jaroslovsky's excellent article in the Wall Street Journal, "Reagan Gets Star Treatment on U.S. TV With Artful Backstage Help of Media Team." The President gets good press coverage first and foremost because he is good, and what he is trying to do is solid and needs to be done. **But, he is helped enormously by your skill and hard work.** I was glad to see an article properly acknowledge the critical role you play in fashioning the President's public/political posture.

Jane and I were blessed on January 9 with a baby boy. Both mother and child are healthy and doing well. We are happy, excited, joyful, and most of all, thankful.

Please give my best to Carolyn and the family.

Kind personal regards.

Cordially,

*Rich*  
**Richard S. Williamson**  
Ambassador

The Honorable Michael K. Deaver  
Deputy Chief of Staff  
The White House  
Washington, D.C. 20500

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

January 31, 1984


Dear Strom:

Thank you for your letter of January 27 regarding Dominique D'Ermo as a possible guest at the French State Dinner. As you can imagine the limited number of seats for a State Dinner makes the selection of guests quite a procedure. However, I will send your recommendation to the Social Office.

Thank you for writing with your suggestion.

With best wishes,

Sincerely,



MICHAEL K. DEAVER  
Assistant to the President  
Deputy Chief of Staff

The Honorable Strom Thurmond  
United States Senate  
Washington, D.C. 20510





**The President Pro Tempore**

**UNITED STATES SENATE**

January 27, 1984

*Bill  
no way*

The Honorable Michael K. Deaver  
Deputy Chief of Staff and  
Assistant to the President  
The White House  
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mike:

As you know, Dominique D'Ermo is one of Washington's most popular restauranteurs, and operates fine restaurants in Miami and Washington.

In addition to his exceptional culinary skills, Mr. D'Ermo is an outstanding advocate for peace and freedom. As a French patriot, he fought against the Nazi forces which threatened to consume the homeland he loved.

His dedication and loyalty to America is equally as strong as his devotion to France, and he is a model citizen.

Since Mr. D'Ermo has such strong ties to the United States and France, I thought that it would be a kind gesture to invite him to a state dinner or some other social event during President Mitterand's upcoming visit to Washington.

Mr. D'Ermo is a great admirer and supporter of President Reagan and this Administration and will do all he can to assist them.

I would appreciate anything you could do to include Mr. D'Ermo in the appropriate event.

I hope you are doing well, and with kindest regards and best wishes,

Sincerely,

*Strom Thurmond*

Strom Thurmond

ST/xx

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

January 31, 1984

Dear Bill:

Thank you for calling my attention to the proposal to expand the United States/Soviet joint fishing ventures for Pacific whiting south of Point Arena.

The Pacific Fishery Management Council did not recommend action to the Secretary of Commerce on this matter. After receiving many letters from concerned Californians, including Governor Deukmejian and Members of Congress, the Council reconsidered its tentative approval of the proposal at meetings in Portland, Oregon on January 11 and 12, 1984.

The Council carefully evaluated the effects of this expansion on California seafood processors, commercial and recreational fishermen, and fish resources in its January meeting. Actually, their vote was eleven to two not to recommend the proposal for the Secretary's consideration.

Thank you again for writing to me about this matter.

With best wishes,

Sincerely,



MICHAEL K. DEEVER  
Assistant to the President  
Deputy Chief of Staff

Mr. William A. Nott  
President  
Sportfishing Association of California  
555 East Ocean Boulevard, Suite 700A  
Long Beach, CA 90802

12/12/83

# SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA FISHING ASSOCIATION OF CALIFORNIA



555 E. OCEAN BOULEVARD - SUITE 700-A  
LONG BEACH, CALIFORNIA 90802  
(213) 432-2316

*Bill  
get response  
from  
CF*

December 9, 1983

- NOTT, PRESIDENT
- TOZER, VICE-PRESIDENT
- HALE, SEC'TY.-TREASURER
- BOARD OF DIRECTORS
- 1: W. E. POOLE  
FRANK LO PRESTE (ALT.)  
DON SANSOME (ALT.)  
RALPH MILLER  
BOB MILLER (ALT.)  
CATHY MILLER (ALT.)  
STEVE GIFFIN  
DAN SANSOME (ALT.)  
DAN PALM  
LINDA PALM (ALT.)  
PORT OF SAN DIEGO
- 2: J. W. MCHOLLAND  
JAMES MULLINS (ALT.)  
BOB PELLMAN (ALT.)  
PERIAL BEACH - MISSION BAY
- 3: DON HANSEN  
DICK HELGREN (ALT.)  
SEASIDE - NEWPORT
- 4: FRANK HALE  
AL BEACH - LONG BEACH
- 5: FRANK HALL  
JIM PETERSON (ALT.)  
SAN PEDRO - L.A. HARBOR
- 6: GORDON MCRAE, JR.  
MONTA MONICA BAY
- 7: JACK C. WARD  
FRED BENKO (ALT.)  
SANDY VERNAND (ALT.)  
DUME - MORRO BAY
- OTHER BOATS: GARRY BLACK  
ROGER HESS

Mr. Michael K. Deaver, Assistant to the President  
Deputy Chief of Staff  
The White House  
Washington, D. C. 20500

191507

Dear Mike:

You will remember four years ago when we worked so hard to keep the Russian fish processing fleet out of Southern California waters under the guise of buying fish from "certain" American fishing vessels on the "joint venture" concept.

Due to your valiant efforts in bringing the Army, Navy and Air Force into the picture the ventures were effectively killed.

We are approaching the same dilemma again as the Pacific Fishery Management Council, an adjunct of the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) and the Department of Commerce, has recently passed a joint venture plan to allow the Russian exploitation of California's Marine Resources south of Point Arena to the Mexican Border.

There are many reasons why this decision should be immediately turned around. A few that come to mind are:

1. joint ventures of this type fly in the face of two cannery operators in Bodega Bay planning to process Pacific whiting locally and employ 100 persons.
2. joint ventures rob California's Marine Resources, impact local economy and take a California product and compete on the world market place robbing American processors of their established markets with a cheaper product due to USSR restricted and controlled slave labor.
3. joint ventures spell trouble due to gear conflicts with American commercial and recreational fishermen in Southern California's heavily populated marine scene.
4. joint ventures of this type, although targeting at the Pacific whiting will impact our Pacific and Jack Mackerel by virtue of the

Handwritten notes and stamps on the right margin, including "F", "5", "NCE: signer", "gain", "s retu", "890", and "5".

heavy "incidental" catch of these very valuable fisheries again robbing California's processing, labor and marketing people of their established market place.

5. joint venture catches of the small "nursery" whiting in waters below Point Arena will impact the valuable rockfish and salmon fisheries as whiting in their "nursery" state furnish great forage for these valuable fisheries.

6. THAT JOINT VENTURES SHOULD NOT BE ALLOWED BELOW POINT ARENA IN THIS AREA OF HIGHLY CLASSIFIED MANUFACTURING AND MILITARY INSTALLATIONS.

In summary, Mike, why take California's needed resources and improve the position of our avowed enemy throughout the world and endanger our national security at the same time? To me it appears asinine, idiotic and unpatriotic.

Your intervention and reply is earnestly sought, Mike.

Sincerely,

*Bill*

W. A. Nott, President  
the Sportfishing Association of California (SAC)

WAN:wn

*HAPPY HOLIDAYS TO YOU  
AND YOURS - MIKE!*

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

January 31, 1984

Dear Gordon:

Thank you for your note of January 27th regarding the Olympic Equestrian event and the University of Southern California graduation ceremony.

I've spoken to our Scheduling Office and they will be expecting an invitation from Watt Industries for the equestrian event to be held on August 1. Once we have the invitation I'll be better able to judge the possibility of accepting the invitation.

We have received the invitation from USC and unfortunately we will not be able to accept it.

With best wishes,

Sincerely,



MICHAEL K. DEAVER  
Assistant to the President  
Deputy Chief of Staff

Mr. Gordon D. Luce  
Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive  
Officer  
Great American Federal  
600 B Street  
San Diego, CA 92183





GORDON C. LUCE  
Chairman of the Board  
and Chief Executive Officer

January 27, 1984

The Honorable Michael K. Deaver  
Assistant to the President  
and Deputy Chief of Staff  
The White House Office  
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20500

*fuel  
pls follow up*

Dear Mike:

I hope to see you at the White House reception this Sunday. Meanwhile, there are several projects that need your assistance.

One is an invitation from Watt Industries, the developers of the Fairbanks Ranch in San Diego, for the President to attend the Olympic Equestrian Event beginning on Wednesday, August 1, near Rancho Santa Fe.

*Ryem  
LR*

His Royal Highness Prince Phillip has taken a great interest in this project and Mr. Davis of Fairbanks understands Prince Phillip will attend. The developers of the Country Club where the event is to be held will add all kinds of security measures, if President Reagan will be able to participate. Is this a possibility?

*no*

On another matter, as a Trustee of the University of Southern California I endorsed an invitation from the University for the President to speak to the graduation ceremonies at USC in late May. I understand there have been some doubts expressed if this would be possible. They also promised unusual security measures and would produce the event on the campus where security would be the best.

Please have someone contact me about both events so arrangements can be appropriately made.

I know these are extremely busy times and I am sorry for adding to the burden, but these are both good events and hopefully the President will be able to consider them.

Look forward to seeing you soon.

Best regards,

*Gordon C. Luce*  
Gordon C. Luce

GCL:cpf  
Enclosure

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

January 31, 1984

Dear Roger:

I received your package yesterday. It was indeed a unique way of bringing a bit of IOWA back with you.

Thank you for thinking of me and we will think of you as we enjoy the products.

With best wishes,

Sincerely,



MICHAEL K. DEEVER  
Assistant to the President  
Deputy Chief of Staff

The Honorable Roger W. Jepsen  
United States Senate  
Washington, D.C. 20510



ROGER W. JEPSEN  
IOWA

United States Senate

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

(202) 224-3254



Mr. Michael Deaver  
The White House

I am just back from IOWA -- a state blessed with rich black earth, emerald fields, gently rolling hillsides, and mirrored lakes and streams -- and thought I would bring a little of it back with me.

I wish you a healthy and successful 1984.

Sincerely,

*Roger*

# WITHDRAWAL SHEET

## Ronald Reagan Library

*Collection Name*

DEAVER, MICHAEL: FILES

*Withdrawer*

KDB 7/27/2011

*File Folder*

JANUARY 1984 OUTGOING (7)

*FOIA*

F97-0066/19

COHEN, D

*Box Number*

17

17

---

*DOC Document Type*

*NO Document Description*

*No of Doc Date Restric-*  
*pages tions*

---

1 LETTER

2 1/31/1984 B6

DEAVER TO CORRESPONDENT

---

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]

B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]

B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]

B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]

B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]

B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]

B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]

B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

# WITHDRAWAL SHEET

## Ronald Reagan Library

*Collection Name*

DEAVER, MICHAEL: FILES

*Withdrawer*

KDB 7/27/2011

*File Folder*

JANUARY 1984 OUTGOING (7)

*FOIA*

F97-0066/19

COHEN, D

*Box Number*

17

17

---

*DOC Document Type*

*NO Document Description*

*No of Doc Date Restriction*  
*pages*

---

2 LETTER

1 1/8/1984 B6

TO DEAVER FROM PERSON SEEKING AIR FORCE  
COMMISSION

---

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]

B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]

B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]

B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]

B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]

B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]

B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]

B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

# THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

January 31, 1984

Dear Mr. Dugan:

Thank you for your letter of December 9, 1983 regarding the establishment of diplomatic relations between the United States and The Holy See. As you know, establishment of relations was announced January 10, 1984.

In preparing this step, the Administration took careful note of your position. I would like to point out that The Holy See is the governing body of the Vatican. Diplomatic relations were established with The Holy See, not with the Pope, the Vatican, or with the Roman Catholic Church.

The Vatican City State is the name of the property which encompasses the physical presence of the Vatican. The Pope is the head of the Roman Catholic Church (about 600 million members throughout the world) and he is also the titular head of The Holy See.

The Holy See has wide influence and unique access in areas of great interest to the United States: Eastern Europe, Central America, Africa, etc. They are not simply observers or moral guides, but take an active role in international political issues. A recent example is the successful Papal mediation of the Beagle Channel dispute between Argentina and Chile, a problem which for 100 years had defied resolution.

In addition to geopolitical and strategic issues, the Vatican is heavily involved in issues spanning immigration policy, family planning, refugee resettlement, food and medicine distribution. The Holy See has also rendered positive influence in areas of vital interest to the United States such as Poland and Lebanon.

The present Pope is indeed a statesman of the world, a man of peace, and a man of the people. His reception by government leaders and the people during his travels to Central America, Eastern Europe, etc., are eloquent manifestations of his political and social influence.

For all of these reasons we believe that diplomatic relations with The Holy See are in our national interest.

Sincerely,

MICHAEL K. DEEVER  
Assistant to the President  
Deputy Chief of Staff

Mr. Robert P. Dugan, Jr.  
Director  
National Association  
of Evangelicals  
Suite 900  
1430 K Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20005



NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF  
EVANGELICALS

OFFICE OF PUBLIC AFFAIRS/1430 K Street NW/Ste. 900/Washington, D.C. 20005/(202) 628-7911

December 9, 1983

The Hon. Michael K. Deaver  
Deputy Chief of Staff and  
Assistant to the President  
The White House  
Washington, D.C. 20500

*Bill  
wise  
for  
response*

191508

Dear Mr. Deaver:

Among the major issues with which you grapple, the appointment of an Ambassador to the Vatican may seem like an insignificant matter. We don't think that is the case.

Opposition to President Truman's plan of appointing such an Ambassador drew NAE into the public affairs arena for the first time. Today, you will find the National Association of Evangelicals and the National Council of Churches on the same side on this issue — an unusual occurrence — along with the Baptist Joint Committee, Seventh Day Adventists, and Americans United for Separation of Church and State.

We urge you to advise the President not to appoint an Ambassador to the Vatican. Yesterday's Washington Post said that the "Reagan Administration wants to establish full diplomatic relations...." This morning's New York Times quotes Larry Speakes as indicating that "any change 'would not go as far' as the designation of a full Ambassador." We hope that the later word represents your current thinking.

Why would the Administration want to risk unnecessarily alienating evangelicals and other protestants? I assure you that we do not reflect a knee-jerk, anti-Catholic sentiment, but a thoughtful commitment to church-state separation and the hallowed tradition of governmental neutrality toward religion.

Of course we understand and applaud the role of Pope John Paul II in the ideological conflict of our times. However, United States support of the Pope does not require the appointment of an Ambassador. Moreover, the current Pope could pass from the scene, to be succeeded by a liberal Cardinal, espousing liberation theology.

In short, there are strong philosophical and pragmatic reasons to urge the President not to establish full diplomatic relations with the Vatican.

Faithfully yours,

*Robert P. Dugan, Jr.*  
Robert P. Dugan, Jr.  
Director

RPDJr:jdk