

MICHAEL K. DEEVER: The concept of the Manhattan Project had, to reach President Roosevelt from Alexander Sachs, over the heads of all of his closest national security and military advisors. This concept has to reach President Reagan, over the heads of the National Security Council. We have condensed 30 years study into an hour-long audio-visual briefing. Two hours is minimum to set aside. I will make the briefing available to you personally any time of day, evening or weekend, any place you select, for you to decide if it warrants the personal attention of the President.

Howard G. Kurtz

Attached excerpt from October 27, 1983 issue of ROLLING STONE appeared on Washington news stands day before yesterday. The article by William Greider, former Assistant Managing Editor of the WASHINGTON POST deals with our efforts to help the President.



**SPECIAL DELIVERY**

# **WAR CONTROL PLANNERS, INC.**

Box 19127, Washington, D.C. 20036

October 21  
1983

202/785-0708

*My previous attempts to help President Reagan by writing to you December 31, 1981, June 13, 1982, and February 14, 1983 failed to earn your personal attention. Time may be running out and this is one more, final, attempt. Soon it may be too late.*

Hon. Michael K. Deaver  
The White House

cc: Mr. Larry Speakes  
Assistant to the President  
Press Affairs

Dear Michael K. Deaver:

A war is not lost on the battlefield. It is lost long in advance in the mind of one person, the Commander-in-Chief . . . the Napoleon, the Hitler, the President of the United States totally unfamiliar with the character and magnitude of approaching world chaos, waiting for the National Security Council Staff to bring him the right script. With Judge William P. Clark, a man of vast integrity and loyalty to the President, completely unfamiliar with the utter complexity of the global crisis, no creative concepts have been able to reach the mind of President Reagan.

Eleanor Roosevelt cautioned my late wife Harriet and me that breakthrough strategic power concepts can never move upwards in a political chain-of-command, and that the concept of the Manhattan Project had to reach President Roosevelt over the heads of all of his closest national security, military and foreign affairs advisors. That is why I have tried to break through to you, with conclusions from our thirty year new-depth study of the endless cycle of WAR-PEACE-WAR-PEACE-WAR-PEACE-WAR which has plagued all human history, and once again is epidemic and threatening the future of humankind.

At Pearl Harbor Japan illustrated that a nation ( the U.S.) threatened by foreign military power, in its anxiety willingly turns itself over to an authoritarian leader (Roosevelt) and willingly suffers and sacrifices to follow his leadership. Every U.S. initiative developed by the National Security Council for a generation for Presidential action has further threatened the Soviet people, causing them to turn themselves over more completely to their leader in the Kremlin, and to suffer and sacrifice to fight back. Every Soviet defensive expansion has increased the threat to the U.S. and has increased budgets to expand U.S. global military power. Presidential advisors in this field come mostly from financial/industrial/military interests prospering from the Kremlin expansion.

Today every new initiative by President Reagan not only threatens the Soviet people, but threatens the future of the people of all 157 nations. The President has been led by inadequate advice, into a deadly, dead-end strategic trap. In their anxiety the American people are now destroying themselves in the destructive debates among the "DIS-ARMERS" the "RE-ARMERS" and the "FREEZERS".

There are initiatives the President, personally, could take which would place him in orbit as the Great World Statesman of history. These initiatives have been blocked and killed in the National Security Council for nearly twenty years. We invested more than thirty years and somewhat more than available resources in the study.

CONTRIBUTIONS DEDUCTIBLE FOR INCOME, GIFT OR ESTATE TAX PURPOSES

*H. Kurtz*  
HOWARD G. KURTZ

# Checkpoint

Harriet B. Kurtz (1915-1977) Founding Editor  
Howard G. Kurtz — Editor

NEWSLETTER FROM THE FRONTIERS  
OF A FUTURE CIVILIZED WORLD ORDER  
A Project of War Control Planners, Inc.  
Box 19127, Washington, D.C. 20036  
Phone (202) 785-0708

## National Affairs

### THE SHOOTOUT FALLOUT

By William Greider

...an excerpt ...

Cockburn puts it this way: "The degree to which we are all in the power of harebrained people — that's the truly frightening thing, whatever actually happened. What could the Americans really have found out? On the other hand, what was the Soviet Union really going to lose by letting the plane go? We have to concern ourselves with the antics of buffoons."

AT THIS GLOOMY MOMENT IN HISTORY, IT seems appropriate to introduce an international diplomat who is a genuine optimist in this dangerous world. He is Dr. Robert Muller, an assistant secretary general of the United Nations who has been working for international peace there for thirty-five years. And despite recent events, he believes that modern nations may actually survive their own follies.

"I see that the human species is trying to save itself," Muller reflects. "I think it will succeed. Even this Korean Air Lines incident is going to give us something positive. The Russians will see that they can never do this again — that the world will no longer tolerate such violence. On the other hand, the United States will see that this situation is too dangerous. Next time this happens, the United States will have to call the Russians and warn them."

At the U.N., Muller, a Frenchman, operates as a kind of freelance thinker who collects new ideas for creating international stability and peddles them to whichever nations seem interested. A few years back, he came across an extraordinary proposal that would go a long way toward defusing the dangerous intelligence games played by the two superpowers. The idea calls for an international satellite-monitoring agency operated through the U.N. that would use modern intelligence technology to prevent war. This global satellite agency would look into every nation's backyard and share the information it gathers with everyone else.

"For heaven's sake," Muller exclaims, "since we now have the means for monitoring what's going on, let's create the system and use it for everyone's security. Of course, neither the U.S. nor the U.S.S.R.

Looking beyond the deadly, dead-end debates of the "DIS-ARMERS", the "RE-ARMERS", the "FREEZERS" and the "STAR WARRIORS" . . .

Meet Dr. Robert Muller, Assistant Secretary General, United Nations, New York 10017, USA, the unofficial earthly prophet of a future civilized world order. Also William Greider the rare editor-journalist capable of reporting the realities of future Life Support Systems for Planet Earth.

May they both hear from you direct, if you care. H.G.K.

for permission to publish, contact

745 Fifth Avenue, New York, NY 10151; (212) PL8-3800

OCTOBER 27, 1983

## Rolling Stone

Editor and Publisher: JANN S. WENNER

Managing Editor: DAVID ROSENTHAL  
National Editor: WILLIAM GREIDER

wants to do this. They really don't want to disarm."

The idea for an international satellite cooperative originated with Americans — Howard Kurtz and his late wife, Harriet, and their Washington-based peace organization, War Control Planners. Thanks to Muller, Kurtz' proposal was passed to the French government, which formally proposed it as a U.N. initiative in 1978.

The French won approval from the U.N. General Assembly for a feasibility study of the idea, but both the U.S. and the U.S.S.R. abstained. After three years' work, an international committee of twelve experts produced a report in 1982 and asked the General Assembly to approve its publication and distribution. Russia and eight Communist satellite nations voted no. The United States again abstained, joined by such odd bedfellows as Cuba, Afghanistan, Laos and Vietnam.

The 123-page report, now available through the U.N. publications office in New York, concludes that such an international system is both technically and legally feasible. The group of experts, chaired by Dr. Hubert G. Bortzmeyer of CNES, the French space agency, "recognized the valuable contribution which monitoring by satellites could make to the verification of certain parts or types of arms control and disarmament agreements. . . . The group also appreciated the positive

role that satellite monitoring could play in preventing or settling crises in various parts of the world and thus contributing to confidence-building among nations."

No one, of course, expects the United States or the Soviet Union or any other nation to abandon its own national intelligence systems. But a new international agency could cool off the superpowers' rivalry, ensuring that clear international warnings are sounded when dangerous events occur. The report lays out a three-stage blueprint for creating a global monitoring agency that calls for the construction of ten ground stations and several data-processing centers. Eventually, it would employ internationally owned satellites for optical, infrared and radar scanning. Also, low-altitude satellites could, under the plan, be maneuvered over areas of crisis for close-up intelligence sweeps. The total cost over several years would be less than one percent of the \$500 billion the world spends each year on new armaments.

This idea makes great sense, especially in the ominous environment of the present. But it will probably take ten or twenty years of

patient diplomacy to accomplish, even if optimists like Muller prevail, because it profoundly threatens the status quo. Military interests both here and in the U.S.S.R. are absolutely opposed to any sharing of intelligence-gathering technology. Economic interests are likewise hostile to the idea, because the global satellite cooperative that Howard Kurtz envisions could also share with poor nations valuable data collected on weather, mineral resources, crop production and oceanic exploration. Fortunately, satellite technology is now shared widely enough among nations that any international agency can be created without any technical cooperation from the two superpowers. But they can block the idea politically.

Robert Muller believes that episodes like KAL 007 will eventually convince governments that they must embrace new international solutions or perish. "You need violent reactions to violent events," Muller explains. "That's part of the learning process. In the end, the world needs to learn that it cannot have these things happen anymore.

"In the end," he adds, "it will work out for the best." ○

# The French Initiative and its Space Policy for Humankind

*Support from 126 Nations as against Super Powers and their Allies*

By **Howard G. Kurtz, Editor**  
Check Point, U.S.A.

The 155 or more "in-between" nations are awakening to the new reality that not one of them ever again will find its own national security and economic progress by clinging to either Kremlin or White House side of the self-escalating race for powers of death and terminal destruction.

The Soviet and the American people are like passengers in two giant airliners in a cloud filled with other planes on collision courses, as the two pilots each chant continually into their own public address system, their threats to fly directly into the other giant airliner in the cloud. The difference is that if the two super-powers make good their threats of modern war, all humanity will be endangered.

When trapped in a closed system of danger like these airplane cabins, or these superpowers, on the verge of collision, human beings become numbed by anxiety and deadened by subconscious dread, to the point where they become emotional Zombies, easily manipulated into fake remedies like "NUCLEAR DIS-ARMAMENT" and "FREEZE" (as the public was manipulated by fear of death from cancer to buy millions of dollars worth of fake medicine like "laetrile")

**NEVER** has the transition into the next era of security and progress come about by potential enemies pretending to discuss "DIS-ARMAMENT" of the obsolete or obsolescing weapons.

**NEVER** has civilization survived by mass marches of fear-ridden people shouting "FREEZE" of the obsolete weapons.

**NO NATION** ever is going to "dis-arm" until after global security systems and institutions have been created, and publicly tested and demonstrated and the public has learned that these new institutions can be trusted.

Civilization in much of the world is destroying itself in escalating deadly, dead-end debates among "DIS-ARMERS" and "RE-ARMERS" and "FREEZERS"

If there is any valid hope for the future it is emerging among the "in-between" nations, each motivated by its own compulsions for national security and economic

progress. As airliners in a storm cloud can find their own safety and progress only under the disciplines of air traffic control guarding the safety and progress of all airplanes in the cloud, each of the 155 "in-between" nations will find future security and development only under an unprecedented "leap" into the age of world operational systems and legal institutions committed to the security and development of all nations.

**AFTER** World War I the League of Nations was created to provide security and progress for all nations. It was inadequate. **AFTER** World War II the United Nations was chartered to provide security and progress for all nations. Although an improvement, it is inadequate. *Humanity may have one more chance* to create world-sized systems and institutions capable of assisting all nations in production of food, clothing, housing, energy, clean air & water, health, education and national security . . . rather than in production of weapons of extermination or domination of all other nations. These are the flowers of the next historic era growing out of the collapse of Soviet and American strategic weapons.

The elusive and temporary half-cycle called "peace" has come only after some dominant military power has killed off all potential enemies in a given area. Throughout history whoever has been in command of the powers of violence in any given area has been able to impose his version of "peace" and "law" and "economics" upon all within range of his weapons. The "peace" has lasted only as long as no other society had developed the military weapons to challenge this rule of law.

For more than twenty years the world has been engulfed in a fierce competition to determine who will have command control of the new global weapons of violence and enforcement, which have become feasible for the first time in history. There are three general long-long range outcomes, if civilization is not destroyed from the runaway arms race:—

I. There will be world peace and world law in which the U.S.S.R. has gained control of global powers of enforcement, and all nations

will have become political satellites, like Czechoslovakia. The Soviet people will be impoverished to maintain these global powers of violence and enforcement. The people of all other nations will be in continuous rebellion against the Soviet people and government.

- II. There will be world peace and world law in which the U.S. has gained control of global powers of enforcement, and all nations will have become economic subsidiaries, like Canada. The American people will be pauperized to maintain these global powers of violence and enforcement. The people of all other nations will be in continuous rebellion against the American people and government.
- III. There will be world peace and world law in which all nations have gained unprecedented representation in the command control of global powers of enforcement, and all nations will have security, political independence and economic development progress. This will be the most complex and difficult challenge civilization ever has faced, envisioning and creating unheard-of global institutions capable of allowing all nations to find security, political independence and economic progress. There are no precedents in history for such Life Support Systems for Planet Earth, as there were no precedents for the leaps into Air Power, or into Nuclear Power, or into Space Power, or . . . now . . . into Global Compassionate Power systems and institutions. For the first time in history this is not a "crackpot" objective, although twenty, thirty or more years of unprecedented research, development, experimental operation and public participation and demonstration may be required. . . in addition to all essential defense activity with the obsolescing weapons.

The political power group in control of the Kremlin is committed to the first option above (I).

The financial power group in control of the White House is committed to the second option above (II).

In 1978 in the First Special Session of the U.N. General Assembly, the President of France called for exploration of the feasibility of creating an all-nation remote sensing military satellite system to serve the needs of all nations for "verification" and "monitoring" of future peacekeeping, and war prevention agreements among the nations.

WITH THE U.S. AND THE U.S.S.R. ABSTAINING the General Assembly created a committee of twelve experts (not including any member from the U.S. or the U.S.S.R.) to study the feasibility. This committee functioned for more than three years out of Geneva under the chairmanship of Dr. Hubert G. Bortzmeyer of the French space agency C.N.E.S. Its 120 page completed feasibility study is a historic document (UN A/AC. 206/14 6 August 1981 Study on the implications of establishing an international satellite monitoring agency) which should be studied in depth by everyone concerned for concrete progress toward a future civilized world order.

The feasibility document was completed in time to be discussed publicly in the Second Special Session in New York in June 1982, opening the door to a valid public pro and con and creative discussion of initiatives toward a future war-proof world. But the leaders of this Special Session and the Non Governmental Organization leaders were so preoccupied mobilizing mass protest demonstrations in the streets, and propaganda speeches on "DISARMAMENT" and "FREEZE" in the Assembly, *that no place was allowed for public discussion of this French Initiative and its space policy for humankind.*

The "in-between" nations, now awakening to the new feasibility of such life-serving satellite systems, then tried to bring up The French Initiative in an even more appropriate forum, the U.N. conference on peaceful uses of outer space "UNISPACE 82" in Vienna in August 1982. Here the ugly story began to surface. The Soviet Deputy Secretary General of UNISPACE 82 and the official Soviet delegation and the American Deputy Secretary General and the official American delegation, became a single dominant force which *prevented the French Initiative from appearing anywhere on the agenda in Vienna.*

Now frustrated and angered the "in-between" nations then persuaded British science-future writer Arthur C. Clarke (who had been a member of the delegation from Sri Lanka where he lives) to delay his return home in order to go to Geneva on August 31, 1982 to deliver a keynote address on "PEACE SATELLITES" to the U.N. Committee on Disarmament. The reactions of the "in-between" nations were strongly positive. The official Kremlin and White House

**The French Initiative (Contd. from Page 27)**

attitude remained hostile. The Soviet and the American press ignored the event.

Then on November 9, 1982 in New York at a Strategy for Global Security Conference convened by Dr. Harry Lerner two main speakers (1) Dr. Robert Muller, Assistant Secretary General of the U.N. and (2) Arthur C. Clarke, both spoke of The French Initiative, and both mentioned the strategic writings by War Control Planners Inc in relation to this concept of pro-human space systems.

Creative professional, public and political discussion of the feasibility of The French Initiative has been almost impossible, because only a limited number of copies of the 120 report were exeroxed .. BUT ..

On December 9, 1982 in New York the Plenary Session of the regular U.N. General Assembly passed the attached Resolution, keeping the subject of The French Initiative alive and expanding the tasks of the special feasibility committee of experts. This document may be historic for two reasons:—

I. 126 nations voted "YES" projecting clearly the yearnings of the majority of humankind for progress toward a civilized world order free from war, and eventually free from want.

The United States, Afghanistan, Cuba, Laos, Democratic Yemen, Lebanon, Vietnam and four other nations *ABSTAINED* projecting clearly the position of the financial power behind the White House, in relation to the needs and yearnings of humanity.

The U.S.S.R. and eight other nations of the Soviet Bloc voted "NO" projecting clearly the position of the political power behind the Kremlin, in relation to the needs and yearnings of humanity.

II. The Secretary General was instructed to publish the feasibility study as an official U.N. Document, now making it available to libraries, universities and the public of all nations, as a base for pro and con and creative discussion and exploration, *if this is publicized world-wide.*

This 123 page document will be published in French, English, Russian, Chinese and Spanish. It can be ordered by title:-

**A C T I O N**

" E.83.IX.3 The Implications of Establishing an International Satellite Monitoring Agency " ( \$12.50 USA \$ )  
Order from: UNITED NATIONS PUBLICATIONS, Room A-3315, New York N.Y. 10017  
or " " " Palais des Nations, 1211 Geneva 10 SWITZ.

In addition to meeting all defense requirements nations will be challenged to work together creating new world-sized institutions. A few examples utilizing remote sensing & communications space applications leadership initiatives:-

- WORLD MAPPING AGENCY
- WORLD FOOD & FIBRE INVENTORY AGENCY
- WORLD FOREST INVENTORY AGENCY
- WORLD SEARCH, RESCUE & DISASTER AGENCY
- WORLD LAND, SEA, AIR POLLUTION AGENCY
- WORLD RESOURCE EXPLORATION AGENCY
- WORLD ARMS CONTROL VERIFICATION AGENCY
- WORLD DECLASSIFIED MILITARY INTELLIGENCE
- ALL-NATION DISASTER WATCH CENTERS (war, pollution, hurricane, drought, shipwreck, earthquake)
- ALL-NATION INFORMATION RETRIEVAL SERVICES ( for all professions and libraries )

Each of the above long range commitments will involve experts and operating personnel in MILITARY-TECHNICAL-POLITICAL-DIPLOMATIC-LEGAL-ECONOMIC-SOCIAL-MORAL and other professions, in multinational operations involving more and more nations, and eventually all nations. Budgets will be shared by more and more and eventually all nations. Each historic project could become as large as the US Apollo Moon Project which employed 35,000 in NASA and 350,000 in universities and industry. Rising generations in all nations will find new challenging careers and valid reasons to hope for a future worth struggling, or fighting, for.

*ACTION*

=====  
excerpt from personal testimony by Harriet and Howard Kurtz  
before the U.S. Senate Subcommittee on Science, Technology & Space March 18, 1977 and  
before the U.S. House of Representatives Subcommittee on Space, Science & Applications  
September 1975  
=====

For world-wide pro and con and creative discussion

ONE CONCRETE EXAMPLE OF GLOBAL PRO-HUMAN STRATEGIC RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVES

To stimulate creative discussion, the December 1973 issue of SPECTRUM, journal of the 160,000 member international Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers - IEEE - published the following projection for a large scale, long range, sustained commitment for expanded programs of military and civilian earth-serving intelligence and communications satellite systems, to serve the needs of humanity as a whole . . . an expansion of President Eisenhower's "Open Skies" policy now magnified a thousand times by advancing knowledge and skills in all fields:-

## Scanning the earth

### Proposal for a global information cooperative

The American people through their government could announce to the world a large scale, long-range, sustained commitment to build a giant open-to-the-public Global Information Cooperative . . . linked to a greatly expanded ten year series of earth-orbiting, intelligence-gathering satellites and other global information-gathering sources. The objective of this Global Information Cooperative would be:

I. To maintain a public inventory of potential public danger for the planet, whether from threat of war, or pollution, or draught, or hurricane, or blight, or shipwreck, or any other threat to the general wellbeing of human beings everywhere, and

II. To provide earth resources development information assisting the economic progress and human wellbeing for people of all nations. All nations will be invited to cooperate as part of an eventual broader War Prevention Decade of new research and development and testing.

All nations would be invited to cooperate by assigning experts and research teams to work together in a large Global Information Research Park surrounding the Cooperative. This would include military experts, intelligence experts, agricultural experts, geologic survey experts, and specialists in all relevant fields. There would be no classified information, no secrets. Anything that can be *seen* or *detected* or *discovered* through outerspace instrumentation would be available to the people and the nations of the world.

Information would be made public on large illuminated information display walls, and would be stored in computers for reference. Communications links would be maintained with the world radio, television, and printed news and public information media. This mobilization of information research and develop-

ment for the future safety and wellbeing of the people of all nations would proceed with American initiative, no matter what nations withhold cooperation at first. Nations would be free to join the cooperative at any future time.

There would be no need to first "negotiate" with potential enemies before the American people lead the people of all nations gradually toward a new age of openness. Potential enemies on both sides of all confrontations would be invited to see inside their own country what American reconnaissance satellites already see.

All nations capable of launching surveillance satellites would be invited to link them to the Global Information Cooperative. All nations would be invited to build similar open-to-the-public information centers, linked to central information receivers, and storage facilities, and retrieval facilities. All nations would be free to install receiving facilities to be linked directly to the eyes-in-the-sky.

*Howard and Harriet Kurtz  
War Control Planners, Inc.  
Box 19127  
Washington, D.C. 20036*

**Howard G. Kurtz** spent twenty-two years (1932-1954) in airline management positions and twelve years (1954-1966) in the management consulting firm of Handy Associates, Inc. Since 1966 he has devoted his full-time to War Control Planners, Inc., of which he is president.

**Harriet B. Kurtz** graduated from Wellesley College in 1937, and Union Theological Seminary in 1962. She was ordained to the ministry of the United Church of Christ in 1964, with a mission in the field of war and crisis as her particular charge.

# Checkpoint

Harriet B. Kurtz (1915-1977) Founding Editor  
Howard G. Kurtz — Editor

Special Edition  
November 1982

NEWSLETTER FROM THE FRONTIERS  
OF A FUTURE CIVILIZED WORLD ORDER  
A Project of War Control Planners, Inc.  
Box 19127, Washington, D.C. 20036  
Phone (202) 785-0708

*In support of Strategy for Global Security Conference November 9, 1982 for U.N. Non Governmental Organizations (NGOs) to explore ways to help build a world community ((Dr. Harry H. Lerner, convener, 51 East 90th St., New York N.Y. 10028 USA - phone (212)289-3353 ))*

*In support of British science-future writer Arthur C. Clarke, focusing this conference on the age of "PEACE SATELLITES" enriching life for humanity, in contrast to the threat of Space War.*

*Put the world back to work creating a future*

## **Global Information Cooperative**

For the first time in history it is feasible to begin developing world-sized operational and legal institutions to guard the national security, political independence and economic progress of all 157 or more nations, as air traffic control guards the safety and progress of all airplanes in a cloud. Space Power is only one concrete example of the hundreds or thousands of other pro-human power initiatives now within reach, waiting only for new-dimension leadership.

American, Soviet and other remote sensing military & economic intelligence satellites already can distinguish a golf ball on a green 500 miles below. They can pry into the privacy of all nations, even in the dark of night, with infrared instruments. They help predict weather and to monitor hurricanes. They made more maps of more nations last year than were made in all previous history combined. They monitor and predict food & fibre & lumber markets everywhere to either corner world markets or to help feed a hungry world. They can locate people lost on oceans, or icecaps, or deserts. They maintain continuous intimate awareness of potential enemy military forces and movements on land or sea, or in the air or in space. Information is power, and he who wins the race to control intelligence and communications satellites may be well on the way toward imposing his politico-economic theologies upon all other nations.

But if humanity itself can gain control of future intelligence & communications satellites, here are TWELVE sample very large scale, very long range all-nation experiments to be pioneered.

GLOBAL WEATHER & CLIMATE AGENCY

GLOBAL AGRICULTURE MONITORING AGENCY

GLOBAL FOREST MONITORING AGENCY

ENVIRONMENTAL POLLUTION MONITORING AGENCY

DE-CLASSIFIED MILITARY INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

GLOBAL RESOURCE EXPLORATION AGENCY

GLOBAL MAPPING AGENCY

GLOBAL SEARCH & RESCUE AGENCY

SEA, AIR & SPACE TRAFFIC CONTROL AGENCY

WAR PREVENTION & PEACEKEEPING INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

GLOBAL DISASTER WATCH & WARNING AGENCY

GLOBAL INFORMATION RETRIEVAL AGENCY

etc.etc.etc.

During the most exciting, most complex, most meaningful generation of creativity in history all experiments would be in addition to each nation's essential defense requirements. Each breakthrough experiment could be launched unilaterally by the U.S., or by the U.S.S.R., or by a coalition of "in-between" nations with advancing remote sensing & communications space systems. Each historic project would involve simultaneously great inventive efforts in MILITARY-TECHNICAL-POLITICAL-DIPLOMATIC-LEGAL-ECONOMIC-SOCIAL-MORAL and other professions, working in multinational systems teams from all participating or competing nations. Each project could involve as many persons as the American Apollo Moon Project, employing 35,000 in NASA and 350,000 in universities and industry. The world can be put back to work pioneering Life Support Systems for Planet **Earth** in contrast to the arms race for powers of extermination or domination of humankind.

turn over . . . .



# Keepers of the peace?

Man campaigns for satellite spies to become international referees of nuclear arms race

By Robert Engelman  
Washington Correspondent

WASHINGTON — For nearly two decades, Howard G. Kurtz has been telling everyone who will listen that the advancing technology of satellite remote sensing holds the key to peace in the nuclear age.

Mr. Kurtz hopes his idea — an orbiting "Peacesat" that would monitor military moves and arms buildups around the globe — will find an international forum at a United Nations conference on the peaceful uses of space. The conference opens today in Vienna, Austria.

The little-publicized concept recently picked up an ally from an unexpected corner: Rep. Larry Winn Jr., a conservative congressman from eastern Kansas.

Some Democrats — notably Rep.

George E. Brown of California — have backed the peacekeeping satellite in the past. But Mr. Winn is the first Republican to speak up.

The White House invited Mr. Winn to join the U.S. delegation to the Vienna conference, which will run through Aug. 21, but he declined. The congressman has an election ahead, and he has faced campaign charges in the past that he travels too much and legislates too little.

But Mr. Brown is going to Vienna, and he said he planned "to take this (Peacesat) message to the international community to place the United States foremost in world peace initiatives."

Mr. Kurtz says, "The history of war shows that those who control the most advanced military technology control everything."

The Mongols, for example, were able to sweep through much of Asia and Europe because their metal stirrups gave them unprecedented fighting prowess while astride their horses — making cavalry, in effect, the 13th-century cruise missile.

But Mr. Kurtz stresses that history does not move backward; the nuclear genie cannot be rebottled, no matter how fervently the world wishes for nuclear disarmament. Beyond nuclear weaponry, he reasons, there must be a further technological advance.

Mr. Winn, ranking Republican on the House Science and Technology Committee, surprised Mr. Kurtz a few weeks ago by proposing in a letter to President Reagan that the United States and the Soviet Union develop a joint "peacekeeping satellite system  
See SATELLITES.

## SATELLITES

Continued from Page A-1

that could be used by both nations to verify the world's nuclear arsenal." He later pitched the idea to the House in a bill urging the United States to bring Peacesat up for discussion at the U.N. conference, called Unispace-82.

Until Mr. Kurtz's wife, Harriet, died in 1977, the two were a team working for a sky spy for peace. As War Control Planners Inc., they brought high U.S. officials to a cramped, one-bedroom apartment in Washington for a 35-minute slide show on preventing war through world surveillance.

Some officials have been impressed, Mr. Kurtz says, but they have warned that the idea is too controversial to champion publicly.

An international satellite monitoring agency, which the Kurtzes began promoting in the 1960s, was proposed in the United Nations by France in 1978 and was outlined last week in a study on space released by the Worldwatch Institute, a Washington research group that keeps an eye on global problems.

The United States has opposed the idea of the orbiting referees and, in spite of Mr. Winn's interest, plans to discourage discussion of the concept in Vienna.

"Who gets the pictures (from satellites) and what would they do with them?" one State Department official asked rhetorically. "What kind of technology — from our military, from private companies — do we want to make available to the world at large?"

Mr. Winn is "totally unrelated to the kind of scheming power games that go on" in the defense establishment, Mr. Kurtz says. "He's not really any more informed (about the intricacies of defense) than anyone else. But half his voters are bugging him about the arms race, and he's coming up with the right posture."

One morning two weeks ago, Mr. Winn and Mr. Kurtz met in the congressman's office for the war control slide show. Mr. Winn spoke highly of

the presentation afterward, and Mr. Kurtz was surprised to learn that the Kansan had been reading the literature War Control Planners had been mailing him over the years.

Last Tuesday Mr. Winn received another visit — this time from a representative of the U.S. Arms Control and Disarmament Agency. Mr. Winn said the official argued that the U.N. space conference was not the right forum for a discussion of the peacekeeping satellite and urged the congressman to withdraw his bill. Mr. Winn declined.

Mr. Kurtz's idea contrasts sharply with the growing militarization of outer space, as evidenced by the Pentagon payload on the recent flight of the space shuttle Columbia and by the Air Force's establishment of a new Space Command. The concept also differs from one presented by the conservative Heritage Foundation, which calls for development of missile-killing satellites capable of knocking out a Soviet nuclear attack as it is launched.

Mr. Kurtz believes that only when satellite intelligence is open for the whole world to see will nations begin to trust each other enough to relax their arms gathering. Mr. Kurtz, a former U.S. Army Air Corps lieutenant colonel and a retired airline executive, draws a comparison with the early development of air traffic control.

"The airlines had trouble seeing a future for themselves because their planes were always in danger of running into each other," he says. "Ultimately it was the airlines that went to the government, asking it to establish a system by which everyone knew where everyone else was flying. Then there was a future for aviation."

Both the United States and the Soviet Union orbit a dozen or more satellites that are capable, some say, of "reading a license plate on a car." Information from some low-resolution observation satellites is released publicly, but the only people reading license plates from space have top military clearance in either the United States or the Soviet Union.

Mr. Kurtz is not a dove on defense matters and worries that the U.S. nu-

clear freeze movement aids the Soviets. But the U.S. military, he says, is like a football team obsessed with a strong defense while neglecting forward strategy.

What if, Mr. Kurtz asks, the United States or a group of other nations established a global security council as a parallel to the U.S. National Security Council? The latter agency concerns itself with defending the United States, while the former would try, in effect, to defend the world from self-destruction.

Such an agency, without needing any other nation's approval or agreement, would operate a group of satellites capable of watching every detail of the world's firepower. The agency then would publicize what it saw.

"There are no international laws about what you can watch from outer space," Mr. Kurtz says, admitting that not all nations would welcome the high-flying Peeping Toms.

Daniel Deudney, author of the Worldwatch Institute's space study, notes that all-seeing satellites actually could have a destabilizing influence, and he echoes the State Department's concern about how information is used.

"Just having satellites or intelligence won't do anything," Mr. Kurtz says. "But you won't have all the other things — arms control, peace — until you have satellites. What we're talking about is a long-range thing that may take 20 years to prove, but let's get started."

Once such a system is in place, Mr. Kurtz believes, nations could begin to reduce their arsenals in proportion to how secure they felt knowing what their neighbors were up to. Ultimately the satellites also could be used to exchange information on the world's weather, environment and resources.

Mr. Kurtz "is something of a visionary, with one idea he keeps hammering away at," said Mr. Deudney, who became acquainted with Mr. Kurtz while preparing his Worldwatch Institute paper. "But I have a sense that in the future, either he'll be seen as a prophet or we'll all be dead. His idea is the linchpin of peace vs. war."

The Kansas City Times  
Monday, August 9, 1982

The question Congress never seems to ask . . . .

WHAT KIND OF FUTURE WORLD ORDER IS THE U.S. TRYING TO CREATE ?  
=====

For a generation no one has told us, the American people, the goal, the purpose, the long range strategic objective for which we have been required to suffer, sacrifice and die. What are the long range alternatives?

FIRST ALTERNATIVE FUTURE: There will be world order within which all nations will have become political satellites under shadow of Soviet global strategic power. The people of all other nations will be in continuous rebellion against the Soviet people and their Government. The Soviet people will be pauperized to maintain world-wide military and other forces to maintain world order. This is the prospect if the President listens to the softliners, and allows the U.S. to become weak.

*Reagan*  
SECOND ALTERNATIVE FUTURE: There will be world order within which all nations will have become economic subsidiaries under shadow of American global strategic power. The people of all other nations will be in continuous rebellion against the American people and their Government. The American people will be pauperized to maintain world-wide military and other forces to maintain world order. This is the prospect if the President listens to the hardliners and "wins" the arms race.

THIRD ALTERNATIVE FUTURE: There will be a civilized world order within which the people of all nations will have found national security, independence, and progress. The people of all nations will be motivated to support these future world-sized systems and institutions by their own instinctive self-interest in security, independence and progress. These distant future world management systems will be maintained at a fraction of the present \$ 400 billion dollars a year being spent on the ever-expanding arms race, thereby freeing human and natural resources for the production of food, clothing, housing, energy, clean air & water, health, education and national security for the people of the 156 or more sovereign nations. This is the prospect if the President, and Congress, release the creativity, power and character of the American people for a new generation of world statesmanship.

Our forefathers who settled America faced the future, and not the past. They created new history. They created new institutions of State Government to maintain the character and disciplines of public life. They then created far larger institutions under the Constitution of the United States to maintain the character and disciplines of national public life.

Today in growing world megacrisis is it not imperative that we the people of the United States face the future, and not the past? Is this not our opportunity to help humanity create new history? Is this not our moment for world leadership toward creating new world-sized institutions to maintain the character and disciplines of relations among nations, deserving the loyalty and support of the people of all nations?

That this will be the most complex and difficult task society ever has faced only emphasizes the magnitude of the moral imperative to make the effort.

*We all have to learn to live creatively in the midst of world crisis now expanding almost beyond control. Instead of panicking and making matters worse, each action by our nation and by each individual should be directed both (1) toward defense of the United States, and (2) creative leadership toward future world systems and institutions capable of guarding the security and progress of all nations . . . our enemies and our friends as well as ourselves.*

LAW OFFICES  
**SCHNADER, HARRISON, SEGAL & LEWIS**  
1111 NINETEENTH STREET, N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20036

202-463-2900

June 2, 1980

PHILADELPHIA OFFICE  
1719 PACKARD BUILDING  
PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19102  
215-988-2000

Mr. Howard G. Kurtz  
War Control Planners, Inc.  
Box 19127  
Washington, D. C. 20036

Dear Howard:

I appreciate your letter of May 10 and I too am sorry that I had to leave before you had completed your audiovisual presentation. My reaction was positive on the lecture. I thought it moved faster and became better as it went along. No doubt you have given this lecture to many groups and therefore know how to pace and adapt the presentation. It would be marvelous if hundreds and thousands or even millions of people could hear that story and see the slides.

Your message is particularly timely because of the sudden push for more expenditures and greater armament in the United States. It seems as though the President and the Congress are both pressing for military expansion. I wish that I commanded enough credibility that I could turn our efforts to greater utilization of our technology to monitor movement toward war, visible to everyone. And thus to encourage nations to act in unity to preserve the peace rather than to arm to repel an attack from neighbor nations.

As you point out, the only real hope for mankind is, build a civilized world order that makes obsolete the continuing yo yo swings of war and peace, with war becoming ever more terrible and complete.

You have my best wishes and what little help I may be able to offer you.

Sincerely,

  
Frank E. Moss

(Former Senator Frank E. Moss was Chairman of the Senate Science & Technology Committee.) H.G.K.

Attached excerpt from October 27, 1983 issue of ROLLING STONE appeared on Washington news stands day before yesterday. The article by William Greider, former Assistant Managing Editor of the WASHINGTON POST deals with our efforts to help the President.



# WAR CONTROL PLANNERS, INC.

Box 19127, Washington, D.C. 20036

October 21  
1983

202/785-0708

*My previous attempts to help President Reagan by writing to you December 31, 1981, June 13, 1982, and February 14, 1983 failed to earn your personal attention. Time may be running out and this is one more, final, attempt. Soon it may be too late.*

Hon. Michael K. Deaver  
The White House

cc: Mr. Larry Speakes  
Assistant to the President  
Press Affairs

Dear Michael K. Deaver:

A war is not lost on the battlefield. It is lost long in advance in the mind of one person, the Commander-in-Chief . . . the Napoleon, the Hitler, the President of the United States totally unfamiliar with the character and magnitude of approaching world chaos, waiting for the National Security Council Staff to bring him the right script. With Judge William P. Clark, a man of vast integrity and loyalty to the President, completely unfamiliar with the utter complexity of the global crisis, no creative concepts have been able to reach the mind of President Reagan.

Eleanor Roosevelt cautioned my late wife Harriet and me that breakthrough strategic power concepts can never move upwards in a political chain-of-command, and that the concept of the Manhattan Project had to reach President Roosevelt over the heads of all of his closest national security, military and foreign affairs advisors. That is why I have tried to break through to you, with conclusions from our thirty year new-depth study of the endless cycle of WAR-PEACE-WAR-PEACE-WAR-PEACE-WAR which has plagued all human history, and once again is epidemic and threatening the future of humankind.

At Pearl Harbor Japan illustrated that a nation ( the U.S.) threatened by foreign military power, in its anxiety willingly turns itself over to an authoritarian leader (Roosevelt) and willingly suffers and sacrifices to follow his leadership. Every U.S. initiative developed by the National Security Council for a generation for Presidential action has further threatened the Soviet people, causing them to turn themselves over more completely to their leader in the Kremlin, and to suffer and sacrifice to fight back. Every Soviet defensive expansion has increased the threat to the U.S. and has increased budgets to expand U.S. global military power. Presidential advisors in this field come mostly from financial/industrial/military interests prospering from the Kremlin expansion.

Today every new initiative by President Reagan not only threatens the Soviet people, but threatens the future of the people of all 157 nations. The President has been led by inadequate advice, into a deadly, dead-end strategic trap. In their anxiety the American people are now destroying themselves in the destructive debates among the "DIS-ARMERS" the "RE-ARMERS" and the "FREEZERS".

There are initiatives the President, personally, could take which would place him in orbit as the Great World Statesman of history. These initiatives have been blocked and killed in the National Security Council for nearly twenty years. We invested more than thirty years and somewhat more than available resources in the study. *H. Kurtz*

CONTRIBUTIONS DEDUCTIBLE FOR INCOME, GIFT OR ESTATE TAX PURPOSES

HOWARD G. KURTZ

# Checkpoint

Harriet B. Kurtz (1915-1977) Founding Editor  
Howard G. Kurtz — Editor

NEWSLETTER FROM THE FRONTIERS  
OF A FUTURE CIVILIZED WORLD ORDER  
A Project of War Control Planners, Inc.  
Box 19127, Washington, D.C. 20036  
Phone (202) 785-0708

## National Affairs

### THE SHOOTOUT FALLOUT .....

By William Greider

....an excerpt . . .

Cockburn puts it this way: "The degree to which we are all in the power of harebrained people—that's the truly frightening thing, whatever actually happened. What could the Americans really have found out? On the other hand, what was the Soviet Union really going to lose by letting the plane go? We have to concern ourselves with the antics of buffoons."

AT THIS GLOOMY MOMENT IN HISTORY, IT seems appropriate to introduce an international diplomat who is a genuine optimist in this dangerous world. He is Dr. Robert Muller, an assistant secretary general of the United Nations who has been working for international peace there for thirty-five years. And despite recent events, he believes that modern nations may actually survive their own follies.

"I see that the human species is trying to save itself," Muller reflects. "I think it will succeed. Even this Korean Air Lines incident is going to give us something positive. The Russians will see that they can never do this again—that the world will no longer tolerate such violence. On the other hand, the United States will see that this situation is too dangerous. Next time this happens, the United States will have to call the Russians and warn them."

At the U.N., Muller, a Frenchman, operates as a kind of freelance thinker who collects new ideas for creating international stability and peddles them to whichever nations seem interested. A few years back, he came across an extraordinary proposal that would go a long way toward defusing the dangerous intelligence games played by the two superpowers. The idea calls for an international satellite-monitoring agency operated through the U.N. that would use modern intelligence technology to prevent war. This global satellite agency would look into every nation's backyard and share the information it gathers with everyone else.

"For heaven's sake," Muller exclaims, "since we now have the means for monitoring what's going on, let's create the system and use it for everyone's security. Of course, neither the U.S. nor the U.S.S.R.

Looking beyond the deadly, dead-end debates of the "DIS-ARMERS", the "RE-ARMERS", the "FREEZERS" and the "STAR WARRIORS" . . .

Meet Dr. Robert Muller, Assistant Secretary General, United Nations, New York 10017, USA, the unofficial earthly prophet of a future civilized world order. Also William Greider the rare editor-journalist capable of reporting the realities of future Life Support Systems for Planet Earth.

May they both hear from you direct, if you care. H.G.K.

for permission to publish, contact

745 Fifth Avenue, New York, NY 10151; (212) PL8-3800

OCTOBER 27, 1984

## Rolling Stone

Editor and Publisher: JANN S. WENNER

Managing Editor: DAVID ROSENTHAL  
National Editor: WILLIAM GREIDER

wants to do this. They really don't want to disarm."

The idea for an international satellite cooperative originated with Americans—Howard Kurtz and his late wife, Harriet, and their Washington-based peace organization, War Control Planners. Thanks to Muller, Kurtz' proposal was passed to the French government, which formally proposed it as a U.N. initiative in 1978.

The French won approval from the U.N. General Assembly for a feasibility study of the idea, but both the U.S. and the U.S.S.R. abstained. After three years' work, an international committee of twelve experts produced a report in 1982 and asked the General Assembly to approve its publication and distribution. Russia and eight Communist satellite nations voted no. The United States again abstained, joined by such odd bedfellows as Cuba, Afghanistan, Laos and Vietnam.

The 123-page report, now available through the U.N. publications office in New York, concludes that such an international system is both technically and legally feasible. The group of experts, chaired by Dr. Hubert G. Bortzmeyer of CNES, the French space agency, "recognized the valuable contribution which monitoring by satellites could make to the verification of certain parts or types of arms control and disarmament agreements. . . . The group also appreciated the positive

role that satellite monitoring could play in preventing or settling crises in various parts of the world and thus contributing to confidence-building among nations."

No one, of course, expects the United States or the Soviet Union or any other nation to abandon its own national intelligence systems. But a new international agency could cool off the superpowers' rivalry, ensuring that clear international warnings are sounded when dangerous events occur. The report lays out a three-stage blueprint for creating a global monitoring agency that calls for the construction of ten ground stations and several data-processing centers. Eventually, it would employ internationally owned satellites for optical, infrared and radar scanning. Also, low-altitude satellites could, under the plan, be maneuvered over areas of crisis for close-up intelligence sweeps. The total cost over several years would be less than one percent of the \$500 billion the world spends each year on new armaments.

This idea makes great sense, especially in the ominous environment of the present. But it will probably take ten or twenty years of

patient diplomacy to accomplish, even if optimists like Muller prevail, because it profoundly threatens the status quo. Military interests both here and in the U.S.S.R. are absolutely opposed to any sharing of intelligence-gathering technology. Economic interests are likewise hostile to the idea, because the global satellite cooperative that Howard Kurtz envisions could also share with poor nations valuable data collected on weather, mineral resources, crop production and oceanic exploration. Fortunately, satellite technology is now shared widely enough among nations that any international agency can be created without any technical cooperation from the two superpowers. But they can block the idea politically.

Robert Muller believes that episodes like KAL 007 will eventually convince governments that they must embrace new international solutions or perish. "You need violent reactions to violent events," Muller explains. "That's part of the learning process. In the end, the world needs to learn that it cannot have these things happen anymore.

"In the end," he adds, "it will work out for the best." ○

# The French Initiative and its Space Policy for Humankind

Support from 126 Nations as against Super Powers and their Allies

By Howard G. Kurtz, Editor  
Check Point, U.S.A.

The 155 or more "in-between" nations are awakening to the new reality that not one of them ever again will find its own national security and economic progress by clinging to either Kremlin or White House side of the self-escalating race for powers of death and terminal destruction.

The Soviet and the American people are like passengers in two giant airliners in a cloud filled with other planes on collision courses, as the two pilots each chant continually into their own public address system, their threats to fly directly into the other giant airliner in the cloud. The difference is that if the two super-powers make good their threats of modern war, all humanity will be endangered.

When trapped in a closed system of danger like these airplane cabins, or these superpowers, on the verge of collision, human beings become numbed by anxiety and deadened by subconscious dread, to the point where they become emotional Zombies, easily manipulated into fake remedies like "NUCLEAR DIS-ARMAMENT" and "FREEZE" (as the public was manipulated by fear of death from cancer to buy millions of dollars worth of fake medicine like "laetrile")

NEVER has the transition into the next era of security and progress come about by potential enemies pretending to discuss "DIS-ARMAMENT" of the obsolete or obsolescing weapons.

NEVER has civilization survived by mass marches of fear-ridden people shouting "FREEZE" of the obsolete weapons.

NO NATION ever is going to "dis-arm" until after global security systems and institutions have been created, and publicly tested and demonstrated and the public has learned that these new institutions can be trusted.

Civilization in much of the world is destroying itself in escalating deadly, dead-end debates among "DIS-ARMERS" and "RE-ARMERS" and "FREEZERS"

If there is any valid hope for the future it is emerging among the "in-between" nations, each motivated by its own compulsions for national security and economic

progress. As airliners in a storm cloud can find their own safety and progress only under the disciplines of air traffic control guarding the safety and progress of all airplanes in the cloud, each of the 155 "in-between" nations will find future security and development only under an unprecedented "leap" into the age of world operational systems and legal institutions committed to the security and development of all nations.

AFTER World War I the League of Nations was created to provide security and progress for all nations. It was inadequate. AFTER World War II the United Nations was chartered to provide security and progress for all nations. Although an improvement, it is inadequate. *Humanity may have one more chance* to create world-sized systems and institutions capable of assisting all nations in production of food, clothing, housing, energy, clean air & water, health, education and national security . . . rather than in production of weapons of extermination or domination of all other nations. These are the flowers of the next historic era growing out of the collapse of Soviet and American strategic weapons.

The elusive and temporary half-cycle called "peace" has come only after some dominant military power has killed off all potential enemies in a given area. Throughout history whoever has been in command of the powers of violence in any given area has been able to impose his version of "peace" and "law" and "economics" upon all within range of his weapons. The "peace" has lasted only as long as no other society had developed the military weapons to challenge this rule of law.

For more than twenty years the world has been engulfed in a fierce competition to determine who will have command control of the new global weapons of violence and enforcement, which have become feasible for the first time in history. There are three general long-long range outcomes, if civilization is not destroyed from the runaway arms race:—

I. There will be world peace and world law in which the U.S.S.R. has gained control of global powers of enforcement, and all nations

will have become political satellites, like Czechoslovakia. The Soviet people will be impoverished to maintain these global powers of violence and enforcement. The people of all other nations will be in continuous rebellion against the Soviet people and government.

WITH THE U.S. AND THE U.S.S.R. ABSTAINING the General Assembly created a committee of twelve experts (not including any member from the U.S. or the U.S.S.R.) to study the feasibility. This committee functioned for more than three years out of Geneva under the chairmanship of Dr. Hubert G. Bortzmeyer of the French space agency C.N.E.S. Its 120 page completed feasibility study is a historic document (UN A/AC. 206/14 6 August 1981 Study on the implications of establishing an international satellite monitoring agency) which should be studied in depth by everyone concerned for concrete progress toward a future civilized world order.

The feasibility document was completed in time to be discussed publicly in the Second Special Session in New York in June 1982, opening the door to a valid public pro and con and creative discussion of initiatives toward a future war-proof world. But the leaders of this Special Session and the Non Governmental Organization leaders were so preoccupied mobilizing mass protest demonstrations in the streets, and propaganda speeches on "DISARMAMENT" and "FREEZE" in the Assembly, *that no place was allowed for public discussion of this French Initiative and its space policy for humankind.*

The "in-between" nations, now awakening to the new feasibility of such life-serving satellite systems, then tried to bring up The French Initiative in an even more appropriate forum, the U.N. conference on peaceful uses of outer space "UNISPACE 82" in Vienna in August 1982. Here the ugly story began to surface. The Soviet Deputy Secretary General of UNISPACE 82 and the official Soviet delegation and the American Deputy Secretary General and the official American delegation, became a single dominant force which *prevented the French Initiative from appearing anywhere on the agenda in Vienna.*

Now frustrated and angered the "in-between" nations then persuaded British science-future writer Arthur C. Clarke (who had been a member of the delegation from Sri Lanka where he lives) to delay his return home in order to go to Geneva on August 31, 1982 to deliver a keynote address on "PEACE SATELLITES" to the U.N. Committee on Disarmament. The reactions of the "in-between" nations were strongly positive. The official Kremlin and White House

II. There will be world peace and world law in which the U.S. has gained control of global powers of enforcement, and all nations will have become economic subsidiaries, like Canada. The American people will be pauperized to maintain these global powers of violence and enforcement. The people of all other nations will be in continuous rebellion against the American people and government.

III. There will be world peace and world law in which all nations have gained unprecedented representation in the command control of global powers of enforcement, and all nations will have security, political independence and economic development progress. This will be the most complex and difficult challenge civilization ever has faced, envisioning and creating unheard-of global institutions capable of allowing all nations to find security, political independence and economic progress. There are no precedents in history for such Life Support Systems for Planet Earth, as there were no precedents for the leaps into Air Power, or into Nuclear Power, or into Space Power, or . . . now . . . into Global Compassionate Power systems and institutions. For the first time in history this is not a "crackpot" objective, although twenty, thirty or more years of unprecedented research, development, experimental operation and public participation and demonstration may be required. . . in addition to all essential defense activity with the obsolescing weapons.

The political power group in control of the Kremlin is committed to the first option above (I).

The financial power group in control of the White House is committed to the second option above (II).

In 1978 in the First Special Session of the U.N. General Assembly, the President of France called for exploration of the feasibility of creating an all-nation remote sensing military satellite system to serve the needs of all nations for "verification" and "monitoring" of future peacekeeping, and war prevention agreements among the nations.

*for story behind this story  
see article by William Greider  
in October 27, 1983 ROLLING STONE*

**The French Initiative (Contd. from Page 27)**

attitude remained hostile. The Soviet and the American press ignored the event.

Then on November 9, 1982 in New York at a Strategy for Global Security Conference convened by Dr. Harry Lerner two main speakers (1) Dr. Robert Muller, Assistant Secretary General of the U.N. and (2) Arthur C. Clarke, both spoke of The French Initiative, and both mentioned the strategic writings by War Control Planners Inc in relation to this concept of pro-human space systems.

Creative professional, public and political discussion of the feasibility of The French Initiative has been almost impossible, because only a limited number of copies of the 120 report were exeroxed .. BUT ..

On December 9, 1982 in New York the Plenary Session of the regular U.N. General Assembly passed the attached Resolution, keeping the subject of The French Initiative alive and expanding the tasks of the special feasibility committee of experts. This document may be historic for two reasons:—

I. 126 nations voted "YES" projecting clearly the yearnings of the majority of humankind for progress toward a civilized world order free from war, and eventually free from want.

The United States, Afghanistan, Cuba, Laos, Democratic Yemen, Lebanon, Vietnam and four other nations *ABSTAINED* projecting clearly the position of the financial power behind the White House, in relation to the needs and yearnings of humanity.

The U.S.S.R. and eight other nations of the Soviet Bloc voted "NO" projecting clearly the position of the political power behind the Kremlin, in relation to the needs and yearnings of humanity.

II. The Secretary General was instructed to publish the feasibility study as an official U.N. Document, now making it available to libraries, universities and the public of all nations, as a base for pro and con and creative discussion and exploration, *if this is publicized world-wide.*

**A C T I O N**

This 123 page document will be published in French, English, Russian, Chinese and Spanish. It can be ordered by title:-

" E.83.IX.3 The Implications of Establishing an International Satellite Monitoring Agency " ( \$12.50 USA \$ )  
Order from: UNITED NATIONS PUBLICATIONS, Room A-3315, New York N.Y. 10017  
or " " " " Palais des Nations, 1211 Geneva 10 SWITZ.

In addition to meeting all defense requirements nations will be challenged to work together creating new world-sized institutions. A few examples utilizing remote sensing & communications space applications leadership initiatives:-

*ACTION* ↓  
WORLD MAPPING AGENCY  
WORLD FOREST INVENTORY AGENCY  
WORLD LAND, SEA, AIR POLLUTION AGENCY  
WORLD ARMS CONTROL VERIFICATION AGENCY  
ALL-NATION DISASTER WATCH CENTERS (war, pollution, hurricane, drought, shipwreck, earthquake)  
ALL-NATION INFORMATION RETRIEVAL SERVICES ( for all professions and libraries )  
WORLD FOOD & FIBRE INVENTORY AGENCY  
WORLD SEARCH, RESCUE & DISASTER AGENCY  
WORLD RESOURCE EXPLORATION AGENCY  
WORLD DECLASSIFIED MILITARY INTELLIGENCE

Each of the above long range commitments will involve experts and operating personnel in MILITARY-TECHNICAL-POLITICAL-DIPLOMATIC-LEGAL-ECONOMIC-SOCIAL-MORAL and other professions, in multinational operations involving more and more nations, and eventually all nations. Budgets will be shared by more and more and eventually all nations. Each historic project could become as large as the US Apollo Moon Project which employed 35,000 in NASA and 350,000 in universities and industry. Rising generations in all nations will find new challenging careers and valid reasons to hope for a future worth struggling, or fighting, for.



=====  
excerpt from personal testimony by Harriet and Howard Kurtz  
before the U.S. Senate Subcommittee on Science, Technology & Space March 18, 1977 and  
before the U.S. House of Representatives Subcommittee on Space, Science & Applications  
September 1975  
=====

For world-wide pro and con and creative discussion

ONE CONCRETE EXAMPLE OF GLOBAL PRO-HUMAN STRATEGIC RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVES

To stimulate creative discussion, the December 1973 issue of SPECTRUM, journal of the 160,000 member international Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers - IEEE - published the following projection for a large scale, long range, sustained commitment for expanded programs of military and civilian earth-serving intelligence and communications satellite systems, to serve the needs of humanity as a whole . . . an expansion of President Eisenhower's "Open Skies" policy now magnified a thousand times by advancing knowledge and skills in all fields:-

## Scanning the earth

### Proposal for a global information cooperative

The American people through their government could announce to the world a large scale, long-range, sustained commitment to build a giant open-to-the-public Global Information Cooperative... linked to a greatly expanded ten year series of earth-orbiting, intelligence-gathering satellites and other global information-gathering sources. The objective of this Global Information Cooperative would be:

I. To maintain a public inventory of potential public danger for the planet, whether from threat of war, or pollution, or draught, or hurricane, or blight, or shipwreck, or any other threat to the general wellbeing of human beings everywhere, and

II. To provide earth resources development information assisting the economic progress and human wellbeing for people of all nations. All nations will be invited to cooperate as part of an eventual broader War Prevention Decade of new research and development and testing.

All nations would be invited to cooperate by assigning experts and research teams to work together in a large Global Information Research Park surrounding the Cooperative. This would include military experts, intelligence experts, agricultural experts, geologic survey experts, and specialists in all relevant fields. There would be no classified information, no secrets. Anything that can be *seen* or *detected* or *discovered* through outerspace instrumentation would be available to the people and the nations of the world.

Information would be made public on large illuminated information display walls, and would be stored in computers for reference. Communications links would be maintained with the world radio, television, and printed news and public information media. This mobilization of information research and develop-

ment for the future safety and wellbeing of the people of all nations would proceed with American initiative, no matter what nations withhold cooperation at first. Nations would be free to join the cooperative at any future time.

There would be no need to first "negotiate" with potential enemies before the American people lead the people of all nations gradually toward a new age of openness. Potential enemies on both sides of all confrontations would be invited to see inside their own country what American reconnaissance satellites already see.

All nations capable of launching surveillance satellites would be invited to link them to the Global Information Cooperative. All nations would be invited to build similar open-to-the-public information centers, linked to central information receivers, and storage facilities, and retrieval facilities. All nations would be free to install receiving facilities to be linked directly to the eyes-in-the-sky.

*Howard and Harriet Kurtz  
War Control Planners, Inc.  
Box 19127  
Washington, D.C. 20036*

**Howard G. Kurtz** spent twenty-two years (1932-1954) in airline management positions and twelve years (1954-1966) in the management consulting firm of Handy Associates, Inc. Since 1966 he has devoted his full-time to War Control Planners, Inc., of which he is president.

**Harriet B. Kurtz** graduated from Wellesley College in 1937, and Union Theological Seminary in 1962. She was ordained to the ministry of the United Church of Christ in 1964, with a mission in the field of war and crisis as her particular charge.

# Checkpoint

Harriet B. Kurtz (1915-1977) Founding Editor  
Howard G. Kurtz — Editor

Special Edition  
November 1982

NEWSLETTER FROM THE FRONTIERS  
OF A FUTURE CIVILIZED WORLD ORDER  
A Project of War Control Planners, Inc.  
Box 19127, Washington, D.C. 20036  
Phone (202) 785-0708

*In support of Strategy for Global Security Conference November 9, 1982 for U.N. Non Governmental Organizations (NGOs) to explore ways to help build a world community ((Dr. Harry H. Lerner, convener, 51 East 90th St., New York N.Y. 10028 USA - phone (212)289-3353 ))*

*In support of British science-future writer Arthur C. Clarke, focusing this conference on the age of "PEACE SATELLITES" enriching life for humanity, in contrast to the threat of Space War.*

Put the world back to work creating a future

## **Global Information Cooperative**

For the first time in history it is feasible to begin developing world-sized operational and legal institutions to guard the national security, political independence and economic progress of all 157 or more nations, as air traffic control guards the safety and progress of all airplanes in a cloud. Space Power is only one concrete example of the hundreds or thousands of other pro-human power initiatives now within reach, waiting only for new-dimension leadership.

American, Soviet and other remote sensing military & economic intelligence satellites already can distinguish a golf ball on a green 500 miles below. They can pry into the privacy of all nations, even in the dark of night, with infrared instruments. They help predict weather and to monitor hurricanes. They made more maps of more nations last year than were made in all previous history combined. They monitor and predict food & fibre & lumber markets everywhere to either corner world markets or to help feed a hungry world. They can locate people lost on oceans, or icecaps, or deserts. They maintain continuous intimate awareness of potential enemy military forces and movements on land or sea, or in the air or in space. Information is power, and he who wins the race to control intelligence and communications satellites may be well on the way toward imposing his politico-economic theologies upon all other nations.

But if humanity itself can gain control of future intelligence & communications satellites, here are TWELVE sample very large scale, very long range all-nation experiments to be pioneered.

GLOBAL WEATHER & CLIMATE AGENCY

GLOBAL AGRICULTURE MONITORING AGENCY

GLOBAL FOREST MONITORING AGENCY

ENVIRONMENTAL POLLUTION MONITORING AGENCY

DE-CLASSIFIED MILITARY INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

GLOBAL RESOURCE EXPLORATION AGENCY

GLOBAL MAPPING AGENCY

GLOBAL SEARCH & RESCUE AGENCY

SEA, AIR & SPACE TRAFFIC CONTROL AGENCY

WAR PREVENTION & PEACEKEEPING INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

GLOBAL DISASTER WATCH & WARNING AGENCY

GLOBAL INFORMATION RETRIEVAL AGENCY

etc.etc.etc.

During the most exciting, most complex, most meaningful generation of creativity in history all experiments would be in addition to each nation's essential defense requirements. Each breakthrough experiment could be launched unilaterally by the U.S., or by the U.S.S.R., or by a coalition of "in-between" nations with advancing remote sensing & communications space systems. Each historic project would involve simultaneously great inventive efforts in MILITARY-TECHNICAL-POLITICAL-DIPLOMATIC-LEGAL-ECONOMIC-SOCIAL-MORAL and other professions, working in multinational systems teams from all participating or competing nations. Each project could involve as many persons as the American Apollo Moon Project, employing 35,000 in NASA and 350,000 in universities and industry. The world can be put back to work pioneering Life Support Systems for Planet **Earth** in contrast to the arms race for powers of extermination or domination of humankind.

turn over . . . .

# Keepers of the peace?

Man campaigns for satellite spies to become international referees of nuclear arms race

By Robert Engelman  
Washington Correspondent

WASHINGTON — For nearly two decades, Howard G. Kurtz has been telling everyone who will listen that the advancing technology of satellite remote sensing holds the key to peace in the nuclear age.

Mr. Kurtz hopes his idea — an orbiting "Peacesat" that would monitor military moves and arms buildups around the globe — will find an international forum at a United Nations conference on the peaceful uses of space. The conference opens today in Vienna, Austria.

The little-publicized concept recently picked up an ally from an unexpected corner: Rep. Larry Winn Jr., a conservative congressman from eastern Kansas.

Some Democrats — notably Rep.

George E. Brown of California — have backed the peacekeeping satellite in the past. But Mr. Winn is the first Republican to speak up.

The White House invited Mr. Winn to join the U.S. delegation to the Vienna conference, which will run through Aug. 21, but he declined. The congressman has an election ahead, and he has faced campaign charges in the past that he travels too much and legislates too little.

But Mr. Brown is going to Vienna, and he said he planned "to take this (Peacesat) message to the international community to place the United States foremost in world peace initiatives."

Mr. Kurtz says, "The history of war shows that those who control the most advanced military technology control everything."

The Mongols, for example, were able to sweep through much of Asia and Europe because their metal stirrups gave them unprecedented fighting prowess while astride their horses — making cavalry, in effect, the 13th-century cruise missile.

But Mr. Kurtz stresses that history does not move backward; the nuclear genie cannot be rebottled, no matter how fervently the world wishes for nuclear disarmament. Beyond nuclear weaponry, he reasons, there must be a further technological advance.

Mr. Winn, ranking Republican on the House Science and Technology Committee, surprised Mr. Kurtz a few weeks ago by proposing in a letter to President Reagan that the United States and the Soviet Union develop a joint "peacekeeping satellite system

See SATELLITES,

## SATELLITES

Continued from Page A-1

that could be used by both nations to verify the world's nuclear arsenal." He later pitched the idea to the House in a bill urging the United States to bring Peacesat up for discussion at the U.N. conference, called Unispace-82.

Until Mr. Kurtz's wife, Harriet, died in 1977, the two were a team working for a sky spy for peace. As War Control Planners Inc., they brought high U.S. officials to a cramped, one-bedroom apartment in Washington for a 35-minute slide show on preventing war through world surveillance.

Some officials have been impressed, Mr. Kurtz says, but they have warned that the idea is too controversial to champion publicly.

An international satellite monitoring agency, which the Kurtzes began promoting in the 1960s, was proposed in the United Nations by France in 1978 and was outlined last week in a study on space released by the Worldwatch Institute, a Washington research group that keeps an eye on global problems.

The United States has opposed the idea of the orbiting referees and, in spite of Mr. Winn's interest, plans to discourage discussion of the concept in Vienna.

"Who gets the pictures (from satellites) and what would they do with them?" one State Department official asked rhetorically. "What kind of technology — from our military, from private companies — do we want to make available to the world at large?"

Mr. Winn is "totally unrelated to the kind of scheming power games that go on" in the defense establishment, Mr. Kurtz says. "He's not really any more informed (about the intricacies of defense) than anyone else. But half his voters are bugging him about the arms race, and he's coming up with the right posture."

One morning two weeks ago, Mr. Winn and Mr. Kurtz met in the congressman's office for the war control slide show. Mr. Winn spoke highly of

the presentation afterward, and Mr. Kurtz was surprised to learn that the Kansan had been reading the literature War Control Planners had been mailing him over the years.

Last Tuesday Mr. Winn received another visit — this time from a representative of the U.S. Arms Control and Disarmament Agency. Mr. Winn said the official argued that the U.N. space conference was not the right forum for a discussion of the peacekeeping satellite and urged the congressman to withdraw his bill. Mr. Winn declined.

Mr. Kurtz's idea contrasts sharply with the growing militarization of outer space, as evidenced by the Pentagon payload on the recent flight of the space shuttle Columbia and by the Air Force's establishment of a new Space Command. The concept also differs from one presented by the conservative Heritage Foundation, which calls for development of missile-killing satellites capable of knocking out a Soviet nuclear attack as it is launched.

Mr. Kurtz believes that only when satellite intelligence is open for the whole world to see will nations begin to trust each other enough to relax their arms gathering. Mr. Kurtz, a former U.S. Army Air Corps lieutenant colonel and a retired airline executive, draws a comparison with the early development of air traffic control.

"The airlines had trouble seeing a future for themselves because their planes were always in danger of running into each other," he says. "Ultimately it was the airlines that went to the government, asking it to establish a system by which everyone knew where everyone else was flying. Then there was a future for aviation."

Both the United States and the Soviet Union orbit a dozen or more satellites that are capable, some say, of "reading a license plate on a car." Information from some low-resolution observation satellites is released publicly, but the only people reading license plates from space have top military clearance in either the United States or the Soviet Union.

Mr. Kurtz is not a dove on defense matters and worries that the U.S. nu-

clear freeze movement aids the Soviets. But the U.S. military, he says, is like a football team obsessed with a strong defense while neglecting forward strategy.

What if, Mr. Kurtz asks, the United States or a group of other nations established a global security council as a parallel to the U.S. National Security Council? The latter agency concerns itself with defending the United States, while the former would try, in effect, to defend the world from self-destruction.

Such an agency, without needing any other nation's approval or agreement, would operate a group of satellites capable of watching every detail of the world's firepower. The agency then would publicize what it saw.

"There are no international laws about what you can watch from outer space," Mr. Kurtz says, admitting that not all nations would welcome the high-flying Peeping Toms.

Daniel Deudney, author of the Worldwatch Institute's space study, notes that all-seeing satellites actually could have a destabilizing influence, and he echoes the State Department's concern about how information is used.

"Just having satellites or intelligence won't do anything," Mr. Kurtz says. "But you won't have all the other things — arms control, peace — until you have satellites. What we're talking about is a long-range thing that may take 20 years to prove, but let's get started."

Once such a system is in place, Mr. Kurtz believes, nations could begin to reduce their arsenals in proportion to how secure they felt knowing what their neighbors were up to. Ultimately the satellites also could be used to exchange information on the world's weather, environment and resources.

Mr. Kurtz "is something of a visionary, with one idea he keeps hammering away at," said Mr. Deudney, who became acquainted with Mr. Kurtz while preparing his Worldwatch Institute paper. "But I have a sense that in the future, either he'll be seen as a prophet or we'll all be dead. His idea is the linchpin of peace vs. war."

The Kansas City Times  
Monday, August 9, 1982

The question Congress never seems to ask . . . .

WHAT KIND OF FUTURE WORLD ORDER IS THE U.S. TRYING TO CREATE ?  
=====

For a generation no one has told us, the American people, the goal, the purpose, the long range strategic objective for which we have been required to suffer, sacrifice and die. What are the long range alternatives?

FIRST ALTERNATIVE FUTURE: There will be world order within which all nations will have become political satellites under shadow of Soviet global strategic power. The people of all other nations will be in continuous rebellion against the Soviet people and their Government. The Soviet people will be pauperized to maintain world-wide military and other forces to maintain world order. This is the prospect if the President listens to the softliners, and allows the U.S. to become weak.

*R Reagan*  
SECOND ALTERNATIVE FUTURE: There will be world order within which all nations will have become economic subsidiaries under shadow of American global strategic power. The people of all other nations will be in continuous rebellion against the American people and their Government. The American people will be pauperized to maintain world-wide military and other forces to maintain world order. This is the prospect if the President listens to the hardliners and "wins" the arms race.

THIRD ALTERNATIVE FUTURE: There will be a civilized world order within which the people of all nations will have found national security, independence, and progress. The people of all nations will be motivated to support these future world-sized systems and institutions by their own instinctive self-interest in security, independence and progress. These distant future world management systems will be maintained at a fraction of the present \$ 400 billion dollars a year being spent on the ever-expanding arms race, thereby freeing human and natural resources for the production of food, clothing, housing, energy, clean air & water, health, education and national security for the people of the 156 or more sovereign nations. This is the prospect if the President, and Congress, release the creativity, power and character of the American people for a new generation of world statesmanship.

Our forefathers who settled America faced the future, and not the past. They created new history. They created new institutions of State Government to maintain the character and disciplines of public life. They then created far larger institutions under the Constitution of the United States to maintain the character and disciplines of national public life.

Today in growing world megacrisis is it not imperative that we the people of the United States face the future, and not the past? Is this not our opportunity to help humanity create new history? Is this not our moment for world leadership toward creating new world-sized institutions to maintain the character and disciplines of relations among nations, deserving the loyalty and support of the people of all nations?

That this will be the most complex and difficult task society ever has faced only emphasizes the magnitude of the moral imperative to make the effort.

*We all have to learn to live creatively in the midst of world crisis now expanding almost beyond control. Instead of panicking and making matters worse, each action by our nation and by each individual should be directed both (1) toward defense of the United States, and (2) creative leadership toward future world systems and institutions capable of guarding the security and progress of all nations . . . our enemies and our friends as well as ourselves.*

LAW OFFICES  
**SCHNADER, HARRISON, SEGAL & LEWIS**  
1111 NINETEENTH STREET, N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20036

202-463-2900

June 2, 1980

PHILADELPHIA OFFICE  
1719 PACKARD BUILDING  
PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19102  
215-988-2000

Mr. Howard G. Kurtz  
War Control Planners, Inc.  
Box 19127  
Washington, D. C. 20036

Dear Howard:

I appreciate your letter of May 10 and I too am sorry that I had to leave before you had completed your audiovisual presentation. My reaction was positive on the lecture. I thought it moved faster and became better as it went along. No doubt you have given this lecture to many groups and therefore know how to pace and adapt the presentation. It would be marvelous if hundreds and thousands or even millions of people could hear that story and see the slides.

Your message is particularly timely because of the sudden push for more expenditures and greater armament in the United States. It seems as though the President and the Congress are both pressing for military expansion. I wish that I commanded enough credibility that I could turn our efforts to greater utilization of our technology to monitor movement toward war, visible to everyone. And thus to encourage nations to act in unity to preserve the peace rather than to arm to repel an attack from neighbor nations.

As you point out, the only real hope for mankind is, build a civilized world order that makes obsolete the continuing yo yo swings of war and peace, with war becoming ever more terrible and complete.

You have my best wishes and what little help I may be able to offer you.

Sincerely,

  
Frank E. Moss

(Former Senator Frank E. Moss was Chairman of the Senate Science & Technology Committee.) H.G.K.

Mr. Mike Deaver;  
The White House,  
Washington, D.C.  
20500

Dear Sir:

October 20th, 1983

As concerned Americans we look forward to a press conference by President Reagan to learn what our government is up to, the press conference held on the 19th, was a real dis-appointment. We were especially worried about the American Marines in Beirut, but we received no definite answers just a lot of excuses that don't even make sense.

According to the news media American involvement in Beirut is for the security of Israel, it pays big dividends for Israel to be anti-communist, the only trouble with that set-up, it is us tired Americans, worn out tax payers who pick up the tab. Israel got out of Beirut leaving nothing but death and destruction and no peace agreements.

We can understand our involvement in Central America, a good neighbor policy, but Beirut is a political crime against each and every American regardless of whether the American Marine is my son or the son of my neighbor and turn the mop up job in Beirut over to Israel.

Cordially,  
Beth Norris,  
Meridian Road,  
Niles

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

October 19, 1983

MEMO FOR DONNA BLUME

FROM: MARGIE CRAWFORD

*Who*  
*Case.*

Yesterday a call from Congressman George Hansen to Mike Deaver was transferred to my office. The Congressman was calling to ask Mr. Deaver's permission to use his name on a fundraiser invitation for the Congressman. The fundraiser is November 16th and the Congressman said Mr. Deaver had approve of his name on Mr. Hansen's last fundraising invitation which was Feb. 23. The Congressman stated that he has waited til the last minute (his invitations are ready for printing) because he knew Mr. Deaver had been traveling alot recently and thought there would be no problem. FYI He told me that the following individuals have agreed to be on this invitation: Sec. Regan, Block; Mr. Middendorf; Generals Graham, and Singlop and Senators Thrumond, Baker, Simms, Laxalt; Congressman Michel, Lott, VanderJagt, Craig to name some of the Members of Congress. I mentioned this to Mike McManus when he called in last evening but thought you should be aware in case Mr. Deaver or Sittmann called in and I told the Congressman we (meaning you) would be back in touch either tomorrow or the next day.

THE WHITE HOUSE

Keep

October 18, 1983

Dear Mike,

As one who did not apply to  
for job and is thus, terribly humbled,  
I am also filled with enormous  
enthusiasm for what can be  
accomplished for support of the President's  
goals.

As together we move into '84  
I feel forward to working with you  
and I hope you will let me know  
of any way in which I can be of  
some help.

Warm regards  
Dud



12-650 Parma Drive #1  
Desert Hot Springs, Ca. 92240  
October 18, 1983

Michael Deaver  
The White House  
Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Deaver:

President Reagan could have been the man of the hour. He held in his hand the power to stop the most insidious disease on the face of the earth today, Marxist-Leninist ideology, enhanced by the Stalin image and era. The total destruction of KAL 007 and the massacre of 269 persons by the Russians was an act of war, a conspiracy actually conceived in the United States. Asphyxiation, manipulation or deliberate tampering could be contributing factors. An investigation to uncover the plot is most important and should be conducted with that thought in mind not as a deliberate coverup. Secretary of State, George Shultz, said that it wasn't worth a war. However, everything Mr. Shultz says enhances the position of the enemy. A member of his family was not on the plane. A declaration of war by the President of the U. S. does not mean that all Communists have to be killed. Actions that should have been taken immediately were to recognize the Soviet leaders as gangsters, terrorists and assassins capable of any conspiracy including a massacre. Our air space has been violated 75 times by the Russians. Cut off all diplomatic recognition and send every Russian spy home; close down Glen Cove, San Francisco, and move them out of the U. N. Building; stop all sales of materials regardless of specified nature. To Hell with our so-called industrial giants, producers of roller bearings, high technology, heavy equipment, missiles. All flights ingoing and outgoing should be stopped forever, not for a day. Stop all sale of any food. One specific item that comes to mind is fishing for them which the world should be informed about. Grain sale, which is really a joke at what they buy. Probably one of the most honest industries left, the farmer, has been betrayed.

By giving the Russians billions of dollars we are playing into the hands of the bankers who are trying to sell the U. S. taxpayer short. Slaughter in Afghanistan continues. The Syrians are being armed to kill the Jews. Cuba, with Soviet help is sending more forces to Angola to stop a gentleman who is non-Communist. Tampering still goes on to destroy South Africa, the only capitalistic bastion left on the African Continent as everybody knows that Rhodesia has been sold out by the United States. Ronald Reagan professes to be a God-fearing man as Carter and John F. Kennedy professed to be, then it is time we, a nation endowed by God, should fight with all our strength, and every individual around the world that believes in God, not matter what name HE is given, should want to destroy Communism that advocates and practices atheism everywhere they become entrenched. One thing the world should remember is that Communists preach peace provided it is on their terms.

Sincerely,

*E. M. Molengraff*  
E. M. Molengraff

*H. F. Christian*  
H. F. Christian

21 October, 1983

Mr. Michael K. Deaver  
Deputy Chief Of Staff  
White House  
1st Floor - WW.  
Washington, DC 20500

*file*

Dear Mr. Deaver:


Please find enclosed a copy of a letter which was sent to all members of the 98th Congress.

The Agenda project is designed to educate and inform, thereby increasing the level of citizen participation in the electoral process. Members of Congress are able to express views on subjects of their choice and can receive responses from interested citizens. The Agenda utilizes an electronic information network that is accessible to persons with home or business computers.

It is anticipated that it will be necessary to include the members of the executive branch. Therefore, we invite your comments and suggestions as to the type of information that would be relevant.

If your office should desire to access the data base please contact us for further information.

Yours truly,

  
John F. Baringer

Dear :

In May you received a letter outlining the Agenda Project. As you may recall, Agenda is a computer-based information network which is designed to serve the general public. The specific topic of interest is legislation. Thus any citizen may see what elected officials are doing in regard to a particular piece of legislation. Furthermore, citizens may express their views by leaving messages on the system for their representative's viewing.

It is our hope that, through cooperation from elected officials such as yourself, the public may become more informed with facts rather than editorialized representations of the facts.

In order to accurately establish the initial database we need your help. We need correct addresses and phone numbers and a list of your "current topics of interest" in regard to legislation. You may change this list at any time, and as often as you like. We also request a list of committees which you serve on or chair.

As a member of Congress, you may access the computer's database. Through this access you may, if you desire, update your positions. Your constituents, who have access to the system, may check your position on a given piece of legislation.

Any citizen of the United States, group of citizens or news media will upon request be given access to the database and the programs contained therein. Such access is via individual access codes which identify the user's congressional district and state or special interest group represented.

As you can see, your response is very important to the reliability of this system. If you would furnish us with correct addresses, phone numbers and your current topics of interest we will insert them immediately into the database. In regard to your current topics of interest please submit the subject and your position thereon. You may submit as many subjects as you like and the positions reflected in the database will be your own.

We look forward to hearing from you.

LYNDA JOHNSON ROBB, Honorary President  
*Fairfax County Council of the Arts*  
BARBARA KRES BEACH, President  
*Fairfax County Council of the Arts*  
ELIZABETH McBRIDE, Chairman  
*Fairfax County Council of the Arts*



# THE International Children's Festival

rec'd  
11/14/83

21 October 1983

## International Children's Festival

MRS. JOUETT SHOUSE, Chairman Emeritus  
*International Children's Festival*

WILLARD SCOTT, Honorary Chairman  
*International Children's Festival*

SENATOR JOHN W. WARNER, Chairman  
*Honorary International Committee*

SENATOR PAUL TRIBLE, Chairman  
*Honorary National Committee*

CONGRESSMAN STANFORD PARRIS, Chairman  
*Honorary Congressional Committee*

CONGRESSMAN FRANK R. WOLF, Honorary Chairman  
*Committee for Special Children*

STATE SENATOR ADELARD L. BRAULT, Chairman  
*Virginia Honorary Committee*

CHAIRMAN, FAIRFAX COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
JOHN F. HERRITY, Co-Chair  
*Fairfax County Honorary Committee*

VICE CHAIRMAN, FAIRFAX COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
MARTHA V. PENNINO, Co-Chair  
*Fairfax County Honorary Committee*

## General Committee

CAROL SUPPLEE, Co-Chair  
*Continental Federal Savings & Loan*

JERE HATHAWAY WRIGHT, Co-Chair  
*Community Relations Consultant*

Richard A. Arbore  
*Burke Centre Conservancy*

Kenneth A. Branch  
*U.S. Committee for UNICEF*

Becky Bryco  
*Civic Leader*

Thomas R. Crosby, Jr.  
*1AA Potomac*

Mary B. Ferrand  
*Civic Leader*

Ann Klunder  
*Fairfax County Public Schools*

John C. Randall, Jr.  
*Terraset Elementary School*

Mrs. William Rountree  
*Civic Leader*

Pamela Stutz  
*Franklin Farms Foundation*

John M. Toups  
*Planning Research Corporation*

Robert Whittle  
*Williams, Whittle Associates*

Sam Kyun Yoon  
*Eastern Interiors & Eastern Construction*

## Development

Dr. Vernon Clarke  
*CPT Corporation*

Milton L. Drewes, Jr.  
*First American Bank of Virginia*

Charles G. Gullede  
*Dynallectron Corporation*

Dr. George W. Johnson  
*George Mason University*

Robert LaRose  
*Advanced Technology*

A. W. Perigard  
*Comsat Telesystems Incorporated*

David L. Stewart  
*Roim Mid-Atlantic*

Walter N. Street, Jr.  
*United Virginia Bank*

William Tietel  
*Marrriott Corporation*

Earle C. Williams  
*BDM International Incorporated*

Louise Willson  
*Business Consultant*

Honorable Michael K. Deaver  
Deputy Chief of Staff &  
Assistant to the President  
The White House  
Washington, DC 20500

ill

Dear Mr. Deaver:

The International Children's Festival for 1983 was an incredible success! Children and parents flowed to every open area of Wolf Trap, bubbling with enthusiasm.

We know that you share our pride in a grass roots arts council - the Fairfax County Council of the Arts - and the product that it has developed over the 13 year period. The Festival now draws professional performers from around the world and from the farthest corners of the United States. Performers and audience alike represent many local ethnic and cultural groups. Visitors, diplomats and many families from the greater Washington area also attended.

We want you to know that along with leadership such as yours, a dynamic planning committee and the FCCA professional staff, working for almost a year, were responsible for the development of this program. An additional 400 volunteers assisted on Labor Day weekend to make this event truly unique and worthwhile.

Thank you for your support and loyal attention as one of our distinguished honorary committee members. Your assistance gave us the opportunity to bring hundreds of performers and families together to celebrate the arts. It was truly a time of fellowship.

We look forward to your involvement in the 1984 Festival.

Sincerely,

Carol

Carol Supplee  
Co-Chair

Jere

Jere Hathaway Wright  
Co-Chair

October 22, 1983

TO: Michael Deaver  
Deputy Chief of Staff  
The White House  
Washington, D C 20515

*file*

The Reagan Administration can throw a bombshell, a bold and daring concept, to put the United States back in the drivers seat.

1. Freeze, and roll back all wages and prices. In a nutshell, roll back dollar an hour equivalent the first year, and fifty cents ensuing years in three-year plan. Should the economy and dollar value show tremendous potential, continue three more years.

2. Change Strike Law: Outlaw strike clause in all negotiations but retain all others. Strikes and work stoppages have proved the bane to industry and workers alike to the tune of trillion dollars <sup>back</sup> since 1955, throwing progress and economy of the country five years. It is like tossing trillion dollars in the depths of the sea with no hope for recovery. Every state in the union has been devastated by strikes.

The concept that management and labor must fight like cats and dogs must go! Holding a loaded gun on management's head is tantamount to demanding what is desired, or else!!

3. Trade Balance in Jeopardy: World trade is our life-line. We cannot be losing billion dollars a month without drastic consequences. High costs of goods and materials will doubtless show negative effects. It is getting to a point where our business may not be able to compete with other developing countries.

We have the technical know-how, the resources, and the guts to maintain leadership in the industrial world. We dare not lose them because of hostilities between management and labor. The price is too costly to pay.

The current period is crucial. Americans possess character and heart to forge ahead in any given obstacle. This is the time to act in unison to hold and restore The Great American Dream. With sacrifice, we can.

Immediate action is required. We are hoping The Congress is not afraid to stand up and be counted. We must maintain leadership and be strong in our convictions to uphold the principles and thoughts of America with integrity and pride for all.

Thanks for hearing us out

*Carl Maeda*  
CARL MAEDA  
3370 Oahu Ave  
Honolulu, HI 96822  
Phone 988 2938

Mark Kleinman  
3800 Veazey Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20016

October 22, 1983

Michael K. Deaver  
Assistant to the President and  
Deputy Chief of Staff  
White House

Dear Sir:

Enclosed is an idea which I believe will break the deadlock in the START talks. It is in the form of a letter to the President.

I am hoping that you will consider it carefully and pass the idea along to the President.

My proposal will make a difference in the peace process, if it is enacted.

Sincerely,

Mark Kleinman

p.s. Please feel free to write to me or call me at 633-0716 should you have any questions.

Mark Kleinman  
3800 Veazey Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20016

October 22, 1983

President Reagan  
White House

Dear Sir:

Hello.

I believe that I have a plan which will break the deadlock in the START talks.

Start. Take the first step. Unilaterally destroy one nuclear warhead. Just one and wait for the Soviet Union's response. Suggest that the Soviet Union do the same.

First, the destruction of one nuclear warhead will symbollically show the United States' resolve to reduce nuclear arms.

Second, the destruction of one nuclear warhead will, I believe, put the Soviet Union on the defensive. They could no longer claim to be the party more interested in arms reduction. Unless, they do, in fact respond by destroying a warhead. In which case, the United States could respond by destroying another.

Third, the destruction of one nuclear warhead will put the nuclear freeze movement and the demonstrations in Europe in a bind. The United States would be the peace starter.

Fourth, the destruction of one nuclear warhead comes straight from the teachings of Gandhi which he took from Jesus Christ "the vindication of truth is not by infliction of suffering on the opponent but on one's self". By sacrificing one nuclear warhead, we announce to the world the terrible thing that these weapons of destruction are and we say to the Russians and indeed the world join us, in our quest for peace. And, let us put an end to the arms race.

Fifth, and finally, the destruction of one nuclear warhead is the start of nuclear arms reduction.

Let us begin. By taking this bold step. I believe it would be hailed by our Allies and all of those in the world who want to see an end to the arms race. It once again re-establishes the United States as the leader of the world. Let history record that it was the United States who started the process of reducing nuclear weapons. And, let us hope that this act of destroying a nuclear warhead inspires other countries of the world to do the same.

President Reagan  
Page Two

I would point out that you should act quickly. The Soviet Union very well might try to pull a propaganda "coup" by destroying one of its own nuclear warheads first. It would then put the United States on the defensive. And, paint the United States as a "warmonger".

The President should act quickly.

Take the initiative.

Take the first step.

Destroy one nuclear warhead and suggest to the Soviet Union that they do the same.

Sincerely,



Mark Kleinman  
3800 Veazey Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20016



October 20, 1953

Carole Michèle Curb

Wile

Dear Michael,

It was wonderful to see you in Washington, as well as to speak to you last week on the telephone. I await your visit to France, as I am sure you will find it most enjoyable. I am dining in Paris.

As I mentioned on the phone, I have had the pleasure of meeting the Duc de Sabran,

Who comes from a very highly respected family lineage in France. As head of his chateau in Aix-En-Provence among his activities is the production of wine called Cote du Luberon which exists in white, red, and rosé. It is light and lovely, and as I mentioned what a terrific wine connoisseur you are, we thought it might serve as a wine to be presented at one of your state dinners. We shall send some to you, and await your reaction. Miss you & look forward to seeing you soon.  
Affectionately, Carole