

# WITHDRAWAL SHEET

## Ronald Reagan Library

**Collection Name** DEAVER, MICHAEL: FILES

**Withdrawer**

KDB 7/19/2005

**File Folder** CORRESPONDENCE - JULY 1982 <sup>2</sup>(8)

**FOIA**

F01-107/01

**Box Number** 7619

MCCARTIN

48

DOC NO	Doc Type	Document Description	No of Pages	Doc Date	Restrictions	
1	LETTER	PAUL LEE TO M. DEAVER (PAGE 1, PARTIAL)	1	7/2/1982	B6	142
2	LETTER	ROSAMOND RICE TO M. DEAVER (PAGE 2, PARTIAL; PAGES 3-12 CLOSED IN WHOLE)	11	7/1/1982	B6 <i>off m 2/28/11</i>	143

### Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]

B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]

B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]

B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]

B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]

B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]

B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]

B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON

July 12, 1982

Dear Mr. Tyus:

Mr. Deaver has asked me to forward the enclosed photograph of the President. We hope that you will enjoy having it for the College's art museum collection.

Sincerely,



SHIRLEY MOORE  
Staff Assistant to  
Michael K. Deaver

Mr. Ober R. Tyus  
Director of Public Affairs  
Rollins College  
Winter Park, Florida 32789

# ROLLINS COLLEGE

*founded 1885*

June 23, 1982

Mr. Michael Deaver  
Counselor to the President  
The White House  
Washington, DC


Dear Mr. Deaver:

This is a special request for a unique photo of the President. Our College's art museum has a collection of photographs of every U.S. President hand autographed to the College.

Our staff at the museum was able to obtain a printed signature photograph of the President from the White House Press Office and we are grateful for that response.

Our hope, however, is that a hand autographed photograph in an 11 x 14 size might be obtained through the courtesies of your office. We will be happy to pay for the appropriate print if that is necessary. If you can arrange for us to receive the above photo hand autographed [REDACTED] we will continue to be in your debt and that of the President for both this kindness and the good work he is carrying out for our nation.

Sincerely,



Ober R. Tyus  
Director of Public Affairs

ORT:lm



With all Best Wishes To Rollins College  
Sincerely Ronald Reagan

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

July 12, 1982

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Schneider:

Thank you for your letter concerning H.R. 808 and S. 1698. I appreciate the interest which prompted you to bring your views on pending legislation to my attention. I want you to know that I will keep your comments in mind should these bills pass the Congress and be brought to the President's desk for signing.

With best wishes,

Sincerely,

MICHAEL K. DEEVER  
Assistant to the President  
Deputy Chief of Staff

Mr. and Mrs. Jim Schneider  
312 East Seventh Street  
Jasper, IN 47546

6-25-82

Mr. Deaver,

Our family fully supports  
the Amnasion bills HR 808 +  
51698. Please urge Pres.  
Reagan to support these  
bills.

It's been too long our due  
that we have been totally  
irresponsible for our American  
children. We are ashamed  
the US has not provided for its  
children as other countries have.  
It's time we corrected this  
lack of compassion. These  
children are suffering for our  
possiveness.

Jim + Janet Schneider  
& 6 children

Mike -

Good seeing you again in  
 Lancaster. Such a prolific  
 operator of by-your days!  
 You asked me to remind you  
 of the picture you promised when  
 we were in Washington. - We have  
 reserved a special place for it -  
 at a special time to impress our special  
 friends. - Seriously though -  
 our prayers, more so than ever  
 goes to you during, I sure,  
 most difficult times.

Gods blessings on you my friend  
 Richard

Photo of MKD  
 + MKD w/4 Penn  
 sent  
 7-12-82  
 RB

THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON

July 13, 1982

Dear Mr. Lee:

Mr. Deaver thanks you for the complimentary paperback of The Dreams of Today's Americans! He is looking forward to having time to peruse it.

I'm sorry that he has no helpful suggestions as to how you might increase your capital with regard to promoting this book.

Good luck with your project.

Sincerely,

SHIRLEY MOORE  
Staff Assistant to  
Michael K. Deaver

Mr. Paul S. Lee  
The Dreams of Today's Americans  
P.O. Box 3139  
Arcadia, CA 91006-8605

OF



# WITHDRAWAL SHEET

## Ronald Reagan Library

*Collection Name*

DEAVER, MICHAEL: FILES

*Withdrawer*

KDB 7/19/2005

*File Folder*

CORRESPONDENCE - JULY 1982 <sup>2</sup>~~(8)~~

*FOIA*

F01-107/01

MCCARTIN

*Box Number*

7619

48

---

*DOC Document Type*

*No of Doc Date Restriction*

*NO Document Description*

*pages*

*tions*

1 LETTER

1 7/2/1982 B6

142

PAUL LEE TO M. DEAVER (PAGE 1, PARTIAL)

---

**Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]**

**B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]**

**B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]**

**B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]**

**B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]**

**B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]**

**B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]**

**B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]**

**B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]**

**C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.**

# The Dreams of Today's Americans

P.O. Box 3139

Arcadia, CA. 91006-8605

July 2, 1982.

Dear Dep. Chief of Staff and  
Asst. to the President  
Michael K. Deaver:

All Americans are confident that you are diligently working to carry out your duties as one of the top government administrators in these difficult times. We more than understand the tremendous problems created by the Carter administration's policies, which were inherited by the Reagan administration. Fortunately, Mr. Reagan has earnestly proposed a plan to direct us on the road to recovery. To the dismay of the people, thoughtless politicians have been hindering the implementation of this plan, as I discuss in the enclosed "Declaration".

Of paramount importance are the 1982 and 1984 elections for House and Senate members, and most importantly, the Presidency. Unfortunately, most Americans misunderstand or really don't know what the important issues are that they, as responsible citizens, must decide when they vote in these critical elections. If, as a result of these Congressional elections, the numbers of thoughtless politicians increases, or, if a President is elected who is not as courageous as Mr. Reagan, our national security will be severely jeopardized once more (as in the Carter administration).

It is because I feel so strongly about these issues that I have published ~~"The Dreams of Today's Americans"~~. This book is unique in that its purpose is to promote and to strengthen the unity of this great nation, as well as to vitalize and renew the wanning American Spirit - the great fundamental strength of this Holy land.

b(6)

REDACTED

REDACTED

REDACTED

REDACTED

REDACTED

REDACTED

REDACTED

REDACTED

REDACTED

REDACTED

I strongly believe that "The Dreams of Today's Americans" will surely help the people to make the right decisions in both the elections by making the voters well and thoroughly informed about the issues of abortion, welfare and the nuclear arms freeze.

Because I believe that the wrong results from the next elections could jeopardize our national security, I am going to bear any cost necessary to distribute my books throughout California as well as the major cities throughout the country, before this year's November elections, to insure the voters electing the right men to the House and Senate. I am worried that Jerry Brown could be elected to the U.S. Senate, which would be disasterous. He is extremely naive about nuclear arms. He still doesn't understand the three most basic facts. They are:

1. The Soviet leaders are proven violators of treaties and agreements.
2. Nuclear arms are still our best national defense.
3. The U.S. has never been able to achieve equal terms with the Soviets in discussions of a nuclear arms freeze.

I feel so strongly about these issues, I offered my property as security for a loan for the purpose of continuing my project, but because of the tight money situation, the banks were not able to accept it. Time is short. There are only four months until the November elections. I must now appeal to you for help in raising the working capital for distribution of "The Dreams of Today's Americans". It must be clearly understood that this would not be a political donation, but it will be an investment, high return profit sharing (as much as 50%), secured by my own real property. We will share the satisfaction of seeing the dangers to our national security safely surmounted through this valuable investment made by Americans who love this nation. The price of the book is \$8.00, including a dealer's profit of 50%. The cost of distributing each book is \$4.00, net profit will amount to \$2.00, leaving a profit margin of 100%. It is my real wish that I will have enough working capital to distribute these books at cost plus postage - with no profit whatever to myself.

Let us work together to solve this urgent and most important problem. ~~If you have any helpful suggestions on how I might increase my capital, please let me hear from you as soon as possible. The reason that I ask this favor of you is that I believe you may have some financially capable friends who are as deeply concerned as you and I about maintaining our national security.~~

Page 3  
July 2, 1982

At my last analysis, I am deeply, sincerely, and painfully concerned about the propaganda of Dr. Owen Chamberlain. A copy of his letter is attached at the end of this letter. This propaganda must disturb the people of California as well as the people nationwide in the United States. In short, most people don't understand: What is the Kremlin's barbarian ambition? That at any cost is to conquer the Freedom and Human Rights of Mankind. It has never cared about bilateral nuclear arms freeze agreement and the Soviets have built the Kremlin Walls to lock it's people in. So the Soviets have never cared about the opinions of the people of the world. But it only cares about it's pigheaded ambition to conquer the United States in order to conquer the human world. The Soviet Union, by its nature, is a conqueror and invader. On the other hand, what is the position of the United States? We are the pure defender, we must defend our Freedom and the Peace of humanity. President Reagan pointed out in his U.N. speech, "America has no territorial ambitions. We occupy no countries and have built no walls to lock our people in." Therefore, the propaganda of Dr. Owen Chamberlain to enact "the bilateral nuclear arms freeze initiative slated for the November, 1982 California ballot" must vitally disturb the people of not only California but also nationwide in the United States. If it will be passed, it must create the dangerous political dilemmas and critically jeopardize our national defense by being immobilized and paralyzed. Consequently, we will have no choice but to unconditionally surrender to the Soviets. Therefore, I must fight to my utmost to enlighten the voters of 1982 and 1984 of this outrage of propaganda of Dr. Owen Chamberlain because without a doubt it will result in the interests of the Soviets. I trust Almighty God must be on my side because I am one of His people. Therefore, I can not overlook this critical situation because this will cause our self destruction.

Finally, I believe that this indispensable project will be vitalized by your drive and undivided cooperation. So that the great American Spirit, which I have presented in my book cannot fail to be translated into effective critical decisions by the voters in both the 1982 and 1984 elections, bring about the results that you and I hope to see achieved.

Sincerely,

  
Paul S. Lee

As a private citizen  
the President of American  
Nationalism and Justice  
Foundation

# Dr. Owen Chamberlain

Dear Fellow Californian,

One person can end the nuclear arms race. You.

You can take the action -- today, right now -- that can be responsible for bringing about a bilateral nuclear arms freeze.

You've read headlines about such a freeze. You have seen the cover stories about it on just about every major newsweekly in the country. You have heard the talk about it -- on television and radio, and in your own living room. And now the time has come for you to act on it -- to join the hundreds of thousands of Californians who are supporting the Bilateral Nuclear Weapons Freeze Initiative now slated for the November, 1982 California Ballot.

This historic initiative -- if we pass it -- will make the citizens of California the first in the nation to officially call for an end to the arms race -- to press upon Congress and the President the urgent need "to propose to the Soviet Union an immediate mutual freeze on the testing, production and deployment of all nuclear weapons, missiles and delivery systems."

These words are the heart of the Initiative. By itself, it is not going to stop the arms race. But it is the vital first step toward that goal.

Until now, no nation, no state anywhere in the world has ever voted on this critical issue. The decision about the arms buildup has been left to the "nuclear priesthood" -- a small group of military and political strategists who are moving us closer and closer to nuclear war. They are preparing weapons systems and a political climate for World War III as if such a war were inevitable. They have built an arsenal of nuclear weapons powerful enough to destroy every person on earth. And right now, those weapons are aimed at you, your family and your friends.

If we have learned anything from history, it is that too often misguided leaders have taken their nations down the path to destruction while an unwilling, disapproving citizenry stood by helpless. We are determined not to let this happen in the matter of the nuclear arms race.

Protests against the arms buildup that began and gathered strength in Europe are now spreading throughout the United States. The American people are waking up and speaking out. But speaking out is only one small part of effecting a change in our nuclear arms policy. What is considered by many to be the most important move by the people toward changing that policy is the Bilateral Nuclear Weapons Freeze Initiative in California this November.

We are not questioning or challenging our country's need for a strong defense. We are challenging the buildup of nuclear arms. We are saying a nuclear arms race between the U. S. and the U. S. S. R. is leading both countries and the world down the road to possible annihilation.

Already, the American people are being conditioned by Washington to accept phrases like "limited nuclear war" and "tactical weapons." We are

being lulled into a state of believing that when "it" happens, it will happen, as it always has before, to other people.

That is simply not so. The "limits" of today's nuclear war are the ends of the earth. And calling them "tactical weapons" does not make today's nuclear weapons any less lethal.

Nuclear weapons are not -- as hard-liners would have us believe -- "bigger," "more modern" and "more powerful" versions of conventional weapons. In one millionth of one second, one large strategic warhead can release more energy to kill than that produced by all conventional weapons in all wars in the history of mankind. And there are now 50,000 nuclear warheads of all types in the world.

There's no need for me to reiterate the horrors of what will happen if nuclear weapons are used. There's hardly an intelligent man or woman alive who doesn't know of these horrors ... of the firestorms of over 1000 degrees Fahrenheit that will be set in motion ... of people choking to death from toxic gases and lack of oxygen ... of the millions who will suffer from radiation burns ... of the radioactive dust clouds that will cover hundreds of square miles bringing with them excruciating pain, gut-wrenching sickness and death.

These are the known effects. The unknown effects are as important. We just don't know all the horror that a nuclear war could bring.

We do know that disease, deformation and horrible genetic aberrations would be our legacy to the survivors and their progeny, if indeed they were able to have any. We do know that in a nuclear exchange, all the accomplishments of the centuries of civilization would vanish and the abundant earth would become a wasteland. If the end comes, we may not be remembered as the generation that destroyed our earth -- because there might not be anyone left to remember.

I urge you not to dismiss these warnings as the ramblings of hysterical people. They are not. They are the cautionary words of some of the most informed scientists in the world today, many of them Nobel laureates like myself. We know that unless steps are taken to prevent further insane arms buildup between the two super powers, we are risking an end to human life as we know it.

We scientists are only too well aware of the menace we created with "the bomb." To indicate how close the world moves to midnight ... doomsday ... the thermonuclear war we dread ... we use the hands of a symbolic doomsday clock. The hands of that clock now stand at four minutes to midnight. We can not wait one second longer. The nuclear freeze initiative MUST be passed by California voters this November.

That's why we ask you to take this important first step with us -- to give us your commitment and your financial support for the long journey back to safety and sanity.

The profusion of talk about the nuclear arms race is lulling many into believing the initiative can't lose. It most definitely can lose unless we

engage in an all-out campaign between now and election day to reach all the voters of this state with the facts about the initiative.

Here's why: Hawks in the U. S. Senate are trying to confuse and diffuse the efforts to pass this historic initiative. They are sponsoring nuclear freeze bills that are diverting attention from the California initiative. Their bills give the impression they really speak to the issue. In fact, they don't.

What's more, past polls have revealed areas in the state where there is resistance to a bilateral nuclear weapons freeze. Between these strong pockets of resistance and the confusion that's building up about different pieces of legislation, the initiative could face big problems.

It's going to take money to mount a campaign to insure that California voters learn the truth about the criticalness of this initiative. It will take money to publicize the names and statements and commitments of the people, in all fields, from all nations, who already share the concern and are now ready to share in the struggle.

Among the many, many people ready to make statements firmly in favor of a bilateral freeze are religious leaders (including representatives of the Vatican), scientific leaders (including more than a score of Nobel laureates), political leaders, statesmen and many others.

When one Californian, Archbishop John R. Quinn, recently spoke in San Francisco's beautiful Saint Mary's Cathedral at the 800th anniversary celebration of the birth of the gentle Saint Francis of Assisi, he called from his pulpit for a nuclear freeze, proclaiming:

"Not only the peace of the world but the very survival of the human experiment is at stake" unless people take specific, everyday steps against nuclear weapons and become "active in a national campaign for a nuclear arms freeze and bilateral disarmament of nuclear weapons by the United States and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics."

His words brought forth an unprecedented outpouring of support not only from the 600,000 Catholics of his archdiocese, but from Protestants and Jews, as well. It was news that made headlines across the country.

There is no way that even the most distorted mind can call these people "crackpots" or "radicals." There is no way anyone can discount the facts that are the foundation for a nuclear freeze. Those facts are:

- \* A freeze on nuclear missiles and aircraft can be verified by existing means.
- \* A freeze would hold the existing nuclear parity between the U. S. and U. S. S. R. and would eliminate excuses for further arming on both sides.
- \* A freeze would save as much as 20 billion dollars a year in U. S.

and Soviet military spending ... help reduce inflation in the U. S.  
... balance our budget ... reduce our taxes ... and raise employment.

But most important, a nuclear freeze could save our lives, save our world.

So right now, today, before the sun goes down, take that first important step toward ending the threat of thermonuclear war. Help us assure the passage of the Bilateral Nuclear Weapons Freeze Initiative on the 1982 California Ballot.

You can help by sending in your contribution. Send \$50 if you can. It's an investment in your future. If you can't send \$50, send \$15. Send whatever you can. But send your check today.

It's going to be tough going until Election Day, 1982. But with people like you behind us, we know we can succeed. And if the longest journey begins with a single step, then the loudest protest begins with a single voice -- your voice. And with a single state -- your state.

Sincerely,



Owen Chamberlain\*  
for Californians for a Bilateral  
Nuclear Weapons Freeze

\* Dr. Chamberlain is a nuclear physicist who shared the Nobel Prize in 1959 for his discovery of the anti-proton. He helped design the atomic bomb at Los Alamos, New Mexico, in 1944-45.



THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

July 13, 1982

Dear Mrs. Rice:

Thank you for your recent letter. I was saddened to hear of the health problems you have encountered and want you to know that I appreciate the time you have taken to write.

Liberty is a fragile blessing which both the United States and our allies possess. It is the duty of America's leadership to do everything in its power to insure continued peace and liberty. It was good of you to give me the benefit of your comments and suggestions concerning world affairs. I know that President Reagan will be as pleased by your support of his policies and goals as I am. While it is not possible for me to respond in detail to all of the foreign and domestic issues which you raised, you can be sure that I have taken careful note of your views.

With best wishes,

Sincerely,

MICHAEL K. DEEVER  
Assistant to the President  
Deputy Chief of Staff

Mrs. Rosamond Rice  
10030 Main Street  
Bothell, WA 98011

---

7-1-82

MR. MICHAEL DEEVER  
DEPUTY ASSISTANT,  
CHIEF OF STAFF  
THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON, D.C.

DEAR MR. DEEVER:

I CAN THINK OF NOTHING THAT WOULD SERVE THE INTERESTS OF THIS NATION, AND THE WORLD, MORE THAN TEACHING THE SOVIETS THAT IT WILL DO THEM NO GOOD TO STALL, MANIPULATE, WAIT FOR A DEMOCRAT IN THE WHITE HOUSE.

THE NOMINATION OF SENATOR HENRY JACKSON AS THE DEMO CANDIDATE WOULD SERVE THIS PURPOSE.

THE WHITE HOUSE OWES JACKSON FOR THE JACKSON-WARNER FREEZE. THE ADM. OWES HIM AT LEAST A HALF OF ONE FOR HIS BALANCED REACTION TO HAIG'S RESIGNATION.

WHEN JACKSON TRIED FOR THE DEMO NOMINATION IN 1972, THE EASTERN LIBERAL MEDIA VIRTUALLY IGNORED HIM. IN ONE INSTANCE, THE WIRE SERVICES SENT OUT A PICTURE OF JACKSON RALLY OF ABOUT SIX PEOPLE BY IGNORING THE MAIN AUDIENCE, FOCUSING ON THE SMALL GROUP UP FRONT, A LEFTIST DIRTY TRICK THAT DID NOT ROUSE THE WRATH OF THE KENNEDYS AND SAM ERVIN.

IF JACKSON OPTS FOR A PRESIDENTIAL BID, IT WOULD BE ADVISABLE FOR HIM TO AVOID THE ATTENTION OF THE KENNEDYS' MEDIA OUTLETS. DON'T TALK, PLEASE. IT WOULD CERTAINLY NOT DO FOR A REPUBLICAN ADM. TO BE SEEN AS PROMOTING A JACKSON CANDIDACY. I RECOMMEND NO SUCH THING.

HOWEVER, IT IS WELL WITHIN THE RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES TO PROMOTE RESPONSIBLE LEADERSHIP. I WOULD SUGGEST GIVING SENATOR JACKSON OPPORTUNITY FOR EXPOSURE ON NATIONAL T.V. NETWORKS. IT WOULD CERTAINLY

BE POSSIBLE FOR THE PRESIDENT TO ASK SENATOR JACKSON TO HEAD A BI-PARTISAN TASK FORCE ON SOME CONTROVERSIAL PROBLEM.

I HAVE WRITTEN SEVERAL LETTERS IN THE PAST SEVERAL MONTHS WHICH I HAVE TORN UP, RAVING IS NOT A SUITABLE MEANS OF DEALING WITH A PROBLEM. ONE WAS ON NEWSWEEK'S ISSUE 'REAGAN VS. US'. I WENT THROUGH THE ROOF. I WILL DEAL WITH LONG STANDING SCHISMS PROMOTED BY LEFTISTS AT A LATER DATE.

I ALSO WROTE ON SEC. HAIG/MRS. FITZGERALD FLAP. I WAS, AND AM, DEEPLY ANGRY THAT THE SEC. OF STATE AND THE U.S. REPRESENTATIVE TO THE U.N. SHOULD ENGAGE IN STREET LEVEL FIGHTING, ESPECIALLY DURING THE PRESIDENT'S EUROPEAN TRIP. SEC. HAIG'S AND MRS. FITZGERALD'S VENDETTA DID NOT DO A THING FOR THE U.S. IMAGE.

THE U.S. IS A GREAT POWER? IT DOESN'T LOOK LIKE IT IF WE ARE UNABLE TO TELL ARGENTINA THAT WE HAVE TREATIES WITH GREAT BRITAIN WHICH WE MUST RESPECT.

WE CAN'T MAKE UP OUR MINDS ON A U.N. VOTE ON THE SIMPLE BASIS OF WHAT'S RIGHT?

WE CAN'T IF THE SEC. OF STATE MUST FIRST MAKE UP HIS MIND HOW THE MEDIA WILL CONSTRUCT HIS ~~WIFE~~ POSITION BECAUSE THE SEC. OF STATE IS MAKING A BID FOR THE PRESIDENCY.

I AM TOLD THAT HAIG PLANS ON A COALITION OF SOUTHERN CONSERVATIVE DEMOCRATS AND "MODERATE" REPUBLICANS FOR HIS PRESIDENTIAL BID. CONSERVATIVE SOUTHERNERS AND MODERATE REPUBLICANS ARE GOING TO COALESCE? ON WHAT? CIVIL RIGHTS? HAIG'S FORD/KISSINGER/CARTER FOREIGN POLICY? I VOTED FOR SOMETHING DIFFERENT. SO DID SOUTHERNERS. SO MUCH FOR HAIG'S JUDGEMENT.

MY MONEY SAYS THAT MRS. HAIG IS THE SOURCE OF LEAKS. SHE'S LEARNED HOW TO CLAW HER WAY TO THE TOP, ARMY STYLE, OBVIOUSLY.

IN HIS 1980 SEATTLE INTERVIEW, HAIG SAID THAT HIS WIFE WANTED HIM TO BE PRESIDENT. SHE ISN'T THE FIRST AMBITIOUS WOMAN TO GAIN HER GOALS THROUGH HER HUSBAND AND I BEGIN TO SEE HOW HAIG ROSE FROM AN ADVISORY POSITION AT THE NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL TO WHITE HOUSE CHIEF OF STAFF; FLATTERY OF KISSINGER.

WHAT MAKES ME SHUDDER IS THAT HAIG WAS ~~CHIEF~~ THE HEAD AT NATO.

SCHULTE IS A MAN OF INTEGRITY, THE TAXPAYERS WILL GET THE SERVICES THEY PAY FOR. SCHULTE'S "INEXPERIENCE" IS MORE THAN OFFSET BY ABILITY; HE IS FAR MORE ASTUTE THAN HAIG.

I AM VERY PLEASED THAT THE COMMERCE DEPT. IS HALTING THE FLOW OF HIGH TECHNOLOGY TO THE SOVIET UNION. THIS PROVES THE BUREAUCRACY CAN DO IT IF THE TAXPAYERS' GOVT. SO CHOOSES AND SERVES AS A FURTHER INDICTMENT OF FORD, KISSINGER, CARTER.

I AM DELIGHTED WITH THE PRESIDENT'S DECISIVE ACTION ON THE SOVIET PIPE LINE.

I DO NOT UNDERSTAND WHY THE UNITED STATES IS AIR LIFTING TERRORISTS TO SANCTUARY WHEN THESE WILL CAUSE PROBLEMS FOR HOST NATIONS AND THE UNITED STATES UNLESS ALL PARTIES CONCERNED ARE NOW WILLING TO BE PRAGMATIC ABOUT SOLUTIONS TO PROBLEMS IN THE MID-EAST. PLEASE REFER TO COPY OF LETTER TO SENATOR JACKSON. ON SECOND THOUGHT THIS DOES NOT APPEAR TO BE AT (I AM SORRY TO USE THESE METHODS, NEITHER TIME NOR MY HEALTH PERMITS DUPLICATION OF EFFORT)

ALL SUITABLE.

THE BRITISH DID THE WORLD A SERVICE WHEN THEY BLOCKED THE POLITICAL PLOY OF ARGENTINA'S INEPT LEADERSHIP. HAD THE BRITISH NOT MOVED, LAND GRABS WOULD HAVE ERUPTED ALL OVER. THE BRITISH HAVE SHOWN THAT ARMED AGGRESSION MAY BE COSTLY.

A LETTER TO EDITORS, SEATTLE TIMES, IS WORTH QUOTING, "THE SOLUTION TO THE FALKLANDS CRISIS IS SIMPLE, GIVE THE FALKLANDS BACK TO ARGENTINA, THEN GIVE ARGENTINA BACK TO SPAIN."

WHAT THE BRITISH DID IN THE FALKLANDS, THE ISRAELIS ARE DOING ON THE SUBJECT OF TERRORISM. THE LESSON IS LONG OVERDUE AND THE ISRAELIS APPEAR TO BE THE ONLY PEOPLES WILLING AND ABLE TO COPE. WHAT ARE THEY SUPPOSED TO DO, SIT PASSIVELY WHILE ISRAELI OFFICIALS ARE MURDERED?

AS I POINTED OUT IN REGARD TO THE STAGED 1967 MID-EAST CONFRONTATION, ANY ONE WHO ASSUMES THAT JEWS WILL EVER AGAIN SIT AND WAIT PASSIVELY FOR EXTERMINATION IS A FOOL.

A REALITY TO BE FACED IN THE MID-EAST IS THE FACT THAT JEWS WILL SEE THAT THE WORLD PAYS A HIGH PRICE FOR A "HOLY" WAR AGAINST THE JEWS. WHEN KISSINGER SAID THAT THE U.S. MIGHT TAKE ARAB OIL FIELDS BY FORCE, IF NECESSARY, ARABS REPLIED "GO AHEAD; YOU WILL FIND THEM BURNING" YOU'D BETTER BELIEVE ARABS WOULD DESTROY THEIR OIL. IF YOU DO NOT, READ LOWELL THOMAS "LAWRENCE OF ARABIA".

SOVIET AMERICAN  
(LATER!)

ARABS ARE LIKELY TO ACKNOWLEDGE THAT THE SAME DEGREE OF COMMITMENT CAN BE EXPECTED OF ISRAELIS,

DURING THE NIXON ADM., I ADVANCED THE THEORY, WHICH SOME ONE SAID, IN READERS' DIGEST, WAS WORTHY OF CONSIDERATION, THAT A CORRELATION COULD BE DRAWN BETWEEN INDIVIDUAL HUMAN BEHAVIOR AND THE RESPONSE OF GOVERNMENTS, NATIONS.

I AM NOT WRONG IN EXPLAINING THE BEHAVIOR OF THE POLITBURE ON THE BASIS OF A RECENT PERSONAL EXPERIENCE. I WILL SPARE YOU THE BORING DETAILS. THE INDIVIDUAL INVOLVED FIRST ESTABLISHED, TO HIS OWN SATISFACTION, THAT I WAS AN INFERIOR TO BE RELAGATED TO A SUBSERIENT POSITION. ALL THIS STEMMED FROM HIS OWN INSECURITY, HIS FEELINGS OF INFERIORITY AND I KNOW IT, THIS DOES NOT CHANGE MY FURY THAT ANYONE CAN SO USE ANOTHER.

THIS INDIVIDUAL DID NOT LISTEN TO ONE THING I SAID; I MADE MY POSITION VERY CLEAR, FROM THE BEGINNING AND RESTATED IT MORE THAN ONCE.

HIS INABILITY TO GET THE MESSAGE TELLS ME THAT HE IS TOO SELF ABSORBED TO BE PERCEPTIVE.

MY SOCIAL TRAINING CALLS FOR ME TO BE "NICE". I DO NOT CREATE A FLAP OVER OTHER'S FAUX PAUS. I RELY ON RESERVE, COOLNESS, SOME TIMES TO THE POINT OF FROZZING, WALKING OUT. BUT, THIS DOES NOT WORK WITH THE SELF ABSORBED. AS MY SON SAYS, SOME PEOPLE DO NOT GET THE POINT UNTIL YOU HIT THEM OVER THE HEAD WITH A CLUB.

I WILL NOT WASTE MY TIME AND ENERGY FIGHTING WITH HOSTILE PEOPLE WHO HAVE A NEED FOR THIS KIND OF OUTLET. HOWEVER, IT IS, IN SOME INSTANCES, NECESSARY TO MAKE IT CLEAR THAT YOU WILL NOT TOLERATE SPECIFIC TYPES OF BEHAVIOR. THIS KEEPS ONE FROM REACHING THE POINT WHERE ONE IS SHOCKED SPEECHLESS BUT REQUIRED TO COPE WITH THE PROPOSITION; YOU CAN'T EXPECT TO GET ANYTHING OUT OF IT BUT WILL YOU ACCOMMODATE MY NEEDS.

I GOT UP AND WALKED OUT. I GUARANTEE YOU THAT IF MY BROTHER HAD BEEN THERE, HE'D HAVE BROKEN UP LAUGHING; I HAVE AN EXPRESSIVE FACE.

DID THIS SUFFICE? NO WAY. HE TRIED EVERYTHING IN THE BOOK TO GET ME OVER THERE, SET OFF

HIS BURGULAR ALARM, LET IT RUN, LEFT HIS DRAPERIES CLOSED AND STAYED OUT OF SIGHT IN HOPES I'D CHECK TO SEE IF HE'D HAD A "HEART ATTACK".

THEN HIS DAUGHTER CAME TO TELL ME HOW DEPRESSED HE WAS. I KNEW THAT, ISOLATED, STUMBLING, AGED, THAT'S WHY I CALLED ON HIM, TRIED TO GET HIM TO GO OUT, MIX. MINE WAS A STANDARD FAMILY PRACTICE BUT ONE I HAVE NEVER HAD OCCASION FOR SANS MY FAMILY BACKGROUND. MY BROTHER WOULD HAVE STRAIGHTENED THE MAN OUT EARLY ON <sup>THE MAN'S DESIGNS FOR ME.</sup> THIS IS TO SAY THAT A THIRD PARTY WILL HEAR THINGS, SEE THINGS, SAY EFFECTIVELY, NO. A USEFUL TOOL IF THE THIRD PARTY IS AS DEPENDABLE AS ONE'S BROTHER. THE BRITISH ARE.

THE FACT THAT SOME ONE HAS PROBLEMS DOES NOT MAKE ME THE SCAPE GOAT.

I WOULD LIKE TO END MY TALE HERE. UNFORTUNATELY, THE MORAL OF THE STORY IS STILL TO COME. IT APPEARS THAT I AM NOT ALLOWED TO SAY NO TO THIS LITTLE MONSTER, I AM NOT ALLOWED TO CROSS HIM OR HIS FAMILY. HERE WE GET TO THE TRICKY PART. HOWEVER, THIS LITTLE STORY IS NOT WITHOUT PARALLELS TO MITTERAND AND PRESIDENT REAGAN HAS ALREADY SUCCESSFULLY APPLIED MY TECHNIQUE; LET OTHER PEOPLE SUFFER THE CONSEQUENCES OF THEIR OWN STUPIDITY.

AS APPLICABLE TO THE SOVIETS, I AM TALKING ABOUT AN IGNORANT, BIGOTED GREEK PEASANT WHO TAUGHT HIS WIFE THAT SHE HAD TO GET HIS BREAKFAST EVERY DAY BY SOCKING HER. HIS FIRST WIFE DIED AFTER PROLONGED LABOR WITHOUT MEDICAL CARE AND HIS SECOND WIFE DIED OF CANCER WITHOUT ADEQUATE MEDICAL ATTENTION UNTIL TOO LATE. I TRIED, ONCE, TO TALK TO HIM ABOUT HIS WIFE, TELL HIM THAT SHE WAS SCARED TO DEATH ABOUT CANCER, <sup>HEARD</sup> ~~HE SHOULD SET HER MIND AT REST~~ AND HE YELLED, "NO ONE HAS TO TELL ME ABOUT MY WIFE, I KNOW HER BETTER THAN ANYONE ELSE."

TO HAVE  
HER MIND SET

I CONCLUDE THAT THIS MAN AND THE SOVIETS ARE PRODUCTS OF THE SAME BACKGROUND, PRIMITIVE MORES. I DOUBT THAT YOU CAN COPE WITH THEM WITH A FORMULA OF CIVILIZED BEHAVIOR, NOT UNLESS SOME ONE MAKES IT CLEAR THAT THERE IS A SPECIFIC FRAMEWORK ON WHICH BASIS BUSINESS WILL BE CONDUCTED IF BUSINESS OR NEGOTIATIONS ARE TO BE CONDUCTED.

I WILL POINT OUT THAT, THANKS TO THE MEDIA,

THE SOVIETS CAN ASSUME THAT BLACKMAIL DID WORK, I.E. THE RESULTS OF THEIR PUBLISHED THREATS RESULTED IN ANTI-NUCLEAR HYSTERIA.

THREAT HAVING WORKED ONCE, THE SOVIETS WILL TRY IT AGAIN.

I HAVE READ PRO AND CON OPINION ON SOVIET ENVOUEMENT IN CIVIL UNREST WITHIN THE U.S., AT THE VERY LEAST, THEY WILL EXPLOIT IT.

HAVING MADE A TABLE OF CHRONOLOGICAL EVENTS LEADING TO THE MID-EAST 1967 CONFRONTATION, I HAVE NEVER BELIEVED DEMONSTRATIONS IN EUROPE AND THE U.S. WERE SPONTANEOUS, THEY WERE OBVIOUSLY COORDINATED. THIS SHOULD HAVE BEEN INTERPRETED BY U.S. GOV'T. AS A SIGN THAT THE SOVIETS WANTED OUT IN VIETNAM. CONSUMER UNREST WITHIN THE SOVIET UNION MADE SUPPLIES FOR HANOI A BURDEN.

TODAY WE HAVE A SIMILAR SITUATION, SOVIET CONSUMER AND ECONOMIC PROBLEMS, COMING U.S. ELECTIONS WHICH THE SOVIETS WILL ATTEMPT TO MANIPULATE, I WOULD EXPECT SOVIETS' EFFORTS TO EXPLOIT ARMS NEGOTIATIONS AND I WOULD HOPE THAT THE AGENDA RULES OUT SOVIET PLAYS TO THE PRESS. IF NOT, I WOULD RECOMMEND THAT U.S. NEGOTIATORS BE PREPARED TO STATE THE U.S. POSITION STRONGLY; WE DO NOT INTEND TO PLAY GAMES; THE SOVIETS HAD BETTER FACE THE FACT THAT THEY HAVE ADMITTED DEFEAT IN RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY. THIS WOULD SEND THE SOVIET NEGOTIATORS SCURRYING TO THEIR SUPERIORS FOR INSTRUCTIONS AND AT THIS POINT THE U.S. NEGOTIATORS SHOULD SEIZE THE INITIATIVE; STATE IN STRONG TERMS THAT THE SOVIETS ARE UP TO THEIR OLD TRICKS; MANIPULATING U.S. PUBLIC OPINION AS KHRUSHCHEV ADMITTED HE DID WHEN HE CANCELLED HIS MEETING WITH EISENHOWER OVER THE U-2, GOING SO FAR AS TO SAY THAT HE, KHRUSHCHEV, GOT KENNEDY ELECTED. IN RECENT HISTORY, THE SOVIETS HUMILIATED NIXON BY ALLOWING HIM TO GO TO THE SOVIET UNION TO GET A SLAP IN THE FACE; SOVIET REFUSAL TO SIGN AN ALLREADY NEGOTIATED TREATY. THERE IS ALSO SOVIET GOMPLICITY IN ROBERT KENNEDY'S DUMP JOHNSON MOVEMENT; THE 1967 MID-EAST SET UP WHICH WAS TO LEAD TO A TRADE; ISRAEL FOR VIETNAM.

WHY ELSE WOULD THEY WISH TO HALT RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT AS PRIMARY STEP IN NEGOTIATIONS?

I HAVE NO DOUBT BUT THAT THE SOVIETS WILL TRY SOME MANEUVER; THEY ALWAYS HAVE. IF THE ADMINISTRATION SEEKS THE SUPPORT OF DEMOCRATS, RESPONSIBLE DEMOCRATS WITH A CONSCIENCE WILL CERTAINLY SUPPORT THE ADMINISTRATION. THERE ARE ELEMENTS WHICH WILL SEEK TO PROTECT, FIRST & FOREMOST, THE SOVIETS.

THEY DO SAY THAT FOREWARNED IS FORBARMED.

AGAIN, MY NEIGHBOR CAN SERVE AS THE OBJECT LESSON,  
(ALL THE THINGS HE TOLD ME ARE, I THINK, SYMPTOMS OF  
GUILT. IF HE DID NOT FEEL GUILTY, HE WOULD NOT STILL BE  
MOURNING HIS <sup>WIFE'S</sup> DEATH AFTER MORE THAN TWO YEARS.  
I MAY HAVE <sup>A</sup> BEEN THE MEANS HE CHOSE TO SOLVE HIS  
PROBLEMS BECAUSE I AM SINGLE, THEREFORE  
VULNERABLE, I AM NOT THE CAUSE OF HIS PROBLEMS)

I AM NOT SURE WHY, BUT MY NEIGHBOR, MUCH THINNER,  
HAS BEEN GONE FROM HOME FOR A LONG PERIOD. HE  
HAS PROBABLY BEEN IN THE HOSPITAL, SHOULD HAVE  
BEEN UNDER TREATMENT LONG AGO BUT THEY ARE  
THE TYPES WHEN PSYCHIATRIC TREATMENT IS  
RECOMMENDED, BY DOCTORS, START SCREAMING  
AND CUSSING, "YOU - - SO & SO, I AM NOT CRAZY!"

MY NEIGHBOR DID NOT APPRECIATE THE ONLY FRIEND  
HE HAD; ME. HE GAVE NO CONSIDERATION TO MY  
RIGHTS. MY RIGHTS? WHAT RIGHTS? WOMEN HAVE  
RIGHTS?

I DO NOT HAVE TO ASK, WOULD NOT, TO KNOW THAT  
AS HE SITS IN HIS LONELY HOUSE, HE HAS REGRETS.

I AM ALONE. I AM NOT LONELY. BUT THEN, I DO NOT  
LIVE ON T.V. DINNERS BECAUSE I AM TOO LAZY TO  
COOK. I DON'T SIT AND WATCH T.V. I GARDEN,  
EXERCISE AND VISIT WITH OLD PEOPLE IN A NURSING  
HOME, ALL OF WHICH HE REFUSES TO DO. EXERCISE?  
HE HAS A "BAD HEART".

IT IS PAST TIME FOR EUROPEANS TO LOOK TO  
THEMSELVES, NOT OTHERS, FOR THE SOLUTION TO  
THEIR PROBLEMS.

IN "ISSUES" I SHALL HAVE TO GO TO "ARTICLE" FORMAT,  
MAKE COPIES BECAUSE MY HEALTH IS SLIPPING. THE  
NURSING HOME LAUNDRY WHERE I WORKED WAS  
SHIPPED A DETERGENT FOR USE IN AUTOMATED  
DISPENSERS. NOT HAVING AUTOMATED DISPENSERS,  
I BREATHED THE DUST WHICH I CONCLUDE HAS DONE  
FURTHER DAMAGE TO MY LUNGS AND POSSIBLY  
LIVER. I ~~WAS~~ AM BACK ON A SCHEDULE OF SIX  
MONTH PHYSICALS INSTEAD OF YEARLY APPOINTMENTS  
SO I CONCLUDE MY DOCTOR IS CONCERNED. I HAVE  
LOST WEIGHT.

OF COURSE THE TURMOIL, THE HARRASSMENT  
CAUSED BY MY NEIGHBOR'S PROBLEMS HAS NOT  
BEEN GOOD FOR ME. HE SHOULD GIVE A DAMN?



I WAS NOT SAYING THAT I AM SO BRAINY WHEN HE  
SNEERED THAT THERE WAS NO PROBLEM HIS DAUGHTER  
COULD NOT SOLVE. THAT IS RIDICULOUS. HE IS ONE OF  
THESE PEOPLE WHO, IN HIS OWN MIND, BUILDS HIMSELF  
UP BY PUTTING OTHER PEOPLE DOWN. THE SOVIETS  
DO THIS ALL THE TIME.

THE FOLLOWING IS THE CONVERSE OF THE SOVIET  
PROBLEM WHICH STILL SERVES AS AN EXAMPLE OF  
AN INABILITY TO COPE RATIONALLY WITH REALITY. I  
HAVE SERIOUS HEALTH PROBLEMS WHICH I REFERRED  
TO IN CHATS WITH MY NEIGHBOR, FORMERLY MY  
PARENTS' NEIGHBOR. AT 65, I CAN SEE NO REASON  
ON GOD'S GREEN EARTH WHY I SHOULD MAKE  
RADICAL ADJUSTMENTS, WAIT HAND AND FOOT ON  
THE JERK, CLEAN UP AFTER HIS GRANDCHILDREN.

IS THERE ANY REASON FOR THE SOVIETS TO BELIEVE  
THE U.S. TAXPAYER SHOULD FOOT THE BILL FOR THE  
SOVIET'S STUPIDITY?

I HAVE APLASTIC ANEMIA, DAMAGED LUNGS WHICH  
REQUIRE MY HEART, WITH IT'S LEAKY VALVE WORKS  
HARDER. I HAVE HAD THREE SMALL MALIGNANT  
GROWTHS REMOVED.

WHO, IN THEIR RIGHT MINDS, WOULD CHOSE ME  
AS A SLAVEY?

ARE THE SOVIETS IN THEIR "RIGHT MINDS" IF  
THEY THREATEN THE U.S. WHEN THEIR LOGISTICS  
PROBLEMS, REVOLTS IN THEIR PROVINCES, AND  
INFERIOR WEAPONS ASSURE THEIR DEFEAT?

THEY CAN NOT WIN THE ARMS RACE AND THEY  
KNOW IT.

BECAUSE THEY, LIKE MY NEIGHBOR, DEAL FROM A  
POSITION OF INFERIORITY (WHICH PRESUMABLY  
STEMS FROM MY FATHER'S POSSESSIONS, THE  
DEGREE OF SUCCESS OBTAINED BY MY FATHER  
VIS A VIS THE NEIGHBOR AND HOW IN HELL WAS  
I TO KNOW THIS) THE SOVIETS "ATTACK".

MY NEIGHBOR NEVER LISTENED TO ONE DAMN THING  
I EVER SAID BECAUSE HE DID NOT GIVE A  
DAMN WHAT I THOUGHT, WHAT I WANTED,  
HE UNDERSTANDS THE MALE RIGHT TO DOMINATE  
EVEN IF I DON'T.

THE SOVIETS ARE SO HYPERD ON THEIR  
MARXIST "SCIENTIFIC" THEORY, THE FRAME  
WORK THEY OPERATE IN BECAUSE THEY  
ARE IGNORANT STUPID PEASANTS UNABLE  
TO COPE WITH THE PROCESSES OF DEMOCRATIC

GOVERNMENT THAT THEY SEE AND HEAR ONLY WHAT BOLSTERS THEIR RIGHT TO DOMINATE THE WORLD. FOR ALL PRACTICAL PURPOSES, THE UNITED STATES HAS TO COPE WITH THE IRRATIONAL.

ONE THING I KNOW IS THAT EVEN THE DEEPLY DISTURBED, TO THE POINT OF DEEP WITHDRAWAL, CAN BE COMMUNICATED WITH; MADE TO UNDERSTAND THE LIMITS OF TOLERABLE BEHAVIOR.

I DON'T HAVE TO GIVE A DAMN ABOUT MY NEIGHBOR; I CAN WALK AWAY. HOWEVER, AGAIN THIS EXPERIENCE HAS A CORRELATION. PRESIDENT REAGAN WILL NOT BE PERMITTED TO WALK AWAY FROM ARMS NEGOTIATIONS ANY MORE THAN MY NEIGHBOR AND HIS CHILDREN ALLOWED ME TO WALK AWAY FROM THEIR PROBLEMS WITHOUT PAYING THE PRICE. WHAT'S BEEN GOING ON HERE HAS BEEN NASTIER THAN ANY DEMO CAMPAIGN.

IT'S POSSIBLE TO CHANGE ACTUAL CIRCUMSTANCES; COME IN, COME IN, STAY AWILE, STAY AWILE TO A PRETENSE THAT I WAS CHASING THIS WIDOWER, WAS REBUFFED. IF TRUE, MY EGO COULD COPE. THIS STRATEGY MISFIED BECAUSE I DID NOT RUSH BACK TO PROVE THAT I HAD NOT BEEN REJECTED.

I HEARD ONE OF MY NEIGHBORS SAY, "SHE DOESN'T LOOK AS THO SHE IS TRYING TO GET A MAN," TRUE; I REGULARLY GARDEN IN OLD CLOTHES, WITHOUT MAKE UP, WITH MY HAIR IN A PONY TAIL AND THAT IS HOW I CALLED ON MY SICK NEIGHBOR.

SOME PEOPLE USE THEIR CRITICAL FACULTIES.

SHOULD PRESIDENT REAGAN RUSH BACK TO SUBCOR THE EUROPEANS AND THE BANKRUPT SOVIETS? TO DO SO WILL BE TO SAY THAT THE SOVIETS AND LEFTISTS IN THE UNITED STATES CAN MANIPULATE THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES AND HIS OPPONENTS WILL CACKLE WITH GLEE.

I AM FOLLOWING MY SON'S ADVICE; I AM NOT GETTING NEAR THAT VIOLENT, HOSTILE MAN FOR ANY REASON. IF I WERE SO JOUENILLE AS TO RESPOND TO THE BAIT, I.E. PROVE TO THE NEIGHBORHOOD THAT I AM NOT REJECTED, TEN WILL GET YOU ONE THAT HE'D HAVE REFUSED TO LET ME IN, YELLED, FOR THE INFORMATION OF THE NEIGHBORS, "YOU STAY AWAY FROM HERE!"

THIS IS NOT THE FIRST TIME IN MY LIFE THAT OTHER'S HAVE USED THEIR OWN NEEDS AS A BASIS FOR

MANIPULATION, I.E. IF THEY WOULD, SURELY I WOULD, I AM NOT SO EASILY MANIPULATED. I TRUST THAT THE UNITED STATES GOV'T, IS NOT.

I DOUBT THE WASHINGTON POST IS A FORECASTER PAR EXCELLENCE. I WOULD SUPPOSE THEY HAVE A PLAN AS WELL AS INSIDE INFORMATION. THIS WILL NOT BE THE FIRST TIME THE KENNEDYS HAVE WORKED WITH THE SOVIETS, OR THE NEWS MEDIA.

MY NEIGHBOR'S ARRESTED EMOTIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND LIMITED INTELLECT IS NOT SO UNCOMMON. WASHINGTON POST TYPES AND THE KENNEDYS ALSO SEE AND HEAR ONLY THAT WHICH BOLSTERS THE PRECONCEIVED IDEAS WHICH SUIT THEIR NEEDS.

IN THE LAST ANALYSIS, THE NEIGHBORHOOD HAS TO RECOGNIZE THE VERY FOOLISH SPECTACLE MY NEIGHBOR AND HIS CHILDREN MADE OF THEMSELVES. I AM HERE TO TELL YOU THAT, FOR ME, BEING THE OBJECT OF INTEREST, ON "DISPLAY" IN MY OWN YARD, OBSERVED, COMMENTED ON, COMMENTED FOR, IS IRRITATING IN THE EXTREME. I GRIT MY TEETH, REFUSED TO ALTER PLANS, BEHAVIOR.

THE UNITED STATES CAN NOT ALTER IT'S COURSE FROM WHAT IS RIGHT.

IN A SITUATION IN WHICH I AM "INFERIOR", I.E. A WOMAN, I DO NOT HAVE TO BE LISTENED TO, I CAN BE IGNORED.

SUCH IS NOT THE CASE FOR THE UNITED STATES GOV'T. IT'S WARNINGS SHOULD BE TAKEN SERIOUSLY. IF THEY ARE TO BE TAKEN SERIOUSLY, PRESIDENT REAGAN'S DECISION ON THE PIPE LINE SHOULD NOT BE REVEALED. SHOULD WE STRENGTHEN A FREE DEMOCRATIC FRIENDLY NATION, THE NORWEGIANS, OR SHOULD WE STRENGTHEN THE SOVIETS?

I HAVE A BOOK BY HOWARD K. SMITH, "STATE OF EUROPE" IN WHICH MR. SMITH SAYS THAT EUROPEANS WILL NEVER BE WEANED FROM THE MARSHALL PLAN. IT APPEARS HE WAS RIGHT.

WHEN I PUT MY PACKAGE OF ISSUES TOGETHER, YOU WILL RECOGNIZE THAT THIS NATION FACES PROBLEMS WHICH CAN NOT BE TREATED WITH BAND AIDS. THE WORLD CAN NOT LIVE OFF THE UNITED STATES.

I SAID, LONG AGO, THAT I WAS TIRED OF BEING TAXED TO SUPPORT EUROPEANS. I DO NOT DOUBT THAT MOST CITIZENS ARE.

I DO NOT ANTICIPATE OVERWHELMING SUPPORT FOR DEMOCRATS  
THO I EXPECT THE 1982/84 CAMPAIGNS TO BE VOLATILE,

MORE PEOPLE THAN EVER BEFORE ARE WORKING AND THESE  
ARE BETTER OFF THAN EVER BEFORE.

IF, IN THE MAIN, PEOPLE WHO DON'T WORK DON'T VOTE, THE  
USUAL DEMO COALITION, WHICH HAS NOT SUCCEEDED, ~~IT~~  
HAS LESS OF A BASE THAN I SUPPOSED.

I RECOMMEND REPUBLICANS RUN ON THE FOLLOWING:  
~~CONGRESS~~ MINORITY LEADER O'NEIL ADMITS DEMO PROGRAMS  
ARE FOUL UPS WHICH DO NOT BENEFIT THOSE IN NEED,  
REQUIRE DEMO CONTENDERS TO BE SPECIFIC ABOUT  
THEIR COMMITMENTS, THEIR SOLUTIONS,

COVER  
I WILL <sup>A</sup> 1984 ISSUES, THE MOST PRESSING OF WHICH MAY  
NEVER BE DEFINED, AT A LATER DATE.

I FORGOT; MY NEIGHBOR TELLS ME THAT HIS DAUGHTER  
IS ONE HELL OF A LOT BRIGHTER THAN I AM, THERE IS  
NO PROBLEM THAT SHE CAN NOT SOLVE, SHALL I  
TELL HER TO CONTACT THE GOV'T.?

SINCERELY,

*Rosamond Rice*

ROSAMOND RICE

~~COPY. SENATOR HENRY JACKSON~~  
7-2-82

I AM NOT AT ALL PLEASED WITH THIS LETTER BUT I  
DO NOT THINK I CAN MAKE MY POINT ABOUT THE  
LOWER TYPES WITH A GENERALIZED STATEMENT;  
AN EXAMPLE IS REQUIRED. I SHOULD PERHAPS HAVE  
DEALT WITH IT MORE FULLY. FOR INSTANCE, ON ONE  
OCCASION THE MAN ASKED ME IF I WANTED TO SEE  
THE BEDROOM. I AM CERTAIN THAT MY EYES GOT  
VERY COLD WHEN I SAID NO AND LEFT. HE HAS TO  
BE DENSE NOT TO HAVE GOTTEN THE POINT.

WHAT MAKES HIM DENSE? I NOW KNOW HIM WELL  
ENOUGH TO KNOW THAT HE IS SO WRAPPED UP IN  
HIMSELF; HIS FEARS, HIS NEEDS THAT HE SEES  
AND HEARS ONLY THAT WHICH REINFORCES THE  
FRAMEWORK OF THE BELIEFS WHICH SUPPORT  
HIS POSITIONS; WHAT HE WANTS.

HE IS SO BUSY PROVING TO HIMSELF THAT HE IS  
"SUPERIOR" THAT HE SIMPLY DOES NOT TAKE INTO  
ACCOUNT THE FACT THAT HE IS NOT TO GET  
WHAT HE EXPECTS.

I CAN NOT SAY THAT I WILL SUPPORT OR EVEN VOTE FOR PRESIDENT REAGAN IN 1984 BECAUSE I DOUBT I'LL BE AROUND. HOWEVER, I APPROVE HIS DECISION TO CONSIDER RUNNING FOR RE-ELECTION.

WHAT I INTEND TO TRY TO DO, IF I CAN, IS FORCE DEMOCRATS TO FACE THE ISSUES, REALIGN THEIR PARTY TO THE MAINSTREAM. THIS WILL INCREASE STABILITY IN THE WORLD.

THERE ARE SIGNS, DAVID BODDEN COLUMN ON SAME THEME & NEED FOR CANDIDATE FROM SOUTH OR WEST WHO CAN OFFER MORE THAN WARMED OVER KENNEDY 'CLIQUE DOGMA, THAT I CAN SUCCEED.

AS SOON AS I GET PERMISSION FROM PUBLISHERS, HODDAR & STOUGHTON LTD., ST. PAUL'S HOUSE, WARWICK LANE, LONDON, ECP 4P, 4AH TO QUOTE FROM NIGEL TRANTOR'S BRUCE TRILOGY, THIRD VOLUME, PAGES 335 & 336, I WILL QUOTE THE WORDS MR. TRANTOR SUBSCRIBES TO WILLIAM LAMBERTON.

TO ME, HIS WORDS EXPRESS A TRANSCENDENTAL PHILOSOPHY ON THE MEANING OF LIFE WHICH I SUSCRIBE TO. THEY WILL MAKE AN EXCELLENT CAMPAIGN THEME; WE NEED TO STRIVE TO ACHIEVE OUR GOALS.

R.R.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

July 13, 1982

Dear Mr. Asaff:

Thank you for your letter. One of the most pleasant aspects of my present duties is the opportunity it affords me to renew acquaintances with old friends.

I appreciate the concern over high interest rates which prompted you to write. You can be sure that the Reagan Administration shares this concern. Since the President took office, inflation has been reduced from over 12 percent to low single-digit figures. Accordingly, interest rates have declined by 20 percent. This is not enough. Yet, this Administration is confident that as the money markets gain confidence in our resolve to break inflation and of the government to maintain control of the budgetary process, interest rates will continue to decline.

Again, thank you for your letter.

With best wishes,

Sincerely,

MICHAEL K. DEEVER  
Assistant to the President  
Deputy Chief of Staff

Mr. Jimmy W. Asaff  
President  
Aries Oil Corporation  
9726 Rockbrook  
Dallas, TX 75220

# ARIES OIL CORPORATION

June 29, 1982

Mr. Michael Deaver  
The White House  
Washington, D.C.


Dear Mr. Deaver,

I had the pleasure of picking you and candidate Reagan up in Dallas early in the campaign for his presidency . I was asked along with two others to have this honor of driving you in our cars as a result of the long years of work in the Republican network. I say this in the introduction only to let you know that we are strong supporters of President Reagan's administration.

Having said that, I would like for you to please pass along to the President the feeling that we find in Dallas and , indeed, across the country that we are going to far with the super high , super long interest rate campaign. Our company is small but it is a good example of what is happening. We have laid off over 25% of our employees and we are not doing more at this time because we are hoping for some relief in interest rates soon and for the Windfall Tax to be dropped as it stands on the books now as of January 1, 1983.

We need relief! Please do what you can to assist in the recession control now and let up a little on the inflation reduction. We did not get this way in four years; let's not try to totally get back to ground zero in four years.

Sincerely,



Jimmy W. Asaff  
President

JWA/j

THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON

July 13, 1982

Dear Melanie:

Thank you for your nice letter and kind invitation. I am sorry that I was not able to meet with you and the other U.N. Pilgrimage delegates during your recent trip to Washington.

I hope that your visit here was a memorable one and wish you success in the pursuit of a career in politics.

With best wishes.

Sincerely,

MICHAEL K. DEEVER  
Assistant to the President  
Deputy Chief of Staff

Miss Melanie Roe  
15934 "O" Street  
Mojave, CA 93501



July 1, 1982

Mr. Mike Deaver

Deputy White House Chief of Staff

Hello,

My name is Melanie  
Roe and I too am from  
Mojava. I have been chosen  
by the Mojava Odd Fellows  
Lodge to participate in the  
1982 United Nations Pilgrimage  
for Youth Program. At one  
point in my trip, I will  
be in Washington D.C.  
on July 9-10. I was  
hoping, if it is  
possible, and if  
time permits <sup>for you</sup> to  
visit the F.B.I. Building at



3:30 P.M. July 9.

F.B.I. Headquarters  
F.B.I. Bldg. on "E" St.  
between 9<sup>th</sup> & 10<sup>th</sup> Sts. N.W.

Or you can visit us  
(me & the other delegates) at  
the Twin Bridges Marriott  
Hotel if time permits.

I'd really like to meet you  
and maybe you can pass  
the word on to your brother  
Bill who I would like to  
see again. I would also  
like to meet President  
Reagan. I know it  
is a lot to ask, but



- if you would also pass  
the word along to him,  
I would most appreciate it.

All the delegates have been  
sponsored by our local lodges.

These lodges promote the univer-  
sality of love, friendship, and  
truth.

I am most interested in  
law and political science.  
And our stay in Washington D.C.  
will most effectually influence  
my interests in politics.

Sincerely yours,

Melanie Roe

Enclosed is an article that  
Susan wrote on me and  
the program



Article was omitted  
B. Rye

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

July 13, 1982

Dear Mr. Medeiros:

Thank you for your second letter requesting Mr. Deaver answer many questions regarding his duties in the White House. His job specification hasn't changed since your last letter, so kindly refer to our letter to you of March 17th.

I hope you are enjoying the autographed picture of Mr. Deaver, which we sent for your collection.

Sincerely,

SHIRLEY MOORE  
Staff Assistant to  
Michael K. Deaver

Mr. Donald Dean Medeiros  
3700½ S. Byron Street  
Corona, CA 91720

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

March 17, 1982

Dear Mr. Medeiros:

Thank you for writing to Mr. Deaver. He appreciates hearing from young people, but the schedule in the White House, unfortunately, does not leave time for lengthy letters such as you have requested. Mr. Deaver is enjoying his job, finds it fulfilling and does meet with the President many times a day.

At your request, I am enclosing an autographed picture of Mr. Deaver for your collection.

Thanks again for writing.

Sincerely,

SHIRLEY MOORE  
Staff Assistant to  
Michael K. Deaver

Mr. Donald Dean Medeiros  
3700 ½ S. Byron Street  
Corona, CA 91720

Mr. Michael Deaver  
Deputy White House Chief of Staff  
WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20515  
①.

July 3, 1982

Dear Mr. Deaver:

My name is Donald Dean Medeiros.  
I'm twenty nine years of age, and have  
been a writer to leaders of our nation  
for over fourteen years, to find out  
their views on their job, the economy,  
national and world affairs.

I would like to find out your views if possible.

- ①. What are the duties of the Deputy  
Chief of Staff?
- ②. Do you confer with the President, concerning  
inter departmental problems.
- ③. How many are on the White House  
personal staff?
- ④. Do you see our economy improving  
under the leadership of President Reagan?
- ⑤. Do you see Nuclear Warfare as  
the biggest threat towards peace  
throughout the world?
- ⑥. Do you have a autograph picture of  
yourself, that I could receive if one  
is available?

Thank You for letting me take this  
(over)

time to ask you some questions.  
Best wishes to you.  
I hope to hear from you.

Sincerely,

Donald Dean Medeiros

DONALD DEAN MEDEIROS  
3700 1/2 SOUTH BYRON STREET  
CORONA, CALIFORNIA 91720