

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

January 28, 1982

Dear Miss Moseman:

Thank you for your recent letter. I appreciate the concern which prompted you to write.

President Reagan is committed to eliminating the Department of Education as a Cabinet-level agency. However, since the Department was created through an Act of Congress, similar legislation will be required to reduce it from Cabinet rank. The Administration is currently developing legislative proposals to accomplish this result.

It was good of you to take the time to give me the benefit of your views on this matter. I will be sure to keep them in mind as we continue our deliberations.

With best wishes,

Sincerely,

MICHAEL K. DEAVER  
Assistant to the President  
Deputy Chief of Staff

Miss Lynda Moseman  
3303 Bonnie Lane  
Greenville, TX 75401

WHITE HOUSE  
CORRESPONDENCE TRACKING WORKSHEET

*Mr. Deane*  
Deputy Chief of Staff  
White House  
Washington, D.C., 20500

1/18/82



Dear Mr. Deane:

I am very much opposed to continuing the Department of Education. I am very much opposed to the government supporting such immoral programs that educate our children about the gay lifestyles and homosexuality. The American families do not need this sexual propaganda in our schools.

Lynda Messinger  
3303 Bonnie Lea  
Greenville, SC 29615

(C) \_\_\_\_\_

DISPOSITION

Code	Completion Date YY/MM/DD
182	1 1
1	1 1
1	1 1
1	1 1
1	1 1
1	1 1

DISPOSITION CODES:

- A - Answered
- B - Non-Special Referral
- C - Completed
- S - Suspended

FOR OUTGOING CORRESPONDENCE:

- Type of Response = Initials of Signer
- Code = "A"
- Completion Date = Date of Outgoing

Keep this worksheet attached to the original incoming letter.  
Send all routing updates to Central Reference (Room 75, OEOB).  
Always return completed correspondence record to Central Files.  
Refer questions about the correspondence tracking system to Central Reference, ext. 2590.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

January 28, 1982

Dear Mrs. Chumbley:

Thank you for your recent letter. I appreciate the concern which prompted you to write.

President Reagan is committed to eliminating the Department of Education as a Cabinet-level agency. However, since the Department was created through an Act of Congress, similar legislation will be required to reduce it from Cabinet rank. The Administration is currently developing legislative proposals to accomplish this result.

It was good of you to take the time to give me the benefit of your views on this matter. I will be sure to keep them in mind as we continue our deliberations.

With best wishes,

Sincerely,

MICHAEL K. DEEVER  
Assistant to the President  
Deputy Chief of Staff

Mrs. Ken Chumbley  
Box 121  
Mathis, TX 78368

David  
Anderson

Box 121  
Mathis, TX 78368  
January 13, 1982

Dear Mr. Deaver:

The Dept. of Education needs to be abolished! As a public school teacher, I want education back in the hands of the local people. The Department should be abolished and its programs dispersed along the lines suggested in Senator Dan Quayle's bill (S1821)

Please encourage President Reagan to "ABOLISH" the Department of Education!

Please contact me for any further information.

Sincerely,

Orlinda Chumbley  
(Mrs. Ken)

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

January 28, 1982

Dear Miss Neeb:

Thank you for your recent letter. I appreciate the concern which prompted you to write.

President Reagan is committed to eliminating the Department of Education as a Cabinet-level agency. However, since the Department was created through an Act of Congress, similar legislation will be required to reduce it from Cabinet rank. The Administration is currently developing legislative proposals to accomplish this result.

It was good of you to take the time to give me the benefit of your views on this matter. I will be sure to keep them in mind as we continue our deliberations.

With best wishes,

Sincerely,

MICHAEL K. DEAVER  
Assistant to the President  
Deputy Chief of Staff

Miss Tina Neeb  
Route 1, Box 6  
Windthorst, TX 76389

Route 1, Box 6  
Windthorst, Texas 76389  
January 14, 1982

Mr. Michael K. Deaver  
Deputy Chief of Staff  
White House  
Washington, D. C. 20500

Dear Mr. Deaver:

One of President Reagan's campaign promises was to abolish the Department of Education. Secretary Terrel H. Bell now proposes that the department be converted to a "Foundation". This is unacceptable and not in accord with the President's promise. The Department should be abolished and its programs dispersed along the lines suggested in Senator Dan Quayles' Bill (S1821). I realize that the final decision lies with the White House.

I am an educator in the Texas public school system and I feel very strongly about this matter.

Please give this your immediate attention.

Thank you very much.

Yours very truly,

*Tina Neeb*

Tina Neeb

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

January 28, 1982

Dear Miss De Chant:

Thank you for your recent message. I appreciate the interest which prompted you to write.

The Administration shares your concern for the problems which face the nations of Central America. I am grateful for the opportunity to review the materials you sent concerning that region of the globe. You can be sure that they will receive serious attention from the appropriate members of the Administration.

With best wishes,

Sincerely,

MICHAEL K. DEEVER  
Assistant to the President  
Deputy Chief of Staff

Miss Margaret F. De Chant  
215 Williamsburg Drive  
Thiensville, WI 53092

Margaret F. De Chant  
215 Williamsburg Drive  
Thiensville, Wisconsin 53092  
414 - 242 - 4694

I hope you will ask  
President Reagan to read  
this clipping. It will give  
him some idea how the  
average citizen feels about  
what is going on in  
Central America.

Sincerely,  
M. DeChant  
1-18-82

...being cursed for US intervention in  
Central America.

If the *Insight* article raised a question in the mind of only one reader about what has gone on in the name of anti-communism, in the name of the American people on behalf of US business interests, The Journal will have done an immense service for our country.

—William J. Brennan, S.J.  
Milwaukee

I WISH to thank The Journal for printing the article on Guatemala. Thanks to the accurate account given by Father Lopez, many readers have discovered the true suffering of the Guatemalan people, which is directly attributable to continued US military assistance.

Unfortunately, there has been a dearth of worthwhile articles in the local and national press. Too often the media has chosen to report only the State Department's ... in Central America.

...protest are shot.

The junta claims that those being killed are communists. This is obviously not true. Mayan tribes speak 22 dialects of seven languages (none of them Spanish) and have never been politically oriented.

After 1977, the US had withheld aid from Guatemala over "human rights violations." But now, with more concern for the profit margin of US corporations than for human life, the grinning occupant of the White House is restoring that aid, helping the killing to go on.

Secretary of State Haig preaches fear of communism and says Cuba and Russia must not interfere in Latin American politics; only we have that privilege. But it is precisely people like Reagan and Haig who create the fertile soil in which communism grows.

If they really wanted to stop communism, they would attack its root causes — ... of the poor by the juntas



THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

January 28, 1982

Dear Mr. Lane:

Thank you for your recent message. I appreciate your support for President Reagan and your concern for the continued success of his Administration. I was glad to have the opportunity to review the materials you sent and will keep them in mind as we develop policies and programs for the future.

With best wishes,

Sincerely,

MICHAEL K. DEEVER  
Assistant to the President  
Deputy Chief of Staff

Mr. Andrew Lane  
177 Waltham Street  
Lexington, MA 02173

ANDREW LANE  
177 Waltham Street  
Lexington, Massachusetts 02173

*David  
Anderson*

January 18, 1982

Michael K. Deaver  
Deputy Chief of Staff  
The Executive Mansion  
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue  
Washington, DC 20500

Dear Mr. Deaver:

The New York Times reports that you are in the process of preparing President Reagan's state of the union address.

The enclosed pamphlet "On The Reagan Potential," by Constitutional authority Dan Smoot, should be of immense help to you, making your yoke easy and your burden light.

It might have been better had you incorporated the contents of this pamphlet in last year's address, but it was not then available.

You men in Washington have great power. My hope is that you will use it with more wisdom than did your predecessors.

Very truly yours

*Andrew Lane*  
Andrew Lane

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

January 28, 1982

Dear Mr. Hartman:


Thank you for your recent message. I was grateful to have the opportunity to review your economic perception surveys and appreciate your thoughtfulness in sending them to me. You can be sure that they will be brought to the attention of the appropriate members of the Administration.

With best wishes,

Sincerely,

MICHAEL K. DEEVER  
Assistant to the President  
Deputy Chief of Staff

Mr. William Hartman  
Finance Manager  
Research and Statistics  
Walt Disney World Company  
Post Office Box 40  
Lake Buena Vista, FL 32830



January 8, 1982

*David  
C. Anderson*

Mr. Michael Deaver  
Deputy Chief of Staff  
The White House  
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20006

Dear Mr. Deaver:

An important segment of our attendance at Walt Disney World is the long distance vacation traveler. Our definition of a long distance vacationer is one who takes a round-trip of 1,000 miles or greater. We believe that an important factor in the decision to take a long distance vacation is each household's perception of their personal economic situation. Regardless of the predictions of economists and government officials, and published changes in various measures of the state of the economy, one of the most important variables, in our judgement, is personal perception. Therefore, we recently began a series of economic perception surveys which measure and monitor changes in the perception of personal economies.

Enclosed is a copy of the results of our first two surveys conducted during September, 1981 and November, 1981, for your interest. It was suggested by Donn Tatum that we forward the survey results to you.

Approximately 800 households were interviewed during both surveys. The households were randomly selected, on a weighted basis, from our top 25 market areas outside of the state of Florida. Nearly all of the 25 market areas are east of the Mississippi and predominately in the North. The surveys were timed to avoid the scheduled release of national economic data so as to minimize any bias. The questions asking the respondents the reasons for their ratings of their personal economic situation and the future course of the economy were asked as open-ended questions. In other words, there were no pre-structured response alternatives available.

.../...



Mr. Michael Deaver  
January 8, 1982  
Page 2

I hope you find this information interesting and useful, and if you have any questions, I would be happy to answer them.

Sincerely,



William Hartman  
Finance Manager  
Research & Statistics

ch  
Enc.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

January 28, 1982

Dear Mr. Clark:

Thank you for your recent message. I appreciate the time you have taken to inform me of your views. You can be sure that your comments and opinions will be brought to the attention of the appropriate members of the Administration.

With best wishes,

Sincerely,

MICHAEL K. DEEVER  
Assistant to the President  
Deputy Chief of Staff

Mr. Edward N. Clark  
Lot Number 6, MHP  
Hampton, VA 23665

17 Jan 81

Dear Mr Deaver:

I wish to express my deep outrage at the administration's consideration of tax exempt status for private schools who discriminate on the basis of race or religion!

If you allow this proposal to come into effect it will destroy the basis of equity of rights for all citizens we Americans cherish above all else.

Please reconsider the impact this issue will have on American image as a defender of all its citizens rights.

That my government would permit, let alone sanction discrimination is most detesting to me!

Sincerely

Edmund W. Clark



# THE PRESIDENT'S COUNCIL ON PHYSICAL FITNESS AND SPORTS

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20201

January 28, 1982


MEMORANDUM FOR:

Michael Deaver  
Deputy Chief of Staff

Coach George Allen wrote you a memorandum on January 25, 1982.  
Subject: First meeting of the PCPFS.

We were pleased that Mamie McDonough made arrangements for the Council to meet in the President's Briefing Room, Room 450 of the Old Executive Office Building on February 3. This way it would be much more convenient for you in case you can stop by to give the new Council your greetings and remarks. Although the most desirable time for your appearance would be at the opening of the meeting at 9:00 a.m., anytime between then and 12:00 noon would be appreciated.

Council members, Donald M. Cooper, Director, Oklahoma State University Hospital and Clinic, Stillwater, Oklahoma; Tom J. Fatjo, Jr., President, Houstonian Foundation, Houston; William E. LaMothe, Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, Kellogg Company; Wayne Newton, Entertainer, Las Vegas; Mark Lee Saginor, Director, Internal Medicine and Endocrinology, Los Angeles; and David A. Werblin, President and Chief Executive Officer, Madison Square Garden, Corp., New York; have been sworn in but have not received their Presidential Commissions. If you would agree to do so, Coach Allen would like for you to present these Commissions during your appearance.

  
C. Carson Conrad  
Executive Director



THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

January 28, 1982

Dear Mrs. Kozak:

Thank you for your recent message. It was good of you to take the time to send me the correspondence from your former in-laws. I know that President Reagan will be as pleased with their support, and yours, as I am.

Again, thank you for your letter.

With best wishes,

Sincerely,

MICHAEL K. DEEVER  
Assistant to the President  
Deputy Chief of Staff

Mrs. Andrew Kozak  
Post Office Box 6355  
Carmel-by-the-Sea, CA 93921

January 15, 1982

Dear Mr. Deaver,

As my husband and I are such strong supporters for the President I just had to send the enclosed message thinking perhaps Mr. Reagan would enjoy a boost in good judgment.

The letter is from my former brother-in-law's wife. His name is John Wilmarth Mc Cully, who flew 29's in the Pacific during WWII from his hometown and mine in San Diego. I was the wife + widow of his brother-in-law - Lt. Gordon C. Chamberlain, killed in Holland, March '45. John + Gordon were great friends

© ANIMALS by KOZAK  
Notes from original drawings  
Carmel-by-the-Sea, California

and we stay in touch.

Do hope and pray 1982 will  
accomplish great things for our  
country and praise for those  
attempting such a tremendous feat. Just  
hang tough!

P.S.


We must do  
something about  
California's Government!

Most sincerely,



Eloise Kozak

(Mrs. Andrew Kozak)

David  
Anderson



© Andrew Kozak



THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

January 28, 1982

Dear Mr. Nuell:

Thank you for your letter bringing me up-to-date on the status of the Stuart F. Kindrick Social Security case.

With respect to the Social Security Administration (SSA) initiative to review disability cases, as you may know, SSA has had a continuing disability investigation (CDI) process in place for a number of years. In this process, beneficiaries with conditions identified by SSA as having potential to improve are scheduled for medical reexamination. Only a small percentage of disabled workers on the rolls are scheduled for these reviews. A CDI is also done when SSA learns that a beneficiary has returned to work.

In enacting the 1980 statutory provision requiring triennial review, the Congress recognized that SSA needed to increase the number of disability cases it was reviewing. This provision addressed serious concerns raised by GAO and others that SSA had no means for redetermining the disability of most recipients, and that payments were being made to many ineligible persons. The January 1982 effective date was to give SSA the time to acquire needed resources and otherwise prepare for the increased CDI workload.

In early 1981, based on SSA studies and a GAO report showing an estimated 20 percent ineligibility rate (representing payments totaling \$2 billion annually) SSA decided to move immediately to correct this major problem by implementing the periodic reviews required by the 1980 law. The review procedures they are using for these cases are the same as for their regularly scheduled reexaminations.

Concerning Mr. Kindrick's case, HHS officials inform me that on November 23, 1981 his attorney applied on his behalf for a reconsideration of the decision to terminate his benefits. On January 8, 1982, consultative examinations were requested for Mr. Kindrick. A decision on his case is expected in the next two to three weeks. I understand also that HHS officials have been in touch with officials in the Virginia State Vocational Rehabilitation Agency concerning Mr. Kindrick's case. As a result of this contact, the Agency wrote Mr. Kindrick on December 10, 1981 to offer vocational rehabilitation services.

Thank you again for sharing with me the news story about the Kindrick family. I appreciate your interest in the Social Security disability program, and trust you will find the information we have provided helpful.

Sincerely yours,



MICHAEL K. DEEVER  
Assistant to the President  
Deputy Chief of Staff

Mr. David Nuell  
News Director  
WRC-TV News  
4001 Nebraska Avenue  
Washington, D.C. 20016



DC Deaver

JAN 22 1982

MEMORANDUM TO SALLY KELLEY

As you requested, we have drafted the attached reply for the signature of Michael Deaver which may be used in responding to David Nuell of NBC News. Mr. Nuell raised questions about the Social Security Administration's accelerated disability review program.

*David Newhall III*  
David Newhall III  
Chief of Staff

Attachment

*type*

*(Copy to Craig Fuller)*

Mr. David Nuell  
News Director  
WRC-TV News  
4001 Nebraska Avenue  
Washington, D.C. 20016

Dear Mr. Nuell:

Thank you for your letter bringing me up-to-date on the status of the Stuart F. Kindrick Social Security case.

With respect to the Social Security Administration (SSA) initiative to review disability cases, as you may know, SSA has had a continuing disability investigation (CDI) process in place for a number of years. In this process, beneficiaries with conditions identified by SSA as having potential to improve are scheduled for medical reexamination. Only a small percentage of disabled workers on the rolls are scheduled for these reviews. A CDI is also done when SSA learns that a beneficiary has returned to work.

In enacting the 1980 statutory provision requiring triennial reviews, the Congress recognized that SSA needed to increase the number of disability cases it was reviewing. This provision addressed serious concerns raised by GAO and others that SSA had no means for redetermining the disability of most recipients, and that payments were being made to many ineligible persons. The January 1982 effective date was to give SSA the time to acquire needed resources and otherwise prepare for the increased CDI workload.

In early 1981, based on SSA studies and a GAO report showing an estimated 20 percent ineligibility rate (representing payments

totaling \$2 billion annually) SSA decided to move immediately to correct this major problem by implementing the periodic reviews required by the 1980 law. The review procedures they are using for these cases are the same as for their regularly scheduled reexaminations.

Concerning Mr. Kindrick's case, HHS officials inform me that on November 23, 1981 his attorney applied on his behalf for a reconsideration of the decision to terminate his benefits. On January 8, 1982, consultative examinations were requested for Mr. Kindrick. A decision on his case is expected in the next two to three weeks. I understand also that HHS officials have been in touch with officials in the Virginia State Vocational Rehabilitation Agency concerning Mr. Kindrick's case. As a result of this contact, the Agency wrote Mr. Kindrick on December 10, 1981 to offer vocational rehabilitation services.

Thank you again for sharing with me the news story about the Kindrick family. I appreciate your interest in the Social Security disability program, and trust you will find the information we have provided helpful.

Sincerely yours,

L. Ortiz/ESP/HHS/245-3475



1-13-82

THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON

TO: Dave Newhall

FROM: MICHAEL K. DEEVER  
Assistant to the President  
Deputy Chief of Staff

Information

Action

FOR SUGGESTED RESPONSE.

4

055696

ID # \_\_\_\_\_

WE007

### WHITE HOUSE CORRESPONDENCE TRACKING WORKSHEET

- O - OUTGOING
- H - INTERNAL
- I - INCOMING

Date Correspondence Received (YY/MM/DD) 8/12/24

Name of Correspondent: David Nuell

MI Mail Report      User Codes: (A) \_\_\_\_\_ (B) \_\_\_\_\_ (C) \_\_\_\_\_

Subject: Letter to bring Mr. Deaver up to date on the status of the Kendrick family and to raise some questions regarding the eligibility review of Social Security Disability Cases

#### ROUTE TO:

#### ACTION

#### DISPOSITION

Office/Agency (Staff Name)	Action Code	Tracking Date YY/MM/DD	Type of Response	Code	Completion Date YY/MM/DD
<u>DC DEAV</u>	<u>CH</u>	<u>82,01,14</u>		<u>C</u>	<u>82,01,27</u>
<u>✓ NKS/Dave newhall</u>	<u>DCH</u>	<u>8/10/14</u>	<u>See Comments</u>		<u>82-01-22<sup>CH</sup></u>
		<u>1 1</u>			<u>1 1</u>
		<u>1 1</u>			<u>1 1</u>
		<u>1 1</u>			<u>1 1</u>

#### ACTION CODES:

- A - Appropriate Action
- C - Comment/Recommendation
- D - Draft Response
- F - Furnish Fact Sheet to be used as Enclosure

- I - Info Copy Only/No Action Necessary
- R - Direct Reply w/Copy
- S - For Signature
- X - Interim Reply

#### DISPOSITION CODES:

- A - Answered
- B - Non-Special Referral
- C - Completed
- S - Suspended

#### FOR OUTGOING CORRESPONDENCE:

- Type of Response = Initials of Signer
- Code = "A"
- Completion Date = Date of Outgoing

Comments: Please call Sally Kelly's office (458-7486) when you receive this letter and advise who is working on it. Mr. Deaver would like the proposed draft as soon as possible.

Keep this worksheet attached to the original incoming letter.  
 Send all routing updates to Central Reference (Room 75, OEOB).  
 Always return completed correspondence record to Central Files.  
 Refer questions about the correspondence tracking system to Central Reference, ext. 2590.

THE WHITE HOUSE OFFICE

REFERRAL

JANUARY 14, 1982

TO: DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES  
ATTN: DAVE NEWHALL

ACTION REQUESTED:

DRAFT REPLY FOR SIGNATURE OF MICHAEL K. DEAVER

REMARKS: PLEASE CALL SALLY KELLEY'S OFFICE (456-7486) WHEN YOU  
RECEIVE THIS LETTER AND ADVISE WHO IS WORKING ON IT  
MR. DEAVER WOULD LIKE THE PROPOSED DRAFT AS SOON AS POSSIBLE

DESCRIPTION OF INCOMING:

ID: 055696

MEDIA: LETTER, DATED DECEMBER 22, 1981

TO: MICHAEL DEAVER

FROM: MR. DAVID NUELL  
NEWS DIRECTOR  
NBC TELEVISION STATIONS DIVISION  
NATIONAL BROADCASTING COMPANY, INC.  
4001 NEBRASKA AVENUE, NW  
WASHINGTON DC 20016

SUBJECT: WRITES TO BRING MR. DEAVER UP TO DATE ON THE  
STATUS OF THE KINDRICK FAMILY AND TO RAISE  
SOME QUESTIONS REGARDING THE ELIGIBILITY  
REVIEW OF SOCIAL SECURITY DISABILITY CASES

PROMPT ACTION IS ESSENTIAL -- IF REQUIRED ACTION HAS NOT BEEN  
TAKEN WITHIN 9 WORKING DAYS OF RECEIPT, PLEASE TELEPHONE THE  
UNDERSIGNED AT 456-7486.

RETURN CORRESPONDENCE, WORKSHEET AND COPY OF RESPONSE  
(OR DRAFT) TO:  
AGENCY LIAISON, ROOM 62, THE WHITE HOUSE

SALLY KELLEY  
DIRECTOR OF AGENCY LIAISON  
PRESIDENTIAL CORRESPONDENCE



RC-TV News

NBC Television Stations Division  
National Broadcasting Company, Inc

4001 Nebraska Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20016 202-686-4242

David Nuell  
News Director

December 22, 1981

Michael K. Deaver  
Assistant to the President and  
Deputy Chief of Staff  
The White House  
Washington, D.C.

*D. Newhall  
for suggested  
response.*

055696

Dear Mr. Deaver:

I want to bring you up to date on the status of the Social Security disability story we discussed when you called me last month.

The officials of the Social Security Administration who contacted us as a result of your initiative have been quite helpful in explaining the specifics of the current program to dislodge the hundreds of thousands of ineligible recipients from the roles. We have some stories in preparation which will take advantage of those insights.

As for the Kindrick family, who were focused on in the report which caught your attention, I have attached a copy of a recent story which took note of your position and updated the status of their appeal.

However, in the course of researching the legislation you cited to me as the motivating force behind the review, I found that the Congressional mandate does not even take effect until January, 1982. It is my understanding that the 1982 start was intended to permit guidelines to be disseminated to the states and to ensure, as one Congressional staffer put it, that the review process would be "orderly and fair". I don't know whether you were aware of the timetable when we spoke, but there are some in Congress who feel the Reagan administration decision to accelerate the eligibility review has resulted in an extraordinary number of appeals and in some recipients being cut off who are both innocent and eligible.

There does not seem to be dispute in any quarter, though, as to the extent of fraud in the program or the Reagan administration's willingness, unlike those who have gone before you, to tackle the problem.

Michael K. Deaver  
Assistant to the President,  
Deputy Chief of Staff

December 22, 1981

But there is a question, in my mind at least, as to whether it would have been accurate in our original story to cite a mandate which is not yet operative as the cause for families being cut off, as you would have had me do. It seems the issue is rather the appropriateness of our use of the words "budget cuts" as the cause when we should have said "Reagan administration program initiatives".


I hope you don't consider this just a semantical exercise; it is our desire to have as much information as possible and to make our stories accurate and reflective of the realities of any situation.

I think this also points out the need for local television stations throughout the country to have greater access to policymakers in the administration so that we have the benefit of understanding what you are trying to accomplish, and how, without having our information distilled first by the national press corps. As I said in our initial conversation, local broadcasters throughout the country will be doing thousands of stories in the coming years that focus on the effects of your programs at the grassroots level.

I realize there are severe limitations on your time and that it is impractical to accede to every request. However, given the fact that the five NBC owned television stations have the potential of reaching nearly twenty percent of the nation's citizens, I hope you will at least consider our proposal for a series of briefings by top administration officials and an interview with the President.

With warmest regards for the holidays, I am

Sincerely,



David Nuell  
News Director

DN/jm



WRC-TV4

NBC Television Stations Division  
National Broadcasting Company, Inc

4001 Nebraska Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20016 202-686-4000

TRANSCRIPT  
NEWSCENTER 4, 6:00 PM  
November 27, 1981

Jim Vance: A handicapped man and his family are about to be evicted from their apartment in Reston. They can't pay the rent because they've been cut off of disability payments by the Social Security system.

Jack Cloherty first reported on the plight of the Stuart Kindrick family two weeks ago. He's here now with an update on that case.

Jack Cloherty: Jim, as you know that story has caused a lot of reaction. We received calls and donations for the Kindricks from many viewers, and Presidential aide Mike Deaver called to say that the fund cut off was not the fault of any Reagan administration policy. Top Social Security officials promised a quick review of the case, but so far all the official reaction has not done much to help the Kindricks.

Stuart Kindrick: A rough opinion of it being about like a half a human being just about. Some can be used and some can't and I'm constantly in pain all the time, you know.

Jack Cloherty: Stuart Kindrick was partially paralyzed on his left side in a work-place accident back in 1973. For the last five years he's been receiving \$590 a month in Social Security disability payments. The money has allowed the family to get by, but now Kindrick and his family have been cut off. Even though his doctor says he is unable to work, the Social Security Administration thinks otherwise.

Winona Kindrick: But he can work between eight and sixteen hours a day...he can be a security guard. I've talked to so many security agencies. They said they would not hire him because he couldn't even protect himself.

Jack Cloherty: After our first story on the Kindricks the administrator of the Social Security system reviewed the case file. But only in clear cut emergency cases is disability aid restored immediately, and the administration did not feel the Kindrick case warranted that intervention, so their appeal is slowly working its way through the bureaucracy.

cont'd...

(Cont'd)  
TRANSCRIPT  
NEWSCENTER 4, 6:00 PM  
November 27, 1981

Winona Kindrick: In the mean time, you know, what does a person do? Our Social Security payments were \$590 a month including the children's and my husband's and myself. Now he only gets \$80 a week Workman's Comp and that is all we get. Our rent is \$410 a month...and I have no other income. I can't work 'cause I was on the accident myself with my foot, so I can't work.

Jack Cloherty: So, on Monday the Kindricks and their two children will pack up and move before the rental firm takes what property they have left in lieu of rent.

Jack Cloherty: Do you have any family or anything to go to?

Winona Kindrick: Yes, I have a mother-in-law, but she's on Social Security too, so I don't know what we're gonna do.

Jack Cloherty: The Kindrick's appeal to have disability payments reinstated could be settled within a month, but if their original appeal is denied they will run into a heavy backlog of cases in the second step of the process. Many people have been knocked off the roles in recent case reviews, and many like the Kindricks feel that they have been treated unfairly.

MEMORANDUM

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

January 28, 1982

TO: JOHN ROGERS

FROM: MICHAEL K. DEEVER  
Deputy Chief of Staff

SUBJECT: Request for Passport

Please initiate action to have a Diplomatic  
Passport issued to Patricia A. Bye of my staff  
in preparation for trip to Europe 14 Feb 82.

Thank you.



*Andrea King Best*  
3033 O Street, Northwest  
Washington, District of Columbia 20007

January 29, 1982

Hon. Michael K. Deaver  
The White House  
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mike:

Thank you very much for taking  
the time to forward my resume to J.  
Moorehead.

I am pleased to report that I  
have an interview next week with  
Jim Rosebush. If any staff changes  
take place, I would consider it an  
honor to work for Mrs. Reagan.

Bill and I look forward to seeing  
you one day soon.

Sincerely,

*Andrea*

cc: Mr. James S. Rosebush  
Mr. J. Upsher Moorehead

# Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease

Arthur I. Vorys  
1856-1933  
Lowry F. Sater  
1867-1935  
Augustus T. Seymour  
1873-1926  
Edward L. Pease  
1873-1924

Suite 1111  
1828 L Street NW  
Washington, D.C. 20036

Telephone: (202) 296-2929  
Telecopier: (202) 296-0934  
Telex: 440693

In Columbus:  
52 East Gay Street  
Post Office Box 1008  
Columbus, Ohio 43216

Telephone: (614) 464-6400  
Telecopier: (614) 464-6350  
Rapifax: (614) 464-6453  
Cable: Vorysater

January 29, 1982

Writer's Direct Dial Number  
(202) 822-8200

Mr. Michael K. Deaver  
Deputy Chief of Staff  
The White House  
Washington, D. C. 20500

Re: ACYPL, 1982

Dear Mike:

At the October meeting of its Board of Directors, the American Council of Young Political Leaders adopted a private sector fundraising program to augment the anticipated reduction in Federal funding. As you know, while ACYPL was seeking to remain a "core program" within the U.S. International Communications Agency, the reality was that it would still suffer some setback in funding for programs. Looking farther into the future, ACYPL knows the value of raising private sector funds in case Government funding reduces to a minimum.

This letter is to seek your counsel, as a member of the ACYPL Board, as to which corporations and foundations might be interested in support of ACYPL programs.

The Board agreed that each member thereof would recommend at least two corporations (or foundations) with regional or country interests coinciding with ACYPL programming. For example, I have agreed to work with Cabot Corporation and Pepsico.

No one on the Board or on the staff wishes to put you in a position where you feel uncomfortable in making a recommendation, given your position with the Government. We want to make that clear at the outset. We are all aware of recent flaps in regard to senior White House staff involved in fundraising on behalf of specific organizations. We are asking for less, and we are asking it in your capacity as a Board member, not a senior White House official.

Best regards,

Randal C. Teague

RCT:ms

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

January 29, 1982

Dear Lola:

Please have whomever is going to pick-up the piano get in touch with Carolyn's Mother, Mary Lou Judy at 916-442-6049 and she'll make arrangements to let the movers in.

It's not necessary to reimburse us for any expenses involved with the piano; we've been more than repaid with the pleasure its brought the Deavers.

Warm regards,

MICHAEL K. DEEVER  
Assistant to the President  
Deputy Chief of Staff

Mrs. Lola Smith  
P.O. Box 2176  
Paso Robles, CA 93446