

# Ronald Reagan Presidential Library Digital Library Collections

---

This is a PDF of a folder from our textual collections.

---

**Collection:** Roberts, John G.: Files  
**Folder Title:** Chron File (07/19/1983-07/25/1983)  
**Box:** 61

---

To see more digitized collections visit:

<https://reaganlibrary.gov/archives/digital-library>

To see all Ronald Reagan Presidential Library inventories visit:

<https://reaganlibrary.gov/document-collection>

Contact a reference archivist at: [reagan.library@nara.gov](mailto:reagan.library@nara.gov)

Citation Guidelines: <https://reaganlibrary.gov/citing>

National Archives Catalogue: <https://catalog.archives.gov/>

MEMORANDUM

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

July 19, 1983

FOR: FRED F. FIELDING

FROM: JOHN G. ROBERTS *JGR*

SUBJECT: Proposed Testimony of Deputy Assistant Attorney General Knapp re: S. 1146, a Bill to Address the Use of Aircraft in Drug Offenses

By memorandum dated July 18, you noted no legal objection to the above-referenced testimony, to be delivered on July 21. A dispute has arisen between Transportation and Justice over an aspect of the testimony, and we have been asked to "weigh in" as soon as possible. The bill would direct the FAA Administrator to revoke the airman certificate of a pilot or crew member if the airman were convicted of a drug offense and served as an airman in connection with the violation, or if the airman were determined, after notice and hearing, to have served as an airman in connection with the transport by aircraft of a controlled substance. Justice's proposed testimony would expand the section to direct revocation of the certificate if the airman as an airman were determined to have furthered a drug offense, e.g., by knowingly flying a drug kingpin to a meeting.

Transportation objects that no safety considerations are involved in this proposed category, so the FAA should not be required to revoke certificates. Safety considerations are typically present when the plane actually carries drugs, as the pilots often fly low and without lights to avoid capture.

I side with Justice. If an airman is knowingly flying a drug dealer to a secret meeting, he could well fly without lights, etc., just as if he were carrying drugs themselves. The whole purpose of this bill is to fight drug trafficking, so the FAA's argument that it should only revoke air certificates when safety is implicated rings hollow. With the Air Force monitoring drug traffickers, the IRS seizing their assets, and the Park Service destroying their fields, the FAA can pitch in by revoking their flight certificates.

Dick Williams of Carlton Turner's office has proposed a compromise, whereby the bill would authorize (as opposed to direct) the Administrator to revoke certificates in the one category that has engendered the dispute. This makes eminent good sense, and with your permission, I will tell OMB that we support it. They would like to resolve the matter tonight or tomorrow morning.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

July 19, 1983

FOR: FRED F. FIELDING  
FROM: JOHN G. ROBERTS *JGR*  
SUBJECT: Law Enforcement Olympics

Joseph G. Gentile of the Metropolitan Police Department has written you to invite the President to light the torch for the opening day ceremonies of the Washington Metropolitan Area Law Enforcement Olympics. The ceremonies are scheduled to start at 11:00 a.m., on September 17. Law enforcement olympics involve federal, state, and local law enforcement officers. After local events like this one across the country, there will be regional competition and then national finals.

I expect that the President will not be able to accept this invitation, but I am unwilling to dismiss it out of hand, since it would be a visible gesture of support for law enforcement. Accordingly, I recommend simply routing Gentile's letter to Fred Ryan for whatever consideration he deems appropriate. I have drafted and attached a memorandum to Ryan and reply to Gentile.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

July 19, 1983

Dear Mr. Gentile:

Thank you for your letter inviting the President to light the torch for the opening day ceremonies of the Washington Metropolitan Area Law Enforcement Olympics. Your invitation is particularly gratifying in light of the President's strong support of law enforcement and the esteem with which he regards those who devote their lives to making all of us safe and secure.

I am certain you recognize that it is extremely difficult to find time on the President's demanding schedule for such events, even when they are as praiseworthy as the law enforcement olympics. I have, however, forwarded your invitation to Frederick J. Ryan, Special Assistant to the President and Director of Scheduling. Mr. Ryan will give your request every appropriate consideration.

Thank you for your gracious invitation, and best of luck with the olympics.

Sincerely,

Fred F. Fielding  
Counsel to the President

Mr. Joseph C. Gentile  
Sergeant/Committee Member  
Law Enforcement Olympics  
Washington Metropolitan Area  
9772 Hagel Circle  
Lorton, VA 22079

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

July 19, 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR FREDERICK J. RYAN, JR.  
SPECIAL ASSISTANT TO THE PRESIDENT AND  
DIRECTOR OF SCHEDULING

FROM: FRED F. FIELDING  
COUNSEL TO THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: Invitation to the President to Light Torch at  
Washington Area Law Enforcement Olympics

I am forwarding the attached invitation to the President to you for your direct reply and whatever consideration you deem appropriate.

MEMORANDUM

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

July 19, 1983

FOR: FRED F. FIELDING  
FROM: JOHN G. ROBERTS *JGR*  
SUBJECT: Resale Price Maintenance Letters

Some time ago we requested the Justice Department to prepare a response to a letter to the President from Congressman Goodling expressing concern about the Antitrust Division's stance on resale price maintenance. Justice has now provided a draft, for your signature. Justice provided the same draft to Legislative Affairs, in response to a request for a draft reply to a similar incoming letter from Congressman Annunzio. Legislative Affairs would like our approval of that draft reply.

I think both replies should be over Ken Duberstein's signature, since they are in response to legislative mail and not particularly within the expertise of our office. I have edited Justice's proposals, and have also prepared a transmittal memorandum to Duberstein.

I have changed Justice's proposed draft in two major ways: First, Justice proposed to send with its letter a copy of its brief filed before the Supreme Court in Monsanto v. Spray-Rite. I think it inadvisable for a reply from the White House to contain such material, or as a general matter to link our response on a general question to a specific, pending lawsuit. I have instead added a sentence on the pro-competitive aspects of resale price maintenance, taken from the brief, to the letter.

I have also deleted a reference to the Antitrust Division's bid-rigging prosecutions. This bit of touting is completely unrelated to the subject at hand.

Attachment

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

July 19, 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR KEN DUBERSTEIN  
ASSISTANT TO THE PRESIDENT  
FOR LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS

FROM: FRED F. FIELDING  
COUNSEL TO THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: Resale Price Maintenance Letters

Some time ago Congressman Goodling and Congressman Annunzio wrote separate letters, to the President and to you, respectively, expressing concern over the Antitrust Division's views on resale price maintenance. The Department of Justice has now provided a draft reply to Goodling, for my signature, and has provided a draft reply to Annunzio to your office. Charlie Ponticelli of your office has asked for our views on the Annunzio reply. Since this is Congressional mail and not particularly within the area of expertise of the Counsel's Office, I think it would be appropriate for both replies to go out over your signature. Our office has, however, edited Justice's proposed replies as indicated on the attached drafts, and we have no objection to them as edited.

MEMORANDUM

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

July 19, 1983

FOR: FRED F. FIELDING

FROM: JOHN G. ROBERTS *JGR*

SUBJECT: Proposed Letter to Grant Tinker re:  
Anti-Drug Public Service Announcements

Richard Darman has asked for comments by July 20 on a proposed letter from the President to Grant Tinker, Chairman and CEO of NBC, expressing appreciation for NBC's drug awareness campaign. The campaign features public service spots with anti-drug messages from the stars of "Hill Street Blues." Carlton Turner proposed and prepared the Presidential letter.

I see no legal objections. The letter is limited to NBC's public service campaign and cannot readily be considered or misinterpreted as an endorsement of the network's commercial activities.

Attachment



MEMORANDUM

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

July 19, 1983

FOR: RICHARD G. DARMAN  
ASSISTANT TO THE PRESIDENT AND  
DEPUTY TO THE CHIEF OF STAFF

FROM: FRED F. FIELDING  
COUNSEL TO THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: Proposed Letter to Grant Tinker re:  
Anti-Drug Public Service Announcements

Counsel's Office has reviewed the above-referenced proposed Presidential letter. Since the letter is limited to NBC's public service messages and cannot readily be considered an endorsement of any of the network's commercial activities, we have no legal objections.

Should "Don't Be Dope" in the second line read "Don't Be A Dope"?

MEMORANDUM

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

July 19, 1983

FOR: FRED F. FIELDING  
FROM: JOHN G. ROBERTS *JGR*  
SUBJECT: Allegation of Abuses  
By Purported CIA Agent

Arthur K. Snyder, a member of the Los Angeles City Council, has written the President to complain of the actions of Rudolph Estrada, Vice-President of the Continental-Tokai Bank in Los Angeles. According to Snyder, Estrada has been identifying himself as a CIA agent and using that status to influence reporters and affect local politics. Snyder offered to talk with investigators about the matter, and introduce them to others familiar with Estrada's alleged abuses.

We should refer this matter to the CIA General Counsel, and advise Snyder that we have done so.

Attachments

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

July 19, 1983

Dear Mr. Snyder:

Thank you for your letter to the President concerning Rudolph Estrada. In that letter you indicated that Mr. Estrada was identifying himself as an employee of the Central Intelligence Agency and using that alleged status for improper purposes.

I have forwarded your letter to Stanley Sporkin, General Counsel of the CIA. Mr. Sporkin will take appropriate steps to address your concerns.

Sincerely,

Fred F. Fielding  
Counsel to the President

Mr. Arthur K. Snyder  
Councilman, Fourteenth District  
Room 333  
City Hall  
Los Angeles, California 90012-4871

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

July 19, 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR STANLEY SPORKIN  
GENERAL COUNSEL  
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

FROM: FRED F. FIELDING  
COUNSEL TO THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: Allegation of Abuses  
by Purported CIA Agent

I am forwarding the attached letter to the President to you for your direct reply and whatever action you deem appropriate.

Thank you.

MEMORANDUM

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

July 20, 1983

FOR: FRED F. FIELDING  
FROM: JOHN G. ROBERTS *JGR*  
SUBJECT: Additional Martin Kaiser Correspondence

You will recall that Martin Kaiser wrote the President on February 15, alleging improper conduct by FBI agents. The conduct was related to a pending civil suit between Kaiser and the agents. You wrote Kaiser on March 8 that the White House could not become involved in his pending suit. Since Kaiser raised serious allegations concerning the FBI, you also forwarded his correspondence to the Justice Department, and so advised Kaiser.

Kaiser has now written you again, rudely rejecting the suggestion that his pending civil suit should affect the question of looking into the alleged FBI corruption. That is of course not what we said, and we in fact referred the letter to Justice precisely because of the allegations. Kaiser also attached a copy of a July 9 letter to the President, containing additional charges of abuses by the FBI agents. In light of the allegations, I recommend sending both letters to Justice, for whatever response and/or action that department considers appropriate. Mr. Kaiser's latest letters are somewhat intemperate, and I do not recommend any further direct correspondence with him.

Attachment

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

July 20, 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR EDWARD C. SCHMULTS  
THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL

FROM: FRED F. FIELDING  
COUNSEL TO THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: Additional Martin Kaiser Correspondence

You will recall that I forwarded a letter to the President from Martin Kaiser to you on March 8, 1983, with a copy of my reply, for whatever consideration or action you deemed appropriate. The correspondence raised allegations concerning the FBI. I herewith transmit additional correspondence from Mr. Kaiser, for whatever further consideration or action may be appropriate.

Thank you.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON


July 21, 1983

Dear Ms. Cooper:

I am attaching for your information and files a copy of page 2 of your Financial Disclosure Report, revised pursuant to our telephone conversation. Thank you for your cooperation, and best of luck at the Environmental Protection Agency.

With best wishes,

Sincerely,

  
John G. Roberts  
Associate Counsel  
to the President

Ms. Josephine S. Cooper  
3723 Gunston Road  
Alexandria, Virginia 22302

Attachment

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

July 25, 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR FRED F. FIELDING

FROM: JOHN G. ROBERTS

SUBJECT: Use of Great Seal on DNC Fundraising Pamphlet

Ronald Taylor of New York, New York has sent, for "your information and comment," a copy of a letter he sent to Charles Manatt on January 13, 1983. That letter objected to the appearance of "the Great Seal, the Seal of the President of the United States," on a DNC social security fundraising pamphlet. Mr. Taylor pointed out to Manatt that the logo of the Democratic Party was the donkey, and that misuse of the "seal of the government" violated federal law.

The seal that offended Mr. Taylor is the Great Seal, surrounded by the words "Democratic National Committee." Use of the Great Seal (as opposed to the Presidential Seal) is only prohibited if it is knowingly displayed "for the purpose of conveying, or in a manner reasonably calculated to convey," a false impression of government sponsorship. 18 U.S.C. § 713(a). Since the Seal in this case is surrounded by the words "Democratic National Committee," the DNC can readily argue that there can be no suggestion of official government sponsorship. I have drafted a reply to Taylor along these lines.

Attachment



THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

July 25, 1983

Dear Mr. Taylor:

Thank you for your note of July 11. Along with that note you forwarded a copy of a letter you wrote earlier in the year to Mr. Charles Manatt of the Democratic National Committee. That letter questioned the use of the Great Seal of the United States on DNC fundraising material.

I cannot, of course, speak for the DNC or explain why that organization decided to use the Great Seal in its fundraising. The statute governing use of the Great Seal is codified at 18 U.S.C. § 713(a). That provision establishes criminal penalties for the knowing use of the Great Seal "for the purpose of conveying, or in a manner reasonably calculated to convey, a false impression of sponsorship or approval by the Government of the United States . . . ." Of course, the Government of the United States does not sponsor or approve (or disapprove) of the DNC. I assume that those responsible for the use of this logo do not believe that it conveys an impression of government sponsorship or approval, perhaps because the words "Democratic National Committee" surround the Great Seal.

I would point out that this seal is not the same as the Seal of the President of the United States. Although similar in many respects to the Great Seal, the Presidential Seal is discernibly different, and its use is more protected than the use of the Great Seal.

Thank you for writing. With best wishes,

Sincerely,

Fred F. Fielding  
Counsel to the President

Mr. Ronald Taylor  
P.O. Box 385  
New York, New York 10011

FFF:JGR:aw 7/25/83  
cc: FFFielding/JGRoberts/Subj./Chron

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

July 25, 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR FRED F. FIELDING

FROM: JOHN G. ROBERTS .

SUBJECT: Suggestion Concerning the President's  
Personal Tax Liability

Robert Lindboe of the Lincoln National Life Insurance Company wrote the President on April 18 to alert him to a means of reducing his personal tax liability while promoting voluntarism. Lindboe's plan basically involves the well-known tax benefits flowing from the donation to charity of appreciated property. Lindboe requested a meeting with the President to discuss his plans.

On June 20, Fred Ryan sent Lindboe a form letter thanking him for his interest but noting that a meeting could not be arranged. On June 23, Lindboe replied that a meeting was not necessary, but he wanted someone to respond to the substance of his April 18 letter, after having discussed his proposals with the President. Ryan sent a sur-rebuttal on June 29, advising Lindboe that the matter was being brought to the attention of the appropriate office and that he could expect a reply as soon as possible. Ryan then referred the matter to us.

Lindboe's proposal concerns the President's private tax planning and accordingly we are in no position to fashion a substantive reply. I have drafted a letter to Lindboe noting that the President's personal matters are handled by his private attorney. Since Lindboe also raises voluntarism concerns, the draft letter alerts him to the existence of the Private Sector Initiatives Office.

Attachment

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

July 25, 1983

Dear Mr. Lindboe:

Your previous correspondence concerning the President's taxes and voluntarism has been routed to this office for appropriate handling. In your correspondence you discussed a proposal to reduce the President's personal tax liability and promote voluntarism through the donation to charity of tangible assets.

The President's personal legal matters, including the preparation of his income tax forms, are not handled by the White House but by the President's personal attorney, Roy D. Miller of the Los Angeles law firm Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher. Accordingly, any inquiries or suggestions concerning the preparation of the President's taxes should be directed to Mr. Miller.

Since your correspondence also concerned voluntarism, I should alert you to the existence of the Office of Private Sector Initiatives here at the White House, headed by Special Assistant to the President James Coyne. More general inquiries or proposals to increase charitable giving should be directed to that office.

I am sorry that it has taken some time for you to be directed to the appropriate individuals who can respond to the substance of your correspondence. Thank you for your patience.

Sincerely,

Fred F. Fielding  
Counsel to the President

Mr. Robert Lindboe  
Lincoln/Northwest, Inc.  
Suite 202  
180 Nickerson  
Seattle, Washington 98109

FFF:JGR:aw 7/25/83  
cc: FFFielding/JGRoberts/Subj./Chron

MEMORANDUM

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

July 25, 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR FRED F. FIELDING

FROM: JOHN G. ROBERTS

SUBJECT: Nora McArdle

Mrs. Nora McArdle of Colorado Springs has written to complain about what she considers to be inappropriate responses from HHS to her various inquiries. Mrs. McArdle asks for an investigation and review of the medical care she has received and a review of her daughter Mona's research project. Someone was gracious enough to give Mrs. McArdle my telephone number, and I had a lengthy conversation with her, advising her as gently as I could that the White House was not in a position to conduct an investigation of her medical history or review Mona's project.

She then told me that she wanted the set of materials previously forwarded to HHS by Michael Batten of the Correspondence Unit to be sent to the HHS Inspector General, and the set sent to us to be returned to her. I called Batten, who agreed to see that the materials he forwarded to HHS were directed to the Inspector General.

Mrs. McArdle thereupon called to advise me that she had changed her mind, and would like our set of materials sent to the Justice Department, and the Batten set retrieved and returned to her. I so advised Batten. A transmittal memorandum to Justice is attached for your signature. In light of the importance of the matter, the memorandum is addressed to Stanley Morris.

Mrs. McArdle also asked for a copy of her "file." I told her all we had was what she had sent us, and that she should direct FOIA/Privacy Act requests to any agency she believed had a file on her.

If you approve, I will send the attached letter to Mrs. McArdle.

Attachment

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

July 25, 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR STANLEY E. MORRIS  
ASSOCIATE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL  
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FROM: FRED F. FIELDING  
COUNSEL TO THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: Mrs. Nora McArdle

The enclosed materials were sent to this office by Mrs. McArdle. When we advised her that we could not undertake an investigation or review of her medical history or her daughter Mona's research project, Mrs. McArdle demanded that the materials be sent to the Justice Department. Accordingly, here they are, for whatever consideration, response, or action you deem appropriate.

Many thanks.

Enclosure

FFF:JGR:aw 7/25/83

cc: FFFielding  
JGRoberts  
Subj.  
Chron

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

July 25, 1983

Dear Mrs. McArdle:

This is written in response to your letter of July 7 to Counsel to the President Fred F. Fielding. In that letter you requested an investigation into your medical care, and a review of your daughter Mona's research project. The material you submitted contained copies of responses to you from various officials of the Department of Health and Human Services.

As we discussed by telephone, the White House is not in a position to conduct an investigation or review of the sort you requested. You asked that the materials previously forwarded to HHS by Michael Batten of the Correspondence Unit be retrieved and returned to you, and that the materials sent to our office be forwarded to the Justice Department. I have discussed the matter with Michael Batten, who has agreed to see that the materials forwarded to HHS by him are sent back to you. The materials you sent to us have been forwarded to the Justice Department, for whatever action that department considers appropriate.

I am sorry that we were unable to undertake the investigation and review you requested. I hope you will understand that the White House is not equipped to review individual medical histories or specific scientific projects. I can only reiterate the advice I provided over the telephone: if you are experiencing current medical problems, you should consult a physician immediately. If you are interested in seeking private legal relief, you should consult a private attorney. Finally, if you are interested in obtaining any files concerning your case, you should file an FOIA/Privacy Act request with the appropriate

-2-

agency. Any agency that has such a file will be happy to provide it to you, consistent with the Act.

With best wishes,

Sincerely,

John G. Roberts, Jr.  
Associate Counsel  
to the President

Mrs. Thomas J. McArdle  
6802 Galley Road  
Colorado Springs, Colorado 80915

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

July 25, 1983

APPOINTMENT PROCESS PERSONAL INTERVIEW RECORD

DATE OF INTERVIEW: July 14 & 19, 1983 (by telephone)  
CANDIDATE: Josephine S. Cooper  
POSITION: Assistant Administrator for Congressional and  
External Affairs, Environmental Protection Agency  
INTERVIEWER: John G. Roberts

Comments

Josephine S. Cooper is to be nominated to be Assistant Administrator of EPA for Congressional & External Affairs, one of the six assistant administrator slots authorized by Reorganization Plan No. 3 of 1970, § 5(b), as amended by Public Law 96-510, § 307. Ms. Cooper, an Independent, appears fully qualified for the post by virtue of her experience not only at EPA but also on the staff of the Senate Committee on Environment and Public Works. I have reviewed Ms. Cooper's Personal Data Statement and Financial Disclosure Report, and see nothing to preclude going forward with the nomination.



MEMORANDUM

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

July 25, 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR FRED F. FIELDING

FROM: JOHN G. ROBERTS *JGR*

SUBJECT: Proposed Bulletin on Actions Pursuant to Statutes Containing Legislative Veto Provisions

Richard Darman has asked for our views on the above-referenced proposed OMB bulletin to all executive departments and establishments by Wednesday, July 27. The stated purpose of the bulletin is to coordinate actions with respect to invalidated legislative vetoes and avoid unnecessary confrontation with Congress. The bulletin mandates OMB clearance of any action taken under a statute with a legislative veto provision, and provides a copy of the Justice Department list of such statutes. Paragraph 8 of the proposed bulletin, which I regard as critical, emphasizes that its purpose is not to impede agency action under statutes with invalid legislative vetoes but simply to coordinate such action. There is a danger that the executive branch might snatch defeat from the jaws of victory in this area by being too reluctant to take action under statutes with legislative vetoes. Paragraph 8 guards against that danger.

I have no objection to the proposed bulletin.

Attachment

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

July 25, 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR RICHARD G. DARMAN  
ASSISTANT TO THE PRESIDENT

FROM: FRED F. FIELDING  
COUNSEL TO THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: Proposed Bulletin on Actions  
Pursuant to Statutes Containing  
Legislative Veto Provisions

Counsel's Office has reviewed the above-referenced proposed bulletin and finds no objection to it from a legal perspective.

FFF:JGR:aw 7/25/83

cc: FFFielding  
JGRoberts  
Subj.  
Chron

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

July 25, 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR FRED F. FIELDING

FROM: JOHN G. ROBERTS

SUBJECT: Justice Report on Section 11(c) of H.R. 2912, the DOJ FY '84 Authorization Bill

Jim Murr has provided us with a copy of the proposed Justice Department report on section 11(c) of its pending FY 1984 Authorization Bill, and has indicated he will clear the report unless he hears from us to the contrary by noon today. You will recall that we urged the interposition of an objection to section 11(c) when we were first provided with a copy of the bill (copies of previous memoranda attached). Section 11(c), drafted in response to the EPA contempt controversy and the filing of United States v. The House of Representatives, basically provides that in such cases the Attorney General may not proceed in the name of the United States but only on behalf of a particular agency or the President.

Justice's proposed letter opposes 11(c), primarily for the reason stated in our earlier memorandum: the Attorney General always represents the United States, even when exercising the Executive's prerogative to determine that an Act of Congress is unconstitutional. Justice's draft goes on to make several other subsidiary objections, the most prominent being that the provision, if included at all, should be limited to inter-branch disputes. The Attorney General often refrains from defending the constitutionality of a provision in a manner unobjectionable to Congress, e.g., when the Supreme Court has indicated that a provision not affecting relations between the branches is unconstitutional.

I have no objection to the proposed report.

MEMORANDUM

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

July 25, 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR FRED F. FIELDING

FROM: JOHN G. ROBERTS

SUBJECT: Presidential Address: 75th Anniversary  
of the FBI, Tuesday, July 26, 1983

Richard Darman has asked that comments on the above-referenced draft remarks be sent directly to Aram Bakshian by 11:00 a.m. today. The remarks are intended to accompany the signing of the FBI Day Proclamation on July 26. The brief remarks begin by lauding the FBI and move to a discussion of the Bureau's newest mission -- no, not reviewing campaign activities, but investigating narcotics cases. Tony Dolan drafted the remarks and accordingly discussion of the new drug offensive quickly shifts to a discussion of organized crime. The remarks end with a call for renewed efforts against the mob. I have no objections.

Attachment

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

July 25, 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR ARAM BAKSHIAN, JR.  
DEPUTY ASSISTANT TO THE PRESIDENT

FROM: FRED F. FIELDING  
COUNSEL TO THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: Presidential Address: 75th Anniversary  
of the FBI, Tuesday, July 26, 1983

Counsel's Office has reviewed the above-referenced  
Presidential address and finds no objection to it from a  
legal perspective.

cc: Richard G. Darman

FFF:JGR:aw 7/25/83

cc: FFFielding  
JGRoberts  
Subj.  
Chron

MEMORANDUM

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

July 25, 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR FRED F. FIELDING

FROM: JOHN G. ROBERTS.

SUBJECT: Draft Proclamation Designating  
the 75th Anniversary of the FBI

Dodie Livingston has requested comments by 10:00 a.m. today on the above-referenced draft proclamation. The President is to sign this proclamation Tuesday, during the ceremonies honoring the FBI. The Proclamation designates July 26, 1983 as "FBI Day," in honor of the 75th Anniversary of the establishment of the FBI. It briefly reviews the major areas of FBI responsibility over the course of its existence -- gangsters, Axis espionage, organized crime, racial violence, hostile intelligence agents, and scientific investigative support. No mention is made of SPIN investigations or the like. I have no objections.

Attachment

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

July 25, 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR DODIE LIVINGSTON

FROM: FRED F. FIELDING

SUBJECT: Draft Proclamation Designating  
the 75th Anniversary of the FBI

Counsel's Office has reviewed the above-referenced draft proclamation and has no objection to it from a legal perspective.

FFF:JGR:aw 7/25/83

cc: FFFielding  
JGRoberts  
Subj.  
Chron

MEMORANDUM

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

July 21, 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR FRED F. FIELDING

FROM: JOHN G. ROBERTS *JGR*

SUBJECT: Statement of Robert A. Bryden on  
Drug Interdiction on the Gulf Coast

Robert A. Bryden, Special Agent-In-Charge of DEA's New Orleans office, proposes to deliver the attached statement before the Senate Subcommittee on Defense Appropriations and Senate Drug Enforcement Caucus, meeting in Biloxi on July 23. The testimony is factual in nature, reviewing the drug smuggling problem in the four states -- Louisiana, Mississippi, Alabama, and Arkansas -- covered by the New Orleans office. I have reviewed the testimony and see no legal objections.

Attachment



THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

July 21, 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR GREGORY JONES  
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

FROM: FRED F. FIELDING  
COUNSEL TO THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: Statement of Robert A. Bryden on  
Drug Interdiction on the Gulf Coast

Counsel's Office has reviewed the above-referenced proposed testimony, and finds no objection to it from a legal perspective.

FFF:JGR:aw 7/21/83

cc: FFFielding  
JGRoberts  
Subj.  
Chron