Ronald Reagan Presidential Library Digital Library Collections

This is a PDF of a folder from our textual collections.

Collection: Culvahouse, Arthur B.: Files
Folder Title: Iran/Arms Transaction: ABC (Arthur
B. Culvahouse) Notes (1)
Box: CFOA 1132

To see more digitized collections visit: https://reaganlibrary.gov/archives/digital-library

To see all Ronald Reagan Presidential Library inventories visit: https://reaganlibrary.gov/document-collection

Contact a reference archivist at: reagan.library@nara.gov

Citation Guidelines: https://reaganlibrary.gov/citing

National Archives Catalogue: https://catalog.archives.gov/

WITHDRAWAL SHEET

Ronald Reagan Library

Collection Name CULVAHOUSE, ARTHUR B.:FILES

Withdrawer

DLB 10/2/2014

File Folder

IRAN/ARMS TRANSACTION: ABC (ARTHUR B.

CULVAHOUSE) NOTES (1 OF 2)

FOIA S643

Box Number

CFOA 1132

SYSTEMATIC

				188	
ID	Doc Type	Document Description	No of Pages	Doc Date	Restrictions
165885	NOTES	HANDWRITTEN NOTES FROM 3/16/1987 WITH RR, HHB, ETC.	1	3/16/1987	B1
165886	NOTES	HANDWRITTEN NOTES RE: TELEPHONE CALLS WITH DUBERSTEIN, GARMENT	6	3/18/1987	B1

The above documents were not referred for declassification review at time of processing

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

3/3/ this sulforms for Inamely to do Capact / P Bernie Mª Wahan Council to Intell. Contra Balla talle to Pres Vourderster / Briefes & 2 or 3 Lunis Nov. 29 called Al Exten-WH comme call Look whoff Vaoge Boren -Bone num COURN Forend inv. usle oach 2 grown y Stoppes BM. P book 5th to (she FRITZ. INSISLY June Per dendered

June Per dendered

July bruef , sure a way

has may not have unders had

The Callel BMI releans Suhhou Some - Comme Dogue Feley from - but their B. M. ded wood talk to Ales.

Spee. Coursel / Mus. Lavougle & Malma What did Ptell Montahan Limble of the state of the stat Frolz mener all Cleun lives of anthy? dues wo pursum PARDON

3/11/8. Tel C Beneen / faul Thompson G. C. 3 NSC Sper. Mst. 6 folly wany wy

the access to calerens fane T- only as () ohn's lower wheres are the ser 5 fext few day, Couspies to com Tollectores fagets

3 (13 444-2142 (1hme) File I pour Contra Doug Kelly - 224 Durenheren's At Controvery goi ME Malien's converselos regard 1 tollings & Duelinger Some strus worke by stoffers Le the chazed over / 5 stoppes ander outer Manton - denies M3 Male - Intervewed by Som. (nos Jus. Carriel Chill police all come out Ole, then on to this / Worlward Pordexter; lawya / Saio

3/13 P. Walles Contra Gonbanika letter /B. Walters

Did Jumera corres to

Tourin Boord > Do not know who from ? Don Regam 5 2 comme s Strat one - 2 weeks byour some of the Pres hang Funn comes to Town SC Not get gove fo I Con Lei thes from did not Say

WITHDRAWAL SHEET

Ronald Reagan Library

Collection Name Withdrawer

CULVAHOUSE, ARTHUR B.:FILES DLB 10/2/2014

File Folder FOIA

IRAN/ARMS TRANSACTION: ABC (ARTHUR B. S643

CULVAHOUSE) NOTES (1 OF 2)

SYSTEMATIC

Box Number

CFOA 1132

ID	Document Type	No of Doc Date	Restric-
	Document Description	pages	tions

165885 NOTES 1 3/16/1987 B1

HANDWRITTEN NOTES FROM 3/16/1987 WITH RR, HHB, ETC.

The above documents were not referred for declassification review at time of processing Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]

B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]

B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]

B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]

B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]

B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]

B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]

B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

Judges -- March 16 - -- Tell - Warren Rodinam -David H. Stattan Alty G g Net Choden Schoen Tonal Judge Amer. Jume Net Sun Ct Brilled Legal Genseumson sinner Bruz Davil down hear, go thur mill Take Bours take Seven Stutus -Iron class / ewit /

WITHDRAWAL SHEET

Ronald Reagan Library

Collection Name

Withdrawer

CULVAHOUSE, ARTHUR B.:FILES

DLB 10/2/2014

File Folder

FOIA

IRAN/ARMS TRANSACTION: ABC (ARTHUR B.

S643

CULVAHOUSE) NOTES (1 OF 2)

SYSTEMATIC

Box Number

CFOA 1132

188

ID	Document Type	
	Document Description	ı

Doc Date No of pages

Restrictions

165886 NOTES

3/18/1987 **B1**

HANDWRITTEN NOTES RE: TELEPHONE CALLS WITH DUBERSTEIN, GARMENT

The above documents were not referred for declassification review at time of processing Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]

B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]

B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]

B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]

B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]

B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]

B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]

B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

The Inun GOE Juall --Request for documents / Jan. & To Peter Wallison December available works / Bours Wallison Jestinging; then W-18. Could respond
course of mos / little latere

Senow 4 Moure Leville Psychological Sepert -Reguest for does really mortest Pl the personal records Share in to / discurs forts of circumtury event to contin

Teiles Inmo Curtio April 86 -waves reserve to Severing dor carrel de to name annount for 1 version pulmer by PASE for work Ton sex Subliger Leiller styrter Rest of Jocs in 6 Jonth's office
L NOT bollen by FBI Jonowan

— IN NEW romy, y often Dozs

— Olever and opened to review
other relevant does - 185 Went lace Egain / Went through spen 1/2

1/3/87 BEOBler - May 2013 Inna/ con once Elo Docs y any land Prepare deshway Met w/ I.C./ not be Symputher Bl Gelesere Sedeler would not Jun does over woo I'C consent

The Floor sour 10100 Amil 6/// Neeting win Jung walsh -- Sevole linke anno to Das--Trom/ comme -- BECKLER ---- Appenemente at Interview ---- Coing Forward I our affort // We don't want to reprint french or popular on potential we don't want to reprint french or potential bushing to understeen NOT Approverses at Buternews, contrary to past proctice, OLC right of appropriate I food witness I - represent institution forthering, irelant , warmes, clansford in jo Other hours convert Gents Panet. says no, wever, can & will not, take every me before good jung, could share political heat Concerns several fronts:

toget / sus; ent warning

With people court get council otherwise except at them appear

Fort witheres to slaugher / will not other targets on Underden our ability to inque releving, alating sunny undersome our ability to ensur cooperate Chiley import on corneration it FBI haves people is when we report level, sk. Dep. Ast to P persone stops; our 12 tever on bez there from grows sincreary center / that goes to the heart of the institution I wo count woved allow CRO/or SUP to appreve is externes W/o Counce

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

APRIL 6, 1987 AGENDA FOR DISCUSSION WITH INDEPENDENT COUNSEL

- 1/85 4/85, multiple checks received on at least three occasions.
- 2. Disclosure of above is indicative of WH cooperation.
 - (a) extra personnel working to meet IC's deadlines
 - (b) we agreed to IC's request not to disclose documents to lawyers for North, Poindexter & McFarlane
 - (c) IC working with Biff Henley to design a way to search the WH & NSC systems
- We believe it appropriate for WH to be present at IC's interviews of WH personnel.
 - (a) President has instructed us to insure that all evidence is disclosed
 - (b) we can facilitate that disclosure (as evidenced by #1 & #2 above)
 - (c) we should be present when WH employees are providing information about their activities as WH employees
 - (d) we will not be present at interviews of targets or subjects
 - (e) no conflict of interest:
 - -- WH & President not targets or subjects
 - -- our interest is same as IC's
 - (f) it is only an appearance problem if IC makes it one
 - (g) WH Counsel present at other IC investigatory interviews
 - (h) pursuant to prior agreement w/FBI, WH should at least be notified in advance of all interviews
 - (i) alternatives:
 - we advise all WH employees in advance of their options
 - 2. some WH employees get private counsel



- -- cost nonreimbursable
- -- slows down IC
- 4. IC shown docs to Senate & House staffers.
 - (a) IC gets docs which, in some cases, Senate & House may see, but not have, or which have been redacted -- as a result of Inter Agency Review Process concerning highly classified information.
 - (b) If IC shows or provides docs to congressional staff,
 - 1. 6(e)?
 - 2. Inter Agency Review Process nullified
 - 3. serious security concerns
 - (c) Solution:
 - no document shown to congressional staff or members
 - 2. all requests declined by IC and referred to ABC
- 5. Paul Stevens
 - (a) Has recused himself
 - (b) Has only helped schedule times for interviews
 - (c) Has not interviewed anyone or conducted any investigation
- 6. Other non-issues:
 - (a) FBI already has ability to (Kevin Fryslie) to check all Poindexter, McFarlane files to double check relevancy determination
 - (b) FBI-IC communications seem lagging
- 7. Review of President's diaries
 - (a) draft letter in process
 - (b) treat Tower Board's notes of its interviews w/President and former President same way we are treating diaries, i.e., access only
 - (c) any private handwritten notes of President remain private, access only

- 8. Declassification of documents per Guy Struve's letter of March 26, 1987
 - -- Defer for time being because time and effort involved in meeting IC's document production timetables

Fle Inm/comme

1. Does the President want the Counsel to the President to be present when the President and Mrs. Reagan sign their income tax return? Roy Miller, the President's tax attorney, will be present at the April 13 signing at the ranch. Although the Counsel to the President apparently always has been in attendance, I profitably can work on other matters back here in Washington. In addition, Roy Miller advises me that the President's tax return will be non-controversial; and, presumably, I can review a draft in advance to confirm that it is routine. Finally, Howard Baker or you could attend the signing ceremony. I am happy to attend if that is the President's desire, but want to confirm the appropriate priorities.

2. Advise the President that Howard Baker, Ken Duberstein and A. B. Culvahouse need to spend some time with him on Wednesday, April 8, to discuss and confirm the procedures for reviewing the President's diary notes.

3. Consider whether you wish to inquire of the President regarding the delivery of the Adnan Khashoggi letter to the President dated January 10, 1987.

don't Know

Congressive Lee I familtum

Extensive classifications

use of ariports in 20 Salare

Deformans

don't have him fortify

as to these of that sont

factly to identify were

Demension in protein

Nat'd second emarks

Second

Pus externe Classification when the a concernity when

Jum 2#

BRIEF: Arms Export Control Act. Jack Brooks order Ollie North to cooperate.

I. ARMS EXPORT CONTROL ACT.

Who told the President about \$14m requirement? December 7, 1985 NSPG?

Who briefed him?

Exactly what was his understanding?

Who told him?

II. COVERT ACTION FINDING.

Was he advised about delay of notification to Congress?
Who advised him on legality of delay?

III. BOLAND AMENDMENT.

PIOB report in September of 1985.

Did McFarlane discuss the Boland Amendment with him?

Did Poindexter? Casey? Regan?

IV. SAUDI FUNDING.

May 1984?

Did McFarlane tell him?

- V. Public statements that Contras running out of money in May 1984. Then they did not -- what was the President told about how they survived?
- VI. Shultz, Weinberger, Casey, Regan.

PROPOSED TOPICS FOR DISCUSSION

I. The Contra Diversion -

You have been reported as saying that the President was not aware, and did not approve, of any diversion to the Contras of proceeds from the Iranian arms sales. Various news media reports state that sources close to Admiral John Poindexter say that he may testify that he discussed the diversion with the President on two occasions and/or that he had "broad authority" to justify his activities relating to the diversion.

A. Admiral John Poindexter -

- 1. On what basis did you conclude that Admiral Poindexter did not inform the President, or at least tip him off, that a diversion might occur?
- a. Mr. Regan's conversation with Admiral Poindexter on November 25, 1986, the date of his resignation (see Regan Tower Board Tr. 57-58).
- b. The President's reaction on November 24, 1986, upon being informed by Attorney General Edwin Meese III of the possibility that a diversion had occurred (see Regan Tower Board Tr. 57: "horrified....He [the President] couldn't believe it").
 - 2. Are there any other reasons?
- 3. Assume, merely as a matter of hypothesis, that Admiral Poindexter claims that he was just trying to protect the President when he suggested on the morning of November 25, 1986, that he had not told the President of the diversion. What objective, or subjective, factors would lead one to discount such a claim?
- 4. Are there any other examples of items coming to light where Admiral Poindexter had failed to keep the President fully advised?

B. William Casey -

Recent testimony suggesting a close relationship between Casey and North has spurred speculation that Casey may have encouraged a diversion and, as a close confidant of the President, informed him of it in advance. There is, of course, no evidence that any such thing occurred. For his part, DCI

Casey testified before the House Foreign Affairs Committee that North had assured him in October 1986 that no money from the arms sales had been diverted to the Contras. What objective or subjective factors would lead one to discount speculation that the President was informed of the diversion by Mr. Casey?

C. CIA Control of the Sale of Arms to Iran -

The President has stated that he assumed the CIA managed the sale of arms to Iran after the January 1986 Iran Finding. Are you aware of any information on which the President based that assumption? Was information available to the President which should have led him to any other assumption? Are you aware of any discussion about how the arms sale was to be managed after the January 1986 Finding?

D. LtCol Oliver North -

In his Congressional testimony, General Secord stated that Oliver North had said to him several times that he had mentioned to the President that it was "very ironic" that "some of the Ayatollah's money was being used to support the Contras." What, if any, objective or subjective factors would lead one to discount the possibility of such a comment having been made by North in the presence of the President?

II. Private Funding of the Contras -

- A. Conceding that there is no documentary evidence to prove it, Senator Inouye has stated: "I'm certain the President was aware that Oliver North was in the process of raising funds, not specifically for bullets or bandaids, but I'm certain he knew that." Asked about this, the President stated: "there was nothing in the nature of a solicitation by the Administration to my knowledge." Approximately one day later, the President said: "I don't know how that money was to be used and I have no knowledge that there was ever any solicitation by our people."
- 1. To what extent was the President made aware of North's private fundraising activities?
- 2. The President on several occasions spoke to groups of supporters of aid to the Freedom Fighters. His briefing papers for those meetings describe these groups as individuals who have purchased TV ads and engaged in other educational activities to support the President's policies. On other occasions, the President met with individuals such as Ellen Garwood and Barbara Newington. It has been alleged that Spitz Channell told private contributors that for a \$300,000 donation they would receive an "off the record" meeting with the President. Did the President know that the groups or individuals with whom he was meeting were giving direct aid to the Contras? What did the President know about these "off the record" meetings? Were such meetings unusual?

- B. A recent issue of Time Magazine reported that "[a]fter setbacks in Congress [in 1984], Reagan instruct[ed] McFarlane to fund the Contras 'any way you can.'" McFarlane testified that the President wanted the democratic resistance held together, "body and soul." In a PROFS note dated May 2, 1986, from Poindexter to Don Fortier, he quoted the President as having said to him [in Bali on the way to Japan]: "I am really serious. If we can't move the Contra package before June 9, [1986,] I want to figure out a way to take action unilaterally to provide assistance." Poindexter then commented that the President "was taken with the examples of Presidential actions in the past without Congressional approval" and that "the President is ready to confront the Congress on the Constitutional question of who controls foreign policy." Two weeks later, at an NSPG meeting on May 16, 1986, dealing with proposed legislation for aid to the Contras, after being informed by Secretary Shultz of unsuccessful approaches to Third Countries for aid to the Contras in accordance with the FY 1986 intelligence authorization bill, the President stated: "What about the private groups who pay for ads for the Contras. Have they been contacted? Could they do more According to the minutes, you responded: "We have than ads?" contacts."
- 1. What, if any, Presidential action on this issue followed this May 16, 1986, meeting? What would have been your understanding of the President's instructions to McFarlane and Poindexter?
- C. By PROFS note dated May 16, 1986, North stated: "I have no idea what Don Regan does or does not know re my private U.S. operation, but the President obviously knows why he has been meeting with several select people to thank them for their 'support for Democracy' in CentAm." Poindexter responded: "Don Regan knows very little of your operation and that is just as well." Why would the President know more about North's operation than you?
- D. The Boland Amendments were an inconsistent patchwork of statutes that purported to limit the use of intelligence agencies in providing direct or indirect support for paramilitary or military operations in Nicaragua. Robert McFarlane testified that the President had a more liberal view of what was permitted under the Boland Amendments than did McFarlane. Were you ever present during discussions with the President concerning the limitations of the Boland Amendments? Did the President ever see or even hear about the PIOB opinion that the Boland Amendments did not apply to the NSC? Was any other legal advice ever sought on the President's behalf concerning the Boland Amendments' limitations? What is your understanding of what the President knew concerning the Boland Amendment?

E. Third Country Solicitations -

- 1. Do you know of any discussion with the President about the reported Saudi contributions for the Contras in preparation for the February 1985 state visit by King Fahd?

 Do you have any reason to believe that, as the Washington Post reported, McFarlane went to Prince Bandar's residence in McLean, where King Fahd was staying, to discuss Contra aid during the King's visit? Do you recall anything the President said after the visit? Was the President advised of any effort to link Saudi aid to the Contras to favorable Administration treatment of the then pending Saudi arms package?
- 2. As noted above, the idea of soliciting Contra aid from Third Countries was discussed at a May 16, 1986, NSPG meeting. Other than with respect to Saudi Arabia, would the President have had reason to know at that time that other nations had made contributions? If so, how did he find out?
- 3. Did the President know of any discussions by anyone in the government (e.g., North, Gaston Sigur, McFarlane, Poindexter, Abrams) with representatives of any other foreign governments about making contributions to the Contras?