

WITHDRAWAL SHEET

Ronald Reagan Library

Collection: Baker, James: Files

Archivist: jas

File Folder: W.H. Staff Memos - Public Liaison [2 of 2] *Box 6*

Date: 11/25/98

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
1. Memo	Whittlesey to J. Baker, Deaver (2 p, partial)	5/24/83	<i>PS</i> <i>CS</i> <i>PS 10/5/00</i>
2. Memo	Cavaney to Meese, et al re: budget freeze (1 p)	3/5/83	

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P-1 National security classified information [(a)(1) of the PRA].
- P-2 Relating to appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA].
- P-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA].
- P-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA].
- P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA].
- P-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA].
- C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- F-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA].
- F-2 Release could disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA].
- F-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA].
- F-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA].
- F-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA].
- F-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA].
- F-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA].
- F-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA].

WITHDRAWAL SHEET

Ronald Reagan Library

Collection: Baker, James: Files

Archivist: jas

File Folder: W.H. Staff Memos - Public Liaison [2 of 2]

Date: 11/25/98

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
1. Memo	Whittlesey to J. Baker, Deaver (2 p, partial)	5/24/83	P5
2. Memo	Cavaney to Meese, et al re: budget freeze (1 p)	3/5/83	P5

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P-1 National security classified information [(a)(1) of the PRA].
- P-2 Relating to appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA].
- P-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA].
- P-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA].
- P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA].
- P-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA].

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- F-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA].
- F-2 Release could disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA].
- F-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA].
- F-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA].
- F-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA].
- F-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA].
- F-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA].
- F-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA].

Tuesday
afternoon

Jim -

Carter, ^{clerk} worked for
the Senate Republican
Conference (Senator
McCleure in particular)
not Senate Steering
Committee. As a newcomer
here, I sometimes get
confused with various
Congressional Committees.
His resume is attached.
Bob Gray will call you
or you may call him,

Whichever you prefer.

Many thanks.

Fair

Carter Clews
2109 North 21st Road
Rosslyn, VA
703-369-6855

Gray And Company

March 1983 - Present

First Employee ever hired at senior vice-president level and given management responsibility over in-place division.

Responsible for all administrative and personnel work for staff of 20, most at vice-presidential level. In this capacity, have reduced size of professional staff by augmenting role of support personnel.

Originated and administered company's first monthly radio program. Now on 250 Gray Network and 1200 Mutual Network stations.

Originated company's first independent satellite actuality feed, hiring and directing uplink staff.

Senate Republican Conference

March 1981 - March 1983

Administered staff of 20 professionals, each of whom worked an average 60-hour week. Responsible for all staffing, including hiring and firing and shifting personnel as needed to meet constantly changing needs.

Conceived and supervised implementation of 30 separate print, radio, and television programs on behalf of Senate Majority.

Orchestrated first direct satellite feed from U.S. Senate to television stations nationwide. Responsible for all personnel involved in uplink activities.

Credited by NY TIMES, CONGRESSIONAL QUARTERLY, NATIONAL JOURNAL, CABLEVISION, BROADCASTING, WASHINGTON JOURNALISM REVIEW, and two forthcoming books with developing and overseeing "Washington's most sophisticated communications operation."

Page 2

National Right to Work Committee

February 1977 - February 1981

Managed staff of 10; responsible for staff assignments and division of responsibilities in diverse office setting.

Supervised, edited, and wrote over 100 newsletters, 20 brochures, 30 advertisements, and well over 500 news releases in four-year period -- all with professional staff of 6 people.

Wrote, edited, and directed award-winning film -- "The Scepter of Violence" -- which has now been seen on over 250 television stations nationwide.

Republican National Committee

1977

Managed the Coverdell for Congress campaign, in Atlanta Georgia.

Burcham for Congress

1976

Campaign manager for Burcham for Congress.

The Economy Company

1975

First marketing manager to set up and supervise the marketing division. Set up the Washington lobbyist office.

Hogan for Governor

1974

Press Secretary for Larry Hogan for Governor, Maryland

The Economy Company

1973

Same as previous responsibilities.

Bladensburg Jr. High School, Bladensburg, Maryland

1969 - 1971

Taught 9th Grade Civics.

Oklahoma State University - University of Maryland

1971 - 1972

Graduate work in Communications.

Page 3

Frostburg College, Maryland

1969

Received B.S. degree in U.S. History

Personal

Divorced

D.O.B. 9/1/46

Hobbies: weightlifting, running and softball

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

August 12, 1983 ✓

To: Jim Baker

Faith asked me to track down
this press approval for
Morton's AP interview. He
was authorized to talk by
Paul Hergen's office on
August fifth.

Ben Simpson

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

R.F.

MEMORANDUM TO: FAITH RYAN WHITTLESEY
THROUGH: Mary Ann Meloy
FROM: Morton C. Blackwell
SUBJECT: Press Request
DATE: August 5, 1983

REPORTERS NAME: Bob Perry

MEDIA OUTLET: Associated Press

TELEPHONE NUMBER: 833-5331

TOPIC OF INTERVIEW: Public perceptions of Central America

REQUESTED/~~PROJECTED~~ ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~ INTERVIEW DATE: Friday Afternoon, Aug 5th.

HOW: In Person Yes Telephone _____

Tape _____ Live _____

FAITH RYAN WHITTLESEY: Approve: ✓

Disapprove: _____

Press Office: Called in 3:10 : 8/5/83

Cleared 4:40 : 8/5/83

Not Cleared _____ :

By Maxine / Valery

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

August 26, 1983

SUNDAY - DINNER

Dear Mr. ^{from} Baker:

Mr. Aloysius Mazewski, President of the Polish National Alliance (PNA), has invited the President to speak at the banquet of PNA's National Convention which will take place in Orlando, Florida on the evening of September 18. Unfortunately, due to scheduling restrictions, the President will not be able to attend. We would greatly appreciate it if you would be able to travel to Orlando to represent the President.

The Polish National Alliance is one of the largest fraternal organizations in America, with over \$400 million of insurance in force. Its Board of Directors and membership have been especially supportive of the President's policies toward Poland and the Soviet Union. Over the past three years, they have shipped over \$40 million worth of food, clothing and other items which are in short supply to the people of Poland. These goods have been distributed by the Catholic Church in Poland.

Because of PNA's leading role in the Polish-American community, it is especially important that the President be properly represented. Unfortunately, the Vice President, and Secretaries Shultz and Weinberger also will not be able to attend. If you are able to attend, please have your staff contact Linas Kojelis in my office (456-2741) to make the necessary arrangements.

Sincerely,

Faith

Faith Ryan Whittlesey
Assistant to the President
for Public Liaison

The Honorable James A. Baker, III
Chief of Staff
and Assistant to the President
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20500

ASK DG - if JAB
should do -
ask Fieldy about a
military airplane

R.F.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

July 27, 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR JAMES A. BAKER III ✓
RICHARD G. DARMAN ✓
MICHAEL K. DEEVER
DAVID R. GERGEN
NANCY RISQUE
EDWARD ROLLINS

FROM: FAITH RYAN WHITTLESEY *FRW*
SUBJECT: Republican Registration -
Kathy Wilson - National Women's
Political Caucus

I thought you might like to see a copy of a letter I received from Vincent F. Callahan, an elected State Representative to the Virginia House of Delegates, on the subject of the political affiliation of Kathy Wilson.

cc - Betty Heitman

JUL 21 1983



COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
HOUSE OF DELEGATES
RICHMOND

VINCENT F. CALLAHAN, JR.
6631 OLD DOMINION DRIVE
MCLEAN, VIRGINIA 22101

MINORITY FLOOR LEADER
THIRTY-FOURTH DISTRICT

COMMITTEE ASSIGNMENTS:
PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS
APPROPRIATIONS
CORPORATIONS, INSURANCE AND BANKING
RULES

July 18, 1983

Ms. Faith Ryan Whittlesey
Special Assistant to the President
The White House
Washington, D. C.

Dear Ms. Whittlesey:

I had the opportunity to watch "Face to Face" on Channel 7 this past Saturday evening and wish to commend you on your presentation.

There is one point that should be brought up when Kathy Wilson is appearing on behalf of the National Women's Political Caucus and that is her alleged "Republican" political affiliation. I have been involved in Republican political activities in Virginia for a number of years and know of no GOP activity on her part, nor does anyone else I know.

Apparently, her organization is supposed to be bipartisan and someone has to be the "Republican." She seems to be the "designated" Republican much like the designated Republicans in the South prior to the resurgence of the GOP in that region. They were designated for purposes of political patronage when Republicans were in power in Washington.

The tipoff came when the program's moderator asked Ms. Wilson if she was a "registered" Republican, to which she replied in the affirmative. There is no such thing as a "registered" Republican (Or Democrat) in Virginia since we have no party registration. Anyone remotely involved in Virginia political affairs knows this.

Ms. Wilson and her organization are entitled to their views and partisanship, but it bothers me to hear the head of this group advertising herself as a Republican, from Virginia no less.

Keep up the good work.

Sincerely,

Vincent F. Callahan, Jr.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

July 20, 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR JAMES A. BAKER III
WILLIAM P. CLARK

FROM: FAITH RYAN WHITTLESEY *FRW*
SUBJECT: Central American Briefings

Please find enclosed the list of activities which have been scheduled by our speakers bureau of the Central American Outreach group.

Attachment

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

July 12, 1983

MEMORANDUM TO: FAITH RYAN WHITTLESEY

THROUGH: Jonathan Vipond, II
Morton C. Blackwell *JMV*

FROM: Dolf Droge *Dolf Droge by jst*

SUBJECT: Briefing Activities Report - Re: Central America

Following, in date order, is a listing of briefings which have been scheduled:

June 24 ASIAN INDIAN-AMERICANS
(Planned and Chaired by Linas Kojelis)
Room #450 - OEOB
Speakers: Steven Eisenbraun, State Department
Representative from INS
Jacqueline Tillman, representing
Ambassador Jeane Kirkpatrick
Dolf Droge

June 28 WESTERN HEMISPHERE/LATIN AMERICAN PARLIAMENTARIANS
(Sponsored by the Foreign Policy Caucus)
Requested by the Vice President's Office -
Planned & Chaired by Dolf Droge)
Speakers: Otto Reich, Department of State/AID
Jacqueline Tillman, Department of State/UN

July 7 AMERICAN FARM BUREAU FEDERATION PRESIDENTS
(50 State Presidents of American Farm Bureau
Federation)
Speakers: The Honorable John Lehman,
Secretary of the Navy
The Honorable Nestor Sanchez,
Deputy Secretary of Defense for
Inter-American Affairs

July 11 THE FORUM
Women's Foreign Policy Discussion Group
Washington, D.C.
Speaker: Ambassador Jeane Kirkpatrick

- July 13 AMERICAN LEGION STATE CONVENTION - Pittsburgh, PA
Speaker: The Honorable Nestor Sanchez,
Deputy Secretary of Defense for
Inter-American Affairs
- BUSINESS LEADERS BRIEFING (Room #450 - OEOB)
Chaired by Faith Ryan Whittlesey
Speakers: Ambassador Jeane Kirkpatrick
Ambassador J. William Middendorf, II
Richard McCormack, Assistant Secretary of
State for Economic & Business Affairs
Mr. Roger Fontaine, National Security Council
- July 15 AMERICAN LEGION STATE CONVENTION - Ocean City, MD
Speaker: Ambassador J. William Middendorf
Audience: 2,000
- July 21 WOMEN'S LEADERS BRIEFING
Room #450 - OEOB 3:00 p.m.
Speakers: Ambassador Jeane Kirkpatrick
Ambassador Vernon L. Walters
- July 28 RELIGIOUS BROADCASTERS BRIEFING
Room #450 - OEOB 1:00 p.m.
Speakers: Ambassador Jeane Kirkpatrick
Ambassador Vernon L. Walters
- August 19 NATIONAL CONVENTION OF THE AMERICAN LEGION - Seattle, WA
Speakers: (Unconfirmed - awaiting response from
Ambassador Richard Stone)
- Aug 12-19 NATIONAL CONVENTION, VETERANS OF FOREIGN WARS
New Orleans, Louisiana
Speaker: (Unconfirmed - Ambassador Richard Stone
Requested)
- August 26-28
CUBA INDEPENDENT DEMOCRATIC ANNUAL CONVENTION
Los Angeles, CA
Speaker: (Unconfirmed - Vice President and
Ambassador Langhorne Motley requested)
Audience: 200
- August 26-27
AMERICAN SECURITY COUNCIL BRIEFING
Speaker: The Honorable Nestor Sanchez, Deputy
Secretary of Defense for Inter-American
Affairs (morning - August 26)
(Ambassador J. William Middendorf requested,
not yet confirmed) (August 27 - 12:00 noon)

- Sept 3 STATE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING OF THE AMERICAN
LEGION - Burbank, CA
Speaker: Ambassador Vernon Walters
Audience: 300-400
- Sept 12-14 GOP NATIONAL HISPANIC ASSEMBLY, Washington, D.C.
September 12: Speaker: Major Ollie North, NSC, OEOB
September 13: Speaker: Ambassador Jeane Kirkpatrick
(Hyatt Regency, Crystal City)
Speaker: (Ambassador Richard Stone and
Ambassador Otto Reich, as yet
unconfirmed)
Audience: 250-400 delegates
- Sept 18 AMERICAN LEGISLATIVE EXCHANGE COUNCIL, ANNUAL MEETING
Philadelphia, PA
Speaker: (Ambassador J. William Middendorf, Unconfirmed)
- Oct 4 DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA AMERICAN LEGION QUARTERLY
MEETING, Channel Inn, Washington, D.C.
Speaker: Roger Fontaine, NSC
- Oct 12 HISPANIC FEDERATION, Los Angeles, CA (Banquet)
Speaker: Ambassador Vernon Walters
Audience: 200-250
- Oct 22 AMERICAN LEGION OF NORTH CAROLINA FALL MEETING,
Charlotte, N.C.
Speaker: Unconfirmed
Audience: 500
- Oct 22 ACCURACY IN MEDIA CONFERENCE, New Hampshire
Speaker: Ambassador Vernon Walters
- Oct 28-30 AMERICAN LEGION MID-WINTER MEETING, Douglas, AZ
Speaker: (Unconfirmed)
- Nov 5 AMERICAN LEGION OF SOUTH CAROLINA FALL MEETING,
Greenwood, S.C.
Speaker: (Unconfirmed)
Audience: 250
- Nov 5 AMERICAN LEGION OF VIRGINIA ANNUAL FALL MEETING
Speaker: Ambassador J. William Middendorf (tentative)
Audience: 350

1984

- Jan 14-15 AMERICAN LEGION OF ARKANSAS MID-WINTER MEETING
Little Rock, Arkansas
Speaker: (Unconfirmed)
Audience: 150

January AMERICAN LEGION OF CONNECTICUT MID-WINTER MEETING
AMERICAN LEGION OF GEORGIA MID-WINTER MEETING
AMERICAN LEGION OF ALABAMA MID-WINTER MEETING
AMERICAN LEGION OF MISSISSIPPI MID-WINTER MEETING

Jan 29 AMERICAN LEGION AND AMERICAN LEGION AUXILIARIES
OF OHIO MID-WINTER MEETING, Columbus, Ohio
Speaker: Colonel Lawrence Tracy, Department of
Defense, IAA
Audience: 1200

Feb 11 AMERICAN LEGION OF NEW MEXICO MID-WINTER MEETING,
Santa Fe, New Mexico
Speaker: The Honorable Nestor Sanchez,
Deputy Secretary of Defense for
Inter-American Affairs (Unconfirmed)
Audience: 350

March AMERICAN LEGION OF CALIFORNIA EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE
MEETING
Speaker: (Unconfirmed)

Jun 15-16 AMERICAN LEGION OF LOUISIANA STATE CONVENTION
Speaker: Ambassador Jeane Kirkpatrick (Unconfirmed)
Audience: 2300

July AMERICAN LEGION OF TEXAS STATE CONVENTION,
El Paso, Texas
Speaker: Ambassador H. Eugene Douglas
Audience: 2000

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON
July 11, 1983

*NOT
CALL*

MEMORANDUM FOR: JAMES A. BAKER
FROM: FAITH RYAN WHETTLESEY *FRW*
PREPARED BY: MARY JO JACOBI *Mary Jo Jacobi*
SUBJECT: Briefing on FY84 Budget and Tax Issues
11 a.m. to noon, Thursday, July 14, 1983;
Room 450 OEOB

Because of the President's firm commitment to holding down tax and spending increases in Fiscal 84, we are holding a briefing for the business community to discuss these issues on July 14.

Our speakers will be Donald Regan on tax policy and David Stockman on budget policy. Attached is a proposed agenda for your reference.

To emphasize the importance of "staying the course" on the President's Economic Recovery Program, we invite you to drop by the briefing between 11:45 and 11:55 a.m.

The audience will be 200 Washington representatives of trade associations and companies who are interested in spending and tax control. Most of them supported ERTA in 1981 and TEFRA in 1982.

Please ask your staff to advise Mary Jo Jacobi of my office at Extension 2397 if you are able to participate.

Thank you for your help.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

BRIEFING ON FY84 TAX AND BUDGET ISSUES

Thursday, July 14, 1983

AGENDA

11:00 - 11:05	Faith Ryan Whittlesey
11:05 - 11:15	Secretary Donald Regan
11:15 - 11:25	Questions and Answers
11:25 - 11:35	Director David Stockman
11:35 - 11:45	Questions and Answers
11:45 - 11:55	Edwin Meese James Baker
11:55 - 12:00	Wrap-up, adjourn

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

June 22, 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR JAMES A. BAKER III

FROM: FAITH RYAN WHITTLESEY *FRW*

SUBJECT: Czech National Chapel
Dedication

In view of our efforts to improve our image with ethnic groups, anything you can do to help us in this event this weekend will be most appreciated.

I really urge a consideration of Option B. I think the President's presence at Catholic University would be of enormous benefit.

We have been advised there will be approximately 3,000 at the Sunday afternoon event. The banquet Saturday night is reserved for approximately 1,500.

If the President cannot make it, we should be represented by a major Administration person.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

June 20, 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR FAITH

THROUGH JONATHAN *JW*

FROM LINAS *LK*

SUBJECT: PRESIDENTIAL PARTICIPATION AT DEDICATION OF CZECH NATIONAL CHAPEL IN HONOR OF ST. JOHN NEUMANN

The dedication ceremonies for the Czech National Chapel are scheduled for this weekend, June 24-26 at the National Shrine (see attached brochure). The Czechs had asked for, and we had recommended the President participate at their banquet on Saturday, June 25. This recommendation was rejected because the President will be at Camp David. However, I think we should continue to push strongly for Administration participation at this event for the following reasons:

1. This is a very important event for the Czech-American community (2.5 million in key states).
2. The event is significant for all American Catholics as St. John Neumann is only the second American saint.
3. The event ties in neatly with the President's initiatives on education as St. John Neumann established the American Catholic school system.

Presidential participation: Though the President can not attend Saturday's banquet, you should urge his participation at either of the following two other events which are part of the three day program:

Option A: This Friday, from 5-6 there will be a prayer service in the Crypt Church of the National Shrine. The President could come for 15 minutes (from 5-5:15 p.m.)

If possible, we should ask for even more time. If we can get at least $\frac{1}{2}$ hour more, we could arrange a tour of all of the Ethnic-American chapels at the Shrine which include Polish, Ukrainian, Lithuanian, Mexican/Hispanic and Irish. Photographs from such a tour would be a natural for the ethnic press.

The President could depart for Camp David by helicopter directly from the Shrine grounds.

Option B: On Sunday, June 26, the Czechs will have an outdoor festival at Catholic University from 2-6 p.m. (It would be moved indoors in case of rain.) The festival will feature folk singers and dancers. The President could

land at CU directly from Camp David, and then return to the White House by motorcade or by helicopter.

In chatting with Fred Ryan's shop, this second option seems the more workable, as the resistance to delaying the President's departure from Washington on Friday will be great.

Speaker at banquet: If the President can not participate at any part of the weekend ceremonies, it would be critical for us to get a very high level substitute for him at the banquet on Saturday night. I have already contacted Secretary Bell's office. Unfortunately, Secretary Bell may be out of town this weekend. In the event Mr. Bell can't make it, you should try to get either Ed Meese or Jim Baker to represent the President.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

May 24, 1983

✓
Jim -
This is the
memo dealing
with some of
our overall
strategy.
7.

MEMORANDUM FOR JAMES BAKER III
MICHAEL DEEVER

FROM: Faith Ryan Whittlesey

SUBJECT: Strategy for Dealing with Issues of Concern to Women

1. The time has come for this Administration to embark on a creative and energetic program to deal with the concerns of the women of this nation. Conservative, moderate, and uncommitted women should be the primary focus of this effort in order to gain support and understanding from women.
2. To date, this Administration has maintained a low, almost timid, profile with women in an attempt to keep controversial "women's issues" at arm's length. This approach has unfortunately resulted in a defensive and reactive posture.
3. Because there has been no comprehensive formulation of what we have done or where we stand, the political system now gauges commitment to the interests of women by the degree of support given to the welter of legislative proposals on the subject. Such a condition is of course disastrous for the Administration, both in political and policy terms. The vacuum resulting from our failure to stake a position puts heavy pressure on us to offer half-loaf versions of Democratic and feminist initiatives, thereby putting us in legislative/political bidding wars we cannot win and committing us to (and legitimizing) policies inconsistent with (a) our budget, (b) the Republican Platform, and (c) the interests of key Administration support groups (not to speak of the real interests of women).
4. This Administration and the Republican Party are faced with the challenge of responding to fundamental social changes created in our society over the last generation, i.e., soaring divorce rates; the sexual revolution; teenage pregnancy; and a three-fold increase in women entering the work force. The Labor Department expects women to be a major power behind expansion of the economy in the next decade. One out of three new workers will be women. Thus, women will be the principal beneficiaries of the new jobs created by a growing, expanding economy.

5. Women's issues have become a means used by liberals to attack the very basis of our economic recovery program. ("Most poor people are women, our budget cuts are aimed at poor people; therefore, our budget is an attack on women.") Only the President can change this, in part by pointing out that the explosion of single, poor teenage heads of households - who are at the core of the urban poverty - took place during the very years when social welfare spending was at its peak - during the decade of the seventies. The "feminization of poverty" is after all the aftermath of years of Democratic control.

--The mother, living without a husband, without skills, facing life-long dependence on welfare, has become a symbol of poverty in America. In all, female-headed households comprised 45% of all persons living in poverty when this Administration took office, more than double the proportion in 1960. Tragically, this represents also another phenomenon of the late 1960's and 1970's: the sudden acceleration of births to unmarried, poor, and increasingly teenage women. Some have tried to pass this off as "part of the times" for which no one is to blame. But it was not a problem to all Americans. It was a problem for minority and disadvantaged Americans. In the 1960's and 1970's we spent billions on welfare benefits, and the more we spent, the larger the shelves of reports documenting not only that we failed, but that we encouraged the disintegration of poor families.

6. The President's personality and style project him as a "man's man" . . . and men accordingly overwhelmingly approve his performance by a margin of 60% to 38%. Thus, the President benefits from a "gender gap" on the male side.
7. Our approval margin among women is improving. A recent ABC/Washington Post poll shows women favoring the President at 47% vs. disapproval at 46%. However, Wirthlin polls done as recently as the week of May 15 continue to show a ten point "gap", and confirm certain fundamental differences in the attitudes of women toward this administration. The "gender gap", however, did not come into being in this administration. it has been around since 1964. Since that time, there has been a divergence in party preference between men and women, with more women choosing to affiliate with the Democratic Party and its candidate. Furthermore, careful analysis of the Wirthlin data leads to the conclusion that a change of policy is not required; a more consistent and carefully designed communications effort, particularly on the economic program and inflation, will tend to improve the "gap" significantly.

8. In addition to progress in the economy overall, this administration has a number of achievements that have been of particular benefit to women:
- (a) This administration has a very proud record on female appointees -- as of January, 1983, 96 women for Presidential appointments (more by now) vs. 74 in the last administration.
 - (b) The "Power Aggregate" is beyond question -- with a Supreme Court Justice and three women serving on the Cabinet, and Helene Von Damm and Faith Whittlesey as Presidential Advisors.
 - (c) The erosion of the value of the dependent deduction has been ended by indexing of child dependent exemptions.
 - (d) Private Sector Initiative Day Care Project.
 - (e) ERTA enacted benefits for women--reduction of marriage tax penalty; elimination of inheritance taxes, and increased child care tax credits.
 - (f) Job training partnership act.
 - (g) Child support enforcement improvements.
 - (h) Recent (May 12) decision to support case in the Supreme Court on side of women -- EEOC and Justice Department gave Administration support to allow discrimination suits to be heard regarding hiring and promotion policies of women in large law firms.
 - (i) Administration backed EEOC report on discrimination against women.
 - (j) Reduction of marriage penalty.
 - (k) Estate tax reductions benefitting widows.
 - (l) Divorced women given rights to military pensions.
 - (m) Tax credits for employed parents.
 - (n) Equal opportunity for women in the Federal government.
 - (o) Increased percentages of female armed service officers and Civil Service employees (percentage of women military officers increased 12% between 1980 and 1982).
 - (p) First female astronaut and Supreme Court Justice.

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

File

August 31, 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR JAMES A. BAKER, III
MICHAEL K. DEEVER

FROM: FAITH RYAN WHITTLESEY *FRW*
SUBJECT: Request for Presidential Appearance

An appearance by the President at the Phyllis Schlafly Eagle Forum conference in Washington at the Capitol Hilton on September 17, 1983 was regretted. A video taped message was agreed upon at the scheduling meeting.

In view of recent events, and our need to mobilize supporters for the President among women, I urge your reconsideration of a Presidential appearance at some time during the course of this convention.

Approximately 400 women will arrive at 2:00p on Friday (9/16) and depart at 4:00p on Sunday.(9/18)

JAB has spoken to MD about a PR spot - by -
Adrian Swan in Faith's office 9/6



AUG 29 1983

PHYLLIS SCHLAFLY
PRESIDENT
68 FAIRMOUNT
ALTON, ILLINOIS 62002
(618) 462-5415

EAGLE FORUM

LEADING THE PRO-FAMILY MOVEMENT SINCE 1972

316 PENNSYLVANIA AVE., S.E., SUITE 203, WASHINGTON, D.C. 20003, (202) 544-0353

August 26, 1983

Dear Faith:

I just returned from three weeks in Europe with my youngest daughter, and in a stack of messages I found that you had called about one matter on which I had previously written you, namely, the matter of a Youth Prayer Breakfast -- and you inquired about the urgency of it.

Enclosed is a letter from the group, which is self-explanatory. In my original letter, I testified to the remarkable sincerity, dedication, and talent of the man putting it on: Dr. Vernard Johnson, a black saxophonist who is using his talent to spread the Christian message.

So much time has gone on now that it may be too late to do anything. I do recommend this group to the White House. Of course, the value of it all depends on how many young people these sponsors can actually turnout, but if they could get 10,000, it would be a good move for the President.

I also think that it would be smart politics for the President to appear at our Eagle Forum conference in Washington, D.C., at the Capitol Hilton on September 17. In fact, I really can't understand how a smart politician can afford to pass up this opportunity of getting out a message before a NATIONAL group of FRIENDLY BIPARTISAN WOMEN.

The pro-ERA media blitz we have seen on television in connection with the Honegger thing is the sort of media hammering we had in Illinois for eleven years. Why don't the White House pragmatists seek out the expertise of those who have successfully coped with this problem? We in Illinois stand ready to help.

With all good wishes, and thanks for all you are doing,

Faithfully,

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

JULY 18, 1983

MEMORANDUM

TO: FAITH WHITTLESEY

FROM: FREDERICK J. RYAN, JR., DIRECTOR
PRESIDENTIAL APPOINTMENTS AND SCHEDULING

SUBJ: REQUEST FOR SCHEDULING RECOMMENDATION

PLEASE PROVIDE YOUR RECOMMENDATION ON THE FOLLOWING
SCHEDULING REQUEST UNDER CONSIDERATION:

EVENT: Address - 12th Annual Conference of Eagle Forum

DATE: September 16-18, 1983

LOCATION: Washington, D.C.

BACKGROUND: See attached

YOUR RECOMMENDATION:

Accept Regret Surrogate Message Other
Priority
Routine

IF RECOMMENDATION IS TO ACCEPT, PLEASE CITE REASONS:

A pro-Regan grassroots women's lobbying group - fls

RESPONSE DUE 7-22-83

TO Sarah Long *ml*

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

August 29, 1983

MEMORANDUM

TO : Faith Whittlesey
FROM : Jim Rosebush *JR*
SUBJECT: Eagle Forum Reception

Wouldn't it be a good idea if the President hosted this reception as well?

Jim, This was requested for the President; but in view of recent events, I have asked Jim B. + Mike D. D reconsiders. Please see attached memo.

Faith

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

SCHEDULE PROPOSAL

August 15, 1983

TO: ANNE WROBLESKI, DIRECTOR
PROJECTS AND SCHEDULING

FROM: FAITH RYAN WHITTLESEY *FRW*

REQUEST: That Mrs. Reagan host a reception for
400 women attending the Eagle Forum
Convention. Their president is
Phyllis Schlafly.

PURPOSE: These women have been very strong
vocal grassroots supporters of this
President and his programs. A
reception with Mrs. Reagan would
demonstrate the President's appreciation
for their continued support.

BACKGROUND: The Eagle Forum is composed of women with
traditional values who strongly support the
President's defense policies as well. Most
are homemakers who have become politically
active and astute in grassroots politics and
in support of this President.

PREVIOUS
PARTICIPATION: None

DATE: September 16 - 18 anytime .

LOCATION: White House, State floor

PARTICIPANTS: 400 members of Eagle Forum .

OUTLINE OF EVENT: Mrs. Reagan to host a brief reception and
present brief comments

REMARKS REQUIRED: Brief remarks

MEDIA COVERAGE: White House photographer

RECOMMENDED BY: Faith Ryan Whittlesey

OPPOSED BY:

PROJECT OFFICER: Dee Jepsen

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

June 16, 1983

MEMORANDUM TO JAMES A. BAKER III

FROM: FAITH RYAN WHITTLESEY *FRW*

SUBJECT: Trips to Pennsylvania

I would very much like to be consulted before any trips to Pennsylvania are considered. Also I would like to be included in all such trips.

Also, please find enclosed the resume of Richard Vigalante. We would like to hire him as a writer/consultant in OPL to assist in Central American Outreach work and other matters as the need arises. Dave Gergen has okayed him and Darman agrees. John Rogers will call you regarding this. We would like him to start July 5.

Attachment

cc: Ed Rollins

RICHARD A. VIGALANTE

(304) 348-4842

CURRENTLY: Editorial Writer for the CHARLESTON, WEST VIRGINIA DAILY MAIL;

Free lance writer for national magazines such as the NATIONAL REVIEW;

Free Lance for IMAGINE INCORPORATED, a television production firm.

Presently working on part of a book sponsored by the Center for the Study of Ethics in Public Policy, dealing with radical politics and the Catholic clergy.

PREVIOUSLY: One year ago was working as a free lance writer fulltime in Washington, D.C. for national conservative magazines and Imagine, Inc.

Washington editor of CONSUMER RESEARCH MAGAZINE.

Served on Reagan Transition Team for the Consumer Product Safety Commission.

Executive editor of CONSUMER ISSUES OF THE 80's -- a 300-page compendium of the Federal Government's regulatory failures with respect to consumers.

EDUCATION: Graduated, Yale University, 1978; degree in Philosophy

STATISTICS: Married. No children.

Born: October 9, 1956

CURRENT
SALARY: \$22,000

AVAILABLE: July 1, 1983.

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON
May 31, 1983

R.F.
✓ 5/31 MOT

MEMORANDUM FOR JAMES BAKER, III

FROM:

FAITH RYAN WHITTLESEY *FRW*

SUBJECT:

Tuition Tax Credit Legislation

I thought you might be interested in the attached letter delivered to me last week by Sister Renee Oliver, one of the prime movers in the Tuition Tax Credit legislation effort on the Hill. I, of course, did not contact Senator Dole. Sister Renee is undoubtedly referring to the White House team effort.

cc: Ken Duberstein/attachment
Ed Rollins/attachment

Citizens for Educational Freedom

Suite 854 • Washington Bldg. • 15th and New York Ave., N.W. • Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone (202) 638-6423

May 25, 1983

Mrs. Faith Whittlesey
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mrs. Whittlesey:

First of all I want to thank you for your part in our victory on Tuition Tax Credits in the Senate Finance Committee yesterday. The fact that we got the bill passed without any "killer" amendments is nothing short of a miracle. I am sure your influence with Senator Dole prompted him to work so hard for that end.

However, I have heard rumor of a "deal" that prevents TTC from being attached to other legislation. If this is true, I am very disappointed as I think that option should be left open. As a matter of fact, the members of the coalition think that the best strategy would be to attach TTC to the repeal of the interest withholding bill recently passed by the House. Although I know that the President has had his heart set on the interest withholding, it looks as if he is going to lose on this one, in which case he might just as well get everything else he wants in exchange. Let him go down a winner.

The President has certainly been very vocal in his desire for TTC. If it is attached to the withholding bill, everyone will recognize his perspicacity.

Should other measures such as Caribbean Basin and/or Enterprise Zones be attached to the withholding bill but not TTC, I fear it will be very conspicuous by its absence. That would be a tragedy for the future. Therefore, I hope you can convince the President and his other advisors of the necessity for this strategy, regardless of who made any "deals" with whom.

Thank you again for your continued support.

Sincerely,



Sister Renee Oliver
Associate Director

9. Our approval margin among women will continue to enlarge as the economy improves (although unemployment has directly affected far fewer women (- predominantly male production jobs were hardest hit). Polling data indicate that women react strongly to the apprehensions raised by hard economic times regardless of whether they are directly affected. Polling data also indicate women are concerned primarily about the effect of inflation in making voting choices.

10. Our programs are working, and in ways that decidedly benefit women:

(a) Reduction of inflation

This remarkable achievement can be described in a way that has bite and personal meaning for women. We have not made a strong communications effort targeting women on this issue.

- o A loaf of bread went from 36¢ to 52¢ during the prior administration, and would cost 63¢ now had the rate of inflation continued. Had the prior administration been as successful in dealing with inflation as we, that loaf of bread would cost only 42¢ today - and a whole market basket of groceries that today costs \$100 would cost only \$66.67. Our success has most benefited the poor.
- o The inflation of the 1970's eroded the purchasing power of AFDC benefits (the core welfare program for poor women) by over 30%. By contrast, maintenance of the real value of AFDC benefits during our term due to lower inflation has more than offset a modest and necessary reduction in food stamp benefits. The result is that typical AFDC recipients now receive benefits worth more in constant dollar than they would have received under Carter policy and inflation.

(b) Social Security Rescue

The Democrats and feminists have been making the point, correctly, that, because women on the average live eight years longer than men, an enormous proportion of elderly poor women are wholly dependent on Social Security payments. (The data re elderly female dependency is powerful.) The President's leadership in achieving the rescue package is a major fact capable, if well communicated, of greatly enhancing his position with women.

11. In the end, it is only the President who can alter the most fundamental aspect of the current debate by directly confronting the notion that women represent a special interest group, with ready-made tribunes, legislative agendas, and organizational representatives. The Administration has stood for the proposition

that the public interest is greater than the sum of Washington-based special interests, and articulation of the view that improvement of the economy and continued improvement on the inflation front is ultimately the real women's issue can have a powerful impact on the politics of "women's issues". (One way of dealing with current approaches is by forcefully indicating how badly gender-neutral pricing insurance proposals will hurt women.) To be sure, the President must use public forums to recognize the role of women in American society, both historically and now. He must also indicate his recognition of the particular difficulties confronted by women in light of their changing roles. But in the end, only he can change the basic terms of the debate by confronting the notion that legislative laundry lists have much to do with the real interests of women.

12. All available resources should be mustered in an unremitting communications effort emphasizing the President's concern that women receive fair and equitable treatment in an expanding, revitalized economy. This can be done as follows:
 - (a) A major Presidential speech highlighting this administration's accomplishments to date, and recognizing the vital role of women (should be given soon) to a mixed audience.
 - (b) A women's speakers bureau in the White House should be organized quickly so that articulate Administration women could be spreading the word on this administration's support of women.
 - (c) More women should be used to present the Administration's position in testimony given before Congressional committees. This should quickly communicate the Administration's desire not only to place women, but to use them as policy makers. (Especially true for defense/foreign affairs).
 - (d) Careful attention must be given to the selection of the new Deputy in Presidential Personnel. Ideally, it should be a woman, if not, a man who publicly expresses a dedication to placing women in top level positions. (This statement should also be expressed by Mr. Herrington publicly.)
 - (e) The President and his advisors should use every opportunity to emphasize his accomplishments in issues of concern to women, i.e. every speech should have a section on this subject. Every briefing should contain a section on accomplishments of interest to women.
13. The President should also move to broaden the scope of the "women's agenda". For example, he has already taken the lead with his speech regarding the subject of educational excellence. This issue is very important to women. Women are also responsive to the problem of crime, and this administration has a story to be told here as well. More could be made of this administration's ABM proposal to share defensive technology with the Soviets.

14. The time is right to move out and grasp this opportunity to bring women into the Republican fold in every-increasing numbers. Women want to be convinced that this party should be their party, and that they will not be ignored once they have joined us. We have a good story to tell, and it should be told by not only the greatest communicator of all, our President, but by the many talented Republican women who serve this country and party. If clear and strong leadership on this issue is offered, we transcend the special interest issue, change the terms of the debate, reach women more potentially responsive to this administration, and give our supporters something to rally behind.

This White House and Presidential leadership initiative would not have to be the final word in this area. The President would continue to look at all sorts of additional proposals as they arise. He would certainly not be closing the door. He would, however, set forth unapologetically his substantial programmatic accomplishments, move beyond the narrow targeted feminist agenda, and appeal on the basis of the fairness and equity of his record to the broader public interest.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

March 5, 1983

R.F. - TORR
✓

MEMORANDUM FOR EDWIN MEESE III
JAMES A. BAKER, III ✓
MICHAEL K. DEEVER
RICHARD DARMAN
CRAIG FULLER

FROM: RED CAVANEY ✓
SUBJECT: Budget Freeze Coalition

Attached is a copy of the position paper adopted this week by the Business Roundtable vis-a-vis proposed budget freeze. As you can imagine, their call for increasing taxes \$250 - \$300 billion from 1984-1988 has dealt a severe blow to our efforts to keep the business community united behind the President.

The Business Roundtable's explanation for such action is that Congress is going to mandate a huge tax increase in spite of the President's best efforts and they want to be a participant in the discussion and not frozen out. Some in the BRT are convinced that the Administration is working behind the scenes with leaders on Capitol Hill to put a tax package together. As all of us have noted from our earlier work with the BRT, they are not known for their steadfast loyalty or willingness to take the heat as a price for being right. I suspect that the BRT will be successful in expanding support for this approach in the big business community, although the President will have a number of ideological allies within individual member firms. It would not surprise me to see the battle lines drawn along those similar to TEFRA, with some slight modifications. Supporting the President will probably be the American Farm Bureau, the American Business Conference, Chamber of Commerce, NFIB and many of the small and mid-size firm organizations. Possibly moving in league with the BRT will be other Fortune 500-type organizations and those industries who are particularly interest rate sensitive. The thought that the Realtors and Home Builders would move in concert with the BRT is worrisome.

The President's March 10 address to the NAM is very timely and his message provides an ideal opportunity to reiterate his position. I would urge that the President repudiate, in the strongest possible terms, these calls for taxes. If we are not successful in laying to rest these rumors about where we stand on tax increases, the whole business community will abandon the freeze coalition and focus all their energies in the tax rather than the budget arena. It is clear that the consequences of such action would not be helpful.

cc: K. Duberstein
D. Gergen
E. Harper
E. Rollins

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

April 29, 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR JAMES A BAKER, III

FROM: FAITH RYAN WHITTLESEY *FRW*

SUBJECT: Action Plan - MX

Please find attached an action plan for increasing support for the MX Peacekeeper Resolution.

We will start scheduling briefings as soon as you give us the O.K.

Attachment

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

AGENDA AND STRATEGY FOR PASSAGE OF THE M X PEACEKEEPER RESOLUTION

Submitted by Faith Ryan Whittlesey

April 29, 1983

SECTION I.

OVERVIEW

- A. OBJECTIVE - raise congressional support sufficient to pass the M X Peacekeeper Missile Plan.
- B. STRATEGY GUIDELINES - identify, contact, inform, activate, and mobilize groups, PAC's, and organizations who can activate a grassroots constituency to encourage congressional support for the M X proposal.

SECTION II.

DESCRIPTION OF OBJECTIVES

- A. Rebuilding the President's coalitions (e.g. PAC's, business and trade associations, patriotic groups, etc.) to encourage a letter-writing campaign on a nation-wide scale aimed at passage of the M X resolution.
- B. Use well-known public figures to generate support among the general public for the passage of the resolution.
- C. Encourage a series of phone calls by prominent and influential members of society to their Congressmen, asking for a vote to pass the resolution.

SECTION III.

ACTION PLAN -- IMPLEMENTATION OF STRATEGIES

- A. Use past Presidents to speak to local gatherings of high-impact community and business leaders of national prominence to enlist support.
- B. Briefings - should be conducted on various levels.*
1. Large briefings should accommodate representatives from all portfolios within the OPL structure. No groups should be excluded from the "maxi-briefings," because of their educational value. Even groups not supportive of the President may be neutralized by this. A series of briefings can be conducted in OEOB 450 or perhaps the larger facilities at the Defense or State Departments. Senior level briefers must be utilized, with a possible drop-by from a senior staff member; preferably Judge Clark.
 2. A smaller briefing should be conducted for steering committee members with very top Administration spokesmen, with a possible drop-by from the Vice-President or President.
 3. An extremely small meeting held in the Cabinet Room with the President and top Administration members conducted with CEO's and top elected officials from trade associations and corporations.
- C. Use past Secretaries of Defense to speak to groups whose influence could be a decisive factor in the vote, or to contact key members of Congress on an individual basis, if necessary.
- D. Identify and contact patriotic and other organizations, (e.g. American Conservative Union, VFW, American Legion, U.S. Chamber of Commerce, etc.); to enlist their support in contacting members of Congress, urging votes to pass the resolution. These groups could encourage constituents to visit members of Congress when they are in their home districts.

*All too often, these briefings do not excite the participants into mobilizing their grassroots networks. They should leave the briefing with a clearcut plan to help the President.

- E. Coordinate activities with Governmental Affairs liaisons from the various corporations who are primary contractors on the M X, allowing them to carry out contact in key areas.
- F. Forum on Capitol Hill with the President or Vice-President, to be held in Cannon Caucus Room for members of Congress, key staff, and some representation from the public and the press. Format for the Forum would be similar to the forum the President held there for the Balanced Budget Amendment.
- G. Mass Mailings: should be coordinated by Anne Higgins, Director of Presidential Correspondance; David Gergen, Director of Communications; and Herb Hetu, Special Assistant to Judge Clark.
 - 1. A Presidential letter appealing for help should be prepared by Correspondance, accompanied by a packet of information compiled by the NSC and Defense Department. Other mailings have been completed during prior legislative battles, which should encourage additional grassroots efforts. All portfolios should be involved.*
 - 2. Another, more personal, letter should be prepared from the President to be sent to senior corporate and trade association elected officials and staff. This personal appeal will also get "important" people to contact the Hill, applying further pressure on the vote.
- H. OPL office should be kept informed of the progress of support for the resolution. The M X proposal falls under the rules of a "privileged resolution," and needs a "yes" vote by 218 Members on the floor to safely assure passage.

SECTION IV.

TIMING

Groundwork for the coalition must be done immediately. There are existing coalitions which must be contacted, and briefing schedules must be discussed. Mass mailings must be coordinated now, always keeping in mind that our groups must not "peak" too soon. The ideal situation would include having calls and letters arriving on the Hill, peaking at the hour of the vote.

A. Proposed Schedule of Events:

- May 2 - 6 Begin to send information to existing coalitions. Have Anne Higgins prepare President's letter to be included in the Mass Mailing. Have all portfolios compile lists to be invited to the briefings, and for the mailings. Begin contacts with organizations.
- May 9 - 13 Set up briefings with major groups.
&
- May 16 - 20
- May 16 Conduct mailings
- May 16 - 20 Conduct smaller meetings with President and senior trade association and corporate people.
- May 23 - 27 Forum on Capitol Hill (See Section III., Letter F.)

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

April 29, 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR JAMES BAKER III

FROM:

FAITH RYAN WHITTLESEY *FRW*

SUBJECT:

Central America Policy outreach

The core recommendation of this report is the establishment of a White House led Central America working group as detailed on page 8.

OK. I suggest that the first meeting of this group be set as soon as possible and a second meeting here in the White House, with the government group and the outside coalitions already supporting the President, Thursday or Friday of the week of May 2nd. (The group should be welcomed, thanked and urged on by all 4 members of the Senior staff, if possible.)

The outside coalition membership already working (they had their first meeting last week) is set forth on the 1st. page of the appendix.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

April 19, 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR JAMES A. BAKER, III

FROM: FAITH RYAN WHITTLESEY *FRW*

SUBJECT: Scheduling Proposal--The President's
Standing in the American Jewish
Community

The recent uproar generated by press accounts of the Administration's attitude toward the American Jewish community and the departure of Al Spiegel have magnified the need for intensive efforts here to re-establish significant support for the President among Jewish Republicans and others. The initial task relates to reestablishment of perceived access by credible Jewish leaders to the President and to senior staff. That effort would require three events which should be scheduled promptly.

The first event should occur within the next several days and involve senior White House staff (Clark, Meese, Deaver and yourself), to meet with the leadership of the Republican Jewish coalition. I have just completed a meeting with Max Fisher, Dick Fox and Gordon Zacks. I recommend that they be immediately invited to meet with the senior group to assure them of continuing opportunities to consult the highest members of this Administration.

Soon thereafter, these three Jewish leaders could then have a brief meeting with the President to shore up the lost confidence that the Jewish community has with respect to access and consultation.

These leaders would then carry their information and credentials back to the Republican Jewish community and arrange a larger meeting or reception for Republican Jewish leaders with the President. At that time, we should also include Senators Specter and Boschwitz and other Republican congressional leaders in the meeting.

Thereafter, we will convene a larger bipartisan meeting of Jewish leaders to continue this coalition building, including meeting with the President.

Next week

Memorandum for James A. Baker, III

April 19, 1983

Page 2

None of the concerns expressed by these leaders relate to the misperception in the Jewish community of the accomplishments of this Administration. The first step must be the re-establishment of credibility so that a firm base can be built for significant Jewish support in 1984 as in 1980. Bud McFarlane is keenly aware of this issue which has been reinforced by your communications from Ambassador-at-Large H. Eugene Douglas over the past weekend.

I, therefore, urgently recommend that the initial meeting with Dick Fox, Max Fisher and Gordon Zacks be scheduled within the next few days, perhaps most effectively on Monday of next week. I and my staff, of course, stand ready to do whatever is necessary.

WHITE HOUSE COORDINATING COUNCIL ON WOMEN
ROOSEVELT ROOM - MAY 23, 1983 10:00 a.m.

Faith Whittlesey chaired the meeting.

She announced the Indianapolis Republican Women's meeting is scheduled for June 3 and 4. There will be about 125 people. Secretary Heckler can give the keynote address any time except Saturday night. It was agreed Mrs. Heckler should speak Saturday morning; Mrs. Whittlesey will speak at lunch; Ed Rollins will check with RNC on this schedule and see whether Secretary Hodel, who is coming, can speak on Saturday night in place of Mrs. Heckler.

Agenda Items:

- Occupational Opportunities for Women in the Federal Government. It was decided that we would not urge the President to take on the Federal Civil Service by expanding opportunities for women by bringing in applicants for Civil Service positions from outside the career service. We would urge our Cabinet Officers to use their discretion within the career service to promote women whenever possible.
- Part-Time Employment "Job Sharing". This is not a legislative proposal. There was general agreement to go forward with it. The President should make a positive statement urging private and public sector job sharing.
- Flextime for Federal Contractors. This is a legislative proposal opposed by organized labor. The general agreement was to go forward with this one.
- Dependent Care Tax Credits. There was concern that the cost of this proposal would be too high. We have already increased dependent care allowances; there was no general agreement to support increased dependent care credits. The indexing of the exemptions for dependents has been a major benefit of the Reagan program to families. This should be highlighted.
- Child Care. There was agreement that the private sector should be urged to expand child care facilities for employees.
- Child Support Enforcement. Mrs. Heckler presented her recommended child support enforcement legislative proposal. She pointed out it would probably be opposed by the states. It would expand the obligations of the states to include collection of support payments for non-welfare cases. Despite possible opposition from the governors and National Conference of State Legislators, there was general agreement that this measure would win wide support with women and we should go forward with it.

As you can see, we all agreed on substance!



This was the second meeting we had. There were no notes of the first.

7. Independent Retirement Accounts. A discussion was held on increasing the contributions of the homemaker to the full \$2,000 (The revenue loss would be so substantial that this would conflict with the President's economic recovery program. Therefore there was not strong support for this proposal.)

8. Pension Equity Legislation. There was broad consensus to go forward with gender-neutral pension equity legislation included in a package with various other legislative pension equity provisions. There was concern expressed as to whether we should wait until after the Supreme Court rules in June or go forward before. To meet the President's State of the Union commitment, there was agreement to reject retroactive benefits because of the adverse impact on the solvency of pension funds, and opposition from state and local governments.

9. Gender-Based Actuarial Tables. There was a discussion of the progress of H. R. 100 in the Congress. Mrs. Heckler made a statement that she thought it was dead because of increasing opposition to it by strong special interests. The American Council of Life Insurers voted to rescind their former support. Mary Jo Jacobi reported a full scale effort by the insurance industry, taking full page ads and asking people to call their Congressman, as well as letters directly to women insured whose rates would be increased. This may have occasioned the cooling off in Congress. Dee Jepsen reported the American Farm Bureau, among others, intended to mount an effort in opposition.

There was some discussion of creation of a commission to study the issue. It was believed that a commission would subject the Administration to charges of "stacking" in favor of a particular point of view, and also that it was unnecessary in view of the progressive cooling off of support in Congress.

10. Update on Administration Record of Appointments of Women. We are putting together another draft of the Administration record on appointments with responses to criticism by women contained therein.

11. Communications. It was generally agreed that we have not communicated the Administration's record effectively or the President's accomplishments benefiting women. Now that we are approaching consensus on the substantive issues, we are in a position to launch a major communications effort to reach out and make the President's accomplishments known in a more positive and effective way. There was discussion of the limitations of the Hatch Act for women speakers, and Mrs. Whittlesey reported a new outreach program underway in which Reagan Administration women will be encouraged to engage in an act of public diplomacy in this area.

Another point raised at the meeting is that the President's speech writers must urge him and his advisors to speak over and over on what we have done -- the positive accomplishments to date. Every speech should be reviewed for content on issues of concern to women.

→ This is what we need!

WHITE HOUSE COORDINATING COUNCIL ON WOMEN - MAY 23, 1983

Roosevelt Room

10:00 a.m.

Attendees were:

Faith Ryan Whittlesey, Chairman
Assistant to the President for Public Liaison

Secretary Elizabeth H. Dole, Transportation

Secretary Margaret M. Heckler, H. H. S.

Joanna Bistany

Judi Buckalew

Beck Norton Dunlop

Dave Gergen (Absent)

Ed Harper, (Regretted)

John Herrington

Anne Higgins

Connie Horner

Mike Horowitz

Mary Jo Jacobi

Dee Jepsen

Nancy Risque (Regretted - On the Hill for the MX effort)

Margaret Tutwiler (Regretted)

Mike Uhlmann

RONALD W. REAGAN LIBRARY

THIS FORM MARKS THE FILE LOCATION OF ITEM NUMBER 2 LISTED ON THE
WITHDRAWAL SHEET AT THE FRONT OF THIS FOLDER.



March 1, 1983

THE BUSINESS ROUNDTABLE

POSITION PAPER ON PROPOSED FEDERAL BUDGET

Background

1. The economy of the United States is beginning the process of recovery from a deep recession. Important progress has been made on inflation and the level of interest rates. The challenge before the Congress is to maintain this progress and build upon it while successfully addressing the difficult budget problems facing our nation.
2. The Social Security Commission report, while not fully satisfactory to anyone, represents a compromise that addresses the short-term issues and some of the longer term issues and is equitable to all, both taxpayers and beneficiaries. It must be adopted as a first order of business to clear the decks for addressing the budget.
3. The President's proposed 1984 budget is based on reasonable economic assumptions, and provides a good foundation on which to build. However, it still leaves some major areas of concern, principally:
 - a. The high level, structural nature and trend line of deficits:
 - The Administration projects the deficit declining from \$208 billion in 1983 to \$117 billion in 1988. The Congressional Budget Office puts the numbers at \$195 billion in 1983 and \$159 billion in 1988. Both of these estimates assume the passage of all proposed spending cuts and contingency taxes, yet still show an addition of about one trillion dollars to the national debt over six years. --
 - These deficits are structural--that is, they occur despite assumptions of steady 4% real growth in the economy from 1984-88.
 - b. The exponential growth in net interest outlays:
 - As a result of these deficits, net interest outlays are projected to almost double between 1981 and 1986, to \$123 billion, despite substantial assumed declines in interest rates.
 - Such high deficits will require federal borrowing that will take a major part of private savings during a recovery, and at the same time create ever higher debt service spending.

Deficits

The Business Roundtable believes that deficits must be put on a more decisive downward slope than shown in the proposed budget. Our goal must be to achieve a steady decline to a maximum deficit in 1988 of 2% of GNP or \$75 billion, whichever is less, assuming 4% real GNP growth. The President and Congress must act forcefully in 1983 to begin to reduce deficits promptly--in 1984.

Spending

We support the following proposals on the spending side:

1. Enacting the short-term recommendations of the Social Security Commission and addressing the remaining long-term problems by gradually increasing the retirement age.
2. Adopting the budget's proposals for freezing for 12 months aggregate non-defense discretionary programs, medical provider reimbursement, farm price supports, and civilian/military pay and retirement, and freezing for six months the cost-of-living adjustments for Social Security and related indexed programs.
3. Permanently limiting COLAs for non-means-tested entitlements (except Social Security as per the Commission's recommendation) by a formula cap such as inflation less 3%, or 60% of inflation. We see little opportunity for further savings in needs based programs.
4. Making special effort to find further long-term savings in Medicare, which is now projected to double, to \$106 billion, between 1983 and 1988.
5. Reducing the growth in defense below that currently being projected but in a manner consistent with the objective of a strengthened and efficient national defense capability.

Taxes

Even assuming enactment of the spending reductions provided for in the budget and outlined above, we recognize that additional revenue will be required to reduce the level of deficits more sharply and keep them trending downward on a year-to-year basis. Therefore, conditioned on enactment of the spending cuts, we would recommend raising substantial added revenues, in the range of \$250 - \$300 billion from 1984 through 1988. The Business Roundtable looks forward to working with the Administration and the Congress in an effort to develop equitable revenue measures which will have the minimum effect on a continuing economic recovery and on the long-range health of the economy.

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON
January 4, 1983

1/17/83

File

ED

OK for 1/19 - 1/21/83
but I really question
the need for 2 trips
to Paris every year -
particularly when
coupled with one
to Vienna

MEMORANDUM FOR JAMES BAKER
FROM: ELIZABETH DOLE
SUBJECT: Foreign Travel

I request permission for Virginia Knauer to attend the upcoming (January 19-21) plenary meeting of the Consumer Policy Committee of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (O.E.C.D.) in Paris at its headquarters.

The membership of the Consumer Policy Committee is made up of and limited to the government officials of the member nations responsible for consumer policy in their respective countries. Virginia was elected one of the Vice Chairmen of CPC last year. State Department coordinates the agenda and issues to represent the Administration's position on trade and related issues. State pays expenses and furnishes support personnel.

Virginia has been the U.S. Representative from the inception of the Consumer Policy Committee in 1970 through 1976. She resumed this role at the request of the State Department in 1981 to represent the consumer policies of the Reagan Administration.

and one to
Tokyo -
i.e. 4
foreign
trips in
1982!
JAB III

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

January 10, 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR RED CAVANEY

FROM: VIRGINIA KNAUER *Virginia Knauer*

SUBJECT: International Travel

Below is a listing of international travel performed in the course of my duties as Special Assistant to the President and Director, U.S. Office of Consumer Affairs:

1. Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, Paris, France

As U.S. consumer spokesperson for the Administration, I represent the United States on the Consumer Policy Committee, which is made up and limited to government consumer officials from 23 different countries. I was elected Vice Chairman and served in this capacity in previous Republican Administrations. This committee provides the U.S. opportunity to influence consumer policy in other countries in line with our experience and priorities. The Department of State provides for reimbursement of travel expenses.

June 9-14, 1981

Jan. 27-29, 1982

June 18-25, 1982

2. Avon International Consumer Dialogue, Tokyo, Japan

Program consisted of lecture/discussion on "American Consumers Movement and Its Social, Economic, and Political Implications." Audience: representatives from Japanese government, media, business, labor & Japanese consumer movement leaders. At the request of U.S.I.A. and the Office of Trade Representative, I visited U.S.I.A. information centers in Kyoto, Fukuoka, and Osaka to talk to large business/consumer groups about "consumers and free trade." Funding was provided by the Department of State and U.S.I.A.

June 18-25, 1982

3. United Nations World Assembly on Aging, Vienna, Austria

In my role as Special Assistant to the President with the responsibilities for the aging portfolio, I was appointed by the personnel office to represent the White House and

the Administration policy on the aging. Headed by Secretary Schweiker for the U.S. delegation, this meeting represented a timely and far-sighted effort on the part of the world community of nations to address the importance of the aging population and its problems of health, income maintenance and longevity. The Department of State provided reimbursement.

July 24-August 4, 1982

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

January 4, 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR JAMES BAKER

FROM: ELIZABETH DOLE

SUBJECT: Foreign Travel

I request permission for Virginia Knauer to attend the upcoming (January 19-21) plenary meeting of the Consumer Policy Committee of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (O.E.C.D.) in Paris at its headquarters.

The membership of the Consumer Policy Committee is made up of and limited to the government officials of the member nations responsible for consumer policy in their respective countries. Virginia was elected one of the Vice Chairmen of CPC last year. State Department coordinates the agenda and issues to represent the Administration's position on trade and related issues. State pays expenses and furnishes support personnel.

Virginia has been the U.S. Representative from the inception of the Consumer Policy Committee in 1970 through 1976. She resumed this role at the request of the State Department in 1981 to represent the consumer policies of the Reagan Administration.