

Ronald Reagan Presidential Library  
Digital Library Collections

---

This is a PDF of a folder from our textual  
collections.

---

**Collection: Baker, James A.: Files**  
**Folder Title: Social Security Commission**  
**Box: 2**

---

To see more digitized collections  
visit: <https://reaganlibrary.gov/archives/digital-library>

To see all Ronald Reagan Presidential Library inventories  
visit: <https://reaganlibrary.gov/document-collection>

Contact a reference archivist at: [reagan.library@nara.gov](mailto:reagan.library@nara.gov)

Citation Guidelines: <https://reaganlibrary.gov/citing>

# WITHDRAWAL SHEET

## Ronald Reagan Library

Collection: HODSOLL, FRANCIS (FRANK) S.M.: FILES

Archivist: kdb/bcb  
F99-016

File Folder: Social Security Comm.

*Box 2*  
~~OA 9108~~

Date 7/9/99

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
1. memo	Eric Hemel to Ed Gray re: National Commission on Social Security, 2p.	3/4/81	<del>P5</del>
2. memo	Same as Item # 1 w/annotations, 2p.	3/4/81	P5
3. memo	Same as Item # 1, 2p.	3/4/81	P5 <i>6/8 11/20/00</i>

### RESTRICTION CODES

**Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]**

- P-1 National security classified information [(a)(1) of the PRA].
- P-2 Relating to appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA].
- P-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA].
- P-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA].
- P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA].
- P-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA].

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

**Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]**

- F-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA].
- F-2 Release could disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA].
- F-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA].
- F-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA].
- F-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA].
- F-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA].
- F-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA].
- F-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA].

# WITHDRAWAL SHEET

## Ronald Reagan Library

**Collection:** HODSOLL, FRANCIS (FRANK) S.M.: FILES

**Archivist:** kdb/bcb  
F99-016

**File Folder:** Social Security Comm.

**Date** 7/9/99

OA 9108

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
1. memo	Eric Hemel to Ed Gray re: National Commission on Social Security, 2p.	3/4/81	P5
2. memo	Same as Item # 1 w/annotations, 2p.	3/4/81	P5
3. memo	Same as Item # 1, 2p.	3/4/81	P5

### RESTRICTION CODES

**Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]**

- P-1 National security classified information [(a)(1) of the PRA].
- P-2 Relating to appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA].
- P-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA].
- P-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA].
- P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA].
- P-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA].

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

**Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]**

- F-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA].
- F-2 Release could disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA].
- F-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA].
- F-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA].
- F-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA].
- F-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA].
- F-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA].
- F-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA].

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

March 5, 1981

MEMORANDUM FOR MARTIN ANDERSON

FROM: FRANK HODSOLL *frank*

SUBJECT: Presentation of Social Security  
Commission Report

Per our conversation in the hall, thank you for being willing to receive the report of the Social Security Commission on behalf of the President.

Attached is the complete and original file on this invitation. Could you have your people contact Gwartzman directly on behalf of the President and make the necessary arrangements?

Thanks for your help.

ATTACHMENT

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

March 5, 1981

MEMORANDUM FOR GREG *g* JEWELL

FROM: To: KATE MOORE *ktm*

SUBJECT: Presentation of Social Security  
Commission Report

While the decision had been made to have the Vice President consider receiving the report of the National Social Security Commission, further study indicates that he should not receive this report. Frank Hodsoll advises that Martin Anderson should receive the report on behalf of the President. (Please note that by law the President is to be presented with this report.)

Frank Hodsoll has spoken with Martin Anderson who has indicated his willingness to receive the report on behalf of the President.

Attached is rationale for recommending that the Vice President not receive this report.

I would appreciate your bringing this matter to the attention of the Scheduling Committee such that final resolution may be made.

Many thanks.

cc: Martin Anderson  
Ed Gray  
Frank Hodsoll  
Mary Rawlins  
Tricia Rodgers

APPROVE

Date 95  
GJN

MEMORANDUM

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

March 4, 1981

FOR: ED GRAY  
FROM: ERIC HEMEL  
SUBJECT: National Commission on Social Security

Issue

The National Commission on Social Security will be releasing its final report on March 12. This release may present political problems for the Administration that you and other senior staff members should be aware of.

Background

The National Commission on Social Security was established by Section 361 of the Social Security Amendments of 1977. The Commission is an "independent, bipartisan panel of private citizens" (membership list attached) which was charged by law to conduct a thorough review and investigation of all aspects of Social Security and related programs. The contents of the report are to be considered, in theory, by the President, the Speaker of the House, and the President Pro tem of the Senate.

*presented to the President and to the Vice President*  
The report itself is lengthy and exhaustive. It is 400 pages long and makes 88 specific recommendations. Among the most potentially controversial recommendations in the report are raising of the Social Security standard retirement age to 68, the financing of 50% of Medicare through general revenues, and changes in benefit indexing during periods when increases in wages fall behind increases in consumer prices. It is fair to say that the Administration would not want to endorse or even be questioned on any of these recommendations at the present time, since our policy towards Social Security is still in the formulation stage. This poses a somewhat awkward problem in that the head of the Commission, Milton Gwirtzman, has apparently requested that the Commission's report be presented to the President or the Vice President in person.

Recommendations

Bob Carleson and Marty Anderson have both indicated that the White House should keep the social security issue as low-profile as possible in the coming weeks. My fellow members of the Social Security Working Group would undoubtedly concur.

It is essential that the Administration should do whatever possible to disassociate itself from the report, and to minimize its news value. This should rule out any meetings between members of the

Commission and highly visible members of the Administration, particularly the President and Vice President. If there are to be any White House contacts with the Commission, which may also be ill-advised, they should be kept at the staff level.

I would appreciate your forwarding this memorandum to Frank Hodsell subsequent to your review.

cc: Ron Frankum

v 3/4.

THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON

MEMORANDUM

3 MARCH 1981

TO: JENNIFER A. FITZGERALD  
VIA: ADMIRAL DANIEL J. MURPHY *6/2/81*  
FROM: GREGORY J. NEWELL *[Signature]*  
SUBJ: SCHEDULING REQUEST.

THE PRESIDENT WILL BE UNABLE TO MEET WITH MR. MILTON GWIRTZMAN, CHAIRMAN OF THE NATIONAL COMMISSION ON SOCIAL SECURITY, TO RECEIVE THE COMMISSION'S FINAL REPORT ON THURSDAY, MARCH 12.

I RESPECTFULLY REQUEST THE VICE PRESIDENT CONSIDER RECEIVING THIS REPORT ON THE PRESIDENT'S BEHALF.

PLEASE ADVISE ME OF THE VICE PRESIDENT'S AVAILABILITY BY THURSDAY, MARCH 4.

THANK YOU.



National Commission on Social Security  
Washington, DC 20218

(202) 376-2622

March 2, 1981

The President  
The White House  
Washington, D.C.


Dear Mr. President:

In accord with the provisions of PL 95-216, the National Commission on Social Security has completed its final report for submission to you and the Congress.

The National Commission is a bi-partisan, independent panel of nine private citizens, chosen in 1978 by the President and the majority and minority leadership of Congress to conduct a complete review and investigation of Social Security and related programs.

The members of the Commission will be in Washington on Thursday, March 12 for their final meeting. We would be very honored to have the opportunity to present our report to you personally. If your trip to Canada makes this impossible, we would like the opportunity to present it to the Vice President.

Respectfully,



Milton S. Gwirtzman  
Chairman

THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON

March 3, 1981

NOTE TO CHASE UNTERMEYER

FROM: FRANK HODSOLL

I assume in follow-up to your call; attached is a letter to the President from Gwirtzman. The President will in fact be in town on March 12. I have sent this for quick scheduling decision. If not, what do you think the V.P. would want to do?

**National Con**  
**Was**

The President  
The White House  
Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. President:

In accord with the provisions of PL 95-216, the National Commission on Social Security has completed its final report for submission to you and the Congress.

National Commission on Social Security  
440 G Street, NW Washington, DC 20218

Postage and Fees Paid  
National Commission on Social Security



Official Business  
Penalty for Private Use \$300

WHITE HOUSE MAIL  
RECEPTION AND SECURITY  
OFFICE

091 MAR 3 AM 10 05

The President  
The White House  
Washington, D.C.

Attn: Mr. Frank Hodson  
Office of Chief of Staff

PRESERVATION COPY

Commission and highly visible members of the Administration, particularly the President and Vice President. If there are to be any White House contacts with the Commission, which may also be ill-advised, they should be kept at the staff level.

I would appreciate your forwarding this memorandum to Frank Hodsell subsequent to your review.

cc: Ron Frankum

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

March 5, 1981

MEMORANDUM FOR GREG NEWELL

FROM:

KATE MOORE *km*

SUBJECT:

Presentation of Social Security  
Commission Report

While the decision had been made to have the Vice President consider receiving the report of the National Social Security Commission, further study indicates that he should not receive this report. Frank Hodsoll advises that Martin Anderson should receive the report on behalf of the President. (Please note that by law the President is to be presented with this report.)

Frank Hodsoll has spoken with Martin Anderson who has indicated his willingness to receive the report on behalf of the President.

Attached is rationale for recommending that the Vice President not receive this report.

I would appreciate your bringing this matter to the attention of the Scheduling Committee such that final resolution may be made.

Many thanks.

cc: Martin Anderson  
Ed Gray  
Frank Hodsoll ✓  
Mary Rawlins  
Tricia Rodgers

MEMORANDUM

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

March 4, 1981

FOR: ED GRAY  
FROM: ERIC HEMEL  
SUBJECT: National Commission on Social Security

Issue

The National Commission on Social Security will be releasing its final report on March 12. This release may present political problems for the Administration that you and other senior staff members should be aware of.

Background

The National Commission on Social Security was established by Section 361 of the Social Security Amendments of 1977. The Commission is an "independent, bipartisan panel of private citizens" (membership list attached) which was charged by law to conduct a thorough review and investigation of all aspects of Social Security and related programs. The contents of the report are to be considered, in theory, by the President, the Speaker of the House, and the President Pro tem of the Senate.

*and  
presented  
to  
the  
President  
and to  
Congress*

The report itself is lengthy and exhaustive. It is 400 pages long and makes 88 specific recommendations. Among the most potentially controversial recommendations in the report are raising of the Social Security standard retirement age to 68, the financing of 50% of Medicare through general revenues, and changes in benefit indexing during periods when increases in wages fall behind increases in consumer prices. It is fair to say that the Administration would not want to endorse or even be questioned on any of these recommendations at the present time, since our policy towards Social Security is still in the formulation stage. This poses a somewhat awkward problem in that the head of the Commission, Milton Gwirtzman, has apparently requested that the Commission's report be presented to the President or the Vice President in person.

Recommendations

Bob Carleson and Marty Anderson have both indicated that the White House should keep the social security issue as low-profile as possible in the coming weeks. My fellow members of the Social Security Working Group would undoubtedly concur.

It is essential that the Administration should do whatever possible to disassociate itself from the report, and to minimize its news

Commission and highly visible members of the Administration, particularly the President and Vice President. If there are to be any White House contacts with the Commission, which may also be ill-advised, they should be kept at the staff level.

I would appreciate your forwarding this memorandum to Frank Hodsell subsequent to your review.

cc: Ron Frankum