Ronald Reagan Presidential Library Digital Library Collections

This is a PDF of a folder from our textual collections.

Collection: Reagan, Ronald: Gubernatorial Papers, 1966-74: Press Unit

Folder Title: [Aging] – California Commission on Aging – Annual Report 1971

Box: P33

To see more digitized collections visit: https://reaganlibrary.gov/archives/digital-library

To see all Ronald Reagan Presidential Library inventories visit: https://reaganlibrary.gov/document-collection

Contact a reference archivist at: reagan.library@nara.gov

Citation Guidelines: https://reaganlibrary.gov/citing

National Archives Catalogue: https://catalog.archives.gov/

Paul Beck. Dov's Office

ANNUAL REPORT

CALIFORNIA COMMISSION AGING



1971

TO THE GOVERNOR OF CALIFORNIA, RONALD REAGAN

THE MEMBERS OF THE SENATE AND THE ASSEMBLY OF THE CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE

THE SECRETARY OF THE HUMAN RELATIONS AGENCY, JAMES HALL

THE DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT, SIGURD HANSEN

It is with pleasure that we submit to you our annual report provided for in Welfare and Institutions Code Section 18300 through 18356, covering programs for the Calendar Year 1971.

Respectfully Submitted,
THE CALIFORNIA COMMISSION ON AGING

Mrs. A. M. G. Russell, Chairman
J. Tillman Hall, Vice-Chairman
Mrs. Francis X. Bushman
Thomas Kiddie, M. D.
Robert W. Mintie
Mrs. Hazel A. Warner
Senator H. L. Richardson
Senator James E. Whetmore
Assemblyman Willie L. Brown, Jr.
Assemblyman Peter R. Chacon

David E. Baxter, Executive Director

CONTENTS

- 1 Objectives
- 2 Narrative
- 13 1970 Census Data
- 15 Points of Interest Title III Community Grants
- 16 Completed Title III Projects Fiscal and Operational Characteristic
- 18 Current Title III Projects Fiscal and Operational Characteristics
- 21 Brief Description Title III Community Projects
- 34 Officially Designated Governmental Units on Aging
- 38 Other Operating Councils, Commissions, or Committees on Aging
- 44 Staffing
- 45 Summary of Recent Aging Report and Studies

OBJECTIVES

- 1. Approve twenty new community projects during FY 72
- 2. Two areawide applications to be processed during first half FY 73
- 3. Four new RSVP grants awarded during FY 72
- 4. New Foster Grandparent Programs initiated in FY 73
- 5. Increased visibility by opening and staffing of Regional Office in Southern California
- 6. Continue to refine criteria for funding of community grants under Title III of the Older
 Americans Act of 1965, as amended
- 7. Develop a "model" community project that, with local variation, could be established in any location in the State.
- 8. Provide increased technical assistance to model cities programs so that aging components become a regular part of this program
- 9. Establish "quick-query" information desk to provide aging material to agencies, senior organizations, the legislature, the private sector and individuals
- 10. Renew the newsletter program

The initial activities of the California Commission on Aging can be traced to Governor Earl Warren's Administration as the Inter-departmental Coordinating Committee on Aging. In 1955, this Committee gained statutory authority and was renamed the Citizens Advisory Committee on Aging. In 1966, this Advisory body became a Commission and was designated as the State Agency responsible for administering the applicable provisions of the Older Americans Act enacted by Congress in 1965.

The Commission was placed within the Department of Human Resources Development with its creation in late 1969. The Commission now functions from this position within State Government.

The Older Americans Act, the State Plan for Programs on Aging under Title III of this Act and Section 18300 - 18356 of the Welfare and Institution Code provide the statutory and administrative guidelines within which this Commission functions.

The Commission is advisory in character with certain administrative authority. To advise the Executive Branch of Government as to the status of aged Californians and to recommend action is clearly a Commission responsibility. To provide technical assistance and consultation to municipalities, counties, senior organizations, agencies, local committees, commissions, councils, business, industry, and labor in developing programs for the aged is another responsibility. To define and coordinate the efforts of State Government in the field of aging so that timely, effective and efficient use of resources for 1,800,977 senior Californians will result in another function. To perform as a clearinghouse for information on the subject of aging is also assigned.

With the approval of the Human Relations Agency and the Department of Human Resources Development the Commission is designated as the state agency with responsibility for directing all federal programs in aging, which are not specifically assigned to another state department under federal law or by the Legislature.

CURRENT PROGRAMS

Administration on Aging (AoA) Older Americans Act of 1965, As Amended

TITLE III

Within the provisions of Title III of the Older Americans Act of 1965, as amended, the Commission possesses granting authority to fund state and community programs on aging. Activities relating to community planning, coordination, and training of special personnel are reviewed for funding potential. The establishment of new or expansion of existing local aging programs are eligible for funding consideration through Title III. Community programs most commonly include information and referral, health, welfare, individual or group counseling, leisure time activities, employment, and housing referral, nutrition, and adult-education components. The multi-purpose program, operating through a senior center, is becoming more and more popular with a number of the above-mentioned components located under one-roof and in easy reach of the aged population. Currently, 16 of California's 54 community projects funded through Title III are multi-purpose in nature.

It is important to note that community program funding is restricted to a three year period by the Commission. Matching is on 75% (federal) - 25% (local) basis the first year; 60% - 40% the second year; and 50% - 50% the third year. These federal funds for local programs are intended to be "seed

monies" to assist a local project in its development but not to support it financially on a continuing basis. As a result, the Commission, in evaluating a community project proposal, places heavy emphasis on local interest and support from the very beginning so that a funded project has the potential for a long and productive impact on the community.

The Areawide Model Project concept was added to Title III of the Older Americans Act in 1969. On a 75% (federal) 25% (local) match, the AoA is able to make grants for the purpose of developing and operating a program within the purposes of this Act by contracting with the State.

The conditions for award approval include the selection of an area - - a portion of a city, a city, a portion of a county, a county, a region or metropolitan area - - which contains a large number of older persons and a high percentage of low income aged.

This concept requires groups of local agencies and private sources to gather together in a consortium to formulate a comprehensive program of services aimed at meeting a significant priority need of the aged in the geographical area selected. A major emphasis in the success of such a program is cooperative and combined agency activity and joint funding arrangements.

At present, potential areawide model proposals are in varying degrees of development in San Diego, Los Angeles, San Jose and San Francisco. It is forecasted that at least two applications will be processed by the Commission in the first half of F.Y. 73.

TITLE IV

Under Title IV of the Older Americans Act of 1965, as amended, research and demonstration grants are offered to program proposals that stimulate and support orderly development of future aging programs at the state level.

In California, two such grants are currently operating. The Senior Citizens Association of Los Angeles County receives a grant for "hot meals for the elderly". The purpose of this program is to demonstrate the feasibility and acceptability of using public schools as centers for a nutrition program operating in conjunction with the adult education system. Approximately 210 persons are served weekly.

In Sacramento, the State Department of Social Welfare is the grantee for a project titled "Patterns of Aging on Welfare". The purpose of this program is to determine the relevancy of service programs from the Old Age Assistance recipients perspective as it relates to the recipients history and life cycle.

The Commission's responsibility under Title IV is limited to comment and recommendations on the original proposal.

TITLE V

Title V of the Older Americans Act provides grants to university-based career training programs in aging. Three such grants are currently operating in California.

The University of California (Berkeley), School of Social Work, receives funds to train students in the MSW program for competency in community organization work with and for older people. At present, 20 students are training in community organization; 14 of 18 graduates now work in the field of aging.

San Diego State College, School of Social Work, receives a grant to increase the number of social workers with special competence in planning and organization of services for older people and to support a field teaching center in social services for the aging as a special demonstration project in social work education; 24 graduate and 6 undergraduates are enrolled in this program. A total of 86 have completed the program, and 20 are working in the field of aging.

The University of Southern California Gerontology center is the grantee for a program aimed at offering architectual graduate students exposure to work in planning the "life space", i.e. environmental design for older persons. A total of 7 graduate students are presently enrolled; 15 graduates are all working directly or indirectly in the aging field.

The Commission's responsibility under Title V is limited to comment and recommendation on the original proposal.

TITLE VI (functions transferred from Administration on Aging to Action Agency by Executive Order)

Retired Senior Volunteer Program

With the 1969 amendments to the Older Americans Act, Title VI was added to this Act. The purpose of Title VI is to develop volunteer service opportunities in local communities for persons of retirement age. RSVP grants are provided for the development and operation of programs providing community volunteer opportunities for persons 60 years of age and over. and provide out-of-pocket expenses incurred by these volunteers.

At present, 11 RSVP programs are in operation throughout the country. One of the first grants was awarded to the Coordinating Council for Social Development, in San Diego. This program has developed volunteer opportunities for 165 aged Californians serving in 41 community agencies in San Diego. At last count, this project offers opportunities for more senior volunteers than any other RSVP program in the country.

The Commission has processed 11 new RSVP applications in recent months. At least 4 new grants will be awarded to California in the next 6 months. A local match of 10 - 25% is required.

Foster Grandparent Program

On a 90% (federal) - 10% (local) matching basis the newly formed Action Agency is authorized to

make grants with public and non-profit private agencies and organizations to develop and operate projects designed to provide opportunities for low-income persons aged 60 and over to render supportive person-to-person services in health, education, welfare and related settings to children requiring special attention. This is the Foster Grandparent concept.

The Foster Grandparent program offers a continuity of tradition and civility to special youth and provides a sources of individual attention and personalized care for children in institutional settings to help them grow physically, socially, emotionally and mentally.

The concept promotes new patterns of cooperation between professional and non-professional personnel, and like RSVP, it demonstrates the willingness and capacity of retired persons to reliably participate in community service roles on a full or part-time basis.

A foster grandparent receives an hourly stipend; a transportation allowance; an annual physical exam and meals while participating in the program.

Three Foster Grandparent Programs currently operate in California.

In San Francisco, 65 foster grandparents work with children in day homes, hospitals, centers for handicapped minors, medical centers and the youth guidance center. Several grandparents have learned to lip-read to better serve their clients.

In Pomona, 59 foster grandparents and 20 volunteers are assigned to children's wards at Pacific State Hospital for person-to-person attention.

In Stockton, 40 foster grandparents work with delinquent boys in their mid-teens at the Northern California Youth Center, which includes O. H. Close Institution and Karl Holton Institution with the goal being rehabilitation.

Three new inquiries for foster grandparent information were received in the last 30 days. New programs will be generated in the new fiscal year.

These two voluntary efforts - RSVP/Foster Grandparents - are administered through the newly created Action Agency at the federal level. With the formation of this new agency an added emphasis has been placed on these two major programs across the country. It is important to note that these programs are voluntary in character and are not intended to be classified as income maintenance activities.

SOME ACCOMPLISHMENTS

In recent months the California Commission on Aging has developed guidelines in evaluating community project proposals for funding. These guidelines were developed as a result of the Human Relations Agency Task Force Report for the Commission on Aging, dated February 22, 1971. Specifically the Commission places heavy emphasis on proposals containing income maintenance and/or housing components.

With the passage of the Emergency Employment Act of 1971, the Commission continues to advocate the usage of public service position openings at the city. county, and state level for experienced and mature job applicants.

The California Commission on Aging contracted with the Institute for Local Self Government to produce a film series adaptable to television showing. The series is titled "Strangers in our Midst". This series will be used as a promotional piece in conjunction with Senior Citizens Month in May, and to promote work in the field of aging throughout the State.

For sometime now, the Commission has recognized the need to provide linkage directly to the consumer. The best approach appears to be the formation of an Advisory Committee to the Commission. A goal of one advisory member for each 10,000 aged in California has been established. The first 14 members have been selected and an orientation meeting held.

The make-up of this committee will include heavy emphasis on consumers and will be a representative cross-section of California's aged population.

This advisory body will review the recommendations from the recent White House Conference on aging and make recommendations to the Commission. Some of the questions this group will be dealing with in regards to the recommendations are:

- . . Who is responsible for implementing conference recommendations?
- . . Who will determine priority?
- . . Who will assign responsibility for carrying out the various recommendations?
- . . Who will inform the consumer as to the cost of implementation and progress made?

In addition, the Advisory Committee will support the Commission in generating new community project proposals and informing the Commission on a continuing basis as to the status of the aged at the local level.

Of course, this year ended on a high note for aged Californians with the 2nd decennial White House Conference on Aging, held in Washington, D.C., November 28 - December 2, 1971.

To prepare for this conference some 600 local forums, workshops and meetings were held to discuss issues and recommendations to be developed on a national level. These local meetings provided valuable input for the State Pre-Conference meeting, held in Sacramento on May 24. As a result of this Statewide gathering, attended by some 1,135, California's recommendations in the aging field were ready to undergo national review

Most of California's recommendations withstood the scrutiny of 4,000 national delegates, 14 sections and a number of special concerns sessions, and were quite evident in the White House Conference recommendations distributed the last day of this national conference.

President Nixon addressed the entire delegation on December 2, and committed the administration to a nearly four-fold increase in funding to the Administration on Aging. On December 15, the President signed a supplemental appropriation bill increasing the funding level for aging programs to 100 million during Fiscal Year 72 with similar commitment in the next fiscal year.

Copies of White House Conference Recommendations may be obtained by sending 60¢ to

The Superintindent of Documents U. S. Printing Office Washington, D.C. 20205

With the passage of this supplemental appropriation the funding level to be administered by the California Commission on Aging has increased substantially.

Older Americans Act - Title III

F. Y. 72 (prior to supplemental appropriation)

Federal Grants for State & Community Programs on Aging	Federal Grant for Administration	State General Fund
\$ 603,840	\$ 75,000	\$25,000
(incl. \$1,522,350	F. Y. 72 supplemental appropriation) \$ 231,068	\$25,000
	F. Y. 73	
\$1,522,350	\$ 300,000	\$69,000

The newly formed Action Agency will allocate \$902,000 to California for Senior Volunteer Program grants during Fiscal Year 72 with a similar commitment for Fiscal Year 73. In addition, Action is prepared to fund administrative support required by the Commission to direct this program.

COUNTY	COUNTY POPULATION	PERSONS OVER 65	PERCENT OF STATEWIDE SENIOR POPULATION OVER 65	RANK IN STATE	PERCENT OF COUNTY POPULATION OVER 65
	7 000 704	00.700			9.2
Alameda	1,073,184	99,199	5.5	5 57	10.9
Alpine	484	53	NP	and the second second second second	13.6
Amador	11,821	1,613	0.1	47	14.1
Butte	101,969	14,406	2.8	22	16.4
Calaveras	13,585	2,222		44	10.7
Colusa	12,430	1,449		48	6.9
Contra Costa	558,389	38,778	1.3 H	15	9.5
Del Norte	14,580	1,389	0.1 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	49	9.7
El Dorado	43,833	4.279	0.2	38	9.4
Fresno	413,053	37,350	2.1	11	12.7
Glenn	17,521	2,210		46	9.9
Humboldt	99,962	8,665	0.5	26	7.4
Imperial	74,492	5,540	14	32	
Inyo	15,571	1,804	$oldsymbol{O}_{oldsymbol{A}}oldsymbol{A}$, which is $oldsymbol{O}_{oldsymbol{A}}oldsymbol{A}$. The contraction of	45	11.5
Kern	329,162	26,257	1.5	12	8.0
Kings	64,610	4,547	0.3	34	7.0
Lake	19,548	4,496	0.3	35	23.0
Lassen	14,960	1,358	0.1	50	9.1
Los Angeles	7.032,075	652,399	36.2	1	9.3
Madera	41,519	4.551	0.3	33	11.0
Marin	206,038	15,148	0.8	21	7.3
Mariposa	6,015	985	0.1	52	16.3
Mendocino	51,101	5,669	0.3	31	11.9
Merced	104,629	7.668	0.4	28	7.3
Modoc	7,469	892	NIP .	53	11.9
Mono	4,016	263	NP NP	56	6.5
Monterey	250,071	18,733	1.0 1.0 1.1 1.0	19	7.5
Napa	79,140	10,624	0.6	2 5	13.4
Nevada	26,346	4,462	0.3	36	16.9
Orange	1,420,386	98,431	5.5	4	7.0
Placer	77,306	7,940	0.3	27	10.2
Plumas	11.707	1,234	0.1	51	10.5
Riverside	459,074	60,583	3.4	8	1 3.1
Sacramento	631,498	44.741	2.5	9	7 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -
San Benito	18,226	1,880	NP	58	10.3
San Bernardino	684,072	65,301	3.6	6	9.5

1970 CENSUS DATA - APRIL 1970

COUNTY	COUNTY POPULATION	PERSONS OVER 65	PERCENT OF STATEWIDE SENIOR POPULATION OVER 65	RANK IN STATE	PERCENT OF COUNTY POPULATION OVER 65
San Diego	1,357,854	118,296	6.6	2	8.7
San Francisco	715,674	99,738	5.5	. 3	13.9
San Joaquin	290,208	29,676	0.2	41	10.2
San Luis Obispo	105,690	13,011	0.7	23	12.3
San Mateo	556.234	42,579	2.4	10	7.6
Santa Barbara	264,324	24,105	1.3	16	9.1
Santa Clara	1,064,714	63,963	1 1 3 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5	7	6.1
Santa Cruz	123,790	20,600	1.1	17	16.6
Shasta	77,640	6,934	0.4	30	8.9
Sierra	2,365	301	N₽	55	12.7
Siskiyou	33,225	3,772	0.2	37	11.3
Solano	169,941	11,414	0.6	24	6.7
Sonoma	204,885	26,345	1.5	13	12.8
Stanislaus	194,506	19,996	1.1	18	10.2
Sutter	41,935	3.500	0.2	39	8.3
Tehama	29,517	3,452	0.2	40	11.7
Trinity	7,615	770	0.4	54	10.1
Tulare	188,322	18,666	1.1 (1.1)	20	9.9
Tuolumne	22,169	2,758	0.2	43	12.4
Ventura	376,430	24,547	1.4	14	6.5
Yolo	91,788	6,436	0.4	29	7.1
Yuba	44,736	2,963	0.2	42	6.6
Total	19,953,134	1,800,977			
Statewide Populat	tion over 65	9%			

Legend: NP - Negligible Percentage

POINTS OF INTEREST - TITLE III COMMUNITY GRANTS

38
95%
68%
24%
54
11.4%
25.2%
0.3% 63.1%

COMPLETED TITLE III PROJECTS - FISCAL AND OPERATIONAL CHARACTERISTICS DURING GRANT PERIOD AND CURRENT

regionalists of the second of the same of the second of th	LOCATION	GRANT	1st YE	AR ii	2nd YE BUDGET		3rd YE BUDGET	, ,	NUMBER	NUMBER	Ę.	01u	Current	Current	Current	Current
	4.000	PERIOD	-\$-		-\$-		-\$-		EMPLOYED	SERVED	Public	luntary Private	Yearly	No. Paid	Number	. Funding to
of the control of the				LOCAL	FED	LOCAL	FED	September 1	Direct	+ +			Budget	Employees	Served 10000	Federal Fun
arin Co. Sr. Coord. Council	San Rafael	1/67-12/69	17554	6933	3	1 1	22154	12.50	9 1	5000	- K	x	81,500	46		4.5
D. Adult Protective Serv.	San Diego	1/67-12/69	19015	6900	17015	3000	17586	17625	3	400	×	1 to 1	101,544	87,	500	5.5
wntown Senior Center	San Francisco	7/66- 6/69	20000	11100	20000	4	22555	22555	8	800	, A	49-	45,094	3	1800	2.0
nior Center	Berkeley	7/66-6/69	15000	5000	15000	10000	18900	18900	4	1000	x l		34,000		20000	1.8
nior Center	So. Central Los Angeles	7/66-6/69	29631	12240	29631	20098	23931	29077	10	1200		x x	2,000	0	1200	0.06
nior Adult Program	Long Beach	7/66-6/69	12000	36000	12000	33000	11800	33000	2	1200		x	30,000		18000	2,5
lunteer Bureau	Sacramento	7/66-6/69	14027	4800	9819	6617			2	400	x	ж	26,000	3	1000	2,2
formation & Referral	San Mateo	7/66-6/69	9328	3290	7946	5392	13546	13546	4	2000	×	x	10,000		4000	1.0
nior Citizens Village	Fresno	1/67-12/67	6217	2913		4			1	2000		x	10,000		1100	1.6
mmunity Welfare Council	Long Beach	1/67-12/69	17000	17000	16000	17658	16150	17473	3	700		x		1	1000	0.5
hn XXIII Senior Center	San Jose	1/67-12/69	15554	5436	17554	11630	21245	21245	5	900		x		6	24000	
lt Activity Center	Paradise	10/66-9/69	10425	3580	10710	7300	11300	11300	2	1500	x		10,390	6	950	0.94
nior Center	Concord	10/66-9/69	16510	6486	14000	17600	15300	15300	2	800	×		40,000	4	2500	2.70
noma Co. Sr. Opport. Ser.	Santa Rosa	1/67-12/69	15726	7152	12079	8052	12291	14131	3	1000	k	x	54,666		5482	4.20
lunteer Bureau	. Central Los Angeles	1/67-12/69	14075	5950	14075	9	14375		3	2000	1	x	70,000	6	7500	15.00
munission on Aging	San Bernardino	1/67-12/69	12080	5883	10125	9485			1	1000		x x			200	
mmunity Service	. Mr. View	1/67-12/69	6600	2223	4000	5900			2	300		x	35,000	3	12000	6.6
cienda Senior Center	Richmond	1/67-12/69	11406	5768	6878	8352	6490	10572	2	700		x			200	
unseling & Referral	. Hollywood	1/67-12/69	15568	5189	14368	9712	15868	15868	3	300		x		2+	400	1
mmunity Ser. Plan. Council	Sacramento	1/67-12/69	11158	3905	8880	5910	8651	8651	2	1000	x	x	8,300	1	1	0.9
nior Center	Hollywood/Beverly Hills	1/67-12/69	1 1	10345	12327	32900	12873	32559	2	3000	x		29,015	4	54000	2.1
idance	Fresno	1/67-12/69	14985	5140	13620	9080	13770	13770	2	1250		x x	8,000	1	3000	0.6
. Cit. Bilingual Ser. Ctr.	East Los Angeles	6/67-6/70	1500	1520	7300	4867	7234	7300	2	600		x x	2,100	1 1	1200	0.3
rvice Center	. Santa Monica	6/68-6/71	3000	1000	10608	10300	10808	10808	3	500	×	x x		1	12000	
tirement Jobs	San Jose	6/68-6/71	5000	6000	13860	13780	1 3 /	18973	7	3000		x x	35,000	14	5500	
Reside the Telephone or any Section of the Section	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH						-7								1 3700	
		The state of the s				3	-									

Completed Title III Projects - Fiscal and Operational Characteristics During Grant Period and Current, continued Page 2

PROJECT	LOCATION	GRANT	1st YEAR BUDGET	2nd YEAR BUDGET	3rd YEAR BUDGET	NUMBER	NUMBER 😕	Jui	Current	Current	Current	Current
		PERIOD	-\$-	-\$-	-\$-	Employed	NUMBER Public R	ntary	Yearly	No. Paid	Number	Funding t
보호를 하는 것은 보안하다.		The second section of the second	FED LOCAL	FED LOCAL	FED LOCAL		to 6	. 4 .	Budget	Employees	Served	Federal F
S. M. Commission on Aging	Redwood City	6/68-6/71	8566 2856	6200 4867	3680 10346	3	1500 x		15,000	2	18500	2.5
Vara County Committee on Aging	Napa	6/68-6/71	9000 5703	11300 6658	11300 11342	3	1200 x x		4,000	1.	5000	0.4
Senior Center	Fairfield	6/68-6/71	2300 767	3852 3000	5500 5500	2	400 x	- junga	7,292	1	400	1.3
Office of Aging	San Francisco	6/68-6/71	18980 10970	19953 14717	18500 18500	2	10000 x		25,000	3	50000	1.35
Senior Center	Monterey	6/68-6/71	2300 4430	2300 5718	2300 6342	1 1	1000 x		5,395	3 1	1300	2.4
Adult Education Service	San Jose	6/68-6/71	32000 10667	32000 15455	28000 30665	3	4000 x		42,490	3.	600p	1.3
S.B. County Senior Affairs	San Bernardino	6/68-6/71	9000 9200	22914 15439	20440 20440	3	30000 x	х			36000	
Senior Center	Central Los Angeles	6/68-6/71	34000 11333	23420 29000	29000 29250	4	3000 x		19,205	4	1000b	0.65
McArthur Senior Center	W. Central Los Angeles	6/68-6/71	17300 17796	17300 13200	15000 16450	2	2000 ×		29,015	4	40000	1.8
Senior Community Action	Santa Barbara	6/68-6/71	4600 1620	9730 6498	9730 10296	4	3000 x x		118,800	3	17000	12.1
Senior Leisure Time	Citrus Heights	6/68-6/71	2300 1794	2627 2600	2300 5288	1	700 x x	41341.	7,423	5	231	3.2
Commission on Aging	Alhambra	6/68-6/71	2300 1140	2480 2068	2480 2483	1	1000 x		2,400	1	2400	1.0
Senior Service & Employment	Stockton	6/68-6/71	4000 4450	15165 10735	15165	3.1	1500 x x		15000	3	1500	1.0
Sec. 1. 1. Separating the section of					4			1				4-1
											A Comment	
					Ha hak			-				
										1 1 1 1 1 1		
and the second s				appendighe statement to be some test and by some	and the state of t	1 1 1 1						
					3				and the second s			
				9		The state of the s						
		100 mm						The second second		almand plan in Sund		
								444				
					The state of the s	Table Silvers						
						Name of the last o					Zala ja likka	

PROJECT	GRANT PERIOD	1st YEAR FUNDING -\$-		FUNDING -\$-		3rd YE FUNDIN	G -\$-	EMPLOYEES	BLACK	SENIORS SERV	AMERICAN			
	- Para conferma in the summer of the	Jan Jana	Fed	Local	Fed	Local	Fed	Local	Direct	BLACK	SURNAME	INDIAN	OTHER	TOTAL
atelite Food Service	San Francisco	6/69-6/72	24340	21040	24300	20595	26000	39000	district the second				Transferred and the second	The second secon
enior Center	Sanger	6/69-6/72	12353	6794	12650	8430	11611	11611	. 2	110	50		750	910
ommunity Representative	Dinuba	6/69-6/72	2909	1832	2800	2128	2818	2870	1		52	4	294	350
. Employment Service	Stockton	6/69-6/72	4405	2610	4500	3000	3750	5370	2		70		630	700
ommunity Representative	Coalinga	6/69-6/72	3837	1360	4368	2912	3640	3640	1	100	400		1000	1500
enior Information Office	Merced	6/69-6/72	16992	12065	16992	12744	16992	16992	4			18	520	538
dult Center	Chico	6/69-6/72	26610	10426	15000	20323	15000	21393	4	15	30		1455	1500
enior Center	Broderick/Bryte	6/69-6/72	4600	3750	4900	4100	5200	5205	2	15	150	2	333	500
enior Center	Visalia	6/69-6/72	14866	5742	14866	9911	14510	24398	3	30	1000		3000	4030
enior Center	Santa Cruz	6/69-6/72	17082	8200	17802	18360	17843	18259	5	25	19		965	1000
enior Center	E. Central Los Angeles	6/69-6/72	19048	8300	15000	11638	13890	13890	1		400		300	700
enior Center	S. Central L. A. (Watts)	6/69-6/72	25092	8400	17230	15270	15924	17630	3	980	20			1000
enior Center	East Los Angeles	6/69-6/72	19048	8300	15000	11116	13590	13590	1		1764	es la largada lagada	36	1800
enior Center	Capitola	6/69-6/72	2340	864	4683	4878	4682	4932	2				2000	2000
enior Center	Oakland	6/69-6/72	15905	6475	15905	21981	15095	28864	3	250	25	_	845	1120
Model Cities Sr. Activities	San Jose	6/69-6/72	14136	5000	14136	10817	14000	14000	4	21	630		49	700
enior Center	West Oakland	6/69-6/72	7530	3000	7280	5232	7280	7610	2	630		e a la característica de la ca	70	700
enior Center	Seaside	6/69-6/72	2380	4000	4000	8120	5950	9076	2	300	120	30	1050	1500
Community Representative	Woodlake	6/69-6/72	2605	2260	2900	2260	2675	2675	1		250		250	500
enior Center	Vacaville	6/69-6/72	9798	6044	7750	6744	8129	8129	1		50		500	550
enior Center	Walnut Creek	6/69-6/72	5300	5046	5300	8830	5300	10040	3		The second secon		1824	1824
Community Representative	Placetville	6/69-6/72	2300	860	2300	750	2300	2300	1	50	100	25	1825	2000
urreach Services	San Fernando	6/69-6/72	2580	7512	2580	7512	2580	7512	1		356		4	360
eg. Senior Center	East Los Angeles	6/69-6/72	13248	21105	13248	21105	16455	19804	2	40	13082		200	13322
			11											

DJECT	LOCATION	GRANT PERIOD	1st YEAR FUNDING -\$- Fed Local	2nd YEAR 3rd YEAR EMPLOYEES FUNDING -\$- FUNDING -\$- Fed Local Fed Local Direct	SENIORS SERV	SPANISH SURNAME	AMERICAN INDIAN	OTHER	TOTAL
formation Center	Escondido	6/69-6/72	15000 5995	12000 8000 9931 9931 4		15	3	2982	3000
nior Center	Santa Clara	6/69-6/72	7560 12727	7260 15337 7260 17595 3	50	400	3	3247	3700
nior Center	Chula Vista	6/69-6/72	7380 3300	7380 17060 3250 14784 3		20		2980	3000
mmunity Representative	Gilroy	6/69-6/72	2280 800	2280 1500 2080 2080 1		40		210	250
mmunity Representative	Salinas	6/69-6/72	4560 2126	4560 3056 4560 4566 2	30	250		120	400
treach Services	Susanville	6/69-6/72	10993 4725	10000 7328 10000 10750 2	86	18	100	466	670
nior Center	Compton	6/69-6/72	14965 6500	14000 9335 14965 14965 3	1600	150		50	1800
alth Infomation Services	Central Los Angeles	6/69-6/72	38120 21316	35000 24792 31050 31680 17	3000	3750	A State of	8250	15000
mmunity Representative	Mountgin View	7/70-6/73	2300 830	2500 1700		100		400	500
treach Services	Rodeo	7/70-6/73	767.0 4454	8940 6110 2		35		345	380
treach Services	Central Los Angeles	7/70-6/73	17500 6840	18780 28443 4	4.	90	9	799	902
treach Services	Redding	7/70-6/73	6680 2260	6680 4455 1	35	50		665	750
nior Center	Ventura	7/70-6/73	7000 18843	8145 22765	30	1000		6970	8000
nior Center	Central San Diego	7/70-6/73	15000 24000	15000 21943 1	35	50		665	750
nior Employment	Central Los Angeles	7/70-6/73	29000 19200	23600 15750 6	3100	1300		6700	11100
ommunity Representative	San Carlos	4/71-3/74	2300 2520					219	219
enior Center	Venice	4/71-3/74	16000 16000					750	750
enior Center	San Leandro	4/71-3/74	16000 20305		5	100	5	1890	2000
o. Planning & Coordination	San Diego	4/71-3/74	11500 42716						
odel Cities Sr. Serv. & Act.	Fresno	4/71-3/74	4600 6500		900	375		225	1500
itreach Services	Santa Ana	4/71-3/74	10000 3640	2	25	200		775	1000
ounty Planning & Coordination	Monterey	4/71-3/74	8000 9300	2					
ounty Planning & Coordination	San Jose	4/71-3/74	16000 7745	3			1.0		
enior Center	Culver City	10/71-9/74	18000 26850	3	750	1800		4650	7200

enior Center enior Center r. Ctr & Outreach Services utreach Services (Chinatown)	Davis Madera W. San Francisco	10/71-9/74 10/71-9/74	Fed Local 13239 32537		Direct	BLACK	SURNAME	INDIAN	OTHER	TOTAL
ducation & Training Serv.	San Francisco Menlo Park	10/71-9/74 10/71-9/74 1/72-12/74	7740 6484 24060 8428 16854 6400 14670 5900		3 3 6 2 3	150 320 855	360 64 27	90 1216	2400 1600 18 8000 295	3000 3200 900 8000
eal Service	Palo Alto	1/72-12/74	8853 3020		3	15	18	2	65	100
					The state of the s					

BRIEF DESCRIPTION - TITLE III COMMUNITY PROJECTS

PROJECT: Service Program for Older San Franciscans

ADDRESS: 1400 Geary Boulevard, San Francisco

DIRECTOR: Dr. David DeMarche

PURPOSE: To prepare low-price basic meals for the aged in San Francisco. The meals vary from standard prepared foods, cooked in the central facility at 1400 Geary and sent by van to designated dining centers, to ethnic foods prepared for Chinese by Chinese cooks.

PROJECT: Sanger Multi-Purpose Center Program

ADDRESS: 1821 Jensen Avenue, Sanger

DIRECTOR: Nancy Scranton

PURPOSE: To coordinate the many senior services and activities within the area to eliminate duplication of costs and efforts, and enable resources to be directed toward upgrading the quality of services and activities. Primary emphasis in the early months will be on Sanger city seniors; however, those in the surrounding service-area are included and encouraged to participate.

PROJECT: Community Representative ADDRESS: 1390 Elizabeth Way, Dinuba

DIRECTOR: George W. Dickey

PURPOSE: To further activities, programs, and centers for senior citizens by first encouraging them to participate in Center activities. Those with leadership ability will be especially encouraged so they can assist in developing programs in the area. This will lead to the identification of the problems of the aged, and the coordination of efforts of all in the area to solve them.

PROJECT: Careers for the Retired, Inc.

ADDRESS: Clark Hotel, 114 South Sutter Street, Stockton

DIRECTOR: Kathryn S. Higgins

PURPOSE: To secure employment for seniors by establishing a program to make the community aware of the experience and ability of seniors, preparing, through counseling, these seniors for their new roles in retirement.

PROJECT: Coalinga Community Representative ADDRESS: 156 West Elm Street, Coalinga

DIRECTOR: Robert Collins (Acting)

PURPOSE: To assist the city, schools, library, hospital, adult organizations, and social service agencies in providing coordinated programs that are of benefit to the aged in this rural community.

PROJECT: Merced County Senior Citizens Project ADDRESS: American Legion Building, Merced

DIRECTOR: Peter Morris

PURPOSE: To augment present activities and programs, and to provide social services for seniors by establishing a Merced Senior Citizens Office.

PROJECT: Senior Adult Center

ADDRESS: 621 1/2 Mangrove Avenue, Chico

DIRECTOR: Ruth Mattsson

PURPOSE: To tie together and expand senior activities for seniors by expanding services in a multipurpose center, making full use of volunteers and taking advantage of the gerontology students trained at Chico State College. PROJECT: Broderick-Bryte Senior Citizen Center

ADDRESS: 110 Sixth Street, Broderick

DIRECTOR: Phoebe German

PURPOSE: To institute and conduct a multi-purpose center program in a low-income area with many men who were not used to leisure time before retirement.

PROJECT: Senior Citizen Multi-Purpose Center Program

ADDRESS: 203 North East Third Avenue, Visalia

DIRECTOR: Mary C. Jack

PURPOSE: To increase and coordinate programs for senior citizens of this agricultural community, with virtually all agencies supporting the project; to encourage such specialized ongoing research in health needs being conducted by local doctors, and expediting service for prevention and treatment in such matters as senior mental health.

PROJECT: Multi-Purpose Centers of Santa Cruz County

ADDRESS: 846 Front Street, Santa Cruz

DIRECTOR: Daniel Fillmore

PURPOSE: To launch and conduct senior centers in the communities of the county. To establish a Santa Cruz
County Commission on Aging of approximately 15 members to serve as an advisory council for the
Board of Supervisors to insure continuance and expansion of the program.

PROJECT: Highland Park Area Senior Citizens Center

ADDRESS: 5019 York Boulevard, Los Angeles

DIRECTOR: Nancy Carter

PURPOSE: To institute a multi-purpose center for seniors, with a high concentration of Italian people among them; to find new quarters to replace the 50 year-old facility formerly used and no longer large enough; to involve neighborhood organizations in the program. The Chamber of Commerce is taking the lead, and has highly praised the project.

PROJECT: Watts Area Senior Citizens Center ADDRESS: 9916 Maie Avenue, Los Angeles

DIRECTOR: Frank Britto

PURPOSE: To commence a multi-purpose center program in an area 98% black. With untold thousands of seniors concentrated in a four square-mile area, being mindful that many of the seniors are reticent about organizations; to offer as quickly as possible services in health, transportation, nutrition, and employment, and friendly visitors.

PROJECT: East Los Angeles Area Senior Citizen Center

ADDRESS: 2900 Euclid Place, Los Angeles

DIRECTOR: Rudolph Reves

PURPOSE: To provide a multi-purpose facility for senior citizens living in the area, a potential of 4,000 persons per month, of whom 98% are Mexican-heritage with great need for bi-lingual assistance in matters involving agencies or services.

PROJECT: Mid-County Senior Center

ADDRESS: Bay and Capitola Avenue, Capitola

DIRECTOR: Violet C. Battistini

PURPOSE: To widen an existing multi-purpose center program. The center being incorporated as a non-profit group and receiving cooperation of 55 agencies, mayor, city officials, and businessmen, as well as students who participate in center programs and activities.

PROJECT: Senior Action Project
ADDRESS: 420 15th Street, Oakland

DIRECTOR: Leslie L. Sauer

PURPOSE: To expand 8 and start 5 new senior activity centers by using available church facilities. Two centers serve predominately black and one center serves oriental seniors. Project will cooperate with Merritt College training program for aides.

PROJECT: San Jose Out-Reach Program Within the Model Cities Neighborhoods

ADDRESS: 489 Almaden Avenue, San Jose

DIRECTOR: Olive Fritz

PURPOSE: To facilitate and encourage participation by older residents of Mexican and black communities in neighborhood-based programs for senior citizens, involving 25 agencies with a multi-team approach using specialists in areas of health, housing, recreation, nutrition to reach the needy in dilapidated neighborhoods.

PROJECT: West Oakland Senior Citizens Center

ADDRESS: 791 12th Street, Oakland

DIRECTOR: Lessie MacDowell

PURPOSE: To engage the elderly in social and self-help program in a low-income area, approximately 90% Black, with cooperation of Model Cities, OEDCI, West Oakland Health Center, Neighborhood Service Program, and Board of Education.

PROJECT: Del Monte Villa Senior Center

ADDRESS: Broadway and Ancon Streets, Seaside

DIRECTOR: Miss Lois Baughman

PURPOSE: To offer a central service agency and facility to bring closer together the community and senior citizens, furnishing seniors a single place where they can find leisure-time activity, or assistance in solving their more serious problems.

PROJECT: Senior Citizens Community Representative

ADDRESS: 450 Sequoia Street. Woodlake

DIRECTOR: Leo Robinson

PURPOSE: To promote and coordinate programs and services for older citizens, of whom 150 are served at present. Leadership in developing a program has been provided by a Senior hired on a part-time basis.

PROJECT: Vacaville Senior Center
ADDRESS: 411 Kendal Street, Vacaville

DIRECTOR: Robert W. Brown

PURPOSE: To bring increasing numbers of seniors together in a central place open five days weekly; to provide health, insurance, income maintenance, and other information and referral services; to provide volunteers to serve worth-while community projects.

PROJECT: Senior Citizens Club and Center ADDRESS: 1385 Civic Drive, Walnut Creek

DIRECTOR: Louise Gillette

PURPOSE: To broaden services of an existing multi-purpose center, helping Seniors develop leadership so they can help themselves or others, and assisting in many community services, especially hospitals; nationwide attention has been given this project's volunteers.

PROJECT: Community Representative

ADDRESS: 842 Pacific Street, Placerville

DIRECTOR: Lois Lazovich

PURPOSE: To start a program so the community becomes aware of the problems facing its seniors. Services in Placerville, Georgetown, and Omo Ranch; with the continuing cooperation of social agencies, Grange, and Farm Bureau.

PROJECT: SENIOR CITIZENS PROGRAM

ADDRESS: 208 Park Avenue, San Fernando

DIRECTOR: David Watt

PURPOSE: To serve semior citizens in two neighborhoods, near Recreation Park and Las Palmas Park, the latter with a 99% Mexican-heritage population; programs will start with leisure-time activities and lead into social services, which encourages volunteers to help others.

PROJECT: East Los Angeles Regional Senior Citizens Center

ADDRESS: 3864 Whittier Boulevard, Los Angeles

DIRECTOR: Edward C. Keiper

PURPOSE: To enlarge and coordinate use of the center by users, 90% Mexican-heritage, who are presently grouped in four clubs.

PROJECTS: Senior Citizens Expanded Services Program

ADDRESS: 210 Park Avenue, Escondido

DIRECTOR: Dee Furnal

PURPOSE: To open a referral center to assist aged by making available information on housing, health care education, and financial problems.

PROJECT: Santa Clara Senior Citizens Center ADDRESS: 930 Bellomy Street, Santa Clara

DIRECTOR: Dwight Martin

PURPOSE: To extend service programs and activities for seniors by expanding training programs for seniors volunteers, students, and professionals so as to better develop the senior program. The City Council recently approved construction of a community senior center for the sole use of seniors.

PROJECT: Norman Park Center Senior Citizens Program

ADDRESS: 270 F Street, Chula Vista

DIRECTOR: Joan Nicholson

PURPOSE: To furnish senior citizens with a variety of activities, educational opportunities, and volunteer service potentialitites, considerable activity by seniors for benefit of retarded children, welfare rest homes, Naval Hospital; and in the Free Wheels Program (transportation for cancer patients).

PROJECT: Health Education for Senior Citizens

ADDRESS: 220 North Broadway, Los Angeles

DIRECTOR: B. A. Kolden, M.D.

PURPOSE: To acquaint senior citizens with health services, 20% Black, 25% Mexican-heritage; by using

16 community representatives who assist in sending experts to senior gathering places to give

programs on preventive medicine (nutrition, arthritis, quackery, cancer, chronic ailments, etc.)

and to make available person-to-person contact with seniors through their center leaders when

help is needed on this basis.

PROJECT: Senior Citizens Community Representative Program

ADDRESS: 201 South Rengstorff Avenue, Mountain View

DIRECTOR: Merle Butler

PURPOSE: To compose, organize, and conduct programs, including the planning with seniors to help them initiate programs according to their needs, whereby agencies assist seniors, and seniors assist agencies with a committee comprised of seniors guidng the project.

PROJECT: Tri-City Project on Aging ADDRESS: 525 Second Avenue, Rodeo

DIRECTOR: Elizabeth Fielding

PURPOSE: To aim at reactivation of seniors limited in opportunities in the Tri-City area of Crockett,

Rodeo, and Pinole; by use of a half-time project director. Activities and programs of these
three small communities will be coordinated to effectively serve areas that otherwise might
lack sufficient resources.

PROJECT: Program of Retired Citizens

ADDRESS: 813 South Hope Street, Los Angeles

DIRECTOR: Lois Hamer

PURPOSE: To seek out older persons and make them aware of services available, and help them take advantage of such services, such as a full-time registered nurse, adult education classes, information and referral counseling involving some 67 agencies, and Mid-Wilshire Program of Nutrition handled by

PROJECT: Golden Umbrella, Inc. ADDRESS: 1134 Pine Street, Redding

DIRECTOR: Louise Rall

PURPOSE: To deal specifically with the problems of isolation and attempt to involve seniors in a variety of activities.

PROJECT: Senior Services Center

ADDRESS: 420 East Santa Clara Street, Ventura

DIRECTOR: Thor Olsen

PURPOSE: To develop and coordinate programs, services, and activities by the various agencies interested in the seniors of the community serving seniors with health, nutrition, and information and referral activities scheduled for special interest.

PROJECT: Inter-Faith Community Center for Older Persons

ADDRESS: 349 Cedar Street, San Diego

DIRECTOR: Sue Hart

PURPOSE: To fund a multi-purpose senior center to serve as a central agency to plan, coordinate, and carry-out programs and essential services for tho aged. Several churches are on the Board of Directors. Early attention is to plan with a hospital for free physical check-ups for seniors. An experienced nutritionist will donate her time. College students will assist outreach programs.

PROJECT: C.E.A.R. - - Continued Employment and Activity for Retired

ADDRESS: 601 South Kingsley Drive, Los Angeles

DIRECTOR: Martin Bonar

PURPOSE: To unearth new jobs or second careers for retirees who wish to have employment on a part-time basis; and to search out industries for new opportunities for senior citizens in employment.

PROJECT: Senior Citizens Community Representatives

ADDRESS: 666 Elm Street, San Carlos

DIRECTOR: Jerry Agrella

PURPOSE: To assist in the development of a community senior program including leisure time activities, information and referral and employment opportunities.

PROJECT: Shoreline West (Venice) area Shoreline Center

ADDRESS: Recreation Department, Room 1441, Kajima Building, 250 East Ninth, Los Angeles

DIRECTOR: Richard Dietz

PURPOSE: To provide services to seniors in the area, including leisure time activities, educational opportunities and to provide information and referral services through the provision of services to reach either isolated and/or independent seniors.

PROJECT: Senior Activities Center

ADDRESS: 16248 Caroline Street, San Leandrof

DIRECTOR: Mrs. Salome Phillips

PURPOSE: To coordinate and plan for the senior needs by provision of services to isolated individuals such as transportation and leisure time activities.

PROJECT: Office of Senior Citizens Affairs ADDRESS: 1955 Fourth Avenue, San Diego

DIRECTOR: Richard Thompson

PURPOSE: To provide coordination and planning for meeting the needs of the seniors. To compile statistical data on needs and resources available. To assist in the provision of services to seniors and make recommendations for new and needed comprehensive services to meet needs.

PROJECT: Model Cities Senior Citizens ADDRESS: 450 Fresno Street, Fresno

DIRECTOR: John De La Cruz

PURPOSE: To coordinate resources of the community to the needs of the Model City area senior citizens.

To provide information and referral services, leisure time activities in neighborhood centers and to provide a hot meal program for seniors.

PROJECT: Older American Center for Community Referral

ADDRESS: 2210 E. 1st St., Suite 104, Santa Ana

DIRECTOR: Mrs. Michelle Layton

PURPOSE: To provide information and referral services for seniors throughout the county. Coordinate resource data for seniors and document the gaps in services for the needs of seniors in the county.

PROJECT: The Alliance on Aging Community Mobilization

ADDRESS: 980 Fremont Street, Monterey

DIRECTOR: Mrs. Carol Herring

PURPOSE: To coordinate community resources for seniors in the area of health, housing, financial counseling, education, spiritual, and leisure time. To assist in the development of new services to meet the needs for older people on a community-wide basis.

PROJECT: Project on Aging

ADDRESS: 277 W. Hedding Street, San Jose

DIRECTOR: William Kanoskie

PURPOSE: Through specific studies develop a survey of needs for seniors and to establish priorities for these needs. To coordinate resources available for the aging population for the county and to serve as a catalyst for the development of new resources to meet the needs of senior citizens.

PROJECT: Culver City Senior Citizens Program ADDRESS: 4117 Overland Avenue, Culver City

DIRECTOR:

PURPOSE: To develop a multi-purpose center to meet the needs of the seniors residing in their community, including information and referral services, employment opportunities, educational opportunities voluntary opportunities, involvement in community activities, and recreation and leisure time activities.

PROJECT: Senior Citizens Fulfillment Project

ADDRESS: 117 F Street, Davis

DIRECTOR: Jack Waldorf

PURPOSE: To develop a multi-purpose center to provide information and referral services, transportation services, health services, nutrition services, adult education, community senior opportunities and leisure time activities.

PROJECT: Madera Council for the Aged ADDRESS: 406 East Yosemite, Madera

DIRECTOR: Robert H. Kelley

PURPOSE: To develop three multi-purpose centers within the county that will provide information and and referral services, leisure time activities, transportation and the development of voluntary opportunities.

PROJECT: Western Addition Senior Citizens Service Center

ADDRESS: 1453 Fillmore, San Francisco

DIRECTOR: Mrs. Vivian Williams

PURPOSE: To provide information and referral, outreach services, hot meals services, transportation for the seniors in the Western Addition area.

PROJECT: Senior Consumer Assistance and Educational Project

ADDRESS: 3 Old China Town Lane, San Francisco

DIRECTOR: Sam Yuen

PURPOSE: To educate and assist seniors in the many aspects of consumer problems. Serve as an advocate for the consumer to increase the buying power for those on limited incomes.

PROJECT: Older People United for Service (OPUS)

ADDRESS: 800 Middle Avenue, Menlo Park

DIRECTOR: Father Joseph Otte

PURPOSE: To establish a cadre of senior citizen instructors, who when trained will provide leadership to other seniors in preparation for community service, employment and leadership roles.

PROJECT: Downtown Palo Alto Luncheon Program

ADDRESS: 555 Waverly Street, Palo Alto

DIRECTOR: Jody Sullivan

PURPOSE: Provide an inexpensive, nourishing meal three days per week for low income senior citizens residing in Palo Alto.

OFFICIALLY DESIGNATED GOVERNMENTAL UNITS ON AGING

PLACER

Placer County Council on Aging Auburn Recreation District Auburn

EL DORADO

El Dorado Council on Aging 842 Pacific Street Placerville

YOLO

Davis Advisory Board for Senior Citizens c/o Recreation Department 226 "F" Street Davis

NAPA

Napa County Council on Aging 575 Jefferson Street Napa

MARIN

Marin Senior Coordinating Council, Inc. 930 Tamapalias Avenue San Rafael

SAN FRANCISCO

Commission on Aging Mayor's Office City Hall San Francisco

SAN MATEO

San Mateo County Commission on Aging County Court House Redwood City

SANTA CLARA

San Jose Senior Citizens Commission 199 N. 3rd Street San Jose

Palo Alto Senior Coordinating Council 2080 Tasso Palo Alto

SANTA CRUZ

Santa Cruz County Commission on Senior Affairs 846 Front Street Santa Cruz

CONTRA COSTA

Contra Costa Council on Aging County Court House Martinez

STANISLAUS .

Stanislaus Commission on Aging County Court House Modesto

MERCED

Merced Senior Citizens Advisory Committee Merced County Park and Recreation Department County Court House Merced

MADERA

Madera County Council on Aging c/o Recreation and Park Department 205 4th Street Madera

FRESNO

Fresno Commission on Aging Mayor's Office City Hall Fresno

Sanger Committee on Aging 1821 Jensen Sanger

Reedley Commission on Aging c/o Recreation and Park Department City Hall Reedley

Coalinga Commission on Aging City Hall Coalinga

TULARE

Visalia Commission on Aging 203 N. W. 3rd Street Visalia

VENTURA

Ventura County Council on Aging P. O. Box 1544
Ventura 93001

LOS ANGELES

Los Angeles County Committee on Affairs of Aging 601 South Kingsley Los Angeles

Los Angeles County Department of Senior Citizens Affairs 601 South Kingsley Drive Los Angeles

Mayor's Office on Aging City Hall Los Angeles

RIVERSIDE

Riverside County Commission on Senior Affairs 4060 Orange Riverside

SAN DIEGO

Office of Senior Citizen Affairs 1955 4th Avenue San Diego

Mayor's Senior Citizen Council on Aging Mayor's Office, City Hall San Diego

IMPERIAL

Senior Affairs County of Imperial 795 Main Street El Centro

OTHER OPERATING COUNCILS, COMMISSIONS OR COMMITTEES ON AGING

SHASTA

Shasta County Council on Aging 3613 Bechelli Lane Redding

BUTTE

Chico Area Council on Aging, Inc. 488 East 3rd Street Chico

Oroville Committee on Aging 137 Canyon Highlands Orville

Paradise Council on Aging 6626 Sky Way Paradise

YUBA AND SUTTER

Sutter-Yuba Aging American Committee 1204 East Street Marysville

SACRAMENTO

Community Services Planning Council, Inc. Committee on Aging 1010 24th Street Sacramento

SONOMA

Sonoma County Council on Aging 638 5th Street Santa Rosa

SAN FRANCISCO

Catholic Committee on Aging 50 Oak Street, Room 202 San Francisco

SANTA CLARA

Social Planning Council of Santa Clara County Committee on Aging 277 West Hedding Street San Jose

MONTEREY

Alliance on Aging 980 Fremont Monterey

ALAMEDA

Southern Alameda County Committee on Aging 16248 Carolyn Street San Leandro

Alameda Committee on Aging 2226 Santa Clara Avenue Alameda

Oakland Commission on Aging 540 21st Street Oakland

Berkeley Commission on Aging 1849 University Avenue Berkeley

FRESNO

Council of Older Americans Organizations 1259 Broadway Fresno

KINGS

Kings County Commission on Aging 175 Birch Street Hanford 93230

SAN BERNARDINO

County Association for Senior Affairs 104 South Mount Vernon San Bernardino

Committee on Aging San Bernardino County Council of Community Services 1700 North E Street, Suite 106 San Bernardino

LOS ANGELES

Affiliated Committees on Aging 601 South Kingsley Drive Los Angeles

Five Cities Committee on Aging 3649-A E. Slauson Avenue Maywood

Pomona Valley Council 595 E. Alvarado Pomona

Centinela Valley Committee on Aging 420 N. Market Street, #29 Inglewood

Los Angeles, continued:

Compton Committee on Aging 2241 Stockwell Street Compton

Crenshaw Committee on Aging 2402 Wellington Road Los Angeles

South Central Committee on Aging 194 W. 41st Street Los Angeles

Southwest Committee on Aging 5207 Latham Los Angeles

Watts Committee on Aging 123 E. 92nd Street Los Angeles

Chinese Committee on Aging 923 North Broadway Los Angeles

East Los Angeles Committee on Aging 1121 South Goodrich Boulevard Los Angeles 90022

Hollywood-Wilshire Committee on Aging 5515 Franklin Avenue Hollywood

Japanese Committee on Aging 3932 Woolwine Drive Los Angeles

Lincoln Heights Committee on Aging 1030 North Brittania Street, #209 Los Angeles

Los Angeles, continued:

Montebello Committee on Aging 912 Whittier Boulevard Montebello

Long Beach Committee on Aging 3632 Rose Avenue Long Beach

Harbor Area Committee on Aging Banning Community Adult School 1500 N. Avalon Boulevard Wilmington

Santa Monica Committee on Aging 855 6th Street Santa Monica

Torrance Committee on Aging 1630 Monterey Hermosa Beach

West Los Angeles Committee on Aging 1731 Camden Avenue Los Angeles

Alhambra Committee on Aging 1428 South Marengo Avenue Alhambra

Antelope Valley Committee on Aging 45102 North Redwood Avenue Lancaster

Pasadena Committee on Aging 118 Oak Knoll South Pasadena

San Fernando Valley Committee on Aging 18855 Van Owen Street, #7 Reseda

Los Angeles, continued:

Florence-Firestone Committee on Aging 1343 East 59th Place Los Angeles

SAN DIEGO

C.O.M.P.S. 1222 1st Avenue San Diego

San Diego Senior Citizens President's Council 1222 1st Avenue San Diego

San Diego Commission on Aging 640 Redwood San Diego

Senior Citizen Advisory Committee 640 Redwood San Diego


North County Senior Advisory Council 210 Park Avenue Escondido

IMPERIAL


Senior Affairs County of Imperial 795 Main Street El Centro

This listing is not intended to be entirely complete.

CURRENT POSITIONS



POSITIONS PLANNED FISCAL YEAR 73



EEA - Emergency Employment Act 1971

SUMMARY OF RECENT AGING REPORT AND STUDIES

Report on Older Population Needs, Resources, and Services

by

Gerontology Center

University of Southern California at Los Angeles

Report contains information which is utilized to determine the characteristics, interests and needs of those persons over the age of 55 in the Los Angeles County Model Neighborhood area. It also contains analysis of the available supportive services and resources in the area and compares them with the needs of the older population. Programs are recommended to meet the needs of older persons and to establish service priorities.

The Golden Years. . . A Tarnished Myth

by

Project FIND, Office of Economic Opportunity

The Project FIND Report is a compilation of statistics, case interviews and narratives gathered in a dozen sections of the country, which discloses the conditions under which a great number of Americans live out their older years.

The data touches on many different aspects of the daily lives of the thousands of older people interviewed. It presents a mosaic of need which shows that for millions of older people the "Golden Years" is a tarnished myth, and that poverty is the daily reality of their lives.

Problems of the Elderly

by

Cyril Roseman Associates

Hayward, California

Report offers a quantative analysis of Hayward's current elderly population with projections indicating the probable age distribution on the city by 1990.

An attempt is made to acquaint the reader with the general needs of older people in the United States by exploring the various parameters of social concern among the elderly - health, housing, employment, income, interpersonal relations, transportation, and recreation.

A discussion of the current community response to the elderly's problem in Hayward with particular attention given to the gaps between the current needs of the elderly population and Hayward's available resources.

The Chico Study on Aging

by

University of California Extension, Davis

for

Administration on Aging

The research reported here attempts to determine what options for living, Chico promises older persons of varying backgrounds, and what methodology could be developed so other communities may use this format in similar studies.

The study involves more than a survey of Chico; it also includes the aging resident's own perception of his town and its resources. Conversely, the study explores attitudes and opinions of the service groups and agencies toward the elderly in Chico, California

Who are the Senior Center Participants?
A Constituency Study
by

Dr. David F, DeMarche Financed by California Commission on Aging

The constituency study was conducted to provide a better understanding of the older persons now being served by the many senior centers in San Francisco. The study provides information on the age, sex, marital status, place of residence, length of residence in San Francisco, type of living arrangements, income status, and source income.

The study provides useful information for identifying geographic gaps in service, as well as a planning tool for individual centers. It also provides a format for gathering information on a continuing basis.

Older Americans: Special Handling Required

Marjorie Bloomberg Tiven

for

The National Council on the Aging

Identification and discussion of crucial issues relevant to older people is conducted. The scope of the study is broad - - income, special problems of minority group members and urban and rural residents, health, institutional care, alternatives to institutional care, social services, transportation and mobility, housin the law, consumer problems, employment, retirement roles, and social and community action. The study also discusses areas where major efforts at solutions have been made - - such as Social Security retirement benefits and Old Age Assistance. In other areas such as transportation and mobility, emphasis is placed on

defining the nature and scope of the problem.

Review provided with the assistance of California Specialists on Aging

Will day Win

ALIHAD SHLYFONOD