

Ronald Reagan Presidential Library  
Digital Library Collections

---

This is a PDF of a folder from our textual collections.

---

**Collection:**

Executive Secretariat, National Security Council: Country File

**Folder Title:**

INDIA (08/17 /1984-10/29/1984)

**Box:** Box 36

---

To see more digitized collections visit:

<https://www.reaganlibrary.gov/archives/digitized-textual-material>

To see all Ronald Reagan Presidential Library Inventories, visit:

<https://www.reaganlibrary.gov/archives/white-house-inventories>

Contact a reference archivist at: [reagan.library@nara.gov](mailto:reagan.library@nara.gov)

Citation Guidelines: <https://reaganlibrary.gov/archives/research-support/citation-guide>

National Archives Catalogue: <https://catalog.archives.gov/>

# WITHDRAWAL SHEET

## Ronald Reagan Library

**Collection Name** EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT, NSC: COUNTRY FILE

**Withdrawer**

CAS 9/27/2006

**File Folder** INDIA (08/17/1984-10/29/1984)

**FOIA**

1996-127/3

**Box Number** 36

BATTLE

32

ID	Doc Type	Document Description	No of Pages	Doc Date	Restrictions
27835	MEMO	GEOFFREY KEMP, ROGER ROBINSON TO ROBERT MCFARLANE RE ADB/INDIA <b>R 7/3/2012 F1996-127/3</b>	3	8/17/1984	B1
27836	MEMO	DONALD REGAN TO WILLIAM MCFARLANE RE ASIAN DEVELOPMENT BANK LENDING TO INDIA	4	ND	B1
27837	TALKING POINTS	FOR USE WITH MCFARLANE (ATTACHMENT TO #27836)	1	ND	B1
27838	CHART	RE ASIAN DEVELOPMENT BANK (ATTACHMENT TO #27836)	1	ND	B1
27839	NOTE	ROGER ROBINSON TO JOHN POINDEXTER RE ATTACHED MEMO	1	8/17/1984	B1
27840	DRAFT MEMO	GEOFFREY KEMP, ROGER ROBINSON TO ROBERT MCFARLANE RE ADB/INDIA (SIMILAR TO #27835) <b>R 7/3/2012 F1996-127/3</b>	2	8/17/1984	B1
27841	DRAFT MEMO	TO GEORGE SHULTZ AND DONALD REGAN RE ADB/INDIA (ATTACHMENT TO #27840) <b>R 7/3/2012 F1996-127/3</b>	2	ND	B1

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]

B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]

B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]

B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]

B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]

B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]

B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]

B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

# WITHDRAWAL SHEET

## Ronald Reagan Library

**Collection Name** EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT, NSC: COUNTRY FILE

**Withdrawer**

CAS 9/27/2006

**File Folder** INDIA (08/17/1984-10/29/1984)

**FOIA**

1996-127/3

**Box Number** 36

BATTLE

32

ID	Doc Type	Document Description	No of Pages	Doc Date	Restrictions
27842	DRAFT MEMO	TO GEORGE SHULTZ, DONALD REGAN, AND DAVID STOCKMAN RE ADB/INDIA (SAME TEXT AS #27841) <i>R 7/3/2012 F1996-127/3</i>	2	ND	B1
27843	MEMO	OLIVER NORTH TO MCFARLANE RE HIJACKING	1	8/31/1984	B1
27844	PROFILE SHEET	RE PROBLEM	1	10/29/1984	B1
27845	MEMO	JOHN POINDEXTER TO HUGH MONTGOMERY RE PROBLEM	3	10/29/1984	B1
27846	MEMO	ORIGINAL OF #27845	3	ND	B1
27847	PROFILE SHEET	RE PROBLEM	1	11/23/1984	B1
27848	MEMO	HUGH MONTGOMERY TO POINDEXTER RE PROBLEM	5	11/23/1984	B1
27849	MEMO	TO DEPARTMENT OF STATE RE STATUS <i>D 11/29/2011 F1996-127/3</i>	3	11/9/1984	B1 B3

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

NSC/S PROFILE

~~SECRET~~

ID 8406261

UNCLASSIFIED  
OF CLASSIFIED

ON REMOVAL

RECEIVED 18 AUG 84 11

TO MCFARLANE

FROM KEMP

*Cts 9/2/84*

DOCDATE 17 AUG 84

ROBINSON

17 AUG 84

KEYWORDS: INDIA

ECONOMICS

SUBJECT. ADB / INDIA

ACTION: FOR SIGNATURE

DUE: 20 AUG 84 STATUS X FILES PA

FOR ACTION

FOR CONCURRENCE

FOR INFO

MCFARLANE

COMMENTS

REF#

LOG

NSCIFID *NS11003* ( DW )

ACTION OFFICER (S) ASSIGNED

ACTION REQUIRED

DUE

COPIES TO

*C AUG 20 1984*

*OBE per MCFarlane*

*GIC, RO*

DISPATCH

W/ATTCH FILE

(C)

National Security Council  
The White House

System # \_\_\_\_\_

Package # \_\_\_\_\_



A 8:40

2

	SEQUENCE TO	HAS SEEN	DISPOSITION
Paul Thompson	_____	_____	_____
Bob Kimmitt	1	<del>IC</del>	_____
John Poindexter	2	<del>X</del>	_____
Tom Shull	_____	_____	_____
Wilma Hall	3	_____	_____
Bud McFarlane	4	M	Advanced
Bob Kimmitt	_____	_____	_____
NSC Secretariat	5	_____	_____
Situation Room	_____	_____	_____

Information   
  A = Action   
  R = Retain   
  D = Dispatch   
  N = No further Action

cc: VP Meese Baker Deaver Other \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS                      Should be seen by: \_\_\_\_\_  
(Date/Time)

*We should have a paper from  
Roger and Jeff tomorrow on this.  
The game plan will be to set a  
delay in the 24 Aug. meeting. J*

1304

National Security Council  
The White House

System # F  
Package # 6261

84 AUG 18 AIO: 27

3

	SEQUENCE TO	HAS SEEN	DISPOSITION
Paul Thompson	_____	_____	_____
Bob Kimmitt	<u>1</u>	<u>K</u>	_____
John Poindexter	<u>63</u>	<u>J</u>	_____
Tom Shull	_____	_____	_____
Wilma Hall	<u>4</u>	_____	_____
Bud McFarlane	<u>5</u>	<u>m</u>	<u>A</u>
Bob Kimmitt	_____	_____	_____
NSC Secretariat	<u>7</u>	_____	<u>N</u>
Situation Room	_____	_____	_____

Joan                      2                      done                      Connections

I = Information	<b>A = Action</b>	R = Retain	D = Dispatch	N = No further Action
-----------------	-------------------	------------	--------------	-----------------------

cc: VP Meese Baker Deaver Other \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS                      Should be seen by: \_\_\_\_\_  
(Date/Time)

Add OMB as cc (Stockman) ✓                      Tab I

*Given the level of our activity over the next few days, we have another reason to delay.*

National Security Council  
The White House

System # I-  
Package # 6261

4

	SEQUENCE TO	HAS SEEN	DISPOSITION
Paul Thompson			
Bob Kimmitt	<u>1</u>	<u>K</u>	
John Poindexter	<u>2</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>I</u>
Tom Shull			
Wilma Hall			
Bud McFarlane			
Bob Kimmitt	<u>3</u>	<u>K</u>	
NSC Secretariat	<u>4</u>		<u>N</u>
Situation Room			

I = Information   
 A = Action   
 R = Retain   
 D = Dispatch   
 N = No further Action

cc: VP Meese Baker Deaver Other \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS                      Should be seen by: \_\_\_\_\_  
(Date/Time)

Do NOT send Robinson  
Memo to Kemp

**National Security Council  
The White House**

System # \_\_\_\_\_

Package # \_\_\_\_\_



AUG 20 8:40

	SEQUENCE TO	HAS SEEN	DISPOSITION
Paul Thompson	_____	_____	_____
Bob Kimmitt	1	K	_____
John Poindexter	2	K	_____
Tom Shull	_____	_____	_____
Wilma Hall	3	_____	_____
Bud McFarlane	4	M	Advance
Bob Kimmitt	_____	_____	_____
NSC Secretariat	5	_____	_____
Situation Room	_____	_____	_____

Information    A = Action    R = Retain    D = Dispatch    N = No further Action

cc: VP    Meese    Baker    Deaver    Other \_\_\_\_\_

**COMMENTS**                      Should be seen by: \_\_\_\_\_  
(Date/Time)

*We should have a paper from  
Rosen and Jeff tomorrow on this.  
The game plan will be to get a  
delay in the 24 Aug. meeting. J*



MEMORANDUM

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

August 17, 1984

~~SECRET~~

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR ROBERT C. MCFARLANE

FROM: GEOFFREY KEMP  
ROGER W. ROBINSON

*GJK  
RWR*

SUBJECT: ADB/India

*OB*  
*Get guidance from TMP*  
*PROB*  
*talk w/ my*  
*Region 9*  
*Spinks*

The level of support for ADB loans to India, which is presently scheduled to be discussed in Manila on August 24, has emerged as the most contentious issue in the interagency meetings on NSSD 3-84. Treasury and OMB oppose any increase in levels to India beyond \$200 million in addition to the \$40 million already agreed. State argues that this figure is far below the amount suggested by other Bank members and that the U.S. position will be defeated.

After receiving the positions of both Treasury and State, we find that there remain important gaps in the information needed to make a Presidential decision on this important issue.

A significant part of our bilateral problems with India in the context of ADB is the fact that the U.S. has consistently taken a strong and visible lead in opposing India's expanded access to the Bank. India has, naturally, seized on this perception to concentrate its focus on the U.S. and argue that the U.S. position on this matter represents an important factor in their decision-making on other crucial foreign policy concerns. Therefore, the "form" exercised by the U.S. within the ADB may be as important as the "substance" of the amount of India's borrowing ceiling.

~~SECRET~~

Declassify on: OADR

~~SECRET~~

DECLASSIFIED

NLRR F96-127/3 #27835  
BY RW NARA DATE 7/12/12

It is therefore important to place the U.S. position toward India within the ADB in as detailed a perspective as possible vis a vis the positions of the other country shareholders of ADB, especially key players such as Japan. A more complete understanding of other country positions would not only help identify the overall level of support for India, but also would provide a better readout on the feasibility of alternative U.S. positions prevailing in a vote of ADB members. For example, the Treasury paper argues that Congressional opposition to the next capital increase for the ADB scheduled for mid 1986 (affecting the period 1988-1992) is almost certain to preclude any significant increase for ADB if India is granted significantly expanded access at this time (i.e. above \$240 million). This assumption is based on our experience with the Hill on the IMF quota increase and IDA VII, and a preliminary assessment of Hill opposition to a capital increase for the Asia Development Fund coming up next year (soft loan arm of ADB), could well impact on the amounts for India being proposed by other ADB members. However, given the overall strategic importance of the sub-continent, a concerted effort by the Administration in 1985 could conceivably alter some of these rigid positions. These and other facts should be laid out in greater detail so that we receive more complete data on which to base a Presidential decision. Other facts which Treasury and State should seek to obtain include:

- o Japan's precise position on various levels of support for India's access to the ADB.

- o Ascertaining the willingness of the Philippines (which could be adversely affected as a beneficiary of ADB funds at a crucial time), Malaysia, Thailand, Korea, Indonesia, Australia, New Zealand, Hong Kong, etc. to support figures lower than those they have currently proposed and enable the U.S. to position itself as part of a broader consensus rather than alone and out front.

The action forcing event behind moving this package to the President is the ADB Executive Board meeting of Friday, August 24. Treasury is prepared to approach Japan today to seek their willingness to request a postponement of this meeting or to do so in concert with the U.S. Even if Japan is not on board, Treasury believes they have a 90% chance of postponing the meeting a week or two on a U.S. request alone. We would obviously forge an appropriately diffuse reason to justify such a request (i.e. the Republican National Convention, etc.), although we must try to avoid giving the impression that these issues are to be decided at the Presidential level.

This hiatus period could be used constructively in an effort by Treasury and State to compile the data necessary for the President to make a more informed decision. Treasury would, however, need a mandate to lay out the issues to these countries in greater detail in order for other ADB members to better understand the possible consequences of standing firm on their initial recommendations. Should Treasury come up short in garnering sufficient support among other ADB members, it could potentially help defuse their strong opposition to the State position, given the sensitivity of our present relationship toward India and the serious problem we face on the sub-continent. In short, everybody could win with this approach including the President.

Attached at (Tab I) is a memo from you to Secretaries Shultz and Regan outlining this proposed approach, the centerpiece of which is Treasury taking immediate action to postpone the August 24 Executive Board meeting.

RECOMMENDATION:

That you sign the memo to Secretaries Shultz and Regan at Tab I as a substitute for going forward with a package to the President at this time.

Approve \_\_\_\_\_ Disapprove \_\_\_\_\_

Attachment  
 Tab I            Memo to Shultz and Regan

# WITHDRAWAL SHEET

## Ronald Reagan Library

*Collection Name*

EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT, NSC: COUNTRY FILE

*Withdrawer*

CAS 9/27/2006

*File Folder*

INDIA (08/17/1984-10/29/1984)

*FOIA*

1996-127/3

BATTLE

*Box Number*

36

32

---

<i>ID</i>	<i>Document Type</i> <i>Document Description</i>	<i>No of</i> <i>pages</i>	<i>Doc Date</i>	<i>Restric-</i> <i>tions</i>
27836	MEMO  DONALD REGAN TO WILLIAM MCFARLANE RE ASIAN DEVELOPMENT BANK LENDING TO INDIA	4	ND	B1

---

**Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]**

- B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]**
- B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]**
- B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]**
- B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]**
- B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]**
- B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]**
- B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]**
- B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]**

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

# WITHDRAWAL SHEET

## Ronald Reagan Library

*Collection Name*

EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT, NSC: COUNTRY FILE

*Withdrawer*

CAS 9/27/2006

*File Folder*

INDIA (08/17/1984-10/29/1984)

*FOIA*

1996-127/3

BATTLE

*Box Number*

36

32

---

<i>ID</i>	<i>Document Type</i> <i>Document Description</i>	<i>No of</i> <i>pages</i>	<i>Doc Date</i>	<i>Restric-</i> <i>tions</i>
27837	TALKING POINTS  FOR USE WITH MCFARLANE (ATTACHMENT TO #27836)	1	ND	B1

---

**Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]**

- B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]**
- B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]**
- B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]**
- B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]**
- B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]**
- B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]**
- B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]**
- B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]**

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

# WITHDRAWAL SHEET

## Ronald Reagan Library

*Collection Name*

EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT, NSC: COUNTRY FILE

*Withdrawer*

CAS 9/27/2006

*File Folder*

INDIA (08/17/1984-10/29/1984)

*FOIA*

1996-127/3

BATTLE

*Box Number*

36

32

---

<i>ID</i>	<i>Document Type</i> <i>Document Description</i>	<i>No of</i> <i>pages</i>	<i>Doc Date</i>	<i>Restric-</i> <i>tions</i>
27838	CHART  RE ASIAN DEVELOPMENT BANK (ATTACHMENT TO #27836)	1	ND	B1

---

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]

B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]

B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]

B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]

B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]

B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]

B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]

B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

DATE AND TIME TRANSMITTED

DATE AND TIME MSG. RCVD

WASEFAX

441

84 AUG 17 A 8: 51

SERIAL NUMBER

K-1

WHITE HOUSE  
SITUATION ROOM

TRANSMITTED BY

RECEIVED BY

FROM (NAME)

David Mulford

(OFFICE)

IA

(EXT)

566-5363

SUBJECT OF MESSAGE

ADB / INDIA

CLASSIFICATION/CONTROLS

[REDACTED]

NO. OF PAGES

6

LDX TO	DELIVER TO	EXT	ROOM NUMBER
C	1-Rogee Robinson International Econ Affairs	395-3622	Rm 365 OEOB
	2-KIMMITT		
	3-NSC/S		

REMARKS

Urgent Please call addressee  
Upon receipt

# WITHDRAWAL SHEET

## Ronald Reagan Library

*Collection Name*

EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT, NSC: COUNTRY FILE

*Withdrawer*

CAS 9/27/2006

*File Folder*

INDIA (08/17/1984-10/29/1984)

*FOIA*

1996-127/3

BATTLE

*Box Number*

36

32

---

<i>ID</i>	<i>Document Type</i> <i>Document Description</i>	<i>No of</i> <i>pages</i>	<i>Doc Date</i>	<i>Restrictions</i>
27839	NOTE  ROGER ROBINSON TO JOHN POINDEXTER RE ATTACHED MEMO	1	8/17/1984	B1

---

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]

B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]

B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]

B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]

B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]

B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]

B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]

B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.



MEMORANDUM

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

**DRAFT**

August 17, 1984

~~SECRET~~

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR ROBERT C. MCFARLANE

FROM: GEOFFREY KEMP  
ROGER W. ROBINSON

SUBJECT: ADB/India

After receiving the positions of both Treasury and State, we find that there remain important gaps in the information needed to make a Presidential decision on the issue of a U.S. position regarding the level of India's access to the ADB.

A significant part of our bilateral problems with India in the context of ADB is the fact that the U.S. has reportedly taken a visible lead in opposing India's expanded access to the Bank. India has, naturally, seized on this perception to concentrate its focus on the U.S. and argue that the U.S. position on this matter represents an important factor in their decision-making on other crucial foreign policy concerns. Therefore, the "form" exercised by the U.S. within the ADB may be as important as the "substance" of the amount of India's borrowing ceiling.

It is therefore important to place the U.S. position toward India within the ADB in as detailed a perspective as possible vis a vis the positions of the other country shareholders of ADB. A more complete understanding of other country positions would not only help identify the level of support for a lower U.S. figure for India, but also would provide a better readout on the feasibility of the U.S. position prevailing in a vote of ADB members. For example, the Treasury paper argues that Congressional opposition to the next capital increase for the ADB scheduled for mid 1986 (affecting the period 1988-1992) is almost certain to preclude any significant increase for ADB if India is granted significantly expanded access at this time (i.e. above \$240 million). This is a plausible assumption based on our experience with the Hill on the IMF quota increase and IDA VII, and could well impact on the amounts for India being proposed by other ADB members. These and other facts should be laid out in greater detail by Treasury so that we

~~SECRET~~

DECLASSIFIED

NLRR F96-127/3 #2784D

BY RW NARA DATE 7/3/02

receive more complete data on which to base a Presidential decision. Other facts Treasury should seek to obtain include:

o Japan's precise position and potential willingness to step forward in opposing a higher figure for India's access to the ADB.

o Ascertaining the willingness of the Philippines (which could be adversely affected as a beneficiary of ADB funds at a crucial time), Malaysia, Thailand, Korea, Indonesia, Austria, New Zealand, Hong Kong, etc. to support a lower U.S. figure and enable the U.S. to position itself as part of a broader consensus rather than alone and out front.

The action forcing event behind moving this package to the President is the ADB Executive Board meeting of Friday, August 24. Treasury is prepared to approach Japan today to seek their willingness to request a postponement of this meeting or to do so in concert with the U.S. Even if Japan is not on board, Treasury believes they have a 90% chance of postponing the meeting a week or two on a U.S. request alone. We would obviously forge an appropriately diffuse reason to justify such a request (i.e. the Republican National Convention, etc.).

This hiatus period could be used constructively in an effort by Treasury to compile the data necessary for the President to make a more informed decision. Treasury would, however, need a mandate to lay out the issues to these countries in greater detail in order for other ADB members to better understand the possible consequences of standing firm on their initial recommendations. Should Treasury come up short in garnering sufficient support among other ADB members, it could potentially help defuse their strong opposition to the State position, given the sensitivity of our present relationship toward India. In short, everybody could win with this approach including the President.

Attached at (Tab I) is a memo from you to Secretaries Shultz and Regan outlining this proposed approach, the centerpiece of which is Treasury taking immediate action to postpone the August 24 Executive Board meeting.

RECOMMENDATION:

That you sign the memo to Secretaries Shultz and Regan at Tab I as a substitute for going forward with a package to the President at this time.

Approve \_\_\_\_\_ Disapprove \_\_\_\_\_

Attachment

Tab I                      Memo to Shultz and Regan

DECLASSIFIED

27841

COPY

6261

19

BY NLRR F96-127/3 #27841  
RW NARA DATE 7/3/12

**DRAFT**

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE HONORABLE GEORGE P. SHULTZ  
The Secretary of State

THE HONORABLE DONALD T. REGAN  
The Secretary of the Treasury

SUBJECT: ADB/India (U)

We have received your position papers on the issue of Asian Development Bank lending to India. In reviewing the information contained in these papers, we have determined that there remain important gaps in our data on this issue which we should attempt to reconcile prior to sending forward a package for a decision by the President. In addition, the delicate stage of our relations with India makes the posture assumed on a U.S. position toward India in the ADB potentially as important as the amount involved. Therefore, determining as accurately as possible the positions of our other partners in the ADB would be very helpful in ascertaining the potential level of support for a relatively low U.S. figure on India's proposed access to the ADB, the potential willingness of other countries to step forward in support of the U.S. position in the interest of forging a consensus and the feasibility of the U.S. position prevailing in the voting apparatus of the ADB. There are a number of factors that if discussed with other ADB members in greater detail, could potentially affect their willingness to stand firm on their initial recommendations. (S)

To accomplish this task, Treasury is prepared to seek the support of Japan and potentially other members to postpone the August 24 meeting of the Executive Board of the ADB for a sufficient period to complete more detailed discussions with members and a new polling of positions. An appropriate explanation for the U.S. request for a postponement would be provided that avoids reference to India. (S)

We believe this approach would provide us with a better grasp of the U.S. position relative to other shareholders and give the President the benefit of important additional information on which to make a decision. Treasury will

~~SECRET~~

report back to State and NSC as soon as possible with their findings and any new date for the ADB Executive Board meeting. (S)

FOR THE PRESIDENT:

BY RW NLRR F96-12713#27842  
NARA DATE 7/3/12THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON~~SECRET~~MEMORANDUM FOR THE HONORABLE GEORGE P. SHULTZ  
The Secretary of StateTHE HONORABLE DONALD T. REGAN  
The Secretary of the TreasuryTHE HONORABLE DAVID A. STOCKMAN  
Director, Office of Management and Budget

SUBJECT: ADB/India (U)

We have received your position papers on the issue of Asian Development Bank lending to India. In reviewing the information contained in these papers, we have determined that there remain important gaps in our data on this issue which we should attempt to reconcile prior to sending forward a package for a decision by the President. In addition, the delicate stage of our relations with India makes the posture assumed on a U.S. position toward India in the ADB potentially as important as the amount involved. Therefore, determining as accurately as possible the positions of our other partners in the ADB would be very helpful in ascertaining the potential level of support for a relatively low U.S. figure on India's proposed access to the ADB, the potential willingness of other countries to step forward in support of the U.S. position in the interest of forging a consensus and the feasibility of the U.S. position prevailing in the voting apparatus of the ADB. There are a number of factors that if discussed with other ADB members in greater detail, could potentially affect their willingness to stand firm on their initial recommendations.

(S)

To accomplish this task, Treasury should seek the support of Japan and potentially other members to postpone the August 24 meeting of the Executive Board of the ADB for a sufficient period to complete more detailed discussions with members and a new polling of positions. An appropriate explanation for the U.S. request for a postponement would be provided that avoids reference to India. (S)

We believe this approach would provide us with a better grasp of the U.S. position relative to other shareholders and give the President the benefit of important additional information on which to make a decision. Treasury will

~~SECRET~~

Declassify on: OADR

22

report back to State and NSC no later than August 31 with their findings and any new date for the ADB Executive Board meeting. (S)

FOR THE PRESIDENT:

RECEIVED 31 AUG 84 10

TO MCFARLANE

FROM NORTH

DOCDATE 31 AUG 84 23

UN  
OF CLASS

JVAI  
ENCLOSURE(S)

C4J 9/27/86

KEYWORDS: INDIA

SAYRE, R

RASHID, S

SUBJECT: SIKH HIJACKING SITUATION

ACTION: FOR DECISION

DUE:

STATUS X

FILES SII

FOR ACTION

FOR CONCURRENCE

FOR INFO

MCFARLANE

COMMENTS

REF#

LOG

NSCIFID

( K / R )

ACTION OFFICER (S)	ASSIGNED	ACTION REQUIRED	DUE	COPIES TO
	AUG 31 1984	Mcfarlane approved Recon		ON

AUG 31 1984

Mcfarlane approved Recon

ON

DISPATCH

W/ATTCH FILE

(C)

National Security Council  
The White House

lml

System # II

Package # 90927

31 A9 19

1380 24

	SEQUENCE TO	HAS SEEN	DISPOSITION
Paul Thompson	<u>1</u>	<u>✓</u>	
Bob Kimmitt	<u>2</u>	<u>K</u>	
John Poindexter			
Tom Shull			
Wilma Hall	<u>3</u>	<u>✓</u>	
Bud McFarlane	<u>4</u>	<u>W</u>	<u>A</u>
Bob Kimmitt	<u>5</u>	<u>K</u>	
NSC Secretariat	<u>6</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>N</u>
Situation Room			

I = Information    A = Action    R = Retain    D = Dispatch    N = No further Action

cc: VP Meese Baker Deaver Other \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS                      Should be seen by: 9/31 0930  
(Date/Time)

Bob,  
Rem did  
take this  
to 0930 didn't  
he? Yes



---

84 AUG 31 9:19

# WITHDRAWAL SHEET

## Ronald Reagan Library

*Collection Name*

EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT, NSC: COUNTRY FILE

*Withdrawer*

CAS 9/27/2006

*File Folder*

INDIA (08/17/1984-10/29/1984)

*FOIA*

1996-127/3

BATTLE

*Box Number*

36

32

---

<i>ID</i>	<i>Document Type</i> <i>Document Description</i>	<i>No of</i> <i>pages</i>	<i>Doc Date</i>	<i>Restric-</i> <i>tions</i>
27843	MEMO  OLIVER NORTH TO MCFARLANE RE HIJACKING	1	8/31/1984	B1

---

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

# WITHDRAWAL SHEET

## Ronald Reagan Library

*Collection Name*  
EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT, NSC: COUNTRY FILE

*Withdrawer*  
CAS 9/27/2006

*File Folder*  
INDIA (08/17/1984-10/29/1984)

*FOIA*  
1996-127/3  
BATTLE

*Box Number*  
36

32

---

<i>ID</i>	<i>Document Type</i> <i>Document Description</i>	<i>No of</i> <i>pages</i>	<i>Doc Date</i>	<i>Restric-</i> <i>tions</i>
27844	PROFILE SHEET RE PROBLEM	1	10/29/1984	B1

---

---

**Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]**

- B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

---

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

October 25, 1984

FOR MR. MCFARLANE

SIGNED

27

For your meeting today with  
Secretary Shultz.

Stephen P. Rosen

National Security Council  
The White House

System # I

Package # 7673

8400725 49:52

1413 28

	SEQUENCE TO	HAS SEEN	DISPOSITION
Paul Thompson			
Bob Kimmitt	<u>1</u>	<u>K</u>	<u>AL</u>
John Poindexter	<u>2</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	
Tom Shull			
Wilma Hall	<u>3</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	
Bud McFarlane	<u>4</u>	<u>(has seen it)</u>	<u>A</u>
Bob Kimmitt			
NSC Secretariat	<u>5</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>D</u>
Situation Room			

I = Information    **A = Action**    R = Retain    D = Dispatch    N = No further Action

cc: VP Meese Baker Deaver Other \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS

Should be seen by: 1150 10/25  
(Date/Time)

RCM has copy.  
 Bud,  
 If you don't want to send this,  
 I could send to Armstrong or Hugh  
 Montgomery. [Signature]

---

84 OCT 25 A 9: 52

# WITHDRAWAL SHEET

## Ronald Reagan Library

*Collection Name*

EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT, NSC: COUNTRY FILE

*Withdrawer*

CAS 9/27/2006

*File Folder*

INDIA (08/17/1984-10/29/1984)

*FOIA*

1996-127/3

BATTLE

*Box Number*

36

32

---

<i>ID</i>	<i>Document Type</i> <i>Document Description</i>	<i>No of</i> <i>pages</i>	<i>Doc Date</i>	<i>Restric-</i> <i>tions</i>
27845	MEMO  JOHN POINDEXTER TO HUGH MONTGOMERY RE PROBLEM	3	10/29/1984	B1

---

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

October 25, 1984

FOR MR. MCFARLANE **SIGNED**

For your meeting today with  
Secretary Shultz.

Stephen P. Rosen



# WITHDRAWAL SHEET

## Ronald Reagan Library

*Collection Name*

EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT, NSC: COUNTRY FILE

*Withdrawer*

CAS 9/27/2006

*File Folder*

INDIA (08/17/1984-10/29/1984)

*FOIA*

1996-127/3

BATTLE

*Box Number*

36

32

<i>ID</i>	<i>Document Type</i> <i>Document Description</i>	<i>No of</i> <i>pages</i>	<i>Doc Date</i>	<i>Restric-</i> <i>tions</i>
27846	MEMO  ORIGINAL OF #27845	3	ND	B1

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

# WITHDRAWAL SHEET

## Ronald Reagan Library

*Collection Name*

EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT, NSC: COUNTRY FILE

*Withdrawer*

CAS 9/27/2006

*File Folder*

INDIA (08/17/1984-10/29/1984)

*FOIA*

1996-127/3

BATTLE

*Box Number*

36

32

---

<i>ID</i>	<i>Document Type</i> <i>Document Description</i>	<i>No of</i> <i>pages</i>	<i>Doc Date</i>	<i>Restric-</i> <i>tions</i>
27847	PROFILE SHEET RE PROBLEM	1	11/23/1984	B1

---

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

# WITHDRAWAL SHEET

## Ronald Reagan Library

*Collection Name*

EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT, NSC: COUNTRY FILE

*Withdrawer*

CAS 9/27/2006

*File Folder*

INDIA (08/17/1984-10/29/1984)

*FOIA*

1996-127/3

BATTLE

*Box Number*

36

32

---

<i>ID</i>	<i>Document Type</i> <i>Document Description</i>	<i>No of</i> <i>pages</i>	<i>Doc Date</i>	<i>Restric-</i> <i>tions</i>
27848	MEMO  HUGH MONTGOMERY TO POINDEXTER RE PROBLEM	5	11/23/1984	B1

---

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

# WITHDRAWAL SHEET

## Ronald Reagan Library

*Collection Name*

EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT, NSC: COUNTRY FILE

*Withdrawer*

CAS 9/27/2006

*File Folder*

INDIA (08/17/1984-10/29/1984)

*FOIA*

1996-127/3

BATTLE

*Box Number*

36

32

---

<i>ID</i>	<i>Document Type</i> <i>Document Description</i>	<i>No of</i> <i>pages</i>	<i>Doc Date</i>	<i>Restric-</i> <i>tions</i>
27849	MEMO TO DEPARTMENT OF STATE RE STATUS	3	11/9/1984	B1

---

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.