

Ronald Reagan Presidential Library
Digital Library Collections

This is a PDF of a folder from our textual collections.

WHORM Subject File Code: FG002-37
(Federal Government Organizations: Former Presidents:
Ford, Gerald R.)

Case file Number(s): Begin-099999

Box Number: 5

To see more digitized collections visit:

<https://www.reaganlibrary.gov/archives/digitized-textual-material>

To see all Ronald Reagan Presidential Library inventories visit:

<https://www.reaganlibrary.gov/archives/white-house-inventories>

Contact a reference archivist at: **reagan.library@nara.gov**

Citation Guidelines: <https://reaganlibrary.gov/archives/research-support/citation-guide>

National Archives Catalogue: <https://catalog.archives.gov/>

ET
March 3
File
The two met w/ Ford
in Oval office at 4:00.
No food had tea w/ her.
Laguer - at conclusion
they all got together
in Oval office
for photos

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON



031060
5200
FG002-37
PROOZ01
PR005

32

approve

2/18/81

6:1 pm

Greg:

Joe Canzeri called, Said he will not be here tomorrow but wants you to talk to Mike Deaver about an appointment for Gerald Ford late in the afternoon of Monday, March 2. (after 4:00 pm)

He thinks it will be a discussion prior to an overseas trip.

Suzie will make arrangements for plane, etc. he said.

4:15 pm

HCD
Pres. & Mrs. Ford.
~~and 3AD~~

Cocktails oval office
5:00
Cocktails
5:30 Residence

not concrete yet.
① Arr. D.C. 4 p.m.
March 2.
To N.Y.C. that eve.
They'll confirm next week.

Betty? coming? → Mrs. Ford

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

file

Feb. 24, 1951

Fords still plan on arriving Andrews
at 3 p.m. *Tues., March 3*

Mrs. Ford is planning to accompany
Pres. Ford to the White House,
rather than waiting at the plane.

Then after the meeting with Pres.
Reagan, they will leave for New York,
where they will stay until the next day.

(Linda Hill, 303-476-6330, Barrett's
Colorado office)

Cristy

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON



3 p.m. Feb. 23

Linda Hill from Bob Barrett's office (Pres. Ford's Colorado office) called and said she just spoke with Susie in Canzeri's office.

Fords will be picked up at 7 a.m. March 3, arriving Andrews 3 p.m.

They'll meet with Reagan, perhaps at 4, then leave after the meeting to go to New York, where they'll spend a day. (She thought they'd probably leave at 5 or 5:30.)
(303) 476-6330.

cv

RECEIVED 17 DEC 81 16

TO NANCE

FROM FONTAINE

DOCDATE 16 DEC 81

054843

X KENNEDY, ROBERT

07 DEC 81

4800

X GONZALEZ-REVILLA, R

23 NOV 81

4200

FG002-37

KEYWORDS: PANAMA

FORED, GERALD R

CO 121

BE
PL

SUBJECT: POSSIBLE VISIT TO PANAMA BY FORMER PRESIDENT FORD
LATE JAN OR FEB 1982

ACTION: FOR DECISION

DUE:

STATUS X FILES

FOR ACTION

FOR CONCURRENCE

FOR INFO

NANCE

DBEd
28

COMMENTS

REF#

LOG

NSCIFID

(D /)

ACTION OFFICER (S)	ASSIGNED	ACTION REQUIRED	DUE	COPIES TO
	- 12/23	Nance apud recom		
Fontaine	S 12/23	for further action	12/26	
	C 12/24	0 BE per Fontaine		

DISPATCH

W/ATTCH FILE *WA* (C)

NSC # 7247

RECEIVED

81 DEC 17 P 2: 54

JANET COLSON

JV

BUD NANCE

DICK ALLEN

IRENE DERUS

JANET COLSON

JV

BUD NANCE

PETER

CY TO VP

SHOW CC _____

CY TO MEESE

SHOW CC _____

CY TO BAKER

SHOW CC _____

CY TO DEEVER

SHOW CC _____

CY TO BRADY

SHOW CC _____

Comments:

MEMORANDUM

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

ACTION

December 16, 1981

MEMORANDUM FOR JAMES W. NANCE

FROM: ROGER W. FONTAINE *RJ*

SUBJECT: Possible Visit to Panama by President Ford

President Ford has been invited to Panama by the government party's business group. He is to meet with President Royo, and I gather a carefully chosen cross section of people agreeable to the government.

The former president is inclined not to go but will if the White House wants him to. I pointed out to Bob Kennedy that Senators Baker, Laxalt, and Hollings will be there in early January to give a high level American presence. But I promised to run it by you. (My own feeling is this trip looks like a government set up to give Royo a little Republican support.)

I would suggest I call Bob Kennedy and relay the above feeling.

Attached at Tab A is Bob Kennedy's background letter to me.

Attached at Tab B is the Panamanian letter of invitation to President Ford.

RECOMMENDATION

That I call Bob Kennedy.

Approve *Ben*

Disapprove _____

Attachments

Tab A Letter from Bob Kennedy
 Tab B Panamanian Invitation to President Ford

Robert Kennedy
Strategic Studies Institute
US Army War College
Carlisle, Pa. 17013
717-245-4011

7 December 1981

Dr. Roger W. Fontaine
National Security Council Staff
Old Executive Office Building
Room 351
Washington, DC 20306

Dear Roger,

As I mentioned to you on the phone last week the Businessmen's Front of the PRD has invited former President Gerald Ford to visit Panama, speak to the business community, and meet with President Royo and prominent members of the National Guard. (See attachment #1 for the letter to President Ford.)


As background, the invitation is the result of an informal conversation that Dr. Gabriel Marcella and I had with Mario Rognoni, a prominent member of the Businessmen's Front in late July. Mr. Rognoni indicated an interest in having former President Carter visit. I suggested they consider President Ford, especially if there was an interest in quietly strengthening ties with Washington.

Since then the National Secretary of the Front, Roberto Gonzalez-Revilla, has called and written (see attachment #2) to confirm the Front's interest in a visit by President Ford, and to indicate that President Royo would be pleased to meet with President Ford in late January or early February.

I contacted Ms. Rowena Evans in the former President's office in Palm Springs several weeks ago and passed the information on. She indicated that President Ford's calendar was full until June. The quick response by President Royo to the Front's initiative and his apparent willingness to open his calendar so soon suggests an interest that goes beyond a casual lecture tour.


Given the nature of events in the region, the importance of Panama to the United States, and the recent expansion of contacts with the National Guard in the wake of Brigadier General Torrijos' death, I recommend the White House encourage former President Ford to accept the invitation for late January or early February.

Sincerely,



ROBERT KENNEDY, PhD

35-02 AVE. 7A. CENTRAL
AREA DEL CASINO
TELEFONO 27-3666



APARTADO POSTAL 2650
PANAMA 9A. R. P.
TELEX: PREDE

November 23, 1981

Honorable Gerald R. Ford
P.O. Box 927
Rancho Mirage
California 92270
U.S.A.

Dear President Ford:

Through Dr. Robert Kennedy of the Strategic Studies Institute, U.S. Army War College, we have approached your office to extend you an invitation to visit our country as guest of the businessmen section of our political party.

I am sure you realize the importance of this a visit to a country with such a long historical relationship with the United States and whose role in the Central American and Caribbean problems is very important.

We would like to stress that our tentative program would include a private meeting with His Excelency, Dr. Aristides Royo, President of our Republic and a banquet meeting in which you would address the foremost of our economic and political community.

We would include visits to important historical and cultural sites, and the Panama Canal, as well as meetings to be arranged with leading representatives of sectors of your interest.

Because of the importance of your visit we would like to suggest a tentative date of late January 1982 or early February, with a schedule of 3 days of visits and engagements.

We would appreciate your comments to our interest, and hope to work out a suitable date to your convenience.

Thank you in advance for your response, we remain,

Sincerely yours,

Ing. Roberto González-Revilla
Secretario Nacional
Frente Empresarial del
Partido Revolucionario Democrático

Please reply to:

P.O. Box 4084
Panama 5, Panama

c.c.: Dr. Robert Kennedy

RGR/ms

NACIONALISTA, POPULAR, INDEPENDIENTE, POLICLACISTA Y UNITARIO.

29

WHITE HOUSE
COUNSELLOR'S OFFICE TRACKING WORKSHEET

FG002-37

CN Hamm

O - OUTGOING

H - INTERNAL

I - INCOMING

Date Correspondence Received (YY/MM/DD) 82/01/18

Name of Correspondent: JERRY FARWELL

CN Mail Report User Codes: (A) _____ (B) _____ (C) _____

Subject: Writer is concerned over former President Ford's involvement in television special produced by Norman Lear.

ROUTE TO:

ACTION

DISPOSITION

Office/Agency (Staff Name)	Action Code	Tracking Date YY/MM/DD	Type of Response	Completion Date YY/MM/DD
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CNHAMM	O	82/01/18		C 82/01/28
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DN JENK	A	82/01/18		C 82/01/19
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CN MEES	I	82/01/18		C 82/01/18
		1 1		1 1
		1 1		1 1

ACTION CODES:

- A - Appropriate Action
- C - Comment/Recommendation
- D - Draft Response
- F - Furnish Fact Sheet to be used as Enclosure
- I - Info Copy Only/No Action Necessary
- R - Direct Reply w/Copy
- S - For Signature
- X - Interim Reply

DISPOSITION CODES:

- A - Answered
- B - Non-Special Referral
- C - Completed
- S - Suspended

FOR OUTGOING CORRESPONDENCE:

- Type of Response = Initials of Signer
- Code = "A"
- Completion Date = Date of Outgoing

Comments: Copy to EM 1/19/82 for breakfast folder

Keep this worksheet attached to the original incoming letter.
Send all routing updates to Central Reference (Room 75, OEOB).
Always return completed correspondence record to Central Files.
Refer questions about the correspondence tracking system to Central Reference, ext. 2590.

RECORDS MANAGEMENT ONLY

CLASSIFICATION SECTION

No. of Additional Correspondents: _____ Media: L Individual Codes: 4500
Prime Subject Code: EG 002 37 Secondary Subject Codes: RM 033 02

PRESIDENTIAL REPLY

Code	Date	Comment	Form
C	_____	Time: _____	P- _____
DSP	_____	Time: _____	Media: _____

SIGNATURE CODES:

- CPn - Presidential Correspondence
 - n - 0 - Unknown
 - n - 1 - Ronald Wilson Reagan
 - n - 2 - Ronald Reagan
 - n - 3 - Ron
 - n - 4 - Dutch
 - n - 5 - Ron Reagan
 - n - 6 - Ronald
 - n - 7 - Ronnie

- CLn - First Lady's Correspondence
 - n - 0 - Unknown
 - n - 1 - Nancy Reagan
 - n - 2 - Nancy
 - n - 3 - Mrs. Ronald Reagan

- CBn - Presidential & First Lady's Correspondence
 - n - 1 - Ronald Reagan - Nancy Reagan
 - n - 2 - Ron - Nancy

MEDIA CODES:

- B - Box/package
- C - Copy
- D - Official document
- G - Message
- H - Handcarried
- L - Letter
- M - Mailgram
- O - Memo
- P - Photo
- R - Report
- S - Sealed
- T - Telegram
- V - Telephone
- X - Miscellaneous
- Y - Study

DR. JERRY FALWELL

056221

January 14, 1982

Mr. Edwin Meese III
Counselor to the President
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20500


Dear Mr. Meese:

Former President Ford is serving as co-chairman of Norman Lear's "I Love Liberty" special on ABC TV February 22. I have written Mr. Ford and shared with him the results of an investigative report we commissioned on Mr. Lear's People for the American Way. It revealed (please see enclosed) that PAW was the brain child of Richard Parker, Senator Kennedy's chief national fund raiser and founder of the radical Mother Jones Magazine. Their intention is clear--that PAW is intent on re-establishing liberal Democratic control of government. I fear that Mr. Ford is being used by Mr. Lear with potentially negative results for conservatives and for the agenda we have for America.

If you think it appropriate, perhaps a call from you or the President to Mr. Ford would cause him to rethink his involvement in this program.

Thanks for all you're doing.

Sincerely,


Jerry Falwell

ah


MORAL MAJORITY REPORT



Vol. 2, No. 10
October 19, 1981

TED KENNEDY

Preparing to Capture the White House?



**Norman
Lear**

**Probe Discloses People For The
American Way Fronting For Kennedy**

ERA Unleashes \$15 Million Blitz

By Harry Covert

Time will tell just how great a leader the late Anwar Sadat was, not only to his own Egyptian people, but throughout the free world. His tragic death on Oct. 6 by assassins, during a military review in Cairo, is further proof that America must provide the stability for the Middle East.....**Dr. Jerry Falwell**, Moral Majority president, spent more than an hour with President Sadat in Aswan in 1978. "I was deeply impressed with his warmth, compassion and firmness. His death is a great loss to America and to all freedom-loving people everywhere," Falwell said after the assassination. "He (Sadat) was the one stable leader in the Middle East that both Mr. Begin and President Reagan could count on.".....Americans are girding themselves for the \$15 million Equal Rights Amendment blitz which is nine months away from failure. The **National Organization of Women (NOW)** is making its largest and most concentrated effort by its 140,000-member group. Three more states are needed to approve the amendment for the ERA to be law.....This battle will continue to the wire and the ERA is a disservice to women.....

MORAL MAJORITY REPORT

Copyright © 1981 by Moral Majority, Inc.

EDITOR: Harry Covert
ASSOCIATE EDITOR: Deryl Edwards
ASSISTANT TO THE EDITOR: John Vissers
EDITORIAL ASSOCIATE: Lori A. Davis

Published by Moral Majority, Inc., Washington, D.C. 20003

President: Dr. Jerry Falwell
Executive Board: DeWitt Brand, Dr. Greg Dixon, Dr. Jerry Falwell, Dr. Curtis Hutson, Dr. D. James Kennedy, Dr. Tim LaHaye, Sam K. Pate and Dr. Charles Stanley.

National Headquarters:
499 S. Capitol,
Washington, D.C. 20003
Telephone (202)484-7511

Editorial Offices:
500 Alleghany Ave.,
Lynchburg, Va. 24501
Telephone: (804)928-0070.

MORAL MAJORITY REPORT
(USPS)

is published monthly by Moral Majority, Inc., 500 Alleghany Ave., Lynchburg, Va. 24501. Application to mail at second-class postage rates is pending at Lynchburg, Virginia and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: Send address changes to MORAL MAJORITY REPORT, 500 Alleghany Ave., Lynchburg, Virginia 24501.

LBJ LEGACY? Author Robert A. Caro, a Pulitzer Prize winner, has written another powerful book, "The Years of Lyndon Johnson." Caro writes that President Johnson accepted envelopes "stuffed with cash" when he was vice president and later used the power of his presidency to amass enormous personal wealth. Excerpts from the book, which appeared in the October issue of the Atlantic Monthly, said, "For years, men came into Lyndon Johnson's office and handed him envelopes stuffed with cash. They didn't stop coming even when the office in which he sat was the office of the vice president of the United States. Fifty thousand dollars in hundred-dollar bills in sealed envelopes was what one lobbyist for one oil company testified that he brought to Johnson's office during his term as vice president.".....Most government officials agree that Johnson's Great Society program was "as costly and self-defeated as his mis-adventure in Vietnam, according to the Boston Herald-American of several weeks ago.....Many economists believe Johnson is responsible for the tremendous inflation facing Americans today.....LBJ's son-in-law, **Charles S. Robb**, is the Democratic nominee for governor in Virginia, and has toed his party's line, supporting the candidacies of McGovern and Carter with vigor to the chagrin of old-line Virginia political leaders.....

A NEW MEANNESS? A headline in a national newspaper said, "Are We Really Turning Mean?" It was a defense of the Moral Majority column--a second one--by William F. Buckley Jr. The president of Yale University had taken a verbal shot at Dr. Jerry Falwell and the Moral Majority (which was detailed in the September issue of Moral Majority Report). And, now, the new meanness seems to be coming from other men of the cloth, most notably the **Rev. Timothy Healy**, president of Georgetown University. Healy compared the Moral Majority with Ku Klux Klanism and McCarthyism. Wrote Catholic Buckley, replying to the Rev. Healy: "If Sen. McCarthy ever spoke so categorically and so spitefully against any American group as Father Healy has spoken against the Moral Majority, I'd like to see that statement and blush for him. And oh yeah, when I quote Father Healy I'm on safe ground, since when he says Catholic (if

NEWSPROBE

ever he does), I want everyone to know it doesn't mean me.".....And, in Indiana, Methodist Bishop **James Armstrong** has criticized Moral Majority's movement for "sexism and rigid legalism." He termed the Moral Majority as "unbiblical.".....Well, friends, again, we must report that the Moral Majority is NOT a religious organization. It is a political organization.....

A FORGETFUL SENATOR? Columnist John Lofton, who also happens to be editor of the Conservative Digest, says Arizona Sen. **Barry Goldwater** has things backward when he says "religion has no place in public policy." Lofton quotes Alexis de Tocqueville, writing in "Democracy in America" (1951): Religion in America takes no direct part in the government of society, but it must nevertheless be regarded as the foremost of the political institutions of that country; for it does not impart a taste for freedom, it facilitates the use of free institutions.".....The spirit of religion and the spirit of freedom "were intimately united and they reigned in common over the same country.".....In the masthead of the Indianapolis Star, this public corporation carries the slogan: "Where the Spirit of the Lord is, there is Liberty," from II Cor. 3:17.....

ODDS 'N ENDS. VISTA has ordered its 3,800 federal workers to stop taking part in any type of demonstration.....Congressman **Ron Paul** writes that "At a time when we are supposed to be cutting spending, the House Banking Committee has voted \$13 billion to bail out the big banks. And, the committee took only about 13 minutes to do it.".....The funding was for the World Bank, the International Development Association, and the Latin American, Asian and African Development Banks.....The Panama Canal Consultative Committee, organized in 1977, has begun laying the groundwork for a feasibility study of a second Panama Canal.....Some estimates are that a second canal would accommodate massive oil tanks and other ships in the 500,000-ton range.....Construction would be about \$10 billion.....

The J.G. Wilson Corporation of Norfolk, Va., concerns its



Dr. Falwell and the late Egyptian President Anwar Sadat in Aswan in 1978.

business with "quality rolling doors." The company also has a unique program where it annually honors a Champion for a Better America. The latest champion is Ken Houston, Washington Redskins all-pro safety. **J.L. Bevan**, executive vice president, reports that other honorees have been Rex Kern, Carroll Dale, Raymond Berry and Bobby Richardson.....Another American champion, a winner of the airwaves, Arthur Godfrey, is returning to a syndicated daily radio program called, "The Time of Your Life." The superannuated broadcasting personality will be a tremendous plus for radio listeners.....Gov. **John Dalton** of Virginia, probably the most popular political figure in the Old Dominion, recently appointed **Harry Covert**, Editor of the Moral Majority Report, to a five-year term on the three-

member Virginia Athletic Commission.....

GENERAL-LY SPEAKING. Air Force Chief of Staff Gen. **Lawrence Allen Jr.**, told the Air Force Association that the United States "can afford the expense of defense." Allen said, "We cannot sacrifice quality of people or of our equipment for purposes of economy." He also added, "A strong economy is essential to a strong defense.".....Meanwhile, a Los Angeles Times article in September reports that the "Soviet Union is on the Move." What the story tells is the USSR is engaged in a "two-pronged, two-continent offensive whose goal is to neutralize Western control of, and access to, strategic raw materials.....Instability on the African continent is a real threat to all of us,"

Continued on Page 15



Gov. and Mrs. John Dalton of Virginia welcome Harry Covert to Virginia Athletic Commission.

Liberals Using PAW To Pave Way For Kennedy To Run For President

By Mark Edwards

SAN FRANCISCO--People for the American Way, founded by television producer Norman Lear in 1980 as a strident, non-profit "educational" and supposedly "non-partisan" membership organization, is, it appears, nothing but a stalking horse for Senator Edward M. Kennedy of Massachusetts and a vehicle to be used by liberals for a return to power by 1984.

That is the conclusion drawn from a month-long investigation of Lear and his organization in California and in Washington D.C. where PAW now has its national offices.

People for the American Way was the brainchild of Richard Parker, a principal in Parker/Dodd and Associates, the national fund-raiser for Kennedy and other liberal and left-wing figures.

Richard Parker also started the underground and left-leaning "Mother Jones" magazine in 1975. Parker, a highly visible left-wing radical and political activist in the 1960's, established the Foundation for National Progress at the same time he founded "Mother Jones." The foundation is a non-profit, tax-exempt organization which eventually took control of the magazine. Parker left "Mother Jones" in 1978 to establish Parker/Dodd. He remains publisher of "Mother Jones."

PARKER'S ACCESS to a left-wing constituency via the foundation for National Progress, along with his far left politics, brought him to the attention of the United Farm Workers Union leader Cesar Chavez. Parker was the key fundraiser and public relations director for Chavez and even helped to coordinate UFW activities.

Parker's growing involvement with big labor led him to his most significant client, Senator Edward Kennedy, the favored 1980 Democratic Presidential candidate. Parker began fundraising for Kennedy in 1979. Kennedy strategists thought it best that Parker not be directly associated with such a radical, pro-labor publication as "Mother Jones," so Parker hired Jacques Marchand as publisher and severed any visible ties with the magazine, although he continued as a major contributor to its editorial policy.

Planning for People for the



Ted Kennedy

American Way began in early 1980 when Kennedy began to slip in the polls and his approval rating among Democrats started to decline.

From interviews with staff members of Parker/Dodd and People for the American Way, it appears that the overriding purpose of the organization is to destabilize the Moral Majority and discredit its founder, Dr. Jerry Falwell, by whatever means possible. Parker devised the PAW scheme along with some Kennedy strategists when the election of Ronald Reagan seemed likely.

FROM CONVERSATIONS with insiders at Parker/Dodd and PAW, it appears that some leaders in the labor movement and the liberal establishment, in

reasoned that they must attack the Moral Majority and undermine its credibility in a way that they hope will erode enthusiasm for the organization. The strategy is not unlike that ascribed to former President Nixon who used Spiro Agnew to undermine credibility in the press so that the people would not believe what they read concerning Nixon misdeeds.

THE STRATEGY involved undermining the credibility of the entire New Right by confusing the issues they address and ascribing issues and tactics to the movement which have nothing to do with their platforms.

The people for the American Way Washington office is under the direction of Anthony Podesta, a young, liberal attorney and himself a staunch union activist. The entire PAW Washington staff appears to be made up of ex-Kennedy campaign workers and union and labor activists.

According to the Oct. 5, 1981 "Business Week" magazine, "the AFL-CIO has decided to shed any pretense of non-partisanship and embark on a plan to become the dominant financial and political force in the Democratic Party. Labor's goal: to block a Republican takeover of Congress in the 1982 elections and to grab a pivotal role in choosing the Democrats' Presidential nominee in 1984."

Machinery such as that established by People for the American Way, with its liberal-labor connections, ought to be an



Norman Lear

agent for a number of liberal and left-wing causes including civil liberties, environmental activism, political solidarity and youth-education groups.

Pike told me the Tides Foundation was formed as a means for certain clients to donate large amounts of money anonymously to these causes. Although PAW will ostensibly function as an independent organization now that its offices are established in Washington, D.C., the Tides Foundation will continue to channel funds from "anonymous" sources to PAW.

The chief operating officer of Parker/Dodd is Jan Brandt, who was for many years the business manager of the Palo-Alto-based "Learning Magazine," a liberal, progressive educational advocacy

Right, clearly reveals PAW to be a carefully orchestrated political device of labor, the left, and the liberals.

An inquiry into the source of PAW funds indicates that most apparently come from groups and individuals who so closely identify with liberals and left-wing politicians: homosexuals and lesbians, labor factions, TV and film producers, feminists, environmentalists, anti-nuclear groups and some liberal racial groups. In other words, PAW support comes from those who would stand the most to gain (that is, a return to power and influence) through the demise of Moral Majority and the New Right.

Not surprisingly, all of Parker/Dodd's clients appear to be organizations which represent these groups, and whose members formed the base of the initial and subsequent PAW direct mailings. Some of Parker/Dodd's clients include, in addition to Senator Kennedy, Greenpeace, Alliance for Survival, The Kennedy Fund (the largest source of funds for the Democratic Party), Congressman Ronald Dellums (a liberal black from Oakland), Western Sun, International Food and Development Policy, and, at one time, George McGovern.

Although Norman Lear claims to have started PAW for purely noble and unselfish reasons, it appears that he agreed to become its spokesman and figurehead to protect his source of income and the income and prestige of his friends. The Hollywood community was stunned by the success of the Coalition for Better Television which had a hand in bringing at least some better programs to the 1981-82 television season. Lear's other motive appears to be the protection of his substantial financial investment in Ted Kennedy. Lear is credited by some political analysts as keeping Kennedy's Presidential ship afloat last year when he began to slip dramatically in the polls.

LEAR HAS also been quoted recently as planning to locate and place on the PAW payroll a "liberal charismatic minister of its own, who will be a visible spokesman against Dr. Jerry Falwell and a proponent of

PAW Tries To Undermine New Right

general, are greatly concerned with the dramatic grass roots shift in the political climate.

Causing particular alarm among these staff members is the broad appeal enjoyed by President Reagan, including his acceptance among previously solid liberal Democratic voters such as rank and file union members.

It matters little that the Moral Majority did not create or mastermind such a pervasive political shift; only that Moral Majority, along with Dr. Falwell, so adequately articulates this national mood.

Since liberals and big labor cannot deal directly with the majority of the people, they have

important part of that strategy.

JUST AS Richard Parker disassociated himself from the radical "Mother Jones" publications so as not to discredit Senator Kennedy, Parker set up PAW under a separate entity, the Tides Foundation. This was done to obscure the ties with Kennedy and other pro-labor, liberal factions being represented by Parker/Dodd and Associates.

The Tides Foundation has its headquarters in an office adjoining Parker/Dodd's in San Francisco. It is under the direction of Drummond Pike. Pike is also the founder and director of another organization, the Shalan Foundation, set up in 1972, which acts as the fiscal

journal for teachers. Learning Magazine is an overtly secular humanist publication which contains articles which, in its own words, "probe controversial and significant social/political issues related to the classroom interest of preschool to eighth grade teachers."

ACCORDING to PAW's Western Regional Director, Tracy Susman, "Half of PAW's annual \$3 million budget will be spent on a speaker's bureau, direct mail appeal for donations, educational materials and training aimed at teaching people how to organize in their own communities and register voters." This description, along with efforts to destabilize the New

Continued on Page 15

HOUSE DENIES D. C. SEX BILL 4-69

Congressman Phil Crane Introduces Resolution To Halt Liberal Law

By Deryl Edwards

WASHINGTON--The House of Representatives on Oct. 1 voted to reject the District of Columbia's liberalized sexual assault reform law, D.C. Act 4-69, giving Moral Majority its greatest victory on Capitol Hill.

D.C. Act 4-69 had been introduced earlier by the District's City Council. Under the 1973 Home Rule Act, all City Council criminal code measures are sent to Congress which has 30 in session days to reject the bill - by disapproval of either house.

By a vote of 281-119, the proposed law fell victim to a fierce lobbying campaign by Moral Majority and many local Washington citizen's organizations.

The sexual reform bill, if approved, would have legalized sodomy, bestiality, adultery, homosexuality, and fornication; legalized seduction of a 16-year-old by a teacher, as long as no force was used; and reduced the penalty for rape from life imprisonment to 20 years in jail.

Resolutions of disapproval against the bill had been introduced in the House by Rep. Philip M. Crane, R-Ill., and in the Senate by Senators Jeremiah Denton, R-Ala., Jesse Helms, R-N.C., and Orrin Hatch, R-Utah.

Rejecting the argument that Home Rule should be the overriding consideration, Crane said, "In something as sensitive and controversial as this, I feel that both the community and the



Rep. Phil Crane

Sen. Jeremiah Denton

country should have ample opportunity to reflect on its consequences...The District of Columbia and the agencies of the federal government located within its boundaries are the concern of every American."

IN HIS REMARKS in the Senate, Denton said, "As the Nation's Capital, this city should not be the place where social experiments are performed, where centuries of legal tradition are thrown out the window. Rather, this city should be governed by standards which conform with the long-standing national consensus on these matters."

D.C. Act 4-69 had previously been reported favorably out of the House Committee on the District of Columbia. The committee rejected 8-to-3, the resolution of disapproval introduced by Crane. But Crane, acting according to a provision of the D.C. Home Rule Charter, asked the House to ignore the committee vote and present the

resolution of disapproval before the full House for a floor vote.

Rep. Ronald V. Dellums, D-Calif., liberal chairman of the House District Committee and a supporter of the sexual reform bill, denounced rejection of D.C. Act 4-69 as a violation of the Home Rule Act.

Dellums had previously refused to hear any public testimony regarding D.C. Act 4-69, saying in a telegram to Moral Majority, Inc. that a committee hearing was only to determine if the District of Columbia had exceeded its authority or violated the Constitution and if the Federal interest was abridged by the measure.

In the Senate, the subcommittee on Governmental Efficiency and the District of Columbia did agree to hear testimony. Dr. Ronald Godwin, vice president of Moral Majority, Inc., George Frain, vice president of the Citizens Association of the District of Columbia and the Rev. Andrew Fowler, testified in favor of the resolution of disapproval of the bill.

D.C. Mayor Marion Barry and Councilman David Clarke testified in support of D.C. Act 4-69. Clarke had originally introduced the sexual reform measures to the D.C. city council as chairman of the D.C. Judiciary Committee.

ALARMED AT the prospect of the proposed law being enacted in a short time unless prohibited by Congress, local



Photo by Lee Schaffer

Dr. Jerry Falwell congratulates Washington pastors for the leadership in defeating D.C. Sex Bill 4-69. Left to right are Rev. John Bussey, Rev. Carey Pointer and Rev. Cleveland Sparrow, Sr.

citizen's groups began an intensive lobbying effort to defeat the measure.

The Rev. Cleveland B. Sparrow, a black Washington pastor, and chairman of the D.C. Moral Majority, wrote a letter to District clergymen asking their support in defeating the proposed sexual reform law.

"If you agree that we should not legitimize and encourage adultery, sodomy, fornication, homosexuality, seduction and promiscuity, Congress needs to hear from you," Sparrow wrote.

Sparrow also appeared as a guest on Washington's WRC radio talk show to argue against the proposed law.

Letters were sent out from Arthur V. Meigs, president of the D.C. Federation of Civic Associations and the Rev. Carey E. Pointer, president of the D.C. Baptist Ministers' Conference in an effort to spark grassroots opposition to D.C. Act 4-69.

More than 10,000 signatures were collected from D.C. citizens opposed to the measure on a petition circulated by the D.C. Moral Majority. Following a nationwide alert by the Moral Majority outlining the potential

effects of D.C. Act 4-69, Capitol Hill legislative offices were flooded with calls and letters objecting to the highly liberalized sexual reforms.

The Washington Post reported that Rep. Stanford Parris, R-Va., "received more than 700 phone calls and letters from constituents demanding that he vote against the bill." John Gnorowski, minority staff director of the House District Committee called the response "unbelievable" and said, "...we never saw anything like it before," the Post reports.

The Moral Majority had called the proposed sexual reforms a precedent setting measure that would allow the nation's capital to become the gay capital of the world. (D.C. Act 4-69 had been backed strongly by gay rights groups in the Washington area.)

AT A SEPTEMBER 9 news conference, Dr. Jerry Falwell, president of Moral Majority, Inc. said, "The American people, through their elected officials, have a stake in what the laws of their capital city are--and they certainly do not want to legitimize or give the seal of

Continued on Page 5

CONGRESSMEN WHO VOTED FOR 'SODOMY'

Daniel Akaka, D-Hawaii
Les AuCoin, D-Ore.
Michael Barnes, D-Md.
Berkley Bedell, D-Iowa
Anthony Beilenson, D-Calif.
Jonathan Bingham, D-N.Y.
Mrs. Lindy Boggs, D-La.
Richard Bolling, D-Mo.
David Bonior, D-Mich.
George Brown, D-Calif.
John Burton, D-Calif.
William Clay, D-Mo.
Cardiss Collins, D-Ill.
Barber Conable, R-N.Y.
Silvio Conte, R-Mass.
John Conyers, D-Mich.
William Coyne, D-Pa.
George Crockett, D-Mich.
George Danielson, D-Calif.
Thomas Daschle, D-S.D.

Joel Deckard, R-Ind.
Ronald Dellums, D-Calif.
Lawrence DeNardis, R-Conn.
Butler Derrick, D-S.C.
Julian Dixon, D-Calif.
Thomas Downey, D-N.Y.
Jim Dunn, R-Mich.
Bernard Dwyer, D-N.J.
Mervyn Dymally, D-Calif.
Bob Edgar, D-Pa.
Jack Edwards, R-Ala.
Don Edwards, D-Calif.
Arlen Erdahl, R-Minn.
Dante Fascell, D-Fla.
Vic Fazio, D-Calif.
Millicent Fenwick, R-N.J.
Hamilton Fish, R-N.Y.
Thomas Foglietta, D-Pa.
Harold Ford, D-Tenn.
Edwin Forsythe, R-N.J.

Wyche Fowler, D-Ga.
Barney Frank, D-Mass.
Bill Frenzel, R-Minn.
Sam Gejdenson, D-Conn.
Benjamin Gilman, R-N.Y.
Henry Gonzalez, D-Texas
Willis Gradison, R-Ohio
William Gray, D-Pa.
William Green, R-N.Y.
Frank Guarini, D-N.J.
Augustus Hawkins, D-Calif.
Harold Hollenbeck, R-N.J.
Frank Horton, R-N.Y.
James Howard, D-N.J.
Steny Hoyer, D-Md.
Andrew Jacobs, D-Ind.
James Jeffords, R-Vt.
Robert Kastenmeier, D-Wis.
Jim Leach, R-Iowa
William Lehman, D-Fla.

Bob Livingston, R-La.
Clarence Long, D-Md.
Mike Lawry, D-Wash.
Thomas Luken, D-Ohio
Stanley Lundine, D-N.Y.
Dan Lungren, R-Calif.
Edward Markey, D-Mass.
Marc Marks, R-Pa.
Robert Matsui, D-Calif.
Jim Mattox, D-Texas
Matthew McHugh, D-N.Y.
Stewart McKinney, R-Conn.
Barbara Mikulski, D-Md.
George Miller, D-Calif.
Norman Mineta, D-Calif.
Joseph Minish, D-N.J.
Parren Mitchell, D-Md.
Anthony Moffett, D-Conn.
Guy Molinari, R-N.Y.
Henry Nowak, D-N.Y.

James Oberstar, D-Minn.
Richard Ottinger, D-N.Y.
Peter Peyser, D-N.Y.
Joel Pritchard, R-Wash.
Carl Pursell, R-Mich.
Charles Rangel, D-N.Y.
Peter Rodino, D-N.J.
Robert Roe, D-N.J.
Benjamin Rosenthal, D-N.Y.
Marge Roukema, R-N.J.
Edward Roybal, D-Calif.
Martin Sabo, D-Minn.
James Scheuer, D-N.Y.
Claude Schneider, R-R.I.
Patricia Schroeder, D-Colo.
Charles Schumer, D-N.Y.
John Seiberling, D-Ohio
James Shannon, D-Mass.
Olympia Snowe, R-Maine
Stephen Solarz, D-N.Y.

Fortney Stark, D-Calif.
Gerry Studds, D-Mass.
Al Swift, D-Wash.
Mike Synar, D-Okla.
Morris Udall, D-Ariz.
Bruce Vento, D-Minn.
Doug Walgren, D-Pa.
Henry Waxman, D-Calif.
James Weaver, D-Ore.
Ted Weiss, D-N.Y.
Pat Williams, D-Mont.
Timothy Wirth, D-Colo.
Howard Wolpe, D-Mich.
Ron Wyden, D-Ore.
Sidney Yates, D-Ill.

—From the Congressional Record

WHAT'S BLACK AND WHITE AND....WELL....

A Primer On Reading

By Ronald S. Godwin

Sorry, if you are looking for quick-witted or profound prose, you've got the wrong column. Cal Thomas is in charge of quick-witted prose, and Dr. Jerry Falwell, of course, handles the profound. On the other hand, if you have been having trouble understanding what you read about Moral Majority Inc. in your local newspaper, then you are in luck. I may have figured out why you and I are having so much trouble reading our papers of late. See if you agree.

Recently, Moral Majority has been incredibly active both at the national level and through its state affiliates. (See other articles in this issue.) Yet, if you were to make a judgement about our condition based on what you have read in the national press, you might conclude that we are at best moribund and at worst terminally ill.

It seems, to me at least, that the media just can't work up any enthusiasm about our victories or our programs. But let us make a mistake, either out in the states or in Washington, and suddenly the enthusiastic interest of the press returns. In fact, if you wish to positively delight the national media, then produce some liberal in a particularly vitriolic frame of mind toward Moral Majority, Inc. and the press will be sure to hang on his or her every word.

Allow me to provide you with

Black Pastors Lead Battle

Continued from Page 4

approval to perverted acts.

"If D.C. Act 4-69 becomes law, it will be used as a reason or excuse for other local and/or national laws and regulations of a similar nature," Falwell said.

Although the threat of a similar proposal coming from the D.C. city council still exists, proponents of liberal sexual reforms would face a tougher fight in Congress in any future round, Home Rule notwithstanding.

In its provision for the creation of the District of Columbia, the Constitution states, "The Congress shall have Power... To exercise exclusive legislation in all cases whatsoever, over such District... as may... become the Seat of Government of the United States..."

some examples of unenthusiasm on the part of the press. As you can read elsewhere in this issue, Moral Majority Inc. just held its Third Annual Summit Conference in conjunction with a National Conference on Pornography hosted by Moral Majority's Legal Defense Foundation.

When reported at all, the Summit Conference was pictured as an extravagant junket-type conference or as if it were a clandestine attempt on the part of the Moral Majority to somehow compromise district attorneys and prosecutors. Of course, no effort was ever made to explain why we would want to compromise district attorneys and prosecutors. Our explanation that we were actually able to get better prices for airline tickets, hotel rooms, and food in the Bahamas at this time of year also got lost in the shuffle.

* * * * *

OUR MOST RECENT and unbelievable experience with lack of enthusiasm on the part of the national press can be seen--or not seen--in how the media across the country failed to report Moral Majority's lobbying victory in Congress concerning D.C. Act 4-69, the so-called "sodomy bill." Mayor Barry and his city council passed an act which legalized sodomy, bestiality, fornication, adultery, homosexuality and reduced the penalty for forcible rape from life to only 20 years in prison in our nation's capital.

But, as shocking as this bill was, both the Senate and the House were loath to repeal it or to take any action whatsoever concerning it for fear of being labeled as racist or as being against the principle of Home Rule. Moral Majority put together a coalition of Washington, D.C. organizations, alerted our state affiliates, and lobbied against the "sodomy bill" so fiercely that, in fact, D.C. Act 4-69 was repealed 281-to-119 on the floor of the House of Representatives. All five television stations in Washington, D.C. carried the story as their lead news item for several days. And yet, this "unique" victory went largely unreported throughout the nation.

In Alaska, the bar owners

decided to make Moral Majority of Alaska and its chairman, Dr. Jerry Prevo, the issue in their battle to keep bars open 21 hours a day up in our northernmost state. Using Madison Avenue-produced full-page ads, television commercials, and an over-\$100,000 war chest, the liquor crowd attempted to make Moral Majority, Inc. the scapegoat in a local referendum on whether or not bars should stay open 21 hours a day.

When the voters went to the polls on Oct. 6, the liquor crowd was defeated 65-to-35 percent. The one candidate for Mayor of Anchorage, friendly to Moral Majority, came within one-fourth of one percent of winning the election outright and is presently favored in the runoff. And yet, these victories are strangely absent from papers across America.

On the other hand, when President Giamatti of Yale and Father Healy of Georgetown University pandered to radical liberals in separate speeches recently, every word of their McCarthy-like attacks on the Moral Majority was enthusiastically quoted in newspapers coast-to-coast. Every unsubstantiated allegation, every aspersion, every innuendo was printed.

IN POINT OF FACT, Giamatti and Healy remind one of the story about the drunk who, while asleep at the local bar, had limburger cheese smeared onto his mustache. Upon awaking, the drunk loudly told the first person encountered that he stank and should go home and take a bath. When someone finally retorted that the problem was under the drunk's own nose, he loudly denied it.

So it is with Father Healy and President Giamatti. (Though these liberal Democrats were partly right in what they said in their speeches, because there really is a "meanness of spirit" afoot in the land today.) The trouble lies under the noses of liberal accusers themselves. My own opinion is that liberal accusers like Healy and Giamatti are really just sore losers. And, if that opinion sounds simplistic, read the speeches in question again and you decide if you can smell the distinct odor of sour grapes.

But if Healy flunked demagoguery, give him an "A" for creativity. The good Father came down with a fit of meanness and immediately began to accuse others of having his own malady, which brings me back to the question of how you and I can

sort all this out when we read our newspapers.

Notice please that Healy's tactic is a classic example of misinforming the public. The rules of misinforming are fairly simple. Simply de-emphasize that which your enemies stress as important. Then you stress every mistake your adversaries make and create mistakes to blame them with if none are readily available. In short, accuse the victim of being a predator.

A good friend of mine told me not long ago that the incessant pounding the Moral Majority takes from the press really could be best described as "psy-warfare." When I asked him what he meant by "psy-warfare," he said that I should visit a particular army base in North Carolina and talk to the army experts there who have carefully documented how Communists, terrorists, and dictators use the press to distort truth, and confuse the public. This friend said that, quite literally, the tactics being used in the American press against Moral Majority were identical to those used by the enemies of democracy in many other parts of the world.

SO WHEN YOU READ that the Moral Majority is "threatening the foundations of democracy," is guilty of some illogical or bizarre activity like burning books, or is reported near death by an astrologer under



Ronald S. Godwin

contract to the Washington Post, please read your paper with a bit of caution. A good rule of thumb is to remember that, if a reporter appears to be enthusiastic when writing about Moral Majority, he is probably doing a "Giamatti" on us. However, if he has written only two paragraphs about us on the eighth page of Section D of your local paper, then the event being reported is probably of monumental importance to conservatives everywhere.

All this leads me to one final bit of advice to remember when reading your newspapers. Conservatives depend upon an informed electorate to accomplish their goals, while liberals depend upon their ability to manipulate the electorate in order to accomplish their goals.

Subcommittee Refuses To Cut Title X Funds

Ignoring recommendations from the Reagan Administration and the Government Accounting Office to reduce funding for the Title X family planning program, the Senate Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education and related agencies has voted to continue funding the program at \$130 million for fiscal year 1982.

THE REAGAN Administration had proposed that Title X be consolidated with preventive health services into a block grant with appropriations for fiscal year 1982 to be 75 percent of fiscal year 1981, or \$121.5 million.

A June 19 GAO report to Congress recommends that appropriations for Title X be reduced and fee collections be imposed on users of health clinics who are able to pay.

"The Department (of Health and Human Services) could

reduce the costs of such programs and make services less costly and more attractive to clients without compromising quality care by eliminating unnecessary medical procedures or tests," the report says.

The report also criticized the HHS program guidelines for recommending "too many routine visits" to the clinics. According to the HHS guidelines, oral contraceptive clients should make two more visits yearly than recommended by the American College of Gynecologists and Obstetrics.

THE GAO report added that "officials at nearly half of the clinics we visited believed that HHS' guidelines called for too many revisits."

Rep. Robert L. Livingstone, R-La., a member of the subcommittee on Labor/HHS called for cuts in Title X based on the GAO report.

'Clean' TV Credited To Moral Majority, CBTV



REPORTER'S NOTEBOOK

By Deryl Edwards

After a pullback of sponsorship by major advertisers such as Procter & Gamble network executives promised to provide more family oriented programming this year. Early reviews appearing in Newsweek and the Washington Post affirm that the new fall season will be "squeaky clean."

In its Oct. 12 review of the new season, Newsweek says that this year's clear winners are the Moral Majority and the National Rifle Association. The Moral Majority wins because of an obvious absence of sex. The National Rifle Association wins due to increased themes of violence.

While remaining opposed to any amount of increased violence on television, the Moral Majority does feel at least partly responsible for programs that families may, once again, watch together.

* * * * *

REVIEWS OF THE new television season indicate the Moral Majority and the Coalition for Better

Television can take credit for a more family-oriented programming schedule. Newsweek admits it. In its fall TV review Newsweek says, "In apparent deference to the Moral Majority, CBS scrubbed a steamy shower scene from one of the early episodes (of Simon & Simon)." In the pilot NBC movie "Sidney Shorr" Tony Randall was cast as a middle-aged homosexual. But in the new show "Love, Sidney" Randall is described as only "an artist...who enjoys a platonic relationship with a girl half his age, and the girl's daughter."

The Washington Post, in its review of the new TV season adds this remark, "Or, who says Jerry Falwell hasn't had an impact?" If this year's programming turns out to be a bore, as some critics predict, the fault can be laid at the door of those writers and producers who have replaced jiggly sex for quality programming. As more of the sex is removed, it becomes obvious that quality has been lacking for some time.

* * * * *

Writing in the Philadelphia Inquirer, Tom Fox, a member of the newspaper's editorial board says he has become convinced that TV has needed a general clean-up. "I am aware that in this era of permissiveness that television plots are not going to be purged, perfumed and rose-watered to my moral tastes," Fox writes. "But there is a matter of public decency involved here, and on that point, I'm overboard with the television preachers." Fox says he was "converted" when he read some of the plots in Norman Lear produced TV

shows like "Maude" and "Mary Hartman, Mary Hartman." After reading just a sample of the Norman Lear plots, Fox says, "Lear's moral tastes are just a mite too sleazy for me." It seems that most Americans agree. They want the public airwaves to reflect their values, not just the values of Hollywood, Madison Avenue or Norman Lear. As Fox writes, "The First Amendment is a two-way street."

* * * * *

PRESIDENT REAGAN has formally placed in nomination the name of Dr. C. Everette Koop for surgeon general of the United States. Koop, a longtime pro-life activist, has promised if approved, he will not use his new post as a "pulpit" for anti-abortion activities. He has come under fire recently from pro-abortion forces who fear his nomination. Koop, testifying before the Senate Labor and Human Resources Committee has been able to effectively answer critics, who contend that he lacks experience in the field of public health...The accused assassin of President Reagan, John W. Hinckley Jr., told Newsweek that Reagan "is the best president we've had this century." Hinckley, who agreed to answer 20 Newsweek questions said he believes the president's tax cut and strong national defense policies would be beneficial. Regarding assassins, Hinckley says, "Most assassins are disillusioned with everything, most of all politics. The ideology of the target doesn't matter. Politics has nothing to do with political assassinations in America."

Tarzan Goes Ape... And So Does Jane

By J. D. Bell

I have many fond memories of the Tarzan movies of the forties: we would all line up on Saturday morning to watch Johnny Weissmuller, the King of the Jungle, protect the safari from wild animals and headhunters, do battle with the poachers and generally use his incredible body to fight any evil that happened along.

We all left the theatre swaggering a little and dreaming of being just like Tarzan when we grew up, and I went to see the current movie with the idea of recapturing some of those glorious moments of the past. I found that the world has changed quite a bit since then and I suspect that it's going to change a lot more.

I don't suppose there's much to be gained by dwelling on the absurdities of the plot: Jane, on her way to join her father's safari, kills the boat captain and his assistant when they attack her, and then this delicate, frail girl from England simply pilots the boat down the river herself. Later she is left behind by the safari because she wants to take a bath and as a result is threatened

by a lion which is brought under control by Tarzan.

Learning nothing from this experience, the safari once again goes on without her because she wants a drink of water. This time she is abducted by Tarzan, but perhaps such incredible happenings could be written off as poetic license.

But there is nothing poetic about the nude scenes in the movie. Bo Derek's bare breasts contribute nothing whatever to the plot of this film and can be justified only by being completely honest and calling the movie what it is: an exercise in voyeurism. This film is little more than a peep show, and that brings up an interesting paradox: if I were to hang a sign on my door advertising nude pictures of my wife, my neighbors (and society in general) would certainly (and rightly) condemn this action as being morally reprehensible.

AND YET, this is precisely what John Derek does with his wife: the meaningless and wandering plot is merely an excuse to present her undraped body before the eyes of the American public.

And yet there are more disturbing elements in this film: the character of Tarzan, for some

reason, has greatly degenerated since the Johnny Weissmuller days. The old Tarzan was a hero that formed a link between the untamed jungle and the civilized world. Not so with the current model: our new Tarzan doesn't even have the power of speech, which places him in between the baboons and the natives on a cultural scale.

The old Tarzan lived in a tree-house and enjoyed cooked food; the new model lives in a tree and eats raw fruits just like the other animals and it is for this reason, this lack of human qualities, that I had difficulty in accepting him as a hero.

IT IS ALSO rather disturbing to note that whereas the Weissmuller character was constantly fighting the forces of evil and protecting the helpless, the current movie shows our "hero" fighting a giant snake and a tribal chief, both in order to save the endangered Jane. In short, he fights only to protect his sex object (with no vocal communication being possible this relationship can hardly be called love) and does nothing at all while a number of other people, including Jane's father, die at the hands of savages.

The film ends with Jane

deciding to stay in the jungle as Tarzan's mate and this is the most distressing aspect of the movie: this young girl from the British Isles totally renounces art, learning and religion in order to live a life of blissful sexuality in the jungle with a creature that is little more than a gorilla.

Thus, the king of the jungle has been reduced to a lecher and Jane is portrayed as a sex-starved woman that will give up anything to satisfy her lust. The message of the film is that the world of the flesh is superior to the world of the spirit. Civilization and culture make a contact with savagery and it is savagery that emerges triumphant.

What will be the effect of such a movie on the youth of the country? Well, I doubt if human nature has changed very much in the past 40 years: children are probably just as impressionable now as they were then so I suppose they'll all leave the theatre the same way I did back then: all the young boys will leave the theatre wanting to be just like Tarzan when they grow up and all the young girls will want to be just like Jane... and in the future we can expect to see the story of Tarzan and Jane, the drama

celebrating the triumph of the animal passions over the intellect, being acted out within our society.

Who knows? In another ten or 15 years perhaps the whole country will have gone ape and



Bo Derek

people will be seeking physical pleasure to the total exclusion of all intellectual, artistic and spiritual values.

J.D. Bell is a professor of literature on the faculty of Chicago City College. He is "deeply concerned about the trend in entertainment."

Goldwater and The Religious Right

By William A. Rusher

NEW YORK--T.S. Eliot once wrote that "April is the cruellest month," but for members of the religious right, September can't be far behind.

First they were fallen upon by Yale President A. Bartlett Giamatti, who issued an encyclical to all Yale freshmen denouncing Jerry Falwell's Moral Majority for a whole list of alleged sins and condemning its beliefs as "dangerous, malicious nonsense." That was comparatively endurable, because Giamatti is known to be thinking of abandoning Yale for a United States senatorship (and ultimately, believe it or not, the presidency) and probably considered his attack a shrewd maneuver.

But now comes Barry Goldwater, American conservatism's oldest living totem, and holds a press conference on Capitol Hill cussing out the religious right with a generous assortment of

"hells" and "damns." He shares many of their values, Goldwater says, but they are diverting attention from "the vital issues" in the field of economics. Besides, "from where do they claim the right to dictate their moral beliefs to me? ... I am warning them today: I will fight them every step of the way if they try to dictate their moral convictions to all Americans in the name of 'conservatism.'"

TO THE inattentive, Goldwater's position may come as a surprise, but actually he has always been an orthodox Republican economic conservative first and foremost, with grave doubts about the so-called social conservatives. He never really warmed to the movement that drafted him for the Republican presidential nomination in 1964. He vigorously supported Nixon over Reagan in 1968, and Ford over Reagan in 1976--getting into an open spat with New Right leader

Richard Viguerie as a result, in the latter year.

In 1980, when Goldwater's campaign for re-election to the Senate from Arizona was in deep trouble, the Arizona Right to Life movement refused to settle for his private promise to vote for a Human Life Amendment and insisted on a public commitment instead. Goldwater reluctantly gave it, and the subsequent support of the Right to Lifers may well have provided his razor-thin victory margin; but he bitterly resented the coercion, and it has rankled deep ever since. Add to all this an unsuccessful hip operation that keeps the old gentleman in almost constant pain, and it is easy enough to understand his angry tirade.

But the religious right, like it or not, is on to something, and it is not going to be swept into the dust bin of history by mindless, lopsided blasts from Bart Giamatti, Barry Goldwater or

anybody else. This simple truth hasn't yet been recognized by many political leaders of the first rank, and considerable credit--and perhaps more tangible rewards--await those who perceive it early.


The truth is that the confident humanistic assumption that a society can survive indefinitely without religious supports has been springing leaks all over the place. The fact was obscured for many decades by the immense inherited moral capital of the American society. But by 1960 that capital was exhausted, in many places, and for many purposes, and secular humanism was on its own. What ensued was a fast moral toboggan-slide in which traditional family values were replaced by a sleazy set of "alternative life-styles," self-realization degenerated into the Me Decade, and drug use exploded among the members of the younger generation to kill the resulting pain.

IT IS THAT state of affairs that the concerned millions of the religious right have mobilized to oppose, and the only wonder is that they waited so long. Among their number one can, of course, find individual extremists saying all sorts of ill-advised things; but the vast majority of these people are not at all unreasonable and don't for a moment seek to impose their own religious views, or any religious views at all, on individual fellow citizens of a different mind. But they are no longer willing to accept the humanist decree that the constitutional separation of church and state requires this society to adopt, as its official posture, a tacit but steadfast atheism; and woe betide any politician who so misunderstands the temper of these times as to suppose they can be ordered summarily to get lost.


Copyright 1981 Universal Press Syndicate
Used By Permission

Cartoonists Look At Falwell, Goldwater Controversy

BEFORE: The Miami Herald Cartoon



AFTER: Moral Majority Report Cartoon



A Look At Fuzzy Words And Fuzzy Logic

By Walter E. Williams

With all of the public debate going on about this policy or that policy, nobody really takes time to ask what this word or that word really means. We just muddle along — each speaking, in a sense, a different language one to another. Perhaps a look at just the words would be interesting and helpful.

SUPPOSE YOU ask the average American: are public drinking fountains, libraries, and theaters desegregated? Probably most Americans would look at you with some puzzlement and say, "yes." Then you could ask: are America's schools or jobs desegregated? There would probably be a loud chorus of yeas and nays. In any case, there would not be a broad consensus on the answer.

Why? Because segregation has one meaning when applied to water fountains and another when applied to schools. The test to determine whether a water fountain is desegregated is: can a black take a drink? On the other hand, the test used by courts and civil rights groups to determine whether schools are segregated is: are the number of blacks in the school equal to their number in the population?

If the number is wrong, the NAACP or the courts will order some remedy, such as busing. But nobody bothers to propose the same remedy in the case of water fountains, libraries, and theaters. Such an ambiguous usage of the term segregation leads to fuzzy thinking and policy. We should consider a school segregated if a black, who lives next door to a white, is denied attendance at the

same public school the white attends.

Discrimination is another fuzzy word, as is the phrase equal opportunity. Many people advocate anti-discrimination and equality of opportunity. Think about that.

When choosing a wife, 21 years ago, I engaged in the grossest discrimination and denial of equal opportunity. For example, fat women were not given a chance. Women of other races — white, Oriental, Indian — that were just as qualified, did not receive an equal opportunity. Some will say that such discrimination is trivial and doesn't have important social implications.

Not true — marriage selection does have important social implications. For as long as higher-income, higher-educated people tend to mate with other higher-income, higher educated people, the more skewness (unevenness) will be found in the distribution of income in the nation. High-income and better-educated persons marrying low-income and less-educated persons would tend to even out the distribution of income. So should we have marital affirmative action?

WHAT ABOUT the word "entitlements." Entitlements refer to a host of government program expenditures which augment and subsidize the income. These entitlements, "Uncontrollables," comprise more than three-fifths of the non-defense budget. They include welfare, medical care, food stamps, public housing, and so forth. Rather than call these programs entitlements, which gives an air of respectability, we might call it plundering or looting. To loot or plunder means forcefully living off the labors of others. Entitlement implies some sort of quid pro quo, i.e., I work for you; I'm entitled to my pay. You pay me; you're entitled to my work.

Frederick Bastiat, the great political economist, gave us a rule to detect looting and plundering: "See if the law (government) takes from some persons what belongs to them, and gives it to other persons to whom it does not belong. See if the law benefits one citizen at the expense of another by doing what the citizen himself cannot do without committing a crime."

Millions of Americans receive that which belongs to others.

Americans are mostly moral and would not engage in looting themselves. But because the government takes that which belongs to others and calls it entitlements, the immorality of it is disguised.

There are many other words and phrases which mislead us and confuse public policy. We should dig deeply into words and phrases that are a part of policy such as parity price, rate bureau, the social interest, and others to see



what they really mean and do. I suspect that many of us won't like what we find. At least we won't refer to ourselves as living in the "land of the free."

Distributed by
Heritage Features Syndicate

The Manifesto of The Movement Fundamentalist Phenomenon

Edited by
Jerry Falwell

Co-Authored by
Ed Hindson & Ed Dobson



I congratulate Dr. Falwell and his co-workers in the work of this volume. I am honored that my name was mentioned in the dedication.

— Dr. Lee Roberson

I consider this book to be the best and most accurate representation of the truly fundamentalist position that we have in print today.

— Dr. Greg Dixon

The book makes a positive contribution to a confused ecclesiastical world. Jerry Falwell honestly admits the collective flaws of well meaning fundamentalists. It is clear that this book will be controversial, but if read carefully can be helpful.

— Dr. Truman Dollar

For five years I have had an exceedingly heavy burden placed upon my heart by the Holy Spirit concerning a Scriptural approach to Biblical separation. I believe this book expresses many of the convictions the Holy Spirit has laid upon my heart during this time of soul searching for a sensible approach to Scriptural unity.

— Dr. Jack Van Impe

The *Fundamentalist Phenomenon* edited by Dr. Jerry Falwell is a very interesting, informative, and factual book. This book should be read by every pastor and would be a great student series for any college.

— Dr. W. E. Dowell

Available at your local bookstore or order from:
Liberty Baptist College Bookstore
Lynchburg, Va. 24506
(enclose \$13.95)

PAID ADVERTISEMENT



Walter E. Williams

New Liquor Ordinance Upheld Despite Left-Winger Campaign

By Deryl Edwards

ANCHORAGE, Alaska--Despite a \$100,000 media campaign designed to discredit the aims of the Moral Majority, citizens in the state's largest city voted Oct. 6 to uphold an ordinance that would force bar and liquor stores to shorten working hours.

The ordinance, originally passed by the Anchorage Municipal Assembly on April 16, had been opposed by bar and restaurant owners, who succeeded in getting enough signatures on a petition to suspend the ordinance until it came up for the October referendum as Proposition 1.

After passage by the Assembly in April, the ordinance was in effect for only two days, July 1 and 2, before the Committee for an Informed Choice suspended the measure by filing the 3,500 signatures necessary to place the issue on the October 6 ballot.

The Committee for an Informed Choice was organized in response to the 2-2-10 Coalition, a group of health, law en-

Majority of Alaska, soon became the center of controversy.

In ads appearing in the Anchorage Daily News the Committee for an Informed Choice said that Proposition 1 would be a chance for citizens to stop the Moral Majority. A Sept. 29 ad by the Committee said, "Alcohol is not the issue. The Moral Majority is the issue. Send the Moral Majority a message on October 6th. Vote YES on Proposition #1."

One especially vehement ad appearing on Sept. 30 had a large photograph of Dr. Jerry Prevo, chairman of Moral Majority of Alaska, with the headline "HEAVEN HELP US....if this man gets his way in Anchorage." The ad said the aim of the Moral Majority was "to dictate the way we live" and asked, "ISN'T IT TIME TO STOP THEM?"

Nowhere in either ad is the issue of alcohol, bars or liquor stores raised.

OBED NELSON, Chairperson of the 2-2-10 Coalition is quoted in defense of Moral Majority in a

supporting the Assembly's ordinance. It's not Jerry Prevo's ordinance...We are talking about rape rates and assault rates and accident rates. No other state in the Union but Nevada (keeps taverns open late) and it's the direct cause of alcohol and violence problems in the community."

Voting results on Oct. 7 showed Proposition 1 going down to defeat by a nearly 2-to-1 margin. According to the Anchorage Times, the final vote count was 29,275 to 15,289. The defeat of Proposition 1 upheld the Assembly's April ordinance. Starting Oct. 14 the bars would have to shut down at 2:30 a.m. Monday through Friday and 3 a.m. on Saturday and Sunday. Liquor stores must close by 1 a.m. during the week and 2 a.m. Saturday and Sunday. Under the new ordinance, bars and liquor stores will not be allowed to reopen until 10 a.m. Monday through Saturday and noon on Sunday.

PROPOSERS OF



Dr. Jerry Prevo




Tim Ewell

Anchorage Daily News Wednesday September 26, 1981 E7

HEAVEN HELP US....

....if this man gets his way in Anchorage.



THE MAN: Jerry Prevo
HIS ORGANIZATION:
The Moral Majority
THEIR AIM: To Dictate
the Way We Live

ISN'T IT TIME TO STOP THEM?

Vote "YES" on Proposition 1

YES NO

If we don't draw the line now, we may not get another chance.

Paid for by The Committee for an Informed Choice

P.O. Box 270 • 3605 Arctic Boulevard • Anchorage, Alaska 99503 • James Hadley, Chairman

This full-page ad in Alaska shows deceptive methods used by bar and liquor store owners.

BAR, LIQUOR STORE OWNERS ATTEMPT TO MAKE MORAL MAJORITY THE ISSUE, USE DISTORTED ADVERTISEMENTS

forcement, civic, church and social service groups, which had requested that the ordinance be passed. The 2-2-10 Coalition cited statistics indicating that crimes such as rape, assault and driving accident rates are related to the hours of alcohol sale.

IN THE CAPITAL city of Juneau, where a similar ordinance was passed more than two years ago, police and ambulance calls dropped an average of 31 percent, the Coalition declared.

The Committee for an Informed Choice responded that shorter bar and liquor store hours would have a negative effect on the local economy, forcing many people out of work. They also argued that their rights as individuals were threatened by the measure.

However, as the campaign developed, the issues surrounding Proposition 1 became less clear cut, due to a heavy negative advertising campaign by the Committee for an Informed Choice. As a latecomer to the 2-2-10 Coalition, the Moral

supplement to the Anchorage Times by the Alaska Council on Prevention of Alcohol and Drug Abuse.

"The particular minister (Prevo) the ads criticize was about the last individual to join the Coalition; and the bar owners have nothing else to attack. They simply cannot fight with the Municipal Assembly's action on any factual basis. They totally ignore the fact that literally hundreds of other organizations sponsored the shortened bar hours ordinance," Obed said.

Prevo, urged a debate between himself and a representative of the Committee for an Informed Choice in an effort to clear the air. He called the group's ad campaign "misleading" and "unfair."

Members of the 2-2-10 Coalition contended that the Moral Majority was being used as a red herring to cloud the issue of crime caused by increased alcohol sales.

Howard Scaman of the Coalition told the Anchorage Times, "What we're doing is

Proposition 1 favored keeping bars and liquor stores open 21 hours a day. If passed, Proposition 1 would have required bars to close only from 5 a.m. to 8 a.m. under an existing law.

Prevo says that Moral Majority of Alaska now has to do its own media campaign to restore credibility that was lost in the eyes of the public due to the negative campaign by the Committee for an Informed Choice.

"Some of those 'yes' votes were anti-Moral Majority votes and not for the bar hours," Prevo told the Anchorage Times. "Most people have the impression that we're trying to dictate their morality because of our name. But we just want to talk about the issues."

After the votes were in, the chairman of the Committee for an Informed Choice, James Hadley conceded to the Times that "the bar issue was a weak issue from the outset. That's why the focus of our campaign was to expose the Moral Majority."

Cable TV Offers This 'Escapade' For You

By Cal Thomas

Good evening, ladies and gentlemen, and welcome to our preview of coming attractions on your new cable system, Escapade. Escapade, you'll remember, is the video entry of Playboy Magazine into the ratings and profit sweepstakes.

It's a pleasure to have you join us this evening for a look at a new program that we think will be just what American adults have been waiting for.

The new show is called "Everything Goes." It's sort of a takeoff (no pun intended) of the old Cole Porter song which went, "In olden days a glimpse of stocking was looked on as something shocking, now heaven knows...anything goes!"

I want you to meet the producer of Everything Goes, Scott Sternberg!! Hooray, clap, clap. Scott, tell us about the new show, soon to be seen on cable TV throughout America for those willing to pay the price and, who wouldn't be willing to pay for what you've got to offer, right Scott?

Right. Well, it's kind of like strip poker. What we have is a program in front of a live studio audience where the contestants take off their clothes! The show is built around a sexual fantasy theme.

A sexual fantasy theme?

Yes. Here's the way it works. Two contestants come out dressed in their fantasy costumes, such as a bride and groom or a

doctor and nurse. The game show host then asks the male contestant, "If you wanted to arrange a three-party sexual encounter, what is the best way to find a third person?" The answer comes from a book by Xavier Hollander, a well-known prostitute, madame and author. The correct answer is "shop at a swinger's club." The man answers the question and the woman is asked if she thinks he is right. If her choice is correct, then she can take off a piece of his clothing. If her answer is wrong, he gets to take off a piece of her clothing.

The real exciting part of all this is that to add to the fun, the winner can tell the loser what position he or she must take on the stage as his or her clothing is removed. How about that for fun, huh?

Sounds exciting, Scott.

Wait, there's more (or less, as the case may be, hah hah!). We've also got a Reveal Board.

A Reveal Board?

Yes. For example, the male contestant is led to the board like in a regular quiz show. Three women, fully clothed, appear and are introduced to him. The women then go behind a screen and disrobe. Three small doors on the board open exposing only the women's breasts. The male contestant must identify each woman by name or forfeit another piece of his own clothing.

That seems, unfair, Scott. What about the girls? Got

anything for them?

Oh, sure. When they go to the Reveal Board, they are introduced to three men who then take their pants off and the women have to identify the men by looking at their bottoms!

Is anyone naked at any time?

Oh, no. We do have standards. We provide everybody with G-strings, so genitals are never exposed.

Where do you get your contestants, Scott?

We advertised in a whole bunch of papers and more than 300 people responded.

What's next Scott?

Well, we're going to try to do another soft core porn quiz show and we've got another adult entertainment series in the works.

But aren't there any rules or regulations or codes for cable television as there are for commercial TV?

No, there aren't. That's the best part about it. you can put anything you want on cable. Nobody's done anything about it, yet. What we have to do is get this thing going as fast as possible before city councils and county commissioners can place regulations on us. Right now, the sky's the limit.

I'd say, Scott, that it's more like the depths of depravity are the limit.

Local and state chapters of Moral Majority should begin working with the proper authorities in establishing community standards to stop such garbage.



This is a scene from "Everything Goes," a controversial new cable television program on the Escapade pay cable service. Contestants (left to right) are Craig Martin and Melissa Ward. In background is host Rick Podell.

Court Upholds Case Against Church

The U.S. Supreme Court has refused to hear a case between the Nebraska Department of Education and Faith Baptist Church and School regarding padlocking of the church by the department.

Citing the lack of a "substantial federal question," the Supreme Court dismissed arguments this month that state regulations were unconstitutional as applied to the school.

THE SCHOOL was ordered closed last spring because it did not meet Nebraska's Administration for School Management and Curriculum requirements.

Nebraska law requires the licensing of Christian schools by the state Department of Education. This includes the

employment of certified teachers, curriculum inspections and the inclusion of additional books in the library.

In recent standardized testing, the Faith Christian School students achieved scores well ahead of the students in the Nebraska public schools.

Pastor Sileven had agreed to regular academic testing and attendance checks. This did not satisfy the state Department of Education. They were not interested in "quality" of education, but in "control" of education.

The state Department of Education feels that they, not the parents, should determine where the children are educated.

There are 20 such non-licensed Christian schools in Nebraska.

There are thousands across America. Most Christian educators hold the conviction that their schools are part of the local church--and therefore should not be licensed by the state.

Nebraska is one of only eight states requiring such licensure. The remaining 42 do not.

Dr. Jerry Falwell is leading a Religious Freedom Rally on the steps of Faith Baptist Church in Louisville, Neb., on Sunday, Nov. 22, 1981.

The school reopened this fall despite a contempt of court citation against the Rev. Everett Sileven, director of the school and pastor of Faith Baptist Church.

Except for Sunday and Wednesday services, the doors of

the Faith Baptist Church, in which the school was held, had been padlocked since Sept. 13 by order of the Cass County Judge Raymond Case.

According to Sileven, the students, who have been bused approximately 30 miles to a location in Iowa, will be brought back into the state for classes.

"We're going to force the issue," he said. "We want the state to know that we're going to test their resolve."

According to Sileven, the sheriff indicated the padlocks would not be replaced as long as the school wasn't operating inside.

SILEVEN SAID that he had promised to give authorities 48 hours notice before resuming classes in the church.

Sileven's has filed a federal lawsuit seeking \$10 million in punitive damages from both Judge Case and Nebraska Attorney General Paul Douglas.

"The precedential damage nationwide and worldwide of the first padlocking ever of a free church in a free nation can never be undone, but may be restrained if the defendants are made to pay damages of a punitive nature," the complaint alleged.

Faith Baptist Church lawyers said in their appeal to the U.S. Supreme Court that state rules as applied to Faith Baptist members violated "the fundamental rights to bear, raise and educate their children as they see fit."

Scientific Creation Vs. Evolution Is Not Religion vs. Science Debate

AT LIBERTY BAPTIST COLLEGE



Dr. Doolittle (left) and Dr. Gish debate The Question for national television audience.

GISH, DOOLITTLE ARGUE POINTS

By Deryl Edwards

LYNCHBURG, Va.--A soon-to-be nationally televised debate between two scientists representing the opposing viewpoints of evolution and scientific creationism, was held Oct. 13 at the campus of Liberty Baptist College in an effort to "put all the facts before the American people."

The hour-long debate featured evolution proponent, Dr. Russell Doolittle of the University of California at San Diego. Opposing him as a representative of the scientific creation viewpoint was Dr. Duane Gish of the Institute for Creation Research also in San Diego.

Recently, the inclusion of scientific creationism teaching in many public schools has caused an outcry from educators and evolutionists across the nation. But advocates of the creationism theory argue that both sides should be presented to school children in the interest of fairness. They contend that evolution is the basic dogma for the philosophy of secular humanism, which, they say, is becoming the religion of the public schools.

This debate, organized by Dr. Jerry Falwell, will be presented to the American public on national

television to "clear the air once and for all that scientific creation vs. evolution is not a religion vs. science debate," Falwell said.

IN HIS OPENING 18-minute statement, Dr. Doolittle used the majority of his time, not outlining a basis for evolution, but condemning the actions of an elementary schoolteacher in Livermore, Calif., who had used material from Gish's Institute for Creation Research in the classroom.

Doolittle said he came to the debate "against advice from his friends" who warned him against coming to the LBC campus. At the close of the debate, Falwell commended him for coming to "this auditorium, this audience."

Saying that he "believed only in hydrogen" as a basis for his origin, Doolittle expressed optimism that the unanswered questions of evolutionary theory would eventually be answered.

Doolittle said that "all scientists agree" that the earth is about 4.6 billion years old based on various methods of radio dating and repeatedly challenged Gish to give an age for the earth.

"The age of the earth is very important to the evolutionary argument," Doolittle said. He said that moon rocks brought back from the Apollo missions

confirm the age of the earth.

Gish challenged this argument, saying that in the studies of the moon rocks, a wide range of dates were found, and scientists picked the one most convenient to their theory.

Gish, calling evolution an "atheistic theory," said that "the best available evidence says that life could not have come from lower forms."

"A CREATOR using special processes not operating today created the stars, planets and all life," Gish said.

Criticizing evolutionary teaching in public schools as a "one-sided indoctrination," Gish said that neither theory qualifies as a scientific theory in the "strictest sense." School children should be allowed to examine data, weigh the evidence and choose from the alternatives, he said.

Calling evolution the "fish-to-Gish" theory, Gish said that after hearing the evidence cited by his opponent, it should be called the "too-little-to-Doolittle" theory.

Gish said that too many gaps still existed in the evolutionary theory. He said that the Piltdown man, believed at one time to be a form of primitive man was found to be a hoax, constructed from a modern ape's jaw.

In his closing remarks, Doolittle again accused creation-science of being a "pseudoscience. To put it in the classrooms is to handicap America," Doolittle said. "Evolution is the only reason for our being here tonight."

GISH SUMMARIZED his arguments by repeating the need for "students to be exposed to all the evidence."

In a news conference after the debate, Doolittle acknowledged

he had not defended his subject as he would have liked.

"I'm embarrassed about the way things came out," Doolittle said.

The debate will be presented as an unedited one-hour special program in most of the metropolitan television markets in the nation. Falwell said that expenses for the televising the debate would total at least \$500,000. The debate will be aired later this year.

STATE CHAPTER REPORT

Florida

The Florida Moral Majority chapter, in addition to implementing an expansion program, via direct mail and radio spots, is presently engaged in battles with ERA groups and pornography.

Kentucky

The Kentucky Moral Majority is leading a major reorganization drive to re-structure its program. Presently, seven Congressional districts participate in Moral Majority. State Executive Director Frank Simon says that he hopes to organize one new chapter per week, as well as hold rallies throughout the state.

Illinois

Keeping government from fingering church pies has kept the Illinois chapter of Moral Majority busy of late. Gov. Thompson's recent veto of Senate Bill 524, exempting church-related programs for children under age 5 from Department of Children and Family licensure, has moved Moral Majority of Kentucky into action, according to State Chairman George Zarris.

"Senate Bill 524 passed committee, the state Senate and the House and was vetoed at the last minute by Gov. Thompson," Zarris said. "We are now working to override the veto."

Louisiana

Even with the passage of Louisiana's new creation bill, Moral Majority of Louisiana has not cooled its heels.

In addition to membership drives, the Louisiana chapter is presently battling a compulsory school attendance law, requiring schools to submit the names and

addresses of children who are attending public and private schools.

Michigan

Moral Majority of Michigan has recently established its first official chapter in Flint under the leadership of Dr. Edmond Dinant. The Flint chapter lists many community leaders and professionals as members. Local chapters are being organized in Gaylord, Kalamazoo, Grand Rapids, and Lincoln Park, as well.

"Potential for the young chapter is good with a new plan being developed," a Michigan spokesman said.

Current battles taken on by the Michigan Moral Majority include the formation of a Coalition Against Pornography last August.

Members of CAP include two mayors, nine conservative action groups and members of the state legislature.

A petition initiative has been developed, which in Michigan, would effectively circumvent the legislative committees. Proposals would be taken directly to the floor of the legislature for action.

Virginia

Local chapters in every city and county are being organized by the Moral Majority of Virginia, directed by Jerry Skyrin. County chapters have been organized in Tazewell and Henry Counties, with organizational meetings presently being planned in Halifax, Pittsylvania, Buchanan, Prince William, Fairfax and Chesterfield counties.



Right Angles

To Baby, Welcome

I am weary of hearing about abortion.

And the subject made me even more weary, when my landlords brought home their 12-hour-old baby boy last week.

After reading my stack of pro-abortion mail, I drove home to meet little Byron Leo Foltz II for the first time--and I held him.

Of course, anyone who would think of cutting up a little one into pieces, or throwing him into the trash to die, would be shuttled off to the nearest state hospital--or worse. It's amazing what a difference 12 hours can make. Just hours before, Byron was a fetus. Now, he's a baby. At least that is what the abortionists tell me.

BUT ACCORDING to Kathleen Kauffman of Dekalb, Ill., I'm just being narrow-minded and bigoted.

"I take exception to many things this organization advocates, but let me just name a few: Your narrow-minded and bigoted approach in dealing with the issues of homosexuality and abortion. Where is your love and compassion? Abortion is a very gray matter, and yet you try to make it black and white.

You are right, Mrs. Kauffmann. Abortion is a matter of gray matter--and abortionists are not using theirs. No one condemns a woman to an "illegal butcher." The final choice is hers alone. And an amazing absence of broken, twisted or sprained arms exists among women who have had abortions.

A DISPLAY OF A lack of love and compassion for permitting a child to live? I don't think Byron would agree.

But I am tired of hearing about abortion. And we will stop discussing abortion--when America quits legalizing it.

But I should have known that my pro-life, pro-traditional family attitudes would get me into trouble.

According to some readers, I am an uncompassionate, sadistic idiot. And if that commentary is not bad enough, Carl Swanson of Tomah, Wis., adds to it the label of censor.

"I notice you did not print my letter," Swanson said. "One thing I will not stand for is censorship. My letter was well-written and factual. I want to see my letter next time, or not another dime from me."

As for your censorship charge, you're right. I do not print everything I receive. Censorship is the reason "America Speaks" is only 2 pages long and not 25 pages long.

Again, I apologize for the delay in printing your letter.

Now, when do I get my dime?

Catholic Layman Answers Healy

As a Catholic, I apologize to you for the preposterous statements made the other day by the Reverend Timothy Healy.

I understand Father Healy spoke to the D.C. University students as a democrat, as a critic of President Reagan, as President of Georgetown University, and as a Catholic priest. In his latter two capacities, his inexplicable aberration became my business. I trust that the Church leadership will straighten him out or remove his influence from Georgetown University and our Catholic students.

Father Healy's vicious slander was particularly despicable in that he chose, falsely, to liken your methods and traits to those of the Ku Klux Klan, knowing his remarks would inflame his captive black student audience and hoping to ingratiate himself with them. Upon sober reflection, I believe those black students--who applauded Fr. Healy, rather than craven of him, were he to have instructed the D.C.U. students concerning today's urgent moral issues. Abortion, pornography, homosexuality, for example.

I am grateful to you and the Moral Majority you lead for your national efforts in the interest of all Americans. And I am proud to associate myself with your objective. I commend it to all my fellow Catholics.

Father Healy says you're not his kind of Christian. Well, Dr. Jerry Falwell, you are my kind of Christian.

RAYMOND EUGENE CAHILL
Attorney at Law

Washington, D.C. 20036

On Appointments

Sen. Goldwater and Norman Lear are going after the Moral Majority and Nellie Gray and the March For Life because they trusted Reagan to keep his campaign promises by appointing pro-life and pro-family people to sensitive positions in Washington. Is that so unusual? When did Carter ever make a pro-life and pro-family appointment to a sensitive position to prove that he represented a cross section of Americans and was not in the pocket of the libbers?

Where is Carter now? Why is it that conservatives are expected to compromise their principles by making "well-rounded" appointments? Why is a boycott of TV sponsors by pro-family outrageous when Libbers are boycotting all anti-ERA states, etc., and doing it with the blessings and encouragement of the government and money and millions in free TV publicity through Norman Lear and his People for the American Way?

I say go to it Jerry Falwell and the Moral Majority. Let Lear and Goldwater kick you. We will provide the encouragement so that they can kick you right over the goalpost to victory.

DEMAIN WHITESIDES
Stockton, Calif.

A Removal

I insist that you remove my business from your mailing list. I am not interested in your biased report and do not want it in my office or home. It may surprise you to know that I am a devout Lutheran, political conservative, Republican and staunch supporter of President Reagan. However, I cannot tolerate the bigoted, ignorant and pious attitude of Jerry Falwell and his so called Moral Majority.

LELIE BELCH
Perrysburg, Ohio

Mysterious Moves

It is amazing that I, a grandmother and liberal feminist, have been receiving the Moral Majority Report. Do you think God moves in mysterious ways? I have read several issues to try to understand you, and now I must ask you, please do not send it to me anymore.

JANE FOWLER
Newark, Del.

Public Service

You did a public service by publishing a cover entitled "Nebraska Padlocks Christian School." Having read the accompanying article, I am posting it in a very prominent place. Since the president of the school, the Rev. Sullivan, refused to comply with Nebraska law requiring licensing of schools by the Department of Education, I

heartily support County District Judge Case.

REV. CHARLES ROBERTSON
Miami, Fla.

About Standards

In answer to William Rankin: Isn't it fortunate, Mr. Rankin, that your mother believed that you were a person? I believe somebody must have told her so, but the Rev. Falwell wasn't old enough then, so it couldn't have been him. By the way, should children get the vote, they might vote for tacky things by your standards, but surely no tackier than some of what you've voted for.

And frankly, after reading your letter, I think it likely that the children's choices would be more pro-American than yours would be. Try Russia for your next vacation--or maybe Red China.

Maybe we'll be lucky and they'll decide to keep you.

DEBBIE RENO
Aurora, Ill.

About Logic

I would like to respond to Robert Cooper of Skokie, Ill., whose letter appeared in the July 20 "America Speaks" column. If your logic says that abortion is right, somebody else's logic is going to say that it's right to take your life if they happen to find you disagreeable or don't, like you. If your logic says you have the right to view any kind of perversion or violence on television, somebody else's logic is going to say that it is right to view any sort of filth anywhere they choose, perhaps in your front yard.

The logic of humanists and athiests is to do what is right in their own eyes. If no set of moral ideas were truer or better than any other, there would be no sense in preferring civilized morality to savage morality, or Christian morality to Nazi morality.

MSgt. ROBERT JORDAN
Hahn Air Base, Germany

Appalling Position

The August issue included an interview with the Rev. Charles Bergstrom. He is a member of the PAW Advisory Board, an executive with the Lutheran Council in the U.S.A., and a member of the Lutheran Church in America. His position on abortion is appalling. It may represent convention action of the Lutheran Church in America, but I want to assure you, he does not represent all Lutherans. The Lutheran Church-Missouri Synod had had at least one convention resolution supporting the right to life of the unborn.

JAMES R. DUDECK
Jacksonville, Fla.

Restraints

I am in favor of severe restraints on people who advocate and practice abortion. More money should be spent on teaching women how to survive with a baby or give it up for adoption. Why does everybody want to punish the baby?

SUSAN BELL
Portland, Ore.

Lutherans Oppose Abortion

As a Lutheran, I am ashamed of the evasive answers given by the Rev. Charles Bergstrom of the LCA to the rather clear questions put to him by John Lofton. They may give the impression, to someone reading hurriedly, that all Lutherans give some sort of approval to abortion. I wish to state emphatically that this is not so.

The Lutheran Church-Missouri Synod, of which I am a member, has at its last two Synodical Conventions passed strong resolutions opposing abortions, except when the physical health of the mother is greatly endangered. Concerning the article "The Last Child: Most Loved, Treasured," (August 24 issue), I can state from personal experience that the first two paragraphs of this article are absolutely true. My wife was 44, myself 49, and our next youngest child 13, when we discovered that my wife was pregnant again.

At first it was a shock. Neither of us really wanted to go through the work of rearing a child again. Since her birth, our daughter has been a joy to all of us. She's been a blessing all the way around. We're very thankful to God for this child, and we want to encourage anyone else who may be fearful of having a child in their 40s.

HAROLD DAUM
El Monte, Calif.

Moral Decay Vote

I am strongly protesting against Act 4-69 being passed. Act 4-69 is against the principles I believe in. I am for strong moral values and feel that for the good of all people, we need to speak out against the decay of morals. I support Moral Majority in their fight and hope they are successful in stopping Act. 4-69.

ANITA HALL
Oak Harbor, Wash.

No. 1 Issue

My number one concern has always been the abortion issue. The slaughter of the innocent unborn demands our immediate action. As a registered nurse, I see first hand the ever-decreasing respect for life in the medical profession. The AMA and ANA both support abortion as an option for women.

With the euthanasia is an issue

AMERICA SPEAKS!

whose time has come. People in the medical profession, be prepared. It is time to demand that the Hippocratic Oath be reinstated in our medical schools.

MRS. CHARLES STONER,
R.N.

Mechanicsburg, Pa.

Legally Right

Some thought regarding Cal Thomas' September 21 column on anti-abortion legislation: The enactment of a Human Life Amendment that would outlaw most abortions would be morally and legally right because it would acknowledge the scientific fact that human life begins at conception. The California Medical Association in the September 1970 issue of California Medicine, observed that it is a scientific fact that "human life begins at conception and is continuous, whether intra or extrauterine, until death."

The popular pro-abortion argument that the fetus is simply a part of the mother's body, is also refuted by medical evidence, which demonstrates that the cells of an unborn baby have a genetic code different from those of the mother's body.

The fetus is a separate and distinct human being. True, anti-abortion laws legislate morality, but only in the same sense that we legislate morality when we outlaw incest, rape, child prostitution, stealing and murder.

HAVEN BRADFORD GOW
Arlington Heights, Ill.

Bravos!

A million prayers and bravos to your organization for speaking out and actively doing something to preserve the rights of unborn American children. They are not embryos, cellular tissues or parasites. They are babies, children, human beings, people. The horrifying slaughters of the babies of Bethlehem during King Herod's reign are a tap-dance, tea party compared to the calculated, pre-meditated murders that occur every minute in our sanitized hospitals and clinics. May God have mercy on us.

VIRGINIA KNOLL-KELLEY
Freeport, Bahamas

Misrepresentations

I read with keen interest the portion of the letter from Mr. Walter Pressler, of Latham, N.Y. I am appalled at lack of accuracy, lack of facts and clear misrepresentations of Pressler. I am especially concerned, because

he uses my name in his letter making statements that are not true, have never been true and will never be true.

I quote from Pressler's letter: "Perhaps this is because Webster's Dictionary is banned from libraries by your colleague, the Rev. Tom Williams, as being humanistic."

There is not one shred of truth in this statement of Pressler's. I have never objected to any dictionaries, and certainly, this would include Webster's Dictionary.

I quote again from Pressler's letter: "All bookstores in the areas that stock the books were closed because of community standards. You people have your own dictionaries, much in the same way that you have a different biology from the rest of the country and world."

The statement just quoted is totally untrue. We have not closed a single bookstore in any area. It would certainly seem to me that supposedly informed people would first ascertain the facts before they begin to make wild accusations that are totally unfounded.

REV. TOM WILLIAMS
Abingdon, Va.

No To Destruction

To L. Sterling Lynn of Pinson, Ala.: You and I are apparently not reading the same literature. Moral Majority is absolutely not bent on the destruction of public education. The National Education Association has been degrading public education for many years, is alive and well and is working as hard as ever doing the same thing today. If you have complaints, write to the NEA.

BETTE A. OSBORN
Clarks Hill, Ind.

Taking the Cake

One of the things I've never understood about anti-semitism is the fact that most anti-semitics are churchgoers who worship Jesus Christ. Christ was not only Jewish, but a Rabbi (teacher), as well. For inconsistency the anti-semitics take the cake--and that cake they can have.

MALKAN PEARLMAN
Chicago, Ill.

Message to a Senator

I am not surprised that liberal church ministers and bishops are critical of Moral Majority, but I was surprised that Sen. Goldwater made such uncalled for remarks about Moral Majority and Jerry Falwell. Aside to the

Senator: Barry, please don't make me sorry I voted for you in 1964. If it hadn't been for Moral Majority, you would still be a member of the minority party in the Senate. Furthermore, you probably wouldn't even be a senator now.

KENNETH HOBROCK
Natoma, Kan.

Bills Died Artfully

While serving in the Alabama Legislature, I was successful only on a limited basis in passing anti-pornography, child molestation and related legislation. When introduced, the bills were always met with open praise, but died painfully and artfully in committee. What few bills we did get passed are either overlooked in their enforcement, or so mutilated by the Supreme Court as to be ineffective. Therefore, I commend you and offer my continuing help on the moral issues of the day.

DONALD COLLINS
Attorney at Law
Gadsden, Ala.

Pleasure

I am writing to congratulate you on your fine publication. It is truly a pleasure to finally see someone stand up for what is right and good for our country.

ROBERT BAXTER III
Lester, Pa.

Top Ranking

Thank you, so much for the Moral Majority Report and the Moral Majority. I am a subscriber to several conservative publications and I rank the Moral Majority Report right up there with the leaders. Regarding the letter from Don Watkins of Harrisburg, Pa., on the stupidity of Moral Majority letter writers: I, for one, happen to have two college degrees and am working on a third. I think the only semi-literate party here is Mr. Watkins, himself.

RICHARD PUTER
Lakewood, Colo.

Happy Catholic

I just received my copy of your Aug. 24 issue of Moral Majority Report. This proved to be an exceptionally interesting issue. I was pleasantly surprised to see the result of the poll on Catholic reaction to, and involvement in, the Moral Majority. As a Catholic, I am proud and happy to claim membership in Moral Majority.

When I was in Omaha for the

national pro-life convention, I had the pleasure of attending a workshop on Moral Majority and was delighted to hear what plans are in the offing. Cal Thomas impressed me, not only with his intelligence and logic, but with his profound dedication. Someday, our descendants will read about our work and thank God that we cared enough to give of ourselves in this critical era.

MARTHA HESSON
Wilmington, Ohio

On Ridicule

It angers me to see people ridiculing the Moral Majority and trying to say that we do not have the right to say what we feel. We don't like the path America is taking and it is not only our right, but our duty to try to correct these wrongs. I know that America is not going in the direction that our founding fathers intended. It is our duty to turn it around. We will be sorry if we don't.

TROY LINKOUS
Waco, Texas

On Both Sides

When I read the letter from Jerry Godbey of New York, N.Y., about how embarrassed and enraged he was to find his name on your mailing list, I, too, was enraged and embarrassed--outraged because of his ignorance, blatant prejudice, fear (afraid to look at both sides of an issue) and bad manners. I was embarrassed for him because he showed himself. I sincerely hope my name was the one added to your mailing list in his place.

PAT BRAITHWAITER
Omaha, Neb.

The 'Best Paper'

This is the best paper I've ever read. I learned more from this news than any other paper. If we can't turn our morals about in this great country, we are doomed.

A.E. GRIFFIN
Nashville, Tenn.

To Choose Argument

My wife and I have been quite involved with the pro-life movement these last few years. It appalls us that so many have

bought the freedom to choose argument as justification for aborting the most defenseless of human life. I demand that the fundamental right to life be respected for all persons.

We certainly weren't willing to give the Pentagon free license to gun-down innocent Vietnamese civilians, and we aren't about to allow this fate to continue to befall our innocent unborn.

Most conservatives have, as yet, failed to acquire a true and complete picture of the opposition. The enemy today is not whom you may have thought they were in 1968 and 1970. The pro-abortionist moral libertarian is not some drug-crazed, bomb-throwing, underground freak.

Most likely, those who favor a woman's right to choose death for her child are short-haired, conservatively dressed and into making money. Chances are they even voted for Ronald Reagan because of his fiscal conservatism.

Many appear quite respectable and work long hours. As you see, then, the threat is no longer from without. Like a cancer, it has invaded and usurped the very fabric of our social structures.

STEPHEN C. SETTLE
Durham, N.C.

A Blessing

For about a year now, we have been receiving the Moral Majority Report. It truly has been a blessing to us. We have learned much by reading it and have been better equipped to tell others about the problems facing our nation. It has been a mighty weapon in our hands. We thank you for it. We like to use Moral Majority Report as a reference document when writing letters and talking to people.

JACK WHITE
San Antonio, Texas

Powerful Article

Dr. Falwell, your article, "My Turn" in Newsweek was a powerful one. Any open-minded reader had to be impressed by your logic and fairness. Thank you for all you are doing to save our national morality.

ALICE HOEK
Englewood, N.J.

LOBBY SCHEDULES MEETING

WASHINGTON--In an effort to bring about a development of unity and education among organizations of the pro-life movement, the American Life Lobby, Inc. is sponsoring a UNITY '81 Pro-life Grassroots Education Conference to be held on Nov. 5-8 in Washington, D.C.

Speakers at the conference will include: Morton C. Blackwell, public liaison for President Reagan; Dick Dingman, executive director of the Republican Study Committee;

Dr. Ronald S. Godwin, vice president of Moral Majority, Inc.; Howard Phillips, national director of the Conservative Caucus; Dr. Charles Rice, professor of law, University of Notre Dame School of Law; and Mrs. Judie Brown, president, American Life Lobby, Inc.

The conference will be held at the Sheraton National Hotel in Arlington, Va.

Those interested in attending should contact Joyce Ruth or Jerry Joyce at (301) 468-9100.

Anwar Sadat -- 1918-1981

By Jim Phillips

Anwar Sadat, Egypt's president for the last 11 years, was one of the few truly great contemporary world statesmen. As leader of the largest Arab state, Sadat boldly and imaginatively re-shaped the history of the Middle East, completely redesigned his nation's foreign and domestic policies, and was the driving force behind the historic peace treaty that ended the state of hostilities between Egypt and Israel.

Ironically, but surely not by accident, he died on the eighth anniversary of the October war, the abortive surprise attack which psychologically revitalized the Arab world and gave Sadat the prestige and honor he would need later to make peace.

While it is as yet unclear who perpetrated the criminal attack that deprived the world of this man of vision, it is certain that his passing spells the end of an era in Egypt and a qualitative change in the political dynamics of the Middle East.

SADAT WAS born on Christmas Day 1918, the son of an Army clerk, in a crowded village on the Nile delta. From the first, he was a man of the people, and although he acquired an air of sophisticated elegance in his later years, he never forgot where he had come from or to whom he owed his loyalties: As an army officer he joined forces with the charismatic Gamal Abdul Nasser to overthrow King Farouk, and it was Sadat who



announced on Cairo radio the successful coup that brought Nasser to power on July 23, 1952.

Ridiculed as the "black donkey" because of his dark skin, Sadat was considered to be Nasser's errand-boy and a political lightweight. However, after the death of Nasser in 1970, Sadat astonished observers by cannily outmaneuvering his political rivals and decisively consolidating his control over the Egyptian power structure. In doing so, he displayed a highly developed sense of timing, acute political judgment, and a penchant for bold actions that caught others off-balance - at

tributes which later served him in good stead in the arena of international, as well as domestic, politics.

When Sadat came to power, Egypt was a police state firmly lodged in the Soviet camp, and still smarting from defeat at the hands of Israel in 1967. Sadat set about freeing the Egyptian economy from the rigid socialism installed by Nasser and ridding the Egyptian people of the crushing weight of Nasser's police apparatus.

IN 1972 Sadat shocked Moscow by summarily expelling 20,000 Soviet military advisers and technicians from Egypt. The

following year he stunned Israel by launching a surprise attack across the Suez Canal. Although his offensive was a military failure, it was a political success, for it restored Arab martial honor and dispelled the aura of military invincibility which had surrounded the Israelis up to that time.

In 1977 Sadat electrified the world by traveling to Israel to meet Israeli leaders face to face. This bold initiative led to the 1978 Camp David negotiations which paved the way for the Egyptian-Israeli peace treaty of 1979. Throughout the complex negotiations, Sadat exhibited a

flair for public relations theatre which earned him the title of the "Third World's first media president." Adept at manipulating the foreign press, Sadat learned from Henry Kissinger how to score diplomatic points by granting interviews to key opinion makers, such as Barbara Walters and Walter Cronkite.

In recent years, Sadat became increasingly isolated from the Arab world because of his peacemaking efforts with Israel. Ignoring the shortsightedness of Arab leaders he dismissed as "dwarfs" and "goatherds," Sadat moved closer to the United States and became America's most loyal friend in the Arab world. Then in 1980, he demonstrated his loyalty to his old friend the shah of Iran by granting him diplomatic asylum - despite the fact that the Egyptian people considered the shah an enemy of Islam.

President Sadat's untimely death leaves a huge void in the Middle East at a time of growing regional tensions and external Soviet pressures. Anwar Sadat will be missed by Egyptians to whom he had become a benevolent father figure. He will be missed by Israelis to whom he had become a reliable negotiating partner. He will be missed by Americans to whom he had become a loyal ally. And he will be missed by humanity as a whole to whom he had become a voice of hope and a man of peace.

Distributed by
Heritage Features Syndicate

Battling Pornography Through Economy

By Lori A. Davis

Moral Majority hopes to rid the nation's bookshelves of pornography by using legal, economic and political means.

An anti-pornography conference, including 40 law enforcement officers and prosecuting attorneys from more than 30 cities, was hosted by Moral Majority in the Bahamas Sept. 23-25.

ACCORDING TO Moral Majority spokesman Cal Thomas, Moral Majority will push for prosecutions under existing pornography laws, which are not being strictly enforced.

"Our approach is not going to be puritanical, but economic," Thomas said. "The hard statistics show that where these businesses are allowed to proliferate, the tax base is eroded, the crime rate increases...and strictly from an

economic and aesthetic viewpoint, we think it's in the interest of cities to eliminate these kinds of establishments."

Another plan of action encouraged by the Moral Majority is to encourage its members and supporters to boycott grocery, convenience and bookstores that sell publications it considers to be pornographic or obscene.

Moral Majority's annual summit meeting was held in conjunction with the anti-porn seminar. Discussion at both meetings concerned Moral Majority's 1982 pornography campaign. Anti-pornography conference topics included: How to Prepare and Implement Effective and Constitutional Obscenity laws, Effective Law Enforcement, as well as a call to action and mini-report of current activities.

PHASE II OF Moral Majority

and the Moral Majority Legal Defense Foundation were among topics highlighted in the Summit Conference. Other topics included: the Congressional Update Program, a National Legislative Issues Overview, the Powers of Positive Press Coverage, the Anatomy of a Successful Issue Campaign, Coping with Prosecutor Intimidation and How to Prosecute and Bring to Conviction, as well as a planning session.

In addition, several resolutions, in conjunction with the summit and conference meetings, were drafted:

1. Be it resolved that the conference urge the Congress to enact a statute granting to private citizens the right to bring legal injunctive actions to prohibit distribution of obscenity that have been transported in interstate commerce;

2. That the conference urge the president and congress to direct the Department of Justice to actively enforce the federal obscenity statutes;

3. That the conference urge the president and Congress to direct the Federal Communications Commission to enforce the standards against "indecent" upon broadcast and cable television;

4. That the individuals return to their respective communities and urge the public to support the local and state prosecutors;

5. That the conference oppose U.S. Senate Bill 1630, the criminal code recodification, sponsored by Sen. Strom Thurmond, R-S.C., because of provisions loosening federal statutes governing pornography, child pornography, prostitution, statutory rape and abortion;

6. That the conference urges

congressional repudiation of legalization of bestiality, sodomy, fornication, adultery, seduction and seduction by a teacher in Washington, D.C., by approving Senate Resolution No. 207 and House Resolution No. 208;

7. That the conference urge the president and Congress institute a moratorium on all federal funding of sex education programs in state schools and have public hearings to ascertain the pornographic content of federally funded sex education programs;

8. That the conference urge the full Senate Judiciary Committee to hold public hearings concerning pornography, as it relates to organized crime in the United States.

Virginia's Sen. John Warner Fights For The Serviceman

Continued from Page 2

writes Daniel S. Mariaschin. "The Soviets have nothing to lose in these adventures: of 27 major metals and minerals vital to keeping a modern economy in business and maintaining a strong defense posture, the Soviet Union is self-sufficient in 21; and nearly so in the remaining six. By contrast, the United States is now mineral poor." The article further states that the United States imported 90 percent of its manganese, nearly 99 percent of its chrome ore and 83 percent of palladium and platinum.....



Sen. John Warner

DEFENSES. One of the key issues in the Reagan Administration is the rebuilding of the nation's defenses. Virginia's popular senator, **John Warner**, has long been appalled by proof that the nation's armed services are ill-equipped, ill-prepared, undermanned and underfinanced. He, too, is concerned that veterans be given educational opportunities after military service. He has sponsored the Armed Forces Educational Assistance Act of 1981 which would be available to improve prospects for recruiting college-bound and technically-skilled individuals, while retaining more quality experienced military personnel as well.....The World Council of Churches recently gave its largest grant under the controversial \$587,000 Program to Combat Racism. The WCC designated \$125,000 to the South West Africa Peoples Organization (SWAPO).....A reader asks, "Why do our U.S. churches still

support the National Council of Churches and the WCC? It has been pointed out many times that they support terrorists."Unfortunately, we don't know the answer. It's too bad that most members of the liberal churches aren't aware of where their money is being used.....

LEGAL LEASE! The Legal Services Corporation has received a new lease on its life--\$241 million worth. The LSC, which should have been eliminated from the budget, was kept in on a vote of 272-to-122. Tragically, the Legal Services Corp. has been pushing busing, abortion, gay rights and other assorted activities.....A sad, sad day for Congress.....**Warren E. Hearnes**, former governor of Missouri and director of the Southeast Missouri Legal Services, draws \$35,000 and operates the agency from his law office in Charleston, Mo. Government critics want the former governor to submit a "work plan.".....A report from the LSC criticizes the Missouri operation for "total disregard" of the needs of clients and low income residents it supposedly is to help.....The White House has extended two credits in the amount of \$57,105,000 to the People's Republic of China in connection with the purchase of turbine generator components, boiler components, air preheaters and related technology.....On Sept. 15, the Senate Finance Committee agreed to up the temporary debt limit that will push the ceiling on federal government debt to \$1.079 trillion.....The public debt continues to climb to almost \$1 trillion.....


Left-Wingers Use PAW

Continued from Page 3

PAW; one who is not afraid of the word "humanism," then produce a television show around that character."

There appears to be nothing illegal about what Lear, Parker/Dodd and Kennedy have in mind. But since Lear's mailouts talk about mobilizing citizens "to exercise their Constitutional rights to freedom of belief, thought, and expression," it is well to keep in mind that our investigation of Lear and his friends has revealed that they have a hidden and powerful agenda.

A THANKSGIVING SPECIAL FROM A PADLOCKED CHURCH!



FAITH BAPTIST CHURCH AND CHRISTIAN SCHOOL OF LOUISVILLE, NEBRASKA CLOSED DOWN BY THE STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION.

THEIR CRIME? OPERATING A CHRISTIAN SCHOOL (FOR 19 STUDENTS) WITHOUT LICENSURE FROM THE STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION.

On October 19, 1981, this Nation recognized the Bicentennial celebration of our victory over Cornwallis and the British Forces in Yorktown, Va.

Two hundred years ago General George Washington accepted the formal surrender of Lord Cornwallis and our young Nation celebrated the realization of our new-found freedoms... including:

FREEDOM OF RELIGION!

WHAT ABOUT THE FREEDOM OF RELIGION IN AMERICA TODAY?

If a small 150 member church in the heart of America can be padlocked in 1981, then we as a people whose Nation was founded on Godly principles have lost our **FREEDOM OF RELIGION.**



JERRY FALWELL

AND

THE OLD-TIME GOSPEL HOUR

Will take you to the front steps of the Faith Baptist Church in Louisville, Nebraska by television on

SUNDAY, NOV. 22

Jerry Falwell will conduct a special THANKSGIVING PROGRAM from this location to bring national attention to this tragedy in Nebraska.

MUSIC BY "THE I LOVE AMERICA SINGERS"

Check your newspaper for time and channel

Nearly one million American homes take Moral Majority Report



An informed American is a more secure American. The Moral Majority secures and prints the news that affects us all. In addition to presenting these important issues, we give you a course of action so your voice will be heard where it counts.

State and Federal legislators are aware of the impact that our publication, along with our vast number of subscribers, is making in America in the eighties!

The hard-hitting monthly Moral Majority Report, the newspaper that dares to speak out on the vital moral issues of the day.

Join the Moral Majority Today!

- YES! I want to join with my fellow Americans as part of Moral Majority Inc. and stand up for all that is decent and moral in America.

Please send me my FREE MORAL MAJORITY REPORT!

- I am enclosing a gift of \$_____ to help the Moral Majority meet its goal of turning America around.

Name _____

Address _____

City _____

State _____ Zip _____

Mail this coupon and gift (not tax-deductible) to:

Moral Majority Inc.
499 South Capitol Street
Suite 101
Washington, D.C. 2003