Ronald Reagan Presidential Library Digital Library Collections

This is a PDF of a folder from our textual collections.

Collection: Executive Secretariat, National Security Council: Country File Folder Title:

USSR (07/09/1981-07/13/1981)

Box: RAC Box 21

To see more digitized collections visit: https://reaganlibrary.gov/archives/digital-library

To see all Ronald Reagan Presidential Library inventories visit: https://reaganlibrary.gov/document-collection

Contact a reference archivist at: reagan.library@nara.gov

Citation Guidelines: https://reaganlibrary.gov/citing

National Archives Catalogue: https://catalog.archives.gov/

Ronald Reagan Library

Collection Name EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT, NSC: COUNTRY FILE Withdrawer KDB 10/27/2015 File Folder USSR (7/9/81-7/13/81) **FOIA** F03-002/5 **Box Number SKINNER** 21 **ID Doc Type Document Description** No of Doc Date Restrictions **Pages** 170090 MEMO R. ALLEN TO REAGAN RE HAIG'S 1 7/9/1981 B1 MEETING WITH DOBRYNIN 170091 MEMO HAIG TO REAGAN RE 7/2/81 MEETING 2 7/7/1981 B1 WITH DOBRYNIN 170092 MEMO R. ALLEN TO REAGAN RE REPORT 1 7/10/1981 B1 1/13/2012 CREST NLR-748-21-34-3-4 R 170093 REPORT RE SIBERIAN PIPELINE (INCL. COVER 7 7/9/1981 B1 MEMO) PAR 10/8/2010 CREST NLR-748-21-34-4-3 170094 CABLE MOSCOW 9654 6 7/10/1981 B1 170095 MEMO R. ALLEN TO REAGAN RE HAIG MEMO 1 7/10/1981 B1 170096 MEMO HAIG TO REAGAN RE SECOND SOVIET 2 7/7/1981 **B**1 **DEMARCHE**

B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]

B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]

B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]

B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA] B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]

B-7 Release would disclose information complied for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]

B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]

B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

Ronald Reagan Library

Collection Name EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT, NSC: COUNTRY FILE

Withdrawer

10/27/2015 KDB

File Folder

USSR (7/9/81-7/13/81)

FOIA

F03-002/5

Box Number

21

SKINNER

BOX Nulliber 21				MINEIX
ID Doc Type	Document Description	No of Pages	Doc Date	Restrictions
170097 DEMARCHE	FROM DOBRYNIN	3	7/2/1981	B1
170098 MEMO	R. PIPES TO ALLEN RE HAIG'S MEMO TO PRESIDENT	1	7/7/1981	B1
170099 MEMO	R. ALLEN TO REAGAN RE MEMO FROM HAIG	1	7/13/1981	B1
170100 MEMO	HAIG TO REAGAN RE NEGOTIATIONS	2	7/7/1981	B1

B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]

B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]

B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]

B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]

B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA] B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]

B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

Ronald Reagan Library

Collection Name

EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT, NSC: COUNTRY FILE

KDB 10/27/2015

Withdrawer

File Folder

USSR (7/9/81-7/13/81)

FOIA

F03-002/5

SKINNER

Box Number

21

199

IDDocument Type **Document Description**

pages

No of Doc Date

Restrictions

170090 MEMO

7/9/1981 1

B1

R. ALLEN TO REAGAN RE HAIG'S MEETING WITH DOBRYNIN

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]

B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]

B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]

B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]

B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]

B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]

B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]

B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

Ronald Reagan Library

Collection Name Withdrawer

EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT, NSC: COUNTRY FILE KDB 10/27/2015

File Folder FOIA

USSR (7/9/81-7/13/81) F03-002/5

SKINNER

Box Number

21

IDDocument TypeNo of Doc Date Restric-
pagesRestric-
tions

170091 MEMO 2 7/7/1981 B1

HAIG TO REAGAN RE 7/2/81 MEETING WITH DOBRYNIN

- B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]
- C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

. MEMORANDUM



NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

DECLASSIFIED

July 7, 1981

Department of State Guidelines, July 21, 1997

ACTION

By COB NARA, Date 1927/13

MEMORANDUM FOR RICHARD V. ALLEN

FROM:

RICHARD PIPES K

SUBJECT:

Haig's Meeting with Ambassador Dobrynin

At Tab I is a self-explanatory memorandum to the President transmitting Secretary Haig's report on his meeting with Soviet Ambassador Dobrynin on July 2. Haig's memorandum is at Tab A. (S)

No comments from Stearman, Bailey, Lilley, Kemp and Gregg.

RECOMMENDATION

That you forward the memorandum at Tab I to the President.

Approve	Disapprove

Attachments:

Your memorandum to the President Tab I

Tab A Haig's memorandum to the President.

Derivative from State Review July 6, 2001.

ED

81 JUL 8 P1: 25

JANET COLSON BUD NANCE DICK ALLEN IRENE DERUS JANET COLSON BUD NANCE . KAY -SHOW CC CY TO VP SHOW CC CY TO MEESE SHOW CC CY TO BAKER SHOW CC CY TO DEAVER CY TO BRADY SHOW CC 81 JUL 7 P3: 02

JANET COLSON	9/ 1	1506	-
BUD NANCE		(· / / /	instrued.
DICK ALLEN			
IRENE DERUS		11	offer!
JANET COLSON		8 gah	un
BUD NANCE		ou h	
KAY			
CY TO VP	-	SHOW CC	
CY TO MEESE		SHOW CC	
CY TO BAKER		SHOW CC	
CY TO DEAVER		SHOW CC	And the second s
CY TO BRADY		SHOW CC	ACIO

OF CLASSIFIED UPON REMOVA OF CLASSIFIED PNOLOGURES 10/27/15 EDB

ID 8104064

RECEIVED 07 JUL 81 15

DOCDATE 07 JUL 81

TO PRES

URGENIT

				CLIAI
KEYWORDS:	: USSR	AFGH	ANISTAN	DOBRYNIN, ANATOLY
	POLAND	CM		
	KAMPUCHEA			
SUBJECT:	SUMMARY OF HAIG	MTG W/ AMB DOBR	YNIN ON 2 JUL	
	PREPARE MEMO FO			STATUS S FILES
anuru MARI vojske žepim krimb brosse piriju Abbil b	FOR ACTION	FOR	COMMENT	FOR INFO
	PIPES	STEARMAN	KEMP	COLSON
		BAILEY -N.C.		LENZ
		LILLEY	GREGG	
COMMENTS	*** PLEASE NOTE	3 HOUR TURNAROU	IND FOR CABINE	r LEVEL ***
REF#		LOG	NSC	CIFID (D/)
ACTION OF	FICER (S) ASSI	CNIED ACTIT	ON REQUIRED	DUE COPIES TO
Ollian	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	S ASILIA	MICOLARD	LOUING ST NENLERC
Pres	IP 1/10	s go accert	COTTEXT	
	7/13	Land of	z the Pres	1.1
			2 100 1100	Annual control of the second control of the
		The distribution of the control of t		
DISPATCH				W/ATTCH FILE (C)

RONALD REAGAN LIBRARY TRANSFER/PARALLEL FILE SHEET

Please circle "preservation" (put in AV, etc.), "classified" (parallel filed in vault/annex), "collection" (misfile, provenance), "RD/FRD" (parallel file), "NATO" (parallel file), "SAP" (parallel file).

PRESERVATION CLASSIFICATION COLLECTION RD/FRD NATO SAP ·FROM: Collection Executive Secretariat, NSC: Country File Series File Folder Title/Casefile #/NSC # USSR (7/9/8/-7//3/8/ Box Number Description of Material: - memo, Richard Allen to RR re. CIA report on the Siberian Pipeline, 7/10/81, 1.pg., #170092 TO: Collection: Series: File Folder Title/Casefile #/NSC # Box Number: Transferred by: Date:

NEED ONE COPY IN ORIGINAL LOCATION
SECOND COPY WITH ITEM PARALLEL FILED OR TRANSFERRED
THIRD/FOURTH* COPY FOR COLLECTION FOLDERS - * IF TRANSFERRING TO/FROM TWO
COLLECTIONS

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

SECRET ATTACHMENT

July 10, 1981

17009:2

afr

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM:

RICHARD V. ALLEN Dide

SUBJECT:

CIA Report on the Siberian Pipeline

I strongly recommend the attached report for your weekend reading. I think you will find it worthwhile and helpful preparation for the June 13 meeting of the NSC.

SEGRET ATTACHMENT

BY_CH NARADATE 1/13/17

The Director of Central Intelligence

Washington, D. C. 20505

170093

9 July 1981

MEMORANDUM FOR: √The President

The Vice President. Secretary of State Secretary of the Treasury Secretary of Defense Secretary of Commerce Secretary of Energy

Director, Office of Management

and Budget

United States Trade Representative Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs Chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff

FROM:

William J. Casey

SUBJECT:

Siberian Pipeline

The attached has been prepared for your information in connection with the NSC meeting this afternoon.

J. Casey

PRESIDENTIAL LIBRARY REVIEW OF NSC EQUITY IS

REQUIRED

Rvw 9 July 2001 Reason D9c.1

MORI/CDF

25X1

8 July 1981

CIA MEMORANDUM ON SIBERIAN PIPELINE

The United States should attempt to dissuade the Europeans from consummating the agreement. At a minimum, the Allies should delay decision pending a joint study of their energy security in the changing economic and political environment of the 1980s. These are the basic arguments that can be made:

- -- The pipeline will improve future Soviet economic growth and facilitate a military buildup which the West (especially the US) will have to counter. (Tab A) This probably will be the most compelling argument to the Europeans.
- -- It would replace their current hard currency earnings from oil which seem likely to dry up during the second half of the 1980s. The Siberian pipeline would thus prevent a reduction of the hard currency they have to spend from the current level of \$24 billion to \$12 billion and enhance the Soviet ability to extend their influence over other countries.
- -- The Soviet gas will cover less than 3 percent of European energy requirements and is not needed to cover increases in European energy demand. Demand projections are being lowered greatly because of energy conservation and alternative, often cheaper supplies of gas and other energy sources will be available. The argument that the pipeline would increase the security and the price of energy supply by diversifying sources and reducing dependence on the insecure Persian Gulf is weak.
- -- The \$4 billion of annual Western exports for the pipeline would add less than 1/2 of 1 percent to the foreign trade of the Alliance. To the extent that these increments to Western energy and trade enable the Soviets to maintain or increase their military capability, the United States, carrying 54 percent of the COCOM defense burden, would bear the brunt of responding.
- -- The \$16 billion European investment would be better spent on alternative schemes to ensure Allied energy security. Some combination of American and Australian coal, Norwegian and British gas from the North Sea, and Western capability to produce synthetic gas can satisfy the Western European needs which the Siberian pipeline is intended to meet. (Tab B)



Impact of the Pipeline Project on The Soviet Military Effort

- -- Soviets have increased defense spending in real terms at an average annual rate of 4-5 percent since late 1950s; military now consumes 12-14 percent of GNP.
- -- Economic growth is slowing and could drop to 2 percent or less by mid-decade. As a result, USSR will have increasing difficulty in maintaining pace of defense buildup. Military share of GNP could be a point or two higher in 1985 and three or four points higher in 1990 if past trends continue. More important, military could take as much as three-fourths of annual increment to GNP by end of the decade. (Figures A-1, A-2)
- -- Although the pipeline project would not eliminate economic problems (itwwould at best add a few tenths of a point to GNP growth), it could ease the strain considerably in key sectors and thus facilitate the military effort.
 - -- Hard currency earnings from the project could maintain the Soviets' import capacity in the face of declining oil revenues. This would permit them to continue to import large amounts of Western machinery and equipment. (Table A-1)
 - -- Technology transfer associated with the project will benefit domestic gas production—the key to meeting Soviet energy demands in the 1980s. It would enable the Soviets to purchase Western Arctic—design extraction and processing equipment, large—diameter pipe and compressors—items which the USSR cannot match in quality nor produce in the quantities required.
 - -- These aspects of the project will aid the military effort in two ways: some imported equipment financed by gas sales will likely be used in military systems; other imports will be directed to civilian uses, reducing pressure on the defense industries to switch to non-military products.
- -- Collapse of the pipeline deal could significantly increase Soviet long range economic problems and the difficulty of maintaining the current pace of their military programs.
 - -- Hard currency earnings could fall by \$10 billion or more by 1990, requiring major cuts in purchases of energy and of Western goods that cushion the defense effort.
 - -- Defense-related industries such as electronics, chemicals and machine-building could be especially hurt, because they use much of the machinery and equipment imports.



-- Even without the 9,600 kilometers from Siberian gas fields to Western Europe, their five-year plan calls for them to build 15,000 kilometers of gas pipeline to meet their own energy needs. For them to produce in the USSR the equipment needed for these pipelines and domestic energy production, given likely trends in production of naval ships, ground force weapons, and aircraft engines, the Soviets would be forced to divert investment from other sectors and cope with important additional costs, delays, and stringencies. These could substantially increase the Soviets' overall economic problems and impose significant costs and difficulties in maintaining the pace of their military buildup.

These factors could induce the Soviets to at least reduce the growth of military spending (if not cut it in absolute terms).

- They would not necessarily result in a reduction in Soviet military capabilities. Soviet defense spending is now so high (Table A-3) that with reduced growth (or indeed with no growth at all) substantial modernization of the armed forces as a whole would continue.
- -- They could, however, require the Soviets to curtail or stretch out selected weapon programs and perhaps make them more forthcoming in arms control negotiations.

Tab B

The Impact of the Pipeline on Western Europe

Although construction of the proposed pipeline would have a substantial impact on the Soviet economy and military potential, it would have little effect on Western Europe's economies but would make Western Europe somewhat more vulnerable to Soviet political pressure.

Specifically, purchases of Soviet gas through the pipeline:

- -- Would not be needed to cover increased energy demand;
- -- Would add to the problem, not to the solution, of energy supply security.
- -- Would probably be an expensive source of energy.

1. Will the Soviet gas be needed?

- (a) Projections of European energy demand are being substantially lowered.
 - -- Between 1978, when the pipeline plans were first seriously discussed, and this year, IEA's projections of West European energy demand in 1990 were lowered by almost 4 million b/d. (See attached table).
 - -- IEA projection of total industrial nation energy demand was lowered by 16 million b/d.
 - -- The amount of Soviet gas to be imported through the proposed pipeline -- .5 to .8 million b/d equivalent is only about one eighth to one fifth as large as the reduction in projected European energy demand.
 - -- This may not be the end of the story; demand projections may continue to be lowered as information on the strength of market reactions to higher oil prices pours in.
- (b) Many projections of European demand for natural gas also are being lowered.
 - -- During the past 2 years, Shell, Phillips, and BP have lowered their 1990 forecasts by about the volume of the projected Soviet deliveries.

- (c) Alternative energy sources are available;
 - -- Recent and likely future U.S. withdrawals from LNG deals with Algeria and Nigeria will make available more LNG from these sources to Western Europe than is now projected in European plans. Indeed, Western Europe is the only alternative market for this gas. The amount of additional gas made available to Europe is about 2.3 billion cubic feet per day, or 50-75 percent of the additional Soviet gas. US needs can be met from domestic, Canadian, and Mexican sources.
 - -- After 1990, more than enough Norwegian gas can be developed to offset the Soviet gas. A single gas structure, discovered and explored during the past 3 years, could produce at least two-thirds of the proposed Soviet deliveries by the early to mid-1990s.
 - -- US coal supply will be ample to meet increases in European coal demand substantially larger than now planned. The necessary adjustments in European energy policies would not be particularly difficult. European investments in US coal infrastructure—for example, in building a large port capable of handling very large coal carriers—would make the coal cheaper. Loss of Soviet gas could be offset by some 40-60 million tons of coal imports, an increase of about one—third in current projections of West European coal imports.

2. Would the pipeline enhance or weaken European energy security?

- (a) The European argument that the pipeline would increase the security of energy supply by diversifying sources and reducing dependence on the insecure Persian Gulf is weak, if not totally invalid.
 - -- Even if Soviet gas supplies were secure, they would not provide insurance against the contingencies of interruptions of Persian Gulf oil, because--
 - Soviet gas would substitute for only a small part (less than 10 percent) of Persian Gulf supplies and;
 - (2) The supply of Soviet gas could not be expanded if the Persian Gulf or other foreign supplies were interrupted.

- (b) Supplies of Soviet gas are themselves not reliable; they are subject to both technical and political risks.
 - -- The technical risks result from severe climatic conditions in the USSR and the near absence of spare Soviet pipeline capacity and gas storage; periodically the Soviets make large cuts in their exports to Western Europe to meet priority domestic needs (this point is well known to the Europeans).
 - -- Although in most likely circumstances Moscow would be loath to use its gas as a blunt weapon to pressure Western Europe, because it needs the gas revenue badly, it would be able to exert subtle political pressure.
 - -- Vulnerability to Soviet pressure would increase despite the fact that increases in imports of Soviet gas would about offset declines in imports of Soviet oil. For most of Western Europe, Soviet oil is a marginal and variable source of energy, for which alternatives can be quickly found. Soviet gas, however, would become part of the base load of European energy supply because of the high investment costs required.
- (c) Although other sources of gas too are subject to technical and political risks, in a number of cases, these risks will probably decline;
 - -- Specifically, Algeria and Nigeria both will become highly dependent on a steady flow of gas revenues to cover their expenditures.

Is Soviet gas a source of cheap energy?

- (a) Soviet gas, if priced at approximate parity with crude oil, is not cheap. US and Australian coal are substantially cheaper.
- (b) If, as we believe, oil markets continue to be soft for several years, the bargaining position of gas importers will become stronger and stronger. Consequently, patient buyers are likely to get better terms.

Ronald Reagan Library

Collection Name Withdrawer

EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT, NSC: COUNTRY FILE KDB 10/27/2015

File Folder FOIA

USSR (7/9/81-7/13/81) F03-002/5

SKINNER

Box Number

21

IDDocument TypeNo of Doc DateRestrictionsDocument Descriptionpagestions

170094 CABLE 6 7/10/1981 B1

MOSCOW 9654

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]

B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]

B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]

B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]

B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]

B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]

B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]

B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

Ronald Reagan Library

Collection Name Withdrawer

EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT, NSC: COUNTRY FILE KDB 10/27/2015

File Folder FOIA

USSR (7/9/81-7/13/81) F03-002/5

SKINNER

Box Number

21

IDDocument TypeNo of Doc DateRestrictionsDocument Descriptionpagestions

170095 MEMO 1 7/10/1981 B1

R. ALLEN TO REAGAN RE HAIG MEMO

- B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]
- C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

Ronald Reagan Library

Collection Name Withdrawer

EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT, NSC: COUNTRY FILE KDB 10/27/2015

File Folder FOIA

USSR (7/9/81-7/13/81) F03-002/5

SKINNER

Box Number

21

IDDocument TypeNo of Doc DateRestric-Document Descriptionpagestions

170096 MEMO 2 7/7/1981 B1

HAIG TO REAGAN RE SECOND SOVIET DEMARCHE

- B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]
- C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.





Ronald Reagan Library

Collection Name Withdrawer KDB 10/27/2015 EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT, NSC: COUNTRY FILE File Folder **FOIA** USSR (7/9/81-7/13/81) F03-002/5 **SKINNER** Box Number 21 199 Restric-No of Doc Date IDDocument Type tions pages **Document Description**

170097 DEMARCHE

3 7/2/1981

B1

FROM DOBRYNIN

- B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]
- C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

Ronald Reagan Library

Collection Name Withdrawer

EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT, NSC: COUNTRY FILE KDB 10/27/2015

File Folder FOIA

USSR (7/9/81-7/13/81) F03-002/5

SKINNER

Box Number

21

IDDocument TypeNo of Doc DateRestrictionsDocument Descriptionpagestions

170098 MEMO 1 7/7/1981 B1

R. PIPES TO ALLEN RE HAIG'S MEMO TO PRESIDENT

- B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]
- C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

C IVED

31-A

81 JUL 8 P1: 25

COLSON BUD NANCE DICK ALLEN IRENE DERUS JANET COLSON BUD NANCE KAY CY TO VP. SHOW CC CY TO MEESE SHOW CC CY TO BAKER SHOW CC CY TO DEAVER SHOW CC TO BRADY SHOW CC

RVA:

You asked why this had been sent for staffing. Normally all memos to the President go to NSC staff for a review and a forwarding memo from you to the President. The above lets the NSC have a chance to review what the Secretary said and to raise related or alternative points (as Pipes does here). It also preserves the process of having memos to the President go via the NSC system. According to Darman, the President likes to have a staff review before he gets such things.

9

4005

REC LED

81 JUL 7 P3: 03

JANET COLSON BUD NANCE DICK ALLEN IRENE DERUS JANET COLSON BUD NANCE KAY CY TO VP SHOW CC CY TO MEESE SHOW CC CY TO BAKER SHOW CC CY TO DEAVER SHOW CC CY TO BRADY SHOW CC

:į

ID 8104065

RECEIVED 07 JUL 81 15

TO

PRES

FROM HAIG, A

DOCDATE 07 JUL 81



DOBRYNIN, ANATOLY

W/ATTCH FILE PA (C)A.

CM

KEYWORDS: USSR

DISPATCH

	O. I			
SUBJECT:	SECOND SOVIET DEMA		IONS W/ CHINA / PAP	ER DOBRYNIN
ACTION:	PREPARE MEMO FOR A	ALLEN DUE:	07 JUL 81 STATUS S	FILES
	FOR ACTION	FOR COM		FOR INFO
	PIPES	STEARMAN -N.C.	LILLEY M.C.	COLSON
		GREGG N.C.		LENZ
COMMENTS	*** PLEASE OBSERV	E 3 HOUR TURNAROUN	D FOR CABINET LEVEL	, ***
REF#		LOG	NSCIFID	(D/)
ACTION OF Olles Pres	FICER (S) ASSIGN X 7/08 11 1/10	forwardse for into	QUIRED DUE Playformed The Pres.	COPIES TO STIDGAL LAV

33

Ronald Reagan Library

Collection Name Withdrawer

EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT, NSC: COUNTRY FILE KDB 10/27/2015

File Folder FOIA

USSR (7/9/81-7/13/81) F03-002/5

SKINNER

Box Number

21

IDDocument TypeNo of Doc DateRestric-Document Descriptionpagestions

170099 MEMO 1 7/13/1981 B1

R. ALLEN TO REAGAN RE MEMO FROM HAIG

- B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]
- C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.



Ronald Reagan Library

Collection Name Withdrawer

EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT, NSC: COUNTRY FILE KDB 10/27/2015

File Folder FOIA

USSR (7/9/81-7/13/81) F03-002/5

SKINNER

Box Number

21

IDDocument TypeNo of Doc DateRestrictionDocument Descriptionpagestions

170100 MEMO 2 7/7/1981 B1

HAIG TO REAGAN RE NEGOTIATIONS

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]

B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]

B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]

B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]

B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy ((b)(6) of the FOIA

B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]

B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]

B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.