## Ronald Reagan Presidential Library Digital Library Collections

This is a PDF of a folder from our textual collections.

## Collection: Reagan, Ronald: 1980 Campaign Papers, 1965-80 Folder Title: [Memos – February 1980] Box: 867

To see more digitized collections visit: <u>https://www.reaganlibrary.gov/archives/digitized-textual-material</u>

To see all Ronald Reagan Presidential Library inventories visit: <u>https://www.reaganlibrary.gov/archives/white-house-inventories</u>

Contact a reference archivist at: reagan.library@nara.gov

Citation Guidelines: <u>https://reaganlibrary.gov/archives/research-</u> <u>support/citation-guide</u>

National Archives Catalogue: <u>https://catalog.archives.gov/</u>

HOWARD H. BAKER, JR. TENNESSEE

6

11/202

Anited States Senate

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

February, 1980

## Dear Friend:

Thank you for your postcard regarding SALT II. As you may know, I have received thousands of these cards from concerned citizens who have taken the time to respond to the Conservative Caucus' mailing campaign. While I regret this form of response, I felt that it was the most expeditious means to assure you of my opposition to this treaty.

Although I strongly favor the process of limiting and reversing the race of nuclear arms, I am concerned that SALT II, as negotiated and signed, is contrary to the security interests of the United States. I believe that our SALT negotiators were out-bargained; that they have offered to the Senate for consent to ratification a treaty that is inequitable, that contains potentially dangerous ambiguities, and that is unverifiable.

The SALT II Treaty has, however, had meritorious and beneficial effects. I have long believed that any SALT Treaty, however well negotiated, should be the catalyst for a comprehensive debate on the overall defense posture of the United States. It has had that effect.

In response to the recent Soviet invasion of Afghanistan, President Carter has now requested that the Senate indefinitely postpone the scheduled debate on SALT II. I believe this well reflects the inherent connection between any SALT agreement and the overall relationship between the United States and the Soviet Union. The need to focus on this relationship is clearly illustrated by the most recent of Soviet provocations and our T. C. C. Java Baber administration of a marked and the and the and the advantation of a marked and a marked a failure to respond effectively to this challenge.

Again, thank you for taking the time to contact me. I appreciate your interest and justified concern.

Sincerely,

Baker, Jr. Sal 2/20/80 HHBJr:swm