Ronald Reagan Presidential Library Digital Library Collections

This is a PDF of a folder from our textual collections.

Collection: Reagan, Ronald: 1980 Campaign Papers, 1965-80 Folder Title: [Transcript – League of Women Voters, 02/20/1980] (2 of 2) Box: 247

To see more digitized collections visit: <u>https://www.reaganlibrary.gov/archives/digitized-textual-material</u>

To see all Ronald Reagan Presidential Library inventories visit: <u>https://www.reaganlibrary.gov/archives/white-house-inventories</u>

Contact a reference archivist at: reagan.library@nara.gov

Citation Guidelines: <u>https://reaganlibrary.gov/archives/research-</u> <u>support/citation-guide</u>

National Archives Catalogue: <u>https://catalog.archives.gov/</u>

spewing money out through 448 spokes in uncoordinated profusion. If you want me to be specific, Ms. Shanahan, let's look at LEAA, a program where we are spending \$500 to \$600 million a year. It's very nice for the Federal Government to be able to provide shiny squad cars and nice new communications equipment for local police departments; but the time may be here, and indeed I believe it has arrived when that responsibility is going to have to remain at home. . MR. SMITH: Mr. Connally? GOVERNOR CONNALLY: I agree that until we can actually return to the states much of the responsibility for the administration of many of these programs, that we should greatly expand general revenue sharing, suggested by Senator Baker. I personally carried that legislation through the Congress when I was Secretary of the Treasury in 1971. I think it's a very excellent program because the real problem that we have with many of these programs is not the ability or the purpose of the program but the cost of

delivery systems.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 Many of these programs consume two thirds of 2 all the money appropriated by the Congress just in 3 the delivery system. Only one third ever gets to 4 the intended beneficiary. That's where we need to 5 work. For instance, I would abolish the Department 6 of Education. We just created it. It's created 7 with a budget of \$15.1 billion and 18,000 employees. 8 It has already one employee for every school board 9 in America. If you give it a few more years, you 10 will have three employees for every school board in 11 America; and that's a good place to start. 12 MR. SMITH: Mr. Crane? 13 CONGRESSMAN CRANE: First of 14 all, I think we have got to address the problem of 15 inflation, which plays a major role in contributing 16 to the built-in escalation of costs in many of 17 these social programs. Secondly, we have got to 18 determine that we're not going to launch upon any 19 new programs. I think, thirdly, we can tighten up 20 on the waste that is involved in so many of the 21 existing programs. Ben Civiletti told us that the Feds may 22 23 be wasting, squandering or stealing up to

1 \$50 billion a year. That would have given us a 2 balanced budget last year. In addition to that, 3 the growth of the economy can relatively shrink 4 the cost of these programs, and then such cuts as 5 John Connally mentioned with regard to eliminating 6 the Department of Education, the Department of 7 Energy, two of the most horrendous new departments 8 we have created; and there are other areas where 9 we could cut that existing budget. And then, 10 finally, we could indeed transfer many of these 11 responsibilities over a phased period of time 12 back where they appropriately belong, at the grass 13 roots. 14 MR. SMITH: Mr. Bush? 15 CONGRESSMAN BUSH: I'd like 16 to first say that I do favor the balanced budget, 17 but I don't favor an amendment that brings the 18 budget into balance through permitting taxes to go 19 I favor an amendment that ties the -- getting up. 20 into the balance through the limitation of the 21 growth and spending. On specifics, GAC says there 22 is \$11 billion worth of waste on CETA. I would 23 take a billion of it and stimulate jobs in the

1 private sectors through productive tax cuts. I 2 disapprove of the grain embargo, \$2.8 billion. 3 I don't like countercyclical revenue sharing. 4 I do strongly support revenue sharing. 5 I would look at consolidating some of these 6 welfare programs and eliminate this dual and 7 triple delivery system that exists. I like this 8 program of grading programs that I mentioned. 9 like the Leach Amendment that puts limits on 10 Federal employment. 11 RM. SMITH: Thank you very 12 much, gentlemen. The next question is mine and is 13 directed to Senator Dole, and it changes the subject completely. The age ratio of our population 14 15 is changing radically. There are ever more retired 16 people relatively, ever fewer people in their 17 earing years, relatively; and there is the pension 18 bomb ticking away. The first wave of that post-19 World War II baby boom will become 33 years old 20 this year, closer to retirement than it is to 21 birth. Shouldn't a prospective President have plans now for the huge strain on Social Security and 22 pension funds that that will inevitably bring? 23

SENATOR DOLE: Yes, and let me tell you about mine. I think, very honestly, that bomb is ticking away. There is another bomb that is ticking, and that addresses the young people in America. I have often wondered where they have been during all the hearings on the Social Security Trust Fund and the pension funds and why they haven't been heard more because I think they're going to continue to pay; and at the time they're able to receive, or of age to receive, there won't be any money left.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 I recognize that the trust fund is in some 13 difficulty. I don't believe it's in a crisis 14 stage as far as Social Security is concerned. 15 Again, maybe all of the goodies are coming out of 16 the Windfall Profit Tax that some may oppose and 17 some may favor. We're hoping to set aside, at 18 least on a temporary basis, some of the revenues 19 from the Windfall Profit Tax into the so-called 20 Social Security Trust Fund to take care of the 21 very problem you mentioned. There is the time 22 bomb ticking. People who paid into Social Security-23 want to make sure they get their money back, but

there is another problem which calls upon a President and the Congress to be courageous. And that's to eliminate some of the benefits that have been tacked onto the Social Security program over 'the years.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

President Carter sent us six. I might add that the Finance Committee didn't adopt one. Six reforms to cut spending; he knew we wouldn't adopt them, but at least he sent us six. And now I can say that we didn't act. So I believe we have a double responsibility; one, to make certain the system is intact so the beneficiaries will have their money. The same is true in pension funds, but the other is to take a look at some of the growth in the Social Security system itself and the benefits on the Social Security system. We are all for benefits. We are all in politics; but there comes a time, if we're really concerned about the people that it serves, that we're going to have to call a halt.

21 MR. SMITH: Mr. Reagan?
22 GOVERNOR REAGAN: I think that
23 time bomb is ticking for the generation of young

people here that are paying in; unless something is done besides Band-Aids, very expensive Band-Aids, such as the President put on with the biggest single tax increase we've ever had, the Social Security tax increase, there is going to be a generation that finds the cupbcard bare.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7 Actuarily, on a 75-year basis, Social Security 8 is trillions, trillions of dollars out of balance. 9 The whole premise that the number of workers would 10 increase faster than the retirees doesn't fit. 11 So my own proposal has been that immediately we 12 should appoint a task force of actuarial insurance 13 and pension experts to go into this program but 14 first with the statement that no one dependent on 15 Social Security to date is going to have the rug 16 pulled out from under them. They are going to get 17 the payments that they are living on and expecting, 18 but we must solve that long-range problem. I 19 would advocate a task force for the purpose of 20 looking into it and doing it, rather than just 21 increasing the tax every once in a while to stall 22 it and put it off on someone else's back. 23 MR. SMITH: Mr. Anderson?

CONGRESSMAN ANDERSON: Mr.

Smith, my energy plan, when adopted by the Congress, will not only cut the Social Security tax of the average American working man and woman 50 percent, but it will actually leave around \$10 billion to bolster and strengthen that fund. The other point that I would make is that the Social Security Advisory Commission reports every four years and has just reported recently; and they have recommended that we ought to take the hospital insurance trust fund, the part of the tax that is assessed for that purpose, and we ought to take it out of the Social Security administration. It has no actuarial basis. It ought to be funded out of general revenues instead. I think that would be a worthy improvement.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

I simply want to add that the other conclusions that the Commission reaches is that the fund is sound. The 35 million American men and women who depend on that fund for their retirement income don't have to worry. That fund is sound.

MR. SMITH: Mr. Connally?

GOVERNOR CONNALLY: I think

the fund is sound in the short run. In the long run, it certainly is unsound. It is unsound because politicians of the Congress can't resist the lure of extending additional benefits, knowing that someone else down the road is going to have to pay for it. That's what's happened to us.

What we really need to do is take a very comprehensive look at this society in the early 1980's and recognize that with the miracles of medicine being performed today, that in the early part, the first quarter of the next century, we are going to have people living to an average age of 110 years old. Are we still going to retire them at 65?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 We are going to have to be realistic in 16 planning what kind of a nation we're going to have 17 in America, what kind of provision we're going to 18 make, both in the private pension systems and in 19 the Social Security systems. We haven't done that 20 because the Congress again wants -- and the 21 President, every administration wants to solve the 22 immediate problem; and they do not want to take the 23 time to look at it on a long-range basis.

MR. SMITH: Mr. Crane? CONGRESSMAN CRANE: What our

51

Democratic friends have managed to do with that program is to create anti-Social in-Security; antisocial in the sense that it's creating generational conflict, the young people protesting paying in because they think they're being ripped off. There is the older generation complaining over the fact that the young people complain about paying in. The insecurity is the threat that the program will come to a halt, and someone will be denied benefits.

13 The first thing I think we've got to do is 14 reassure all pensioners that they are not going to 15 lose their benefits. I think we have an obligation 16 to do that as people. I think those that can make 17 no other alternatives should be reassured as well. 18 We have taken testimony on the Social Security 19 Subcommittee that indicates that actuaries can come 20 up with the satisfactory solution based upon the 21 same principle as a bona fide retirement plan in 22 the private sector. And it may take until the 23 middle of the next century to get out from under

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

that trillion dollar liability, but that's what we're obliged to do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. SMITH: Mr. Bush? CONGRESSMAN BUSH: Social 52

Security started as a supplement to retirement. It's become largely a welfare program, or has side benefits to it. My view is that the Medicare part, to the degree that it needs more financing, be financed as some of it now is out of general revenues. I oppose one of the recommendations of this Commission, which was to tax Social Security benefits. I think that's bad. I think it's not keeping the trust with those people that have saved. I guess the bottom line is just keep that Social Security Trust Fund sound. We can do it, and I don't believe it's going to be bankrupt.

MR. SMITH: Mr. Baker?

SENATOR BAKER: Mr. Smith, it

is an absolute obligation of this and future Presidents to make sure that the trust fund for Social Security does stay sound because we owe that obligation to the men and women who have paid into it. I think the principal challenge is to resist engrafting future programs onto it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

If we do put other programs other than retirement and disability annuity, we should appropriate the funds from the Treasury to do it. We should not engraft them onto the payroll tax. I disagree with John Anderson on his provision for a 50-centa-gallon gasoline tax. That may be well and good for people who don't have a car in New York; it's going to play havoc with people in New Hampshire and Tennessee who have to drive. I do not think we should have a specialized tax on fuel or anything else as a supplement to Social Security. It should be kept sound. If there are new programs added to it, it should come from the general Treasury as a general contribution to the country. MR. SMITH: Now, a question from Mr. Kraft to Governor Reagan.

I'm going to also change the subject rather violently. As you know, the Secretary of the Treasury, G. William Miller, has acknowledged that, while he was head of the Textron Company, that company bribed foreign officials. Mr. Miller says

MR. KRAFT: Governor Reagan,

1 that he didn't know about the bribery at the time; 2 and for that reason, he denied that it took place 3 during his confirmation hearings. My question is: 4 If you were President now, would you fire Mr. 5 Miller on the grounds that, if he didn't know, he 6 should have known? And more generally, what is 7 your sense of the responsibility of top officials 8 whether in government or in business to make sure 9 their subordinates aren't doing anything illegal 10 or unethical? 11 GOVERNOR REAGAN: Mr. Kraft, 12 you've asked me a question that's in a sense kind. 13 of hypothetical. What would I do if I were 14 President in the case of a Mr. Miller now? I don't 15 know more than the facts than anyone of us have 16 read in the paper about this. I happen to believe 17 that public officials should be even beyond the 18 appearance of evil. 19 The question is: I would have to know all the 20 facts about this, and I don't think there is any 21 one of us that has ever held a high position, in 22 business or in government, that doesn't go to bed 23 every night with the knowledge that someone that

you have trusted and someone in your administration or in your employ has done something wrong. And yet, you know that there is no way that you, in the daily running of a business, could know that or could find that out until the roof falls in.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7 The other thing that I have wondered about 8 this so-called bribery abroad: Are we corrupting 9 the morals of the other countries, or are we in 10 the field of international trade faced with a 11 custom that is world wide, except for here in our 12 own country, and that in order to do business you 13 find that you have to, in a sense, employ an agent 14 there to help you do the business? Should we give 15 that trade to the rest of the world to say, "Okay, 16 we won't trade with the rest of the world if we 17 can't do it without that middleman in between"? 18 Shouldn't this be something that we face up to? 19 We sit down, government and business together, 20 and say, "All right, let's find out about this." 21 If all the rest of the world would join us and say, 22 "No more will we pay one of these go-betweens," 23 If we're going to refuse to sell our fine.

1 products and they're going to be able to go to 2 some one else who doesn't have the same constraints, 3 if I found that Mr. Miller ---4 MR. SMITH: Could you please 5 round out your answer, sir; your time is up. 6 GOVERNOR REAGAN: You rounded 7 it out. 8 MR. SMITH: Mr. Anderson, 9 do you have a comment? 10 CONGRESSMAN ANDERSON: Of 11 course, all we know about this particular case is 12 what we read in the press; but at the same time, 13 I admire and respect Senator Proxmire. He's been 14 known at times, I believe, as the conscience of 15 the Senate; and he has said that Mr. Miller ought 16 to resign. He ought to resign because he himself, 17 in the second set hearings that were held on this 18 question, admitted that he should have known. Here 19 he was, a careful, careful manager of that company; 20 and yet, \$5 million, millions of dollars were paid 21 out in outright bribes. 22 That isn't the full extent of the story 23 because, in addition to the bribery, it is clear

that something like \$600,000 was paid out to entertain officials of the Department of Defense in order to get defense procurement orders, to get defense business. I think the evidence is quite specific on that, that those particular expenditures were very deliberately concealed on the books of that company. I think we should expect a higher standard of conduct than that from those who are serving in the cabinet positions. MR. SMITH: Mr. Connally? GOVERNOR CONNALLY: I think, clearly, irregular activities occurred in the company that was presided over by Mr. Miller. Ι wouldn't pass judgment on whether or not he knew or whether or not he should have known. That's something that I don't have the information on which to base an informed judgment. I think, if there is any group that should be looking into it, it's probably the Senate itself. The committee that confirmed him probably should call him back for hearings and go into it exhaustively because, indeed, if they had been misled in the confirmation proceedings, then they have a right, it seems to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 me, to ask him to withdraw or to retire. If 2 indeed he told them the truth, that's something 3 that they can determine at that time. I certainly 4 would not want to pass judgment on that. 5 MR. SMITH: Mr. Crane? 6 CONGRESSMAN CRANE: First, I 7 would agree that there should be an investigation 8 again by the Senate to find out what Mr. Miller 9 did know and didn't know; but I am certainly in no 10 position to attempt to prejudge that case, nor do 11 I think that you can necessarily assume that, 12 because irregularities occur, that the person who 13 is in charge should be removed because he had the 14 obligation to be held ultimately accountable for 15 it. 16 I think that's a false standard. You could 17 argue as effectively that those Senators that 18 investigated Mr. Miller's background in the first 19 instance should be removed from the Senate because 20 they didn't catch it. I think that same kind of 21 logic suggests that, if you're President of the 22 . United States and some underling in some department 23 is guilty of an irregularity, that you should

remove the President from office for that. That is John Gardner's Common Cause mentality; and Gardner violated the principles of his own organization, and he maligned me and Chuck Percy and several other Congressmen and wouldn't accept responsibility for it as head of Common Cause. And I reject that kind of ethics.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

MR. SMITH: Mr. Bush? CONGRESSMAN BUSE: I'd like to

identify with what Phil Crane said about passing judgment. On the second part of your question, how do you guard against it, it's extraordinarily hard; and the best answer, I guess, is the finest management procedures. And the way you put into effect the finest management procedures is to have a men and women of excellence attracted to Federal service. Beyond that, I don't have all the facts on Mr. Miller; nor am I inclined to pass judgment on it.

20	MR. SMITH: Mr. Baker?	
21	SENATOR BAKER: Mr. Smith, 1	C
22	think there is a terrible temptation in American	
23	politics and government in these days to be	

righteous. I really don't know the facts of the Miller case. I'm not on the committee that confirmed him or reported his confirmation to the I sat on the Watergate Committee for Senate. months and watched the Republican administration devour him before my very eyes. There is a natural temptation to say that he is a Democrat and, "I'm going to get him," to get even; but you can't because the one thing we have to do in politics, in my judgment, is to try to recivilize it so that people are once again attracted to government service. We have got to be very careful how we proceed with allegations against good men. I believe Bill Miller is a good man, and I will continue to believe that until the contrary is made to appear. MR. SMITH: Mr. Dole? If I might SENATOR DOLE: just comment in addition to not taxing Social Security benefits, we shouldn't bring Federal employees into the system. Now, having said that I was on the committee that -- about Mr. Miller:

confirmed Mr. Miller. I am the ranking Republican

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 on that committee. We were given the S.E.C. 2 report; there is nothing there to indicate that 3 he shouldn't be confirmed. I like Mr. Miller. 4 I'll state the same thing that Howard Baker stated 5 and George Bush. I think what should be done is 6 that there should be a special prosecutor appointed. 7 There is a provision for that. 8 This is in his interest; it's in the interest 9 of the American people, and it ought to be in the 10 interest of the administration. Mr. Civiletti has 11 already indicated that there is no reason for a 12 special prosecutor, which does make some of us 13 believe that we should have one appointed. 14 So four of us on the Judiciary Committee, 15 Senators Hatch, Laxalt, Dole and Thurmond, have 16 asked Civiletti to appoint a special prosecutor; 17 and he's now charged with telling us that, if he 18 doesn't appoint one, why he will not appoint one. 19 Thank you very MR. SMITH: 20 much, Senator Dole. That concludes the first part 21 of our forum tonight. We are now going to take 22 some questions from the auditorium audience. The 23 questioners were all selected earlier this evening.

1 Each candidate will be asked one question and will 2 have one minute and a half to respond. In this 3 part of our program, only the candidate to whom 4 the question is addressed will have an opportunity 5 to answer. 6 The first question is directed to Mr. Anderson 7 from Ms. Kathleen Holland. 8 MS. HOLLAND: Thank you. 9 Congressman Anderson, New England seems to have 10 been harder hit by the energy crisis than many 11 other regions. What could you or would you do as 12 President to lessen the impact on our area or 13 spread it more evenly over the entire country? 14 CONGRESSMAN ANDERSON: About 15 80 percent of the homes, I understand, in this 16 area, in New England, are heated by fuel oil. When 17 the prices of that fuel oil go up from less than 18 50 cents a gallon to, in some cases, over a dollar 19 a gallon, as they did in the winter of '79 and '80, 20 there isn't any question that there is some hardship 21 I think Congress ought to promptly proceed to get 22 the Windfall Profits Tax Conference Report settled 23 so that some proceeds can be made available from

that particular tax, the Windfall Profits Tax, to make some money available to those who otherwise are going to have to make that cruel choice between heating and eating.

Secondly, I would say, with respect to New England, I would like to see a development on a regional basis of the resources that are indigenous to this area. You have ample supplies of wood, a renewable resource. About 80 percent of the state is forested. You have winds. You have tides. You have, I think, low head hydro projects that, in many years past, have been used but have been decommissioned in more recent times. I think, in other words, there are plenty of opportunities to use some of the indigenous renewable resources of this region to help improve the energy deficit that surely does exist.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. SMITH: Thank you, questioner and Congressman Anderson. The second question is from H.T. Berliner directed to Governor Connally.

some people have asserted that the big oil companies

MR. BERLINER:

Mr. Connally,

are a larger threat to the American way of life, particularly the middle class, than the Soviet Union's recent aggression in the East. How would you respond to the nationalization of the American refining industry?.

MR. BERLINER:

How would GOVERNOR CONNALLY: I respond to what? I didn't hear the last part of your question.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

ation of the American refining industry? 11 GOVERNOR CONNALLY: How would 12 I respond to it? Anyone who says that the American 13 oil companies are a greater threat to America than 14 the communism in the Soviet Union has to have 15 something wrong with them. Secondly, I'm not for 16 nationalizing anything in this country. Great 17 Britain went through that; it destroyed their 18 country, destroyed their system.

19 We have to understand that what sets us apart 20 from the rest of the world is that we have been a 21 free society and we have been on a free market system and we believe in the capitalistic system, 22 23 and that's why we have been able to do more things

The nationaliz-

for more people in more ways than any other society that's ever existed on the face of the earth.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

I know the oil companies' profit. I'm not going to defend them; let them defend themselves. All I want America to do is to quit demagoguing-Quit demagoguing it. Let's look at the issue. Look at the facts. The truth of the the facts. matter is that, if you really want to talk about obscene profits, remember that the oil company profits over the last several years are less than the publishing firm profits; they're less than the CBS network; they're less than the NBC network; they're less than the Dow Jones Company; they are less than many, many major industries in America. If you look at a five-year average, the oil com-'panies' profits are in the medium of all manufac-If you want to start defining obscene turers. profits, then we're going to have to have a lot of people in that pot.

> Governor. The next question is to Congressman Crane from Mr. Wayne Labonchet.

MR. SMITH:

MR. LABONCEET: Mr. Crane,

Thank you,

small business needs special consideration from the Federal Government to alleviate the paperwork load. What are your plans, if you have any plans, for correcting this situation; and when can it be accomplished?

CONGRESSMAN CRANE: First of

all, I think it's important for us to recognize that it is our bleeding-heart liberal friends who express their touching concern for the little guy who have created this blizzard of paperwork which inflicts its greatest injury on small business. They are in the process of killing off little businesses first. Ultimately, if unchecked, they will kill big business too; but I appreciate totally your concern on this point.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 I think one solution is to take away the rule-17 making authority from executive agencies, depart-18 ments, bureaus and commissions when prior economic 19 impact studies and cost-benefit analyses indicate 20 that the issuance of their rules and regulations 21 and guidelines, which have the force of law now, 22 would create any unemployment or if it would violate 23 cost-benefit ratios. Then those rules would be

1 dormant unless there were the affirmative action of 2 both Houses of Congress. The virtue of that is, 3 one, we are going to slow down tremendously the 4 issuance of those rules which have created, of 5 course, thousands and thousands -- 35,000 pages 6 now in the Federal Register. 7 Secondly, I think we're going to guarantee 8 that we'll get accountability out of the system. 9 once more because we can find out who those 10 Congressmen are who harbor those peculiar social 11 values that say the application of these rules out 12 of the Executive Department should be killing 13 American business. And then we---14 I'm sorry, your MR. SMITH: 15 time is up. Mr. Bush, a question for you from 16 Ms. Beth Pakowsky. 17 Mr. Bush, the MS. PAKOWSKY: 18 Republican Party began as a party dedicated to 19 civil rights. Given the silence on civil rights 20 issues in this campaign, what is your position on 21 Federal Affirmative Action programs? 22 CONGRESSMAN BUSH: I support -23 affirmative action programs. I do not support

1 quotas. I believe that the guarantee of people 2 a good running start is a good thing. I think 3 Affirmative Action programs can guarantee against 4 patterns of discrimination. 5 I believe that civil rights is still important; 6 but I also believe that a lot of the legislation, 7 fundamental legislation, has been taken care of. 8 Affirmative Action seems to me to be a good safe-9 guard for fair play in being sure that different 10 races have a real good shot. I do not, however, 11 favor quotas. These have to be objectives, not 12 I support Affirmative Action in that quotas. 13 sense. 14 MR. SMITH: The next question, 15 for Senator Baker, is from Mr. Walter Childs. 16 MR. CHILDS: Senator Baker, 17 the nursing home industry in the treatment of 18 senior citizens has been receiving an ever -- an 19 increasing amount of criticism. At the same time, 20 inflation is placing people on fixed incomes into 21 an ever-increasing squeeze financially. What steps 22 would you take as President to reverse this trend 23 and restore dignity to the aged Americans?

SENATOR BAKER: There was a

69

question a moment ago about the Social Security system, and I meant to comment and neglected to at But it has equal application to your that time. That is, the greatest danger to the question. Social Security system, in my view, and certainly the greatest danger to the integrity of a retirement program for Americans in dignity, is inflation. A 13 percent inflation rate or a 19 percent inflation rate, as indicated by the January wholesale price figures, will have a devastating effect on the life style not only of elderly Americans but of every American.

14 So my first dedication as President would be 15 to try to get inflation under control to protect 16 the security of retirement benefits, either pri-17 vately earned and to which they are entitled, or 18 from Social Security.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

19

20

The health care programs, the nursing home installations around the country, I think, by and 21 large do an excellent job. I would like to see 22 additional benefits granted to encourage the con-23 struction and appropriate operation of such

1 The ultimate best security for older programs. 2 Americans is to try to control inflation in this 3 country, which will wreck our economy and deprive 4 all of us of any prospect of a decent retirement 5 at an honorable old age. 6 The next question MR. SMITH: 7 is for Senator Dole from Mr. Paul Mason. 8 MR. MASON: While my question 9 is directed to Senator Dole, we would like to take 10 this opportunity to thank all of the presidential 11 candidates who have come to this state on behalf 12 of the New Hampshire People's Alliance and the 13 New Mampshire People's Agenda. We would like to 14 thank the League of Women Voters for this, and 15 we would hope that you would feel some of the 16 warmth and love that emanates from New Hampshire 17 as well as some of the frustrations and hostilities 18 Our question is this: Senator Dole, will you 19 as President, be able to hear and heed the whispers 20 of the low- and moderate-income people of New 21 Hampshire and the rest of this country over the 22 organized clamor of the professional lobbyists of 23 big business and special interest groups?

1 I certainly SENATOR DOLE: 2 I would say also that your touching on the will. 3 question that Senator Baker just answered. I 4 think, in addition, we have to be very careful that 5 some of the nursing homes aren't overcharging, and 6 we ought to add more emphasis on home health care. 7 That would help that proposition. 8 Let me say this: I said many times that the 9 only reason for the existence of our party is to 10 address people's problems. We're perceived as the 11 country club set. We're perceived as rich people. 12 All of our candidates are supposed to be rich. 13 Well, here is one who is not. Here's one who 14 understands moderate income. I just suggest that 15 the only reason we exist is to address people's 16 problems. 17 We're doing a good job. We're looking at 18 health care through the private sector. We're 19 looking at welfare reform with block grants. We're 20 looking at tax credits to help unemployed young 21 people. We're looking at a lot of programs. 22 In fact, we're looking at \$400 exclusion from -23 interest, dividend and interest income from taxes;

that will help. In New Hampshire itself, we are looking at tax credits for those that make up to \$22,000 to help them pay their heating oil costs. We're looking at all kinds of credits, and even the wood-burning stove credit isn't deat yet. We are still working on that. We'll be back to work on that tomorrow morning at 10 o'clock.

8 So I would just suggest that there are a num-9 ber of us concerned about getting that vote on our 10 side. We're for the moderate income people. Ne 11 understand low-income Americans' problems. Give 12 us a chance as the Republican Party. 13 MR. SMITH: The last question

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

14

15

16

from the audience is for Governor Reagan, and it comes from Vince Gallotti.

Governor Reagan, MR. GALLOTTI: 17 I am an Italian-American. I had another question, 18 but I must ask this one. Recently you told a 19 story implying that Polish people and Italian 20 people were either fools or gangsters. You followed 21 this up with some kind of abject apology and explanation. The question I have: Does ethnic 22 23 humor of this kind, or any kind, have any place in

the campaign for the highest office of this land? GOVERNOR REAGAN: I'm glad you asked that question because I don't think my apology was abject. It was sincere. I had not told the story the way it was reported by the reporter who reported it. I do not go around telling ethnic jokes. I have been on the opposite side of that question, on the right side, long before there was anything called civil rights. Very frankly, I was the victim of, in slang expression of the press, I was stiffed. I did not do it in the manner in which it was said. I did not tell that story to the press for that pur-

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

73

did not tell that story to the press for that purpose. All I can do is say to those people who might have thought that there was an insult intended that they have my apology. I plead that I was the victim of something that was done.

18The discussion happened to deal with humor19and jokes to a few people of my own surrounding,20not knowing anyone was overhearing. I said that21here was one that had come along that had a new22twist in the so-called ethnic joke. But you can23rest assured that I don't tell them. I don't like

1 them; and from now on I'm going to look over both 2 shoulders, and I'm only going to tell stories 3 about Irishmen because I am Irish. 4 MR. SMITH: Ladies and 5 Gentlemen -- thank you, Governor Reagan, and thank 6 you to the questioners from the audience. We come 7 now to the final part of our forum. Each candidate 8 may now make summarizing remarks. They will be 9 allowed one minute each. Mr. Anderson, will you 10 begin with a one-minute closing remark, please? 11 CONGRESSMAN ANDERSON: Thank 12 you, Mr. Smith. Earlier in this program, Senator 13 Baker attacked my 50-cent tax on gasoline. It 14 seems to me that it's Iowa all over again. I 15 haven't heard any suggestions from the members of 16 this panel tonight as to what they are going to do 17 to solve the energy problem that really lies at 18 the heart of that crisis in Iran. 19 That consumption tax that I have recommended 20 is not going to go into the Treasury. It is going 21 to go right into the Social Security fund. It is 22 going to cut, by 50 percent, the taxes of the 23 average man and woman in this country. It's going

1 to make it possible for that man in Tennessee that 2 you're worried about to drive up to 20,000 miles a 3 year. A medium-income worker would get enough of 4 a tax cut that he could go out and pay the tax and 5 do 20,000 miles of driving. 6 I just want to say in closing: We've got a 7 real problem on our hands. We need som new ideas. 8 All I keep hearing are the old platitudes, "We are 9 going to produce more. We are going to deregulate." 10 And in the meantime, we keep importing 43 percent 11 of our oil. 12 MR. SMITH: Thank you, 13 Congressman. Governor Connally? 14 GOVERNOR CONNALLY: Mr. Smith, 15 I think we desparately need to look at our prior-16 ities in this country. I think that over the next 17 10 years we are going to have to move to make 18 America number one again, as a leader of the free 19 world. I think we're going to have to rebuild the 20 military strength of this country in order to give 21 us credibility among our friends and against our 22 foes as well. 23 I think we have to solve the problems of

	1	inflation. I think we have to develop an energy
	2	policy in order to do it. I think we have to have
	3	a return to fiscal responsibility by the adminis-
	4	tration, by the Congress of the United States.
	5	I think we have to recognize that we have to
	6	rebuild the industrial base of this country in
	7	order to increase the productivity of the American
	. 8	workers. We have to make America number one again,
	9	in terms of the productivity in this land.
	10	I think we have to return to a sense of pride,
	11	of self-respect in America. I think we have to
	12	regain the respect to the world. I think we have
	13	to recommit ourselves to this free enterprise
	14	system, to individual opportunities and expansion
	15	of human rights for ourselves and for all the
	16	people of the world.
. '	17	MR. SMITH: Congressman Crane,
	18	your closing remarks?
	19	CONGRESSMAN CRANE: I've been
	20	described as a conservative Republican; and I don't
	21	mind that label, if given the opportunity to tell
	22	you what I want to conserve. I want to conserve a
	23	liberal Jeffersonian tradition. He once defined

+

+

•

----76

1 - -

good government as thus: He said, "A wise and frugal government which shall restrain men from injuring one another shall leave them otherwise free to regulate their own pursuits of industry and improvement, and shall not take from the mouth of labor the bread that it has earned." This is the sum of good government.

8 I embrace that idea. That is the most 9 succinct, best definition of good government that 10 I have ever heard. That's the promise I hold up 11 'to Americans, and I will tell you finally that this. 12 is a winnable race. I believe in miracles, but 13 god helps those who help themselves. I ask the 14 good people of New Hampshire for their support in 15 going into this critical primary race. We can 16 turn this around and, in the process, lay that 17 beacon of hope once more for all mankind that the 18 United States represents the highest ideals of our 19 Judeo-Christian tradition. 20 MR. SMITH: Mr. Eush?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

21

22

23

CONGRESSMAN BUSH: I first of all would like to thank the League. After this is over, everybody is going to say, "Who won?" The

1 winner, the Republican Party; the loser, the 2 Democrats, who couldn't get their act together. 3 The second point I want to make is that I 4 want very much to be President. I've worked hard 5 and traveled a lot, but that strengthened my 6 conviction about the fiber of this country. It 7 strengthened my conviction that, after a troubled 8 decade or 15 years, we can again get sense of 9 ourselves, use the kind of economics I mentioned 10 to help people that have been by-passed and then, 11 based on experience, help restore the respect that 12 the United States has lost abroad. 13 It's worth it. It's a great system, and it's 14 exciting. 15 MR. SMITH: Senator Baker? 16 SEMATOR BAKER: Mr. Smith, 17 I think the League has done a marvelous thing in 18 this, the beginning of their series of Presidential 19 Forums; and I commend them for it. My role in this 20 campaign has been to ask the people of New Hampshire 21 and, indeed, of the United States to let me be 22 their Fresident. 23 I want to be President. I think I know where

I want to take the country and how I would like to lead it, but I will not try to become Fresident by trampling over good men and women. I think there is another responsibility, and that is to try to recivilize politics and to make it useful to the people of this country.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

I hope we continue to talk about our agreements and, indeed, our disagreements because that is useful. But I hope mostly that we all reaffirm our faith in America's future is before it and our greatness is just barely glimpsed and that we can realize on that through the political system.

MR. SMITH: Senator Dole?

79

SENATOR DOLE: There are so many things you want to say in a minute. I would say, first of all, that I hope George Bush and Governor Reagan will let us get together again on Saturday night. That would be a nice gesture. (Whereupon there was applause from the audience.) SENATOR DOLE: I believe, as

George Bush, that the Republican Farty wins every time we get together; and we're trying to build the Republican Party.

1

2 I would say that we all want to be President. 3 Some are qualified. Some are better qualified. 4 Some have had a lot of experience, and I have had a lot of experience. I believe I can address the 5 6 problems of America. I've grown up with some of 7 the problems of America. I've overcome some of 8 the problems of America. But beyond that, in the final analysis of experience, which man can do the 9 10 job? Which man is doing the job now? So I just say to the voters of this state that 11 we have had a lot of fun. It has been a great 12 13 night for the Republican Party, and help me out on the 26th of February. Thank you. 14 15 MR. SMITH: Governor Reagan? GOVERNOR REAGAN: I don't know 16 whether you can call it mysticism or not, but I've 17 18 always believed that there was some divine plan that 19 put this continent here between the oceans to be found by a particular kind of people, people with 20 the love of freedom and a courage to go with it. 21 And those who followed after, when they came here, 22 down to today's modern-day immigrants, they share 23

that same thing. We've come from 100 points on the earth; we've spoken 100 languages. We have created a melting pot that brought into being a new kind of human called an American. The most independent, the most generous, just about the best kind of people that I think there are on the earth. For those people to be told by their President, as we're being told, that we have lost our confidence, we've lost our confidence in them, not in ourselves. We went across the country for 3,000 miles, building cities, towns and farms; and we did it without an urban renewal program. Yes, I would like to be President. I've had the second highest executive position in the nation as governor of the largest state, and I need your help. I would be most proud to have your help because I would like to get government off your backs and out of your way and turn loose the greatness of the American people once again. MR. SMITH: Thank you, Governor

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

. 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Reagan. That concludes our forum, ladies and gentlemen. On behalf of the League of Women Voters, of our audience here in Manchester, New Hampshire,

and our thanks to the candidates who have joined us here tonight for the first League of Women Voters 1980 Presidential Forum. The next forum series is in Chicago, Illinois,

on March the 12th, for the Democrats, and March the 13th for the Republicans. Future forums will also be held in Texas and California. Once again, thank you, and good night.