

Ronald Reagan Presidential Library
Digital Library Collections

This is a PDF of a folder from our textual collections.

Collection: Executive Secretariat, NSC: Meeting File
Folder Title: NSC 00054 07/16/1982 [U.S./EC
Economic Relations] (3 of 3)

To see more digitized collections visit:

<https://www.reaganlibrary.gov/archives/digitized-textual-material>

To see all Ronald Reagan Presidential Library inventories visit:

<https://www.reaganlibrary.gov/archives/white-house-inventories>

Contact a reference archivist at: **reagan.library@nara.gov**

Citation Guidelines: <https://reaganlibrary.gov/archives/research-support/citation-guide>

National Archives Catalogue: <https://catalog.archives.gov/>

WITHDRAWAL SHEET

Ronald Reagan Library

Collection Name EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT, NSC: MEETING FILE

Withdrawer

CAS 1/6/2011

File Folder NSC0054 7/16/82 (3)

FOIA

M10-325/2

Box Number 4

RAKU

3

| ID | Doc Type | Document Description | No of Pages | Doc Date | Restrictions |
|--------|------------------------|---|-------------|-----------|--------------|
| 102615 | MEMO | DENNIS BLAIR ET AL TO WILLIAM CLARK RE NSC MEETING <i>R 9/26/2013 M325/2</i> | 1 | 7/27/1982 | B1 |
| 102616 | HANDWRITTEN MINUTES | OF NSC MEETING <i>R 9/26/2013 M325/2</i> | 4 | 7/16/1982 | B1 |
| 102617 | MEMO | CLARK TO THE PRESIDENT RE NSC MEETING (DUPE OF 102580) <i>R 9/26/2013 M325/2</i> | 2 | 7/15/1982 | B1 |
| 102618 | PAPER | RE OPTIONS ON STYLE AND APPROACH (DUPE OF 102581) <i>R 9/26/2013 M325/2</i> | 2 | ND | B1 |
| 102619 | PAPER | RE OPTIONS ON SPECIFIC ISSUES (DUPE OF 102582) <i>R 9/26/2013 M325/2</i> | 1 | ND | B1 |
| 102620 | PAPER | RE OPTIONS ON STEEL (DUPE OF 102583) <i>R 9/26/2013 M325/2</i> | 1 | ND | B1 |
| 102621 | PAPER | RE OPTIONS ON AGRICULTURE (DUPE OF 102584) <i>R 9/26/2013 M325/2</i> | 1 | ND | B1 |
| 102622 | PAPER | RE OPTIONS ON US-SOVIET GRAINS AGREEMENT (DUPE OF 102585) <i>R 9/26/2013 M325/2</i> | 1 | ND | B1 |

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]

B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]

B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]

B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]

B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]

B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]

B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]

B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

WITHDRAWAL SHEET

Ronald Reagan Library

Collection Name EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT, NSC: MEETING FILE

Withdrawer

CAS 1/6/2011

File Folder NSC0054 7/16/82 (3)

FOIA

M10-325/2

Box Number 4

RAKU

3

| ID | Doc Type | Document Description | No of Pages | Doc Date | Restrictions |
|--------|----------|---|-------------|----------|--------------|
| 102623 | PAPER | RE OPTIONS ON OTHER EAST-WEST ISSUES (DUPE OF 102586) <i>R 9/26/2013 M325/2</i> | 1 | ND | B1 |

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

NSC/S PROFILE

CONFIDENTIAL

ID 8205330

RECEIVED 27 JUL 82 19

TO CLARK

FROM BLAIR

DOCDATE 27 JUL 82

MARTIN

UNCLASSIFIED UPON REMOVAL
OF CLASSIFIED ENCLOSURES

27 JUL 82

BAILEY

W 11/6/11

27 JUL 82

KEYWORDS: EUROPE WEST
NSC

ECONOMICS

SUBJECT: NSC MTG RE US - EUROPE ECONOMIC RELATIONS

ACTION: FOR INFORMATION

DUE:

STATUS C FILES IFM O

FOR ACTION

FOR CONCURRENCE

FOR INFO

CLARK

COMMENTS

REF#

LOG

NSCIFID NSC00054 (R / L)

| ACTION OFFICER (S) | ASSIGNED | ACTION REQUIRED | DUE | COPIES TO |
|--------------------|----------|-----------------|-----|-----------|
| | C 8/6 | Noted by Clark | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

DISPATCH

W/ATTCH FILE (C)

MEMORANDUM

5330

102615

MW
2

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
INFORMATION

July 27, 1982

MEMORANDUM FOR WILLIAM P. CLARK

FROM: DENNIS BLAIR/BILL MARTIN/^{WFM}NORM BAILEY/^{MB}HENRY NAU

SUBJECT: NSC Meeting on U.S.-Europe Economic Relations

Based on this morning's preparatory meeting, there is little likelihood that by Friday it will be worth the President's time to chair an NSC meeting on U.S.-European economic relations. There is still no consensus on how to approach such basic points as:

- Our overall objectives in economic relations with Western Europe;
- In which areas of the relationship we have maneuvering room and in which areas we have no realistic options;
- Whether or not we should initiate a high-level dialogue with Western Europe on current controversies;
- What role the President should play. (C)

A SIG is scheduled for tomorrow, which may produce order out of the current chaos. Barring such a development, however, you can anticipate a recommendation to postpone the NSC meeting now scheduled for Friday, July 30.

In the meantime, we are working on a short paper which we hope can form the basis for some informed Presidential decision-making in this area.

DECLASSIFIED

NLRR M325/2 #102615

BY KWL NARA DATE 9/26/13

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Review on July 27, 1988

National Security Council 280
The White House

Package # 5330

2001 4/3/01

| | SEQUENCE TO | HAS SEEN | ACTION |
|-----------------|-------------|--------------------|--------|
| John Poindexter | _____ | _____ | _____ |
| Bud McFarlane | _____ | _____ | _____ |
| Jacque Hill | 2 | <i>[Signature]</i> | _____ |
| Judge Clark | 3 | <i>[Signature]</i> | _____ |
| John Poindexter | 1 | <i>[Signature]</i> | _____ |
| Staff Secretary | _____ | _____ | _____ |
| Sit Room | _____ | _____ | _____ |

I-Information A-Action R-Retain D-Dispatch N-No further Action

DISTRIBUTION

cc: VP Meese Baker Deaver Other _____

COMMENTS

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

*Please file
in meeting
folder*

~~SECRET~~

NISC

16 July 1982

US-Eur Economic Issues

UNCLASSIFIED UPON REMOVAL
OF CLASSIFIED ENCLOSURES

AW 11/6/11

~~SECRET~~

BY KML NARA DATE 9/26/13

ISC

11/6/82

ur - Econ
issues)

- ② ^{while in Mar...)} Jobs: "Shultz w/o ~~the~~ e" - taped portion - folder
[VP absent]
- ① Judge: introductory remarks - won't seek decisions today
[VP joins]
- ② Reagan: seeking better coordination - see how one area affects another [Shultz - glad to work under ~~Reagan's~~ leadership - Pres: "Shultz w/o a 'c'; Reagan w/o an 'a']
[Judge - now discuss steel]
- ② Baldridge: goes to charts & explains [Shultz asks questions] ... imports - employment - % increase EC steel exports to the US [Casey asks question] [Shultz asks question] - EC subsidies ... '78 & '80 dumping cases US govt talked indus out of lawsuits / trigger mechanisms which didn't work - countervailing duties would largely exclude several Eur states from our market [Pres asks question re: US production ... capacity ... still import?]
- up to last 5 years, we managed poorly ... now trying to turn around [Block: have to be careful we don't come down on Europeans while acting sinless ... our industry has been protected & is incompetent] [Reagan: LDCs are expanding steel] ... subsidies is perhaps the major future trade questions
[Judge - turn to Block]

- * ② Block: (passes out chart) ... discuss Eur. ag. trade ... US ag. thoroughly fed up w/ European practices - we're going to have to do something other than talk to let them know we're serious

[Judge: turn to Block]

- Block's concern is cumulative, assembly of restrictions in GATT ^{manifest} session. Sep. trade was agreed in discussions — need GATT rules in agriculture, need cooperation of European community [Shultz comment — differences in EC about program] [Kobentz comment — also for entry into market] [Block comment]

[Judge's direct order in go to sanctions list]

- Ch. 12, 21 Dec sanctions — working closely with members of State in the lead — felt that there'd be them by summer — intermittent meetings, as we approached Versailles — 18 Jan decision made but we did not move out as we should have in explaining the decision in Europe... there has been confusion in as Bill pointed out when he returned, sanctions created confusion in Pres has reviewed — no reaffirming his part of view — feeling that on 22 Jul we may see movement giving opportunity to review sanctions [Block: what could constitute relaxation? how fast could we act? — Pres: Bush... I indicated that they were in a position dealing w/ Russia to get movement... we could review our position] [Block: how tight will extension sides be? when out? — Hutchings: as tight as legally possible in terms: 60 day period up in mid-August... sanctions taken at high level — Paper problem]

went to meeting — Smith: faced challenges —

- Galbraith: must consider timing of trade with island — Clark: who when can get 15% comes in SIG-IEP.]

[Judge: 10 min left for question]

- Block: (rassess out and what) — longest issue for US funders is the Japan issue — we must be more aggressive — $\frac{1}{2}$ US exports, \$45.3bn in that range; core agri (goes to exports to take) — others taking growth in certain markets [Baker/Fuller leave] [Fuller returns]

[Judge: options?]

- Block: discuss options ① new agreement ② extend 20% w/ higher limits
- Shultz: element of consistency must be observed — go along w/ sales, but inconsistent to unilaterally need — if trade at all, do within framework of some kind of agreement — overall discussion: agree w/ each, but each has long-term implications — example: decision when I was in govt re: intervention on soybeans & its impact on Japanese
- Block: want to add political point in whether heightened expectations — defer decision until we know what we want to get — could destroy some fine members of Congress
- Pres: withheld decision now — in my own mind, haven't tried island & grain

- ③ Weinberger: consistency on agreement on grain but let them
- ③ Kirkpatrick: don't have to face all the problems by ourselves - others have problems w/ EC [Hesse leaves] - on what, why can't we challenge our "ideological" position publicly
- ③ Shultz: important point - if agreement can be reached w/ Argentina, Canada, Mexico &
- ③ Leagan: disagree w/ Cap on own merit if we trade, need formal agreement
- ③ Weinberger: you can put in constraints w/o an agreement, then more controls
- ③ Brooker: no legal authority to do so
- ③ Leagan: SIG-IP hopefully anticipate
- ③ Tres: find myself more able

NSC Meeting, July 16, 1982
11 a.m.

Agenda

- | | | |
|----|---|--------------|
| 1. | Introduction | Clark |
| 2. | Discussion of Broad Strategy and Approach to US-EC Economic Relations | Shultz/Regan |
| 3. | Review of Specific Issues | |
| | a. Steel | Baldrige |
| | b. Agriculture | Block |
| | c. GATT | Brock |
| | (d) Grain Agreement | Shultz/Block |
| | (e) Sanctions | Clark |
| 4. | Conclusion | Clark |

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

July 15, 1982

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: WILLIAM P. CLARK *wpc*

SUBJECT: NSC Meeting, July 16, 11 A.M.

ISSUE

The continuing economic recession and political events in Poland affecting East-West economic relations have combined to intensify economic and trade disputes between the U.S. and its allies. How do you wish to respond to current problems in U.S.-allied economic relations?

FACTS

At Ottawa, Cancun and Versailles, you adopted a long-term, patient approach to U.S. and worldwide economic difficulties, stressing fundamental problems and solutions, rather than quick fixes. At the same time, the recession and political events in Poland have exacerbated short-term economic and trade tensions among the allies. Your decision on June 18 to extend sanctions on oil and gas equipment and technology extraterritorially has now combined with other ongoing issues in agriculture, steel and other sectors, to produce a sense of confrontation and in some quarters crisis in U.S.-allied economic relations.

DISCUSSION

In deciding how to respond to this situation, you need to consider our overall strategy or approach, as well as our maneuvering room or leverage on specific issues. The discussion paper at Tab A outlines some of the broad choices, and the status papers at Tab B develop information on selected specific issues. The bureaucracy resists a discussion and development of options that threaten to offer less than the maximum benefits to their particular constituents or that threaten to establish priorities across issues. (This is one reason the discussion paper is rather thin on options dealing with specific issues.) Nevertheless, we also require an overall approach to our relations that meets our broader foreign economic policy interests, as well as our central political and security interests in relations with the allies. This NSC meeting is intended to help you develop this approach to overall as well as specific issues. You are not being asked to make decisions at this meeting.

DECLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

Review on 7/15/1988

NLRR M325/2 *102617

BY KML NARA DATE 9/26/13

~~SECRET~~

RECOMMENDATION

That you review the attached discussion paper for the NSC meeting, July 16, 11 A.M.

Approve _____

Disapprove _____

Attachments

Tab A Discussion Paper for NSC Meeting
Tab F Status Papers on Selected Specific Issues — AVAILABLE
IN SITROOM.

~~SECRET~~

Discussion Paper for NSC Meeting, July 16, 1982

OPTIONS ON STYLE AND APPROACH

In managing current issues with its European Allies, there are a number of ways in which the United States could respond to European concerns:

- 1. A visible, high-level response beginning a "new dialogue."

This option could involve a variety of new steps, such as sending a Cabinet-level delegation to Europe or inviting the EC Council President to visit President Reagan, to demonstrate special sensitivity to European concerns. Such steps could be undertaken to negotiate specific issues or to reinforce existing negotiations within regular channels. This approach will inevitably raise some expectations of high-level compromises on the outstanding issues.

- 2. Address key issues through existing channels with greater intensity and commitment.

This option precludes any special steps outside existing channels and ongoing negotiations but implies a commitment to pursue existing negotiations with greater vigor and willingness to achieve compromises on individual issues based on mutual concessions.

- 3. Some combination of one and two above.

This option involves special high-level steps including the designation of a Cabinet-level official or officials to carry on intensified negotiations with the Europeans, combined with enhanced commitments to pursue solutions to other issues in existing channels. This approach also implies a U.S. willingness to compromise.

- 4. Pursue business-as-usual in US-European economic relations.

This option involves focusing on already scheduled events and negotiations in US-EC relations and pursuing these activities in line with recent levels

DECLASSIFIED

NLRR M325/2 #102618

BY KML NARA DATE 9/26/13

of emphasis (i.e., pre-Summit and since). No special high-level activities would be considered. This approach implies that the US intends to hold its positions on the issues, and use existing machinery to keep pushing our points.

OPTIONS ON SPECIFIC ISSUES

Whatever approach the United States chooses, it is essential to consider beforehand how we intend to proceed on specific issues. Otherwise, we risk raising expectations through high-level contacts which cannot be met through negotiations on specific issues.

The issue papers attached to this discussion paper outline the present status of various issues in US-European relations. As the overview paper suggests, the issues divide generally into three categories:

- 1. Issues such as East-West trade, sanctions, export credits and economic and monetary policy coordination, where there is general agreement that US policy is developing in the right direction and should emphasize the broad policy rationale these initiatives, addressing specific issues such as sanctions or high interest rates in the context of overall US and Western security and economic policy objectives.
- 2. Other individual issues such as steel, agriculture, textiles and various domestic actions that would benefit the allies, where the United States may have some flexibility, in timing or style if not in substance, and can seek to develop maneuvering room fully aware of the legal and other limits and the desire not to raise expectations which cannot be fulfilled.
- 3. Long-term issues such as US policy toward the GATT Ministerial or the IMF and multilateral development banks, where US leadership and continuity of policy are too important to alter for short-term objectives.

Below, some alternatives are outlined to initiate thinking and discussion about US options in specific areas, particularly in the second category of issues above.

DECLASSIFIED

REF M325/2 #102619

BY KML NARA DATE 9/26/13

~~SECRET~~

102620

OPTIONS ON STEEL

Any solution to the steel problem as required by the statute must relieve injury to the US industry caused by subsidized or dumped EC steel imports. The US industry can block any settlement which does not meet this condition.

1. EC-wide settlement. Secretary Baldrige continues his current intensive effort to settle the steel cases through negotiations with European Commission officials.
2. Country-by-country settlement on products subject to pending cases. If EC-wide settlement appears impossible, individual countries may seek separate settlements in pending cases. Any such country/product specific settlement acceptable to the foreign country probably would not meet the statutory requirement of relieving injury to the US industry.
3. Completion of pending cases. If neither EC-wide settlement nor country-wide settlement is possible, the Department of Commerce has no choice under the statute but to continue the cases to their conclusion. This will, no doubt, result in the exclusion of major steel imports from France, Belgium, Italy, and the United Kingdom.

~~SECRET~~

DECLASSIFIED

REF M325/2 #102620

BY KML NARA DATE 9/26/13

~~SECRET~~

102621

~~SECRET~~

OPTIONS ON AGRICULTURE

1. Continue to press vigorously on current agreed strategy.
2. Soften the current approach.
 - Limit debate to government-to-government dealings, i.e., remove debate from public domain;
 - Extend time period for review of 301 petitions.
3. Strengthen the current approach.
 - Establish a limited export credit subsidy program;
 - Establish a limited direct export subsidy program designed for particular market and particular commodity such as poultry in the Middle East.

DECLASSIFIED

NLRR M325/2 #102621

BY KML NARA DATE 9/26/13

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

OPTIONS ON US-SOVIET GRAINS AGREEMENT (LTA)

1. Do nothing, allow LTA to lapse.
2. Extend the LTA for one year on its present terms;
3. Explore the possibility of negotiating a new LTA with different terms.

DECLASSIFIED

REF. M325/2 #102622

BY KML NARA DATE 9/26/13

~~SECRET~~

OTHER EAST-WEST ISSUES - CREDITS AND COCOM

Credits

1. Continue our pre-summit efforts to persuade Allies to restrain credits to the USSR:
2. Concentrate in the short term on exchanging information on credits; move in a few months to a monitoring mechanism and only later to another try at actual controls.
3. Drop attempt at restraining credit.

COCOM

1. Continue our efforts to tighten COCOM controls and improve enforcement

DECLASSIFIED

NLRR M325/2 * 102623

BY KML NARA DATE 9/26/13

MEMORANDUM

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

July 15, 1982

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR JOHN M. POINDEXTER

FROM: MICHAEL O. WHEELER *mw*

SUBJECT: Attendance List for the National Security Council Meeting, July 16, 1982

The following officials plan to attend the National Security Council Meeting which is scheduled for July 16, 1982 at 11:00 a.m. in the Cabinet Room. (C)

The Vice President
Admiral Daniel J. Murphy

State:
Secretary George P. Schultz

Treasury:
Secretary Donald T. Regan
Mr. Marc E. Leland (Assistant Secretary for International Affairs -- Secretary Regan is testifying at 9:30 a.m. and will be arriving late to the meeting. Therefore, he would like to have Mr. Leland at the beginning of the meeting.)

OSD:
Secretary Caspar W. Weinberger

Justice:
Attorney General William French Smith

Agriculture:
Secretary John R. Block

Commerce:
Secretary Malcolm Baldrige
Mr. Lionel Olmer (Under Secretary for International Trade -- If Mr. Olmer's attendance is approved he would like to bring charts that he has prepared on steel figures.)

Energy:
Dep Sec W. Kenneth Davis

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
Review on July 15, 1983

DECLASSIFIED
Sec. 3.4(b), E.O. 12958, as amended
White House Guidelines, Sept. 11, 2008
BY NARA *CS* DATE *1/6/11*

OMB:

Mr. William Schneider (Associate Director for National Security and International Affairs)

CIA:

Mr. William J. Casey

USUN:

Ambassador Jeane J. Kirkpatrick

USTR:

Ambassador William E. Brock

CEA:

Mr. William Niskanen (Council Member)

White House:


- Mr. Edwin Meese III
- Mr. James A. Baker III
- Mr. Michael K. Deaver
- Judge William P. Clark
- Mr. Richard G. Darman
- Mr. Craig Fuller
- Mr. Robert C. McFarlane
- Admiral John M. Poindexter

NSC:

- Colonel Michael O. Wheeler
- Mr. Norman Bailey
- Mr. Henry Nau
- ~~Mr. Richard Pipes~~

Handwritten signature

Approved _____ As Amended _____



Attached is a proposed seating plan for this meeting. (U)

Attachment
Seating Plan

THE CABINET ROOM

Niskanen

Poindexter

Fuller

Darman

Kirkpatrick

Davis

Block

Schultz

President

Weinberger Smith

Baldrige

Brock

Schneider

Murphy

Vessey

Casey

Clark

Vice President

Regan

Meese

Baker

Deaver

Gorman

McFarlane

Bailey Nau

Pipes

Wheeler

DOOR

National Security Council
The White House

Package # Sys III

82 JUN 8 10 21 66

| | SEQUENCE TO | HAS SEEN | ACTION |
|-----------------|-------------|---------------------------|--------|
| John Poindexter | _____ | _____ | _____ |
| Bud McFarlane | _____ | _____ | _____ |
| Jacque Hill | _____ | _____ | _____ |
| Judge Clark | _____ | _____ | _____ |
| John Poindexter | 1 | <i>[Handwritten mark]</i> | _____ |
| Staff Secretary | 2 | _____ | A |
| Sit Room | _____ | _____ | _____ |

I-Information **A-Action** R-Retain D-Dispatch N-No further Action

DISTRIBUTION

cc: VP Meese Baker Deaver Other _____

COMMENTS

MEMORANDUM

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

CONFIDENTIAL

July 9, 1982

MEMORANDUM FOR JOHN M. POINDEXTER

FROM: MICHAEL O. WHEELER *MW*

SUBJECT: Attendance List for NSC Meeting on
US-EC Economic Relations

The following is a suggested attendance list for the National Security Council Meeting which is scheduled for July 16 on US-EC Economic Relations:

The President

The Vice President

State

Treasury

Defense

Justice

Commerce

Energy *Chapman*

USUN

USTR

OMB

CIA

JCS

White House:

NSC:

Meese
Baker
Deaver

Wheeler
Nau
Bailey
Pipes


Approved _____ As Amended _____

CONFIDENTIAL

Review on July 9, 1983


DECLASSIFIED
Sec.3.4(b), E.O. 12958, as amended
White House Guidelines, Sept. 11, 2008
BY NARA *CA* DATE *1/6/11*

INDEX OF PRICES RECEIVED BY FARMER FOR CROPS





EC Wheat Trade

M I L L I O N M E T R I C T O N S




JUNE FORECAST

Percent Increases in EC Steel Exports to the U.S. Compared with Change in U.S. Domestic Shipments




U.S. and EC Steel Industry Employment

Thousands




Total Steel Imports from EC and Imports Covered by Cases — 1981

Million Tons



Million Dollars



Sample EC Steel Subsidies

- **Grants**
- **Low Interest Loans**
- **Deferral of Principal Repayment on Loans**
- **Equity Infusions by Governments**
- **R&D Grants and Loans**

REQUEST FOR APPOINTMENTS

To: Officer-in-charge
Appointments Center
Room 060, OEOB

Please admit the following appointments on July 16, 19 82

for The President of White House
(NAME OF PERSON TO BE VISITED) (AGENCY)

The Vice President

Admiral Daniel J. Murphy

USUN:

Amb Jeane J. Kirkpatrick

State:

Secretary George P. Schultz

USTR:

Amb William E. Brock

Treasury:

Secretary Donald T. Regan

Mr. Marc E. Leland

CEA:

Mr. William Niskanen

OSD:

Secretary Caspar W. Weinberger

Under Secretary Fred C. Ikle

White House:

Mr. Edwin Meese III

Mr. James A. Baker III

Mr. Michael K. Deaver

Judge William P. Clark

Justice:

Attorney General William French Smith

Mr. Robert C. McFarlane

Admiral John M. Poindexter

Mr. Richard G. Darman

Agriculture:

Secretary John R. Block

OPD:

Mr. Craig L. Fuller

Mr. Ed Harper

Commerce:

Secretary Malcolm Baldrige

Mr. Lionel Olmer

NSC:

Colonel Michael O. Wheeler

Mr. Norman Bailey

Mr. Henry Nau

Energy:

Dep Sec W. Kenneth Davis

OMB:

Mr. William Schneider

CIA:

Mr. William J. Casey

MEETING LOCATION

Building West Wing White House

Requested by Carol Cleveland

Room No. Cabinet Room

Room No. 372 Telephone 3044

Time of Meeting 11:00 a.m.

Date of request July 16, 1982

Additions and/or changes made by telephone should be limited to three (3) names or less.

APPOINTMENTS CENTER: SIG/OEOB - 395-6046 or WHITE HOUSE - 456-6742