

Ronald Reagan Presidential Library Digital Library Collections

This is a PDF of a folder from our textual collections.

Collection: European and Soviet Affairs Directorate,
NSC: Records

Folder Title: Public Diplomacy 1983
(10 of 19)

Box: RAC Box 12

To see more digitized collections visit:

<https://www.reaganlibrary.gov/archives/digitized-textual-material>

To see all Ronald Reagan Presidential Library inventories visit:

<https://www.reaganlibrary.gov/archives/white-house-inventories>

Contact a reference archivist at: **reagan.library@nara.gov**

Citation Guidelines: <https://reaganlibrary.gov/archives/research-support/citation-guide>

National Archives Catalogue: <https://catalog.archives.gov/>

WITHDRAWAL SHEET

Ronald Reagan Library

Collection Name EUROPEAN AND SOVIET AFFAIRS DIRECTORATE, NSC
: RECORDS

Withdrawer

CAS 8/16/2012

File Folder PUBLIC DIPLOMACY 1983 (10 OF 19)

FOIA

M11-443

Box Number 12

HAENNI

96

ID	Doc Type	Document Description	No of Pages	Doc Date	Restrictions
141168	MEMO	PETER DAILEY TO WILLIAM CLARK RE STATUS REPORT ON INF ACTIVITIES <i>R 9/5/17 M443/5</i>	5	3/14/1983	B1

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]

B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]

B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]

B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]

B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]

B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]

B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]

B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

CALENDAR OF EVENTS PERTAINING TO ARMS CONTROL

The following calendar includes three categories of events which could be relevant to our INF and other arms control interests: (1) useful opportunities, such as public forums where we can get our case across; (2) meetings with European leaders or among Europeans where we can seek supportive statements; and (3) events which are likely to cause us difficulties, such as demonstrations by anti-nuclear groups.

	<u>EVENT</u>	<u>POSSIBLE ACTION</u>
	Soviet Prime Minister Tikhonov to visit Greece (tentative)	
	Papandreou to visit Canada (tentative)	
Early March	Peace Demonstration in New York--World Peace Council to seek visas	Have press line ready on any visa refusals
3/1	Burt Backgrounder for resident Norwegian, Danish and Icelandic Journalists	
3/1	Italian Group to Visit Moscow for Arms Control Discussions	Have Embassy Rome brief and debrief them.
3/1	EC Foreign Ministers Political Cooperation Meeting, Bonn	
3/2	Nitze to provide mid-round INF briefing to NAC	Press statement after briefing; emphasize Allied consultations
3/2-4	Jacques Andrean, Political Director French Min. of External Relations visits Washington.	

DECLASSIFIED
 Dept. of State Guidelines, July 21, 1997
 BY U1S NARA, DATE 8/16/12

EVENT

POSSIBLE ACTION

MARCH

3/2-6	Burt on West Coast	
3/2-8	Blackwill in London	
3/4	Secretary meets UK Foreign Secretary Pym in San Francisco	
3/4-9	Chairman of Joint Chiefs Vessey to visit Norway	
3/6	FRG elections	
3/7	EC Foreign Ministers meeting, Brussels	
3/7-9	Conference on "Intellectual and Organizational Trends in the Western Alliance", sponsored by Italian Center for International Relations, Rome	
3/7-10	USIA security issues tour for five top Belgian editors and correspondents	
3/8-9	Nuclear Freeze groups to demonstrate at U.S. Congress	Use public statements and appearances during this period to emphasize that that we seek real reductions.
3/8-9	Italian FM Colombo to visit Washington	Renewed statement of Italian and Allied support on INF
3/9	President Meets with Italian FM Emilio Colombo	
3/11	HLG Meeting in Brussels	

<u>MARCH</u>	<u>EVENT</u>	<u>POSSIBLE ACTION</u>
3/14-15	EC Foreign Ministers Meeting, Brussels	
3/14-16	Official Working Visit of Dutch PM Lubbers and Foreign Minister van der Broek	Show Allied unity; possible joint press conference
3/16	SCG resumes in Geneva	
3/18	SCG Meeting	
3/18-27	Deputy Secretary Dam Trip to Europe-- Speeches in Oslo, Geneva & Berlin	
3/20-4/3	Proposed Visit by Rick Burt to Turkey, Greece and Cyprus	
3/21	Afghanistan Day	
3/21-22	European Council Meeting, Brussels	
3/22-23	Spring NPG in Portugal	
3/24-26	Conference sponsored by Chicago Council on Foreign Relations, American Council on Germany and the Atlantic Bridge, Berlin	Provide high level participation?
3/24-26	German-American Conference in Berlin	High-level State Department representatives might address conference
3/25	Possible Burt Address in London	
3/25-26	Ditchley Conference on "The Atlantic Partners: Cooperation and Diversity, Ditchley Park, England	Asst. Secy Burt to attend
3/30	Amb. Rowny's Briefing of the NAC	
3/31	End of INF and MBFR rounds	Have our Amb. brief NAC & then meet with press. Also prepare inter-round public affairs plan to fill gap.

MARCH (Continued)

EVENT

POSSIBLE ACTION

Late March

Aspen-type seminar, French Alps

French want senior USG participation

Late March

Possible Burt trip to Scandinavia with public appearances.

Late March

Publication of UK Defense White Paper

	<u>EVENT</u>	<u>POSSIBLE ACTION</u>
<u>APRIL</u>	"World Peace Conference," Uppsala, Sweden, sponsored by Scandanavian Protestant Churches	Stress US pursuit of peace
	SCG Meeting	
	HLG Meeting	
	End of START round	Possible high level public report on state of arms control
	Likely House vote on a nuclear freeze resolution	
	Series of SFRC Hearings on US/Soviet Relations, to include Rostow as witness.	Provide Senior USG official?
4/1	Ambassador Rowny's meeting with NAC	
Easter 1-4	CND March from Burfield and Aldermarston to Greenham Common and back	
Easter week	Peace marches in Europe	Stress US approach to peace
Easter week	Possible Foley Codel to Moscow, with 15-20 Members of Congress	Brief on security issues and provide materials
4/11-12	Visit of Canadian FM MacEachen (tent.)	Theme of Alliance Solidarity
4/11-15	Defense Symposium for Civilian Dignitaries Rome.	Theme of "NATO and Nuclear Arms." Amb. Brement, USG Rep.
4/12-13	EC Political Directors meeting, Bonn	
4/25	European Institute on Security Matters, London Conference	Asst. Secy. Burt likely to speak
4/25-26	EC Foreign Ministers meeting, Luxembourg	

EVENT

POSSIBLE ACTION

APRIL

4/25-29	USIA security issues tour for 15 leading West European foreign and defense affairs journalists	
4/26	President's Meeting with NATO SG Joseph Luns	
4/27-28	Copenhagen Seminar on INF, sponsored by Danish Commission on Security and Disarmament; papers to be published	Provide high level USG speaker (at least DAS)
Late April/ Early May	USIS Stockholm to program START Deputy Goodby and INF Deputy Glitman in Sweden	

EVENT

POSSIBLE ACTION

MAY

SCG Meeting

HLG Meeting

Possible Warsaw Pact Foreign Ministers meeting

Do analysis of possible WP initiatives, have press line ready and consider preempting them

NPG and NATO Defense Ministerial

Possible US visit of Romanian Foreign Minister

Seek balanced statement including ref to Soviet arms

Conference sponsored by Movement on European Disarmament, West Berlin

May-June

Former ACDA Director Rostow to speak in USSR as Ampart

5/4-5

EC Political Directors meeting, Bonn

5/7

4th CND Festival, London

5/9-10

Secretary to OECD Ministerial, Paris

5/11-14

Bilderberg Conf., Chateau Montebello, Canada

DepSec Dam to speak

5/14-15

EC Foreign Ministers informal "Gymnich" weekend

5/15

Berlin "Peace Conference," in connection with 50th Anniversary of Hitler's rise to power.

5/18-20

European Institute for Security Matters Conf. Luxembourg

Need high level USG speaker.

5/21

CND "peace pentacost" march to Upper Heyford

5/24

EC Foreign Ministers meeting, Bonn

→

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

-8-

MAY (Continued)

EVENT

POSSIBLE ACTION

5/28-30

Williamsburg Summit

Seek demonstration of Allied
unity in security as well
as economic area

5/31-6/1

EC Political Directors meeting, Bonn

End of May

INF talks resume, Round V

Nitze meet President and
consult in Europe

End of May

END protest, Berlin

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

EVENT

POSSIBLE ACTION

SPRING

(no date yet)

US Catholic Bishops Pastoral Letter to be issued

Possible Italian elections

Spanish Prime Minister Gonzalez to Washington

Stress Western unity and Spain as example of expansion of democracy

START talks resume

Rowny to see President, brief press

SCG meeting

EVENT

POSSIBLE ACTION

JUNE

Visit of FRG Chancellor in his capacity
as EC President

Possible press tour of Greenham Common
led by UK MOD Heseltine

6/6-7

European Council Meeting, Bonn

6/6-9

Plenary Session of the Assembly of the Western
European Union. Paris.

6/9-10

Secretary to NAC Ministerial, Paris

Obtain full Allied support
on arms control and other
East-West issues

6/18

Pope's Visit to Poland (Tentative)

6/23-24

Friedrich Ebert Foundation
German-American Security Conference

Possible Burt attendance

6/25

Vice President to take part in
US/FRG Tricentennial Celebration in Krefeld
and to visit other European countries.

- Nitze's HAC testimony (Testifying in Pershing II) in summer, '82 gives good public account of how unworkable SWS were then
- Briefing teams
 - Charts have been vetted, and are now being updated
 - Interagency group has been assembled (Ed Hamilton - review of charts not yet completed - INF and START only done - MBFR and other)
- May 6, 7 - Milan conference on security / arms control issues. Howe? Glitman?
- USIA VTR showing E. European TV footage of weapons - & backdrop of Sov Mil Pow figures and numbers

CONFIDENTIAL

-11-

JULY

EVENT

POSSIBLE ACTION

7/11-16

Fourth Seminar on International
Security Affairs, Geneva

Seeking senior US speaker
probably private sector

7/28

Greenham Common Air Tatroo, with
200-300,000 public visitors expected

Emphasize Alliance then
provide arms control
handouts

AUGUST

8/6

Hiroshima Anniversary

8/19-21

America DAGs in Helsinki
40th Anniversary League of Finish-
American Societies

SEPTEMBER

Expected release of Dutch Defense White
Paper

Late Sept/
early Oct

Annual Party of Conferences in UK, possible
prelude to general elections

OCTOBER

Anti-nuclear demonstration in Belgium

10/29

Anti-nuclear demonstration in The Hague

Revised
3/03/83
0002A

2

3/16 Steiner Meeting -

- Get copy of INF booklet

p. 73-76 move in back of Tab IV

p. 134 (?) P-II in "advanced deployment"

- Barry asked to produce 1,000 more copies

- might want to put in another chapter before we print it again

- ITV program wrapup

-- SAC portion not very strong

-- Meyer, Eagleburger interviews good

-- Succeeded up some footage they shot at 60

(Jeff) -- Pentagon rep says that it took a
big push to get the film team lined
up with the doc folks - will need the same
thing in future. (Levi doing it) - Italian
show late this week

Must get it
right

- White Paper draft is ready - go over for
comments - A USG statement of arms
control policy - to test and to hit specifically
audiences

- Canned speech has gone out last night
or first thing this morning

USICA lead

- Plan by next Thursday on briefing
foreign journalists

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

Ca 8/16/12 Kraemer

MEMORANDUM FOR: EUR/P - Mr. Steve Steiner
FROM: C - Mr. Barry Fulton
SUBJECT: Status Report on USIA Speakers and Briefings on Security Issues *- ca of 3/16/83*

1. The following speakers have been scheduled to appear in Western Europe under USIS auspices. Their schedules are now being arranged as various posts express interest:
 - Maurice Eisenstein, ACDA; dates of travel -- April 10 - May 10.
 - William Stearman, NSC/Georgetown University; dates of travel -- May 27 - June 17.

2. By Thursday, March 10, cables offering the following speakers to posts in Western Europe had been sent:
 - S. Read Hammer, Jr., DOD/OSD/ISP; dates of availability -- March 25-30.
 - Leon Sloss, Leon Sloss Associates; dates of availability -- June 1-30.

3. The following speakers have completed their programs or will travel soon:
 - Lawrence Eagleburger, State/P; to the F.R.G. and Austria, April 26-30.
 - Ronald Lehman, DOD/OSD/ISP; to Italy, March 24-25.
 - Thomas Simons, State/EUR/SOV; to Belgium, March 11 and 14. Brussels reports that Simons' program was very successful, and included an interview on Belgian French-language television.
 - Walter Slocombe, Caplin and Drysdale; to Italy and the F.R.G., May 6-11.
 - Peter Swiers, State/Pol-Mil; to the F.R.G. and Belgium, March 28-30.
 - Alexandria Vershow, State EUR/SOV; to Belgium, the Netherlands, France, the F.R.G., and Great Britain, April 25 - May 6.

4. A group of six leading Belgian foreign affairs and defense correspondents met with a number of American spokesmen from March 7 through 9. The Washington Foreign Press Center arranged sessions with DAS James Dobbins and DAS Mark Palmer, of State/EUR; with DAS Ronald Lehman at DOD; with Dennis Blair at the NSC; and with Joseph Lehman at ACDA. After meetings with Robert Dean at State/PM, Assistant Secretary Elliot Abrams (State F/A), and Assistant Secretary Marc Leland (Treasury), the Belgian journalists attended the March 9 Secretary Weinberger press briefing on Soviet Military Power.

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

5. Assistant Secretary Burt briefed a group of resident Norwegian, Danish and Icelandic correspondents on US/European security issues on March 8.
6. The Agency is now examining with EU/P ways to assist Rome with an appropriate USG speaker for a conference on arms control sponsored by Milan's Institute for International Political Studies.
7. The Washington Press Center is currently involved in organizing INF and European security briefings for a number of visiting foreign journalists, including Andrew Walker (BBC); Christian Kind, (Neue Zuercher Zeitung); Juan Luis Cabran (El Pais, Madrid); and Eric Gysling of Swiss TV. Briefings have so far been scheduled with Under Secretary Eagleburger and Assistant Secretary Burt for Christian Kind, as well as with Deputy Assistant Secretary Niles; Dr. Ikle at DOD; and Dennis Blair at the NSC. Juan Luis Cabran is likewise scheduled to meet with Ikle and Blair.
8. Saw meeting with a group of resident journalists today.
9. Burt briefed two Dutch journalists in connection with Lubben's visit.
10. USIA (Fulton) working up plan for briefing appropriate resident journalists on INF policies.

MEMORANDUM

SECRET

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

INFORMATION

March 16, 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR WILLIAM P. CLARK

FROM: DENNIS C. BLAIR *DB*

SUBJECT: Status Report from Peter Dailey

Attached is a memorandum to you from Peter Dailey summarizing the projects he has undertaken on INF public diplomacy and the work still to be done. I recommend that you skim the memo quickly. The important projects still to do are as follows:

-- Speeches by Secretary Shultz: The President and Vice President have been carrying most of the load for INF. Secretary Shultz needs to make a major speech on arms reduction and INF. So far he has resisted on the grounds that he is not familiar with the issues. Gentle prodding to overcome his resistance is needed. *Will do*

-- Integrated defense budget and arms control presentation: As Peter points out on page 3, the press and public pick up either on the arms buildup or the arms reduction theme. We need to develop integrated briefings and integrated speeches which emphasize both of these complementary aspects of our program. *Greener ???*

Movement is under way within the government on virtually all of the projects which Peter mentions. Continued support from you will continue to be important for the rest of the year as we deploy the INF missiles in Europe in the absence of an arms control agreement.

Tab A Dailey memo to you

SECRET

Declassify on: OADR

DECLASSIFIED
 Sec. 2409, E.O. 12958, as amended
 White House Guidelines, Sept. 11, 2005
 BY NARA DATE 8/16/17



March 14, 1983

141168

~~SECRET/SENSITIVE~~MEMORANDUM FOR WILLIAM P. CLARK
THE WHITE HOUSE

SUBJECT: Status Report on INF Activities

We have accomplished a great deal in the six weeks since we initiated the INF public diplomacy project. My report to the President includes a general strategy for follow-up through year's end, and an assessment of the projects completed and now underway. The following more detailed up-date outlines projects that will require my successor's immediate attention.

PROJECTS COMPLETED AND UNDERWAY

I. New Themes and the Speakers' Package. The themes that should dominate our communication on INF are set out in detail in my earlier memos to you and in the INF speakers' package we compiled. The general themes: alliance unity, commitment to arms reductions, arms modernization/replacement rather than build-ups; should remain appropriate over time. The details of the arguments must be refined to reflect shifting public opinion and developments in the negotiations. In addition, we should supply suggested speeches to our embassies periodically.

II. Speeches By Senior Administration Officials. Our most powerful communications tools are statements and actions by the President, Vice-President, Secretary Shultz and other senior officials. The President and Vice-President have already taken on the INF issue head-on--in the State of the Union, the American Legion speech, the Vice-President's European trip, and subsequent media events. We gained a great deal of momentum as a result of those investments. We must follow-up smartly and continue to assert the moral rectitude of the U.S. position.

Our programming through the end of June should include addresses by the President and Secretary Shultz. The Vice-President should do some European media events, as a bridge between his past and up-coming trips. After a summer hiatus, we will want to get the President out in front of the issue once again in the fall.

~~SECRET/SENSITIVE~~
DECL:OADR

DECLASSIFIED

BY RW NLRR M443/5 #141168
NARA DATE 9/5/17

III. Forging a Network with US Officials in the Field. The London conference of European-based American diplomats and military officers, and Washington officials responsible for INF was tremendously effective. It helped to lay the foundation of an informal personal network that is vital to effective public diplomacy. The meeting helped bridge a gap that too often develops between Washington and our foreign posts, and encouraged our people in the field to begin more ambitious political efforts in support of the INF program.

Depending on the flow of events it may make sense to reconvene the conference group either at the end of the spring political season or early next fall.

IV. Contacts with Allied Governments. We have also tried to build a network among officials in allied countries responsible for communications on INF. I personally visited each basing country. NATO has set up a special multilateral working group on INF public diplomacy. Many of our allied governments have designated a "point-person" for INF public diplomacy.

We need to exploit this network quickly. If it lays dormant it will erode. We must encourage our embassies to consult regularly and work closely with host governments. We should distribute materials and information to allied governments through public diplomacy channels. My successor should consult with foreign counterparts following any change in the substantive US position or following the next London meeting of US officials.

PROJECTS JUST BEGUN

I. Polling. As we have discussed, our public diplomacy efforts must be based on sophisticated polling data. We need a periodic tracking poll, that will allow us to evaluate fluctuations in public opinion and the effectiveness of specific efforts to build public support.

In addition, we need to begin an in-depth survey of basic European attitudes--what they think of the US, what opinion leaders they trust, what media they follow, their hopes for the future. This type of information will help us determine what media and messages will be most effective in reaching European publics on INF and other issues over the longer term. And as you and I have discussed, recent differences between the US and our allies reflect a deeper, longer-term problem.

USIA has begun to sketch out a polling program and I have conscripted Dick Wirthlin to aid us. (On a pro-bono basis for now.) This project is not moving ahead as quickly as possible, however. I recommended to Charlie that he bring Dick on board as a paid consultant to USIA to give more political sophistication to the design and interpretation of the polls.

We must press ahead on both types of polls quickly. That may require a senior political decision that the USG needs good polling data on the INF issue and basic European public attitudes. My successor, Charlie Wick, and Larry Eagleburger's public diplomacy team will have to follow-up forcefully.

II. Integrated Briefings and Communications on Arms Reductions and Military Modernization. In general the Administration's communications on security issues focus either on supporting the defense build-up and specific weapons programs, or on justifying our arms reductions negotiating strategy. Many statements have attempted to cover both points in a balanced fashion. But I am not sure that we succeeded in setting forth a balanced message. The press and the public pick-up either on the arms build-up or the arms reductions theme; usually the former since we put so much emphasis on restoring military power during the first two years of the Administration.

As a result we have a credibility gap. The public here and abroad is not convinced of the President's commitment to arms reductions nor the logic of our dual-track security policy.

One way to counter this problem is to develop an integrated security issues briefing that covers both arms reductions and military modernization. Specialized briefings on the defense budget and specific weapons programs should also emphasize our arms reductions agenda and strategy. Senior officials statements on arms build-ups should also focus on arms reductions.

III. INF Briefings. Beyond the general military modernization-arms reductions briefings, we must make our case on INF to key members of Congress, the media, and general opinion leaders. The objective is not simply to garner Congressional support for expenditures related to INF deployment and to head-off nuclear freeze resolutions targeted on INF. We need to build bi-partisan support for our European security strategy. Winning the battle for European public opinion will be considerably easier once European leaders and publics perceive a solid American consensus behind the US commitment to European defense and to the INF strategy in particular.

An interagency working group is preparing classified and unclassified briefing documents. We may need your assistance in putting this subject high on our Congressional strategy.

IV. Stimulating Private Groups to Support the INF Policy. As you and I have discussed, whether we like it or not the Soviets have turned the INF issue into a political campaign. They have mobilized their political assets--both overt and covert. We must encourage private individuals who support the INF policy to take up the challenge and help us build broader public support.

We should foster contacts between American and European political activists who support strong Western defense. They can share intelligence and ideas about the best ways to mobilize public opinion, and devise mutually supportive strategies. In some cases, meetings with Americans will enhance private European allies stature and improve their ability to attract attention and funds. In others, publicity surrounding such meetings should be minimized.

Members of my personal staff and the NSC are now compiling a proposed list of individuals, here and abroad, who should meet to discuss pro-INF political activism. I expect that we will depend on USIA for some funding and on our personal contacts to enlist support of the activists.

V. Pictures of SS-20 Missile. We have not to date been able to release photos of the Soviet missiles. The Western press has published pictures and TV has carried films of the Cruise and Pershing-II missiles. Unless we release photos of the Soviet weapons, the public will have a visual image only of our faces. Releasing photos would help making the Soviet threat more readily apparent to the public at large.

I understand this question has been raised repeatedly. Nonetheless, we should press it again. It is hard to understand why the photos cannot be properly doctored to prevent disclosure of sensitive information.

VI. Exposing Andropov's Dirty Hands. My scanning of the European press leads me to believe that interest in Andropov's background has waned. We must consider how to refocus attention on the increased importance and aggressiveness of the KGB under Andropov, the plot against the Pope, the Soviets' use of psychiatric prisons and torture, and Andropov's personal role in the Soviet invasion of Hungary in 1956.

VII. Building Long-term U.S.-European Relations. We need to continue to use the organization and the networks we establish in this INF effort to attack the larger problem of U.S.-Europe relations. We must continue to build support for overall Alliance policies on Western security--the anti-nuclear movements are the most immediate threat, but public apathy, particularly on the part of the younger generation, is also a danger.



Peter H. Dailey, Chairman
European Security and Arms Control
Public Diplomacy Committee

INF: Soviet Attack on U.S. Position

Q: Do you have any comment on the statement by the Soviet Union and ten of its allies that "it is exceptionally important to decisively oppose the unleashing of a new round of the nuclear arms race in Europe"?

A: The Soviet Union's massive ongoing program of SS20 deployments has so far more than doubled the number of nuclear warheads targeted on Western Europe. NATO's two-track decision of 1979 was a response to this unjustified and highly threatening Soviet program, which to date has involved deployment of 351 SS20's with 1053 warheads.

The U.S. has proposed the complete elimination of the entire class of U.S. and Soviet longer-range INF missiles. We continue to believe this would be the best outcome for both sides at Geneva. At the same time, Ambassador Nitze has been authorized to explore other possible solutions which meet the following criteria: equality of rights and limits for the U.S. and Soviet Union; non-inclusion of U.K. and French systems; non-transference of the INF problem to Asia; no adverse effect on NATO's conventional defense and deterrence; and effective verifiability.

Rather than engage in sterile propaganda attacks, the Soviet Union would be well advised to work with us in Geneva for an equitable agreement based on those principles.

(If asked about whether the "no adverse effect on NATO's conventional defense and deterrence," is a new condition added to the four listed by the President in his American Legion

speech):

This has long been part of NATO's LRINF position. It reflects the fact that Soviet demands for the virtual elimination of U.S. dual-capable aircraft would significantly effect NATO's conventional strength.

23

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

European Security Subgroup
Project Status Report

Distributed
by EUR/P
Comments by
noon Friday

A. Projects Completed

The following projects on public handling of European security issues have been completed in recent weeks.

1. Speakers Packet

--A covering cable, in the form of message from Ambassador Dailey to Ambassadors, alerting posts to the INF speakers packet and explaining its use was sent to all European, and selected Asian, posts on March 3.

--The INF Speakers Packets were pouched to key posts on March 10 by USIA, which also distributed them to other Washington agencies the same day.

2. Press Access to INF Basing Sites

A cable providing detailed guidance for European posts and military commands on the policy to be followed in connection with requests for press and public access to INF Basing Sites was sent on March 12.

3. Sample Nuclear Arms Control Speech Material

A cable providing extensive sample nuclear arms control speech material for posts was sent on March 16, under a covering explanatory cable. The speech material provides interagency cleared language on the most commonly encountered nuclear arms control themes which speakers are likely to face in the field.

4. British ITV Program on INF

British Defense Specialist Max Hastings was assisted in putting together a pro-NATO one-hour British ITV program on INF scheduled for April 24. During the period March 14-18, he interviewed the Vice President, Deputy Secretary Eagleburger, and Army Chief of Staff General Meyer. He also visited General Dynamics Corporation in San Diego, SAC Headquarters, and Davis Monthan Air Force Base in Arizona.

5. INF Directory

A directory of U.S. officials connected with INF, who attended the February 15-16 London meeting coming from Washington and our diplomatic posts and military commands in Europe, was compiled and distributed in London on February 16.

DECLASSIFIED

Dept. of State Guidelines, July 21, 1997

BY CW DATE 8/16/12

6. INF Calendar

We have prepared and are updating on a weekly basis a calendar of INF-related events. This calendar is circulated interagency to flag upcoming events of interest to the European Security Subgroup, and to elicit agency contributions to keeping it up-to-date.

7. INF Speakers List

An INF Speakers List was drawn up and approved in February. Scheduled speaking engagements involving those on the list are listed below.

8. Private Sector Speakers

USIA also has put together a list, based on suggestions from posts, of private sector Europeans who might speak and write supportively on security issues.

B. Projects Currently Underway

1. Speaking Engagements

We now have to match speakers to opportunities in Europe. We need a written mark-up from USIA of programs arranged and those being developed. We have been told that the following have been lined up for speaking engagements in Europe expected to deal with INF issues:

--DASD Ron Lehman, EUR/SOV Director Tom Simons, Sandy Vershbow, EUR/SOV, and PM/TMP Deputy Director Peter Swiers have volunteered for speaking appearances in Europe.

--Ambassadors Nitze and Glitman have made themselves available for selected forums in Europe, particularly during weekends and during breaks in the INF talks.

--Deputy Secretary Dam has agreed to do three events with the European press before his departure for Europe on March 18; a series of 5 minute TV interviews on March 17 with journalists from each of the countries to be visited; a print backgrounder with European journalists on March 17; and a personality profile interview with the Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung on March 18.

-- Public appearances are being considered for Undersecretary Eagleburger's forthcoming trip to Europe in late April.

-- Assistant Secretary Burt will provide an off-the-record briefing after the March 18 SCG briefing, participate in a Ditchley Conference in the U.K. March 25-27, and address the European Institute on Security Matters (EISM) in Luxembourg on May 18-20.

2. Briefing Europeans in U.S.

USIA will be making proposals on how to provide more and better briefings on INF issues for resident and visiting European media representatives. As part of this, the NSC Staff has requested a report from USIA on how we can make more effective use of the FPC in regard to our public affairs needs in Europe.

3. Briefing Teams

PM is coordinating the preparation of material for briefings of selected target groups in the US, including Members of Congress, congressional staffers and prominent private citizens. Material is being assembled to provide briefings on overall arms control and on INF. Consideration is also being given to preparing an integrated security briefing.

4. USIA Qs and As

USIA has prepared some brief general Qs and As on INF issues. These currently are under interagency review in the expectation that they can be completed in the near future and cabled to the field.

5. New Gist on INF

A new Gist on INF has been prepared. This currently is being edited and should be ready for circulation by March 25.

6. Research on Soviet Statements

ACDA is putting together a compendium of Soviet statements related to INF which can be useful in our efforts to counter the Soviet propaganda offensive in Europe.

7. Size of Arsenal

A short one-page handout on the U.S. nuclear arsenal is being prepared. This will reflect the decrease in our overall nuclear arsenal since the peak period of the 1960s and the withdrawal of 1000 nuclear warheads from Europe as part of the 1979 decision.

8. Arms Control White Paper

State has done the first cut of a white paper on INF and other arms control issues. This will be distributed to the European Security Subgroup on March 17.

Drafted: EUR/P:GRueckert

3/16/83:x20850

Zagladin's La Repubblica Interview
Draft Press Guidance

Clearance to N¹
George Ruckert
by noon
Friday, 3/18

Q. Do you have any comment on Zagladin's rejection of an interim INF solution?

A. Mr. Zagladin's statement confirms that the only acceptable outcome in the INF talks for the Soviets is one which perpetuates the current Soviet monopoly in LRINF missiles. We hope that the Soviet Union will show greater flexibility in the negotiations than Mr. Zagladin's statement suggests.

-- Like Foreign Minister Gromyko's Pravda interview of February 24, Mr. Zagladin's position on the question of an interim solution is based on the totally false premise that U.S. INF deployments would upset the "balance" that purportedly exists between existing Soviet and NATO "medium-range" systems.

-- Of course, no such "balance" currently exists. Soviet claims that a "balance" exists go back many years. The Soviets said that there was a balance in 1979, 1980, 1981 and 1982. Yet during all this period Soviet INF deployments were growing steadily. The number of deployed SS-20's for example has climbed from 140 in December 1979 to 351 today, and the numbers are expected to continue growing. Soviet INF missile warheads have increased from about 800 to over 1,300, while not a single U.S. longer-range INF missile has been deployed.

Q: What is your reaction to Zagladin's threat of counterdeployments "within proximity of the United States?"

A: The threat to put the U.S. at "analogous risk" is not new. Zagladin is, however, more explicit on this point than previous Soviet spokesmen.

-- Threats of this kind do not contribute to the task of negotiating a fair and equitable INF agreement, but are clearly designed to intimidate western publics.

- Such threats obscure the fact that the Soviet Union has an overwhelming advantage in missiles that threaten Europe and Asia and NATO will not permit this Soviet monopoly to persist.

-- Moreover, the USSR already deploys thousands of ICBMs, SLBMs, and long-range bombers that can strike U.S. territory, so it is hard to see any military basis for deploying additional missiles -- e.g. more sea-launched cruise missiles -- in proximity to the U.S.

-- We would hope that the Soviet Union will cease making threats and begin to negotiate seriously on a mutually acceptable outcome in Geneva.



REPLY TO
ATTENTION OF:

NATIONAL DEFENSE UNIVERSITY
NATIONAL WAR COLLEGE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20319

23 March 1983

NWIS

Honorable William P. Clark
Assistant to the President
for National Security Affairs
The White House
Washington, D. C. 20506

Dear Judge Clark:

Upon the suggestion of Ambassador Peter Dailey, I am forwarding a copy of the paper recently presented by Dr. Stephen F. Szabo to a conference sponsored by the Rand Corporation. Ambassador Dailey felt the paper was thoughtful, important and raised a number of key issues concerning European opinions on security issues.

I hope you find the paper useful. Dr. Szabo who is a member of the National War College faculty, is available to provide additional information on this vital matter.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Lee E. Surut".

Lee E. Surut
Major General, USA
Commandant

Enclosure
paper