# Ronald Reagan Presidential Library Digital Library Collections

This is a PDF of a folder from our textual collections.

# WHORM Subject File Code: CO071 (Countries: Iran) Case file Number(s): 511000-517999 Box Number: 93

To see more digitized collections visit: <u>https://www.reaganlibrary.gov/archives/digitized-textual-material</u>

To see all Ronald Reagan Presidential Library inventories visit: <u>https://www.reaganlibrary.gov/archives/white-house-inventories</u>

Contact a reference archivist at: reagan.library@nara.gov

Citation Guidelines: <u>https://reaganlibrary.gov/archives/research-</u> <u>support/citation-guide</u>

National Archives Catalogue: <u>https://catalog.archives.gov/</u>

Last Updated: 11/06/2023

## **Ronald Reagan Library**

Collection Name	WHITE HOUSE OFFICE OF RECORDS MAN	AGEMENT	r <b>Wit</b>	hdrawer
	(WHORM): SUBJECT FILE		DLE	<b>3</b> 4/27/2010
File Folder	CO071 (IRAN) (511000-517999)		FOL	A
			S10-	-306
Box Number	93		SYS	TEMATIC
			531	
ID Doc Type	Document Description	No of Pages	Doc Date	Restrictions
90147 NOTE	RE: POSSIBLE DEATH IN IRAN	1	7/10/1987	B1 B3

The above documents were not referred for declassification review at time of processing

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]

B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]

- B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA] B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]

## **Ronald Reagan Library**

Collection Name	WHITE HOUSE OFFICE OF RECORDS MA SUBJECT FILE	ANAGEME		<b>Withdı</b> DLB	r <b>awer</b> 4/27/2010
File Folder	CO071 (IRAN) (511000-517999)			FOIA	
Day Number	02			S10-030	
Box Number	93			SYSTE 53	MATIC
DOC Doc Type NO	Document Description	No of Pages	Doc Date	e Restr	ictions
1 LETTER	MICHAEL METRINKO TO NADER MOGHADAM	1	8/17/198	7 B6	
	511246				
2 FORM	WHITE HOUSE CORRESPONDENCE OFFICE REFERRAL FORM	1	8/13/198	7 B6	
	511246	····			
3 LETTER	MOGHADAM TO HOWARD BAKER	1	7/21/198	7 B6	
	511246				
4 FORM	CTRK DOCUMENT FORM 511246	1	5/15/198	7 B6	
5 FORM	CTRK DOCUMENT FORM 511246	1	3/23/198	7 B6	

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]

B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]

B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]

B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]

B-6 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(6) of the FOIA] B-8 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA] B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]

ID# 511246

C0071

- 14 17 \*

THE WHITE HOUSE

INCOMING

CORRESPONDENCE TRACKING WORKSHEET, 2 05, 1987 Noverponse Junio per John 87

DATE RECEIVED: AUGUST 05, 1987

MANAGEMENT.

NAME OF CORRESPONDENT: MR. NADAR MOGHADAM

SUBJECT: INQUIRY REGARDING STUDY OF SITUATION IN IRAN REQUESTS MEETING TO DISCUSS FUTURE OF IRAN AND U.S. RELATIONS

	ACTION	DISPOSITION	
ROUTE TO: OFFICE/AGENCY (STAFF NAME)	ACT DATE CODE YY/MM/DD	TYPE C COMPLET RESP D YY/MM/D	
HOWARD BAKER REFERRAL NOTE: FRANK CARLUCCI REFERRAL NOTE: REFERRAL NOTE:	ORG 87/08/05 RSI 87/08/05 /_/ /_/	<u>NAN</u> C 87/08/0 C 87/08/0 //	5
REFERRAL NOTE:	//		-
COMMENTS: SEE ID #480732 ADDITIONAL CORRESPONDENTS: MEDIA:L CS MAIL USER CODES: (A)(B			
**************************************	*OUTGO: *CORRE: *TYPE 1 RRAL * * COMPLI * * *	ING SPONDENCE: RESP=INITIALS OF SIGNER CODE = A ETED = DATE OF OUTGOING	* * * * * * * * *
REFER QUESTIONS AND ROUTING UPDA (ROOM 75,0EOB) EXT-2590 KEEP THIS WORKSHEET ATTACHED TO LETTER AT ALL TIMES AND SEND COM	THE ORIGINAL IN	NCOMING	

Co 071

### THE WHITE HOUSE CORRESPONDENCE TRACKING WORKSHEET

### INCOMING

DATE RECEIVED: AUGUST 05, 1987

NAME OF CORRESPONDENT: MR. NADAR MOGHADAM

SUBJECT: INQUIRY REGARDING STUDY OF SITUATION IN IRAN REQUESTS MEETING TO DISCUSS FUTURE OF IRAN AND U.S. RELATIONS

\.		AC	CTION	DI	SPOSITION	
ROUTE TO: OFFICE/AGENCY (STA	AFF NAME)		DATE YY/MM/DD		C COMPLE D YY/MM/	
HOWARD BAKER		ORG	87/08/05		C 87/08/	05
REFERRAL NO FRANK CARLUCCI REFERRAL NO		RSI	87/08/05	· · ·	C 87/08/	
STATE REFERRAL NO						The C
REFERRAL NO	DTE:	K	\$2.08.12	2	A871081	1200
REFERRAL NO	DTE:					_
ADDITIONAL CORRESPONDEN CS MAIL USER CODES:		IND:	IVIDUAL CC			-
*****		*****	*******	*****	******	**
*ACTION CODES: * *A-APPROPRIATE ACTION *C-COMMENT/RECOM *D-DRAFT RESPONSE *F-FURNISH FACT SHEET *I-INFO COPY/NO ACT NEO	2*	RRAL	*	PONDEN ESP=IN OF CODE = ETED =	ITIALS SIGNER	* * * * * *
*R-DIRECT REPLY W/COPY *S-FOR-SIGNATURE			*			*
*X-INTERIM REPLY ************************************	*	*****	*	*****	******	*
(ROOM 75,OEOB) I KEEP THIS WORKSI	AND ROUTING UPDA EXT-2590 HEET ATTACHED TO IMES AND SEND COM	THE O	RIGINAL IN	COMING	5	

UNCLASSIFIED (CLASSIFICATION)

### DEPARTMENT OF STATE EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT TRANSMITTAL FORM

S/S 8723352

DATE <u>August 18, 1987</u>

EOR: MR. GRANT GREEN EXECUTIVE SECRETARY NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL THE WHITE HOUSE

**REFERENCE**:

To: Howard Baker \_\_\_\_\_FROM: Mr. Nader Moghadam

DATE: July 21, 1987 SUBJECT: Inquiry regarding study of

situation in Iran requests meeting to discuss future of Iran and

and U.S. relations August 13, 1987 ID# 511246

(IF ANY)

\_\_\_\_\_ THE ATTACHED ITEM WAS SENT DIRECTLY TO THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE

### ACTION TAKEN:

- \_\_\_\_\_ A DRAFT REPLY IS ATTACHED.
- \_\_\_\_\_ A DRAFT REPLY WILL BE FORWARDED.
- \_\_\_\_\_ A TRANSLATION IS ATTACHED.
- \_\_\_\_X \_\_\_ AN INFORMATION COPY OF A DIRECT REPLY IS ATTACHED.
- \_\_\_\_\_ WE BELIEVE NO RESPONSE IS NECESSARY FOR THE REASON CITED BELOW.
- THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE HAS NO OBJECTION TO THE PROPOSED TRAVEL.
  - OTHER (SEE REMARKS).

REMARKS:

DIRECTOR SECRETARIAT STAFF

UNCLASSIFIED (CLASSIFICATION)

## **Ronald Reagan Library**

<i>Collection Name</i> WHITE HOUSE OFFICE OF RECORDS MANAGEMENT: SUBJECT FILE		<i>Withdraw</i> DLB 4/2	
<i>File Folder</i> CO071 (IRAN) (511000-517999)		<i>FOIA</i> S10-0306	/01
Box Number 93		SYSTEM	ATIC
DOC Document Type NO Document Description	No of pages	Doc Date	Restric- tions

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]

B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]

B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]

B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]

B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]

B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]

B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]

B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

## **Ronald Reagan Library**

WH	<i>lection Name</i> IITE HOUSE OFFICE OF RECORDS MANAGEMENT: BJECT FILE		Withdraw DLB 4/2	
File	e Folder		FOIA	
CO	071 (IRAN) (511000-517999)		S10-0306 SYSTEM	
Box	Number			
93			53	
DO	C Document Type	No of	Doc Date	
	C Document Type O Document Description	No of pages	Doc Date	Restric- tions
		5	<i>Doc Date</i> 8/13/1987	tions

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]

B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]

B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]

B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]

B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]

B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]

B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]

B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

## **Ronald Reagan Library**

WH	<i>lection Name</i> IITE HOUSE OFFICE OF RECORDS MANAGEMENT: BJECT FILE		<i>Withdrav</i> DLB 4/2	_
File	e Folder		FOIA	
CO	071 (IRAN) (511000-517999)		S10-0306 SYSTEM	
Box	x Number			
93			53	
סת	C Document Type	No of	Doc Date	Restric-
DU		2		
	O Document Description	pages		tions
	Document Description	v	7/21/1987	tions
NC		v		tions
NC	LETTER	v		tions

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]

B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]

B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]

B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]

B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]

B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]

B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]

B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

## **Ronald Reagan Library**

	<i>Withdraw</i> DLB 4/2	
	<i>FOIA</i> S10-0306 SYSTEM	
	53	
No of	Doc Date	Restric-
pages		tions
1	5/15/1987	B6
1	5/15/1987	B6
1	5/15/1987	B6
	•	DLB 4/2 FOIA S10-0306 SYSTEM <sup>53</sup> No of Doc Date

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]

B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]

B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]

B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]

B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]

B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA] B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]

B-9 Release would disclose finormation concerning the regulation of infancial institutions [(b)(b) of the FOIA]

SEARCH - QUERY 00004 NADER WITH MOGHADAM

474524	DOCUMENT=	1 OF	3
ACTDATE	= 870625		
ADDNUMB	=		
CREDATE	= 870625		
DOCDATE	= 870508		
DSPDATE	= 870625		
NAME	= CARLUCCI		
RCVDATE	= 870625		
RECID	= 474524		
STATE	= DC		
UPDATE	=		
ZIP	= 20506		
OPID	WS		
DOCDATE	870508		
RECTYP	HBA		
MEDIA	0		
STAFF	HOWARD BAKER		
	CSBAK1		
NAME	MR. FRANK C. C.	ARLUCCI	
ORG	NATIONAL SECUR	ITY COUNCIL	
ADDR	WASHINGTON		DC 20506
SUBJECT	LETTER FROM NAL	DER MOGHADAM	
	CONFIDENTIAL	The set of the set	CI CELOVAL
SUBCODE	C0071	OF CUSALIELE	1104/26110
	FG006-12		Wedlas
INDCODE	3500		
ACTION	RM RSZ 870625 (	C 870625	
COMMENTS	NSC 8703431		

END OF DOCUMENT

## **Ronald Reagan Library**

Collection Name WHITE HOUSE OFFICE OF RECOR SUBJECT FILE	RDS MANAGEMENT:		<i>Withdraw</i> DLB 4/2	-
File Folder			FOIA	
CO071 (IRAN) (511000-517999)			S10-0306 SYSTEM	
Box Number				
93			53	
				D
DOC Document Type		•	Doc Date	
DOC Document Type NO Document Description		No of pages	Doc Date	Restric- tions
		•	<i>Doc Date</i> 3/23/1987	tions
NO Document Description		pages		tions
NO Document Description 5 FORM		pages		tions

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

A		-	~ II	0# 511262	- 5
CONNES		HOUSE KING WORKSH	EET.	COO	71
		ring with	me the	I. E. R.	1
DATE RECEIVED: AUGUST 06, 1	editorial by 8 .9870 think it taken the	e Anderer	on in the ma	he Free Pres	z.
NAME OF CORRESPONDENT: MR.		to the try of	a who will	it with	
SUBJECT: FORWARDS EDITORAL THE IRAN-CONTRA AN		ON REGARDIN	G the Press	dent in	ntes
		ACTION		SPOSITION	up inc.
ROUTE TO: OFFICE/AGENCY (STAFF N		ACT DATE CODE YY/MM/		C COMPLETE D YY/MM/DD	D
HOWARD BAKER REFERRAL NOTE:		DRG 87/08/	06 <u>HB</u>	A 87108107	TR
Griscom (MGRIS REFERRAL NOTE:		A 87/08	O TR	C 87/08/12	)
REFERRAL NOTE:		//		/_/_	
REFERRAL NOTE:	······	//		/_/_	
REFERRAL NOTE:		//		/_/_	
COMMENTS:					
ADDITIONAL CORRESPONDENTS:	MEDIA:L	INDIVIDUAL	CODES:		
CS MAIL USER CODES: (A)	(B)		(C)		
****					
*ACTION CODES: *DIS * *	POSITION	*OUT *COR	GOING RESPONDENC	* CE: *	
*A-APPROPRIATE ACTION *A-A	NSWERED	*TYP			
*C-COMMENT/RECOM *B-N *D-DRAFT RESPONSE *C-C	ION-SPEC-REFERI	RAL *	OF CODE = $P$	SIGNER *	
*F-FURNISH FACT SHEET *S-S	USPENDED	*COM			
*I-INFO COPY/NO ACT NEC*		*	C	OUTGOING *	
*R-DIRECT REPLY W/COPY * *S-FOR-SIGNATURE *		*		*	
*X-INTERIM REPLY *	****	*	********	*******	
REFER QUESTIONS AND		ES TO CENTR	AL REFEREN	ICE	
(ROOM 75,OEOB) EXT-2 KEEP THIS WORKSHEET		HE ORIGINAL	INCOMING		
LETTER AT ALL TIMES				RDS	
MANAGEMENT.					

### August 7, 1987

Dear Alex:

Thank you for sharing with me Lee Anderson's editorial pertaining to the Iran-Contra affair.

I think it's right on the mark and I've taken the liberty of sharing it with those assisting the President in preparing his remarks for his address on this matter.

Sincerely,

Howard H. Baker, Jr. Chief of Staff to the President

Mr. Alex Guerry Chairman of the Board and President Chattem, Inc. 1715 West 38th Street Chattanooga, TN 37409

HHB/WJB/CAD/jz 8HHBA

Dear Alex:

•

Thank you for sharing with me Lee Anderson's editorial pertaining to the Iran-Contra affair.

I think it's right on the mark and I've taken the liberty of sharing it with those assisting the President in preparing his remarks for his address on this matter.

-

\_\_\_\_

Howard

hhb/wjb/cxO

z cc: with incoming to Tom Griscom



Alex Guerry Chairman of the Board and President August 5, 1987

Howard H. Baker, Jr. Chief of Staff to the President The White House 1600 Pennsylvania Avenue Washington, DC 20036

Dear Howard:

Enclosed is an editorial by Lee Anderson regarding the Iran-Contra affair which appeared in <u>The Free Press</u> yesterday afternoon. I am sending it to you in case it might be of some interest and help to the President and you as he prepares his speech to the nation on this matter.

Lee is a gifted and widely-acclaimed editorial writer, as I am sure you know, and his editorials have won many significant awards. At a time like this when so many members of the media seem primarily interested in sensationalism and political motives, we are very fortunate to have a person like Lee Anderson who understands all of the facts clearly and has the ability to write in such a clear and understandable fashion.

Sincerely,

Alex Guerry

# CHATTANOOGA NEWS-FREE PRESS

Publisher

FRANK McDONALD President LEE ANDERSON Editor

## Tuesday, August 4, 1987

# **Big-But Not That Big**

The public testimony in the congressional hearings involving the Iran-Contra affair has ended after 41 days. So what do we know as the senators and representatives and their staffs retire to write their reports (plural, because there are sure to be divergent views)?

We know that it all was big — but really not so big as the opportunists trumpeted in trying to take political advantage of our nation's difficulties in international affairs. We know that good men tried to do good things and that mistakes were made. And we have responded with public self-flagellation from which some have sought to make political capital.

In a nutshell:

1. Congress did the wrong thing — but in legitimate ways.

2. Some in the executive branch did the right things — but sometimes in wrong ways.

The obvious goal of the Democratic majority was to prove President Ronald Reagan was (1) a liar or (2) an incompetent. It did neither. President Reagan said he did not know in advance of the plan to divert Iranian funds to aid the anti-Communist Contras in Nicaragua. There was not a bit of evidence indicating President Reagan did know. And his lack of knowledge was not a result of incompetence but of mistaken though honest decision by Adm. John Poindexter, the national security adviser, not to tell the president, to let "the buck stop" with him rather than with Mr. Reagan.

It is easy to be sidetracked by the extensive testimony and speeches, to become confused from sometimes conflicting testimony that may not be deception but just reflect different perceptions and different memories of people involved in these and other major affairs from different perspectives. But there were really just three basic elements of the whole controversy: 1. President Reagan sought improvement of U.S. relations with potential future leaders of Iran who might be less radical than those now in power. In this, he was right. In the course of negotiations, he made a mistake, we believe, in approving limited sale of arms to Iranians. It was a legal, honest decision, though we wish no U.S. arms of any kind had gone to any Iranians.

2. President Reagan was deeply concerned about the Americans held hostage by terrorists in Lebanon. In this, he was right. He correctly sought to encourage Iranian influence on the Lebanese terrorists to get the hostages released, with mixed results. Though he has been badly misunderstood, the president was correct in insisting he did not "swap guns for hostages" since the arms went to Iranians who held no hostages and the Lebanese who did hold hostages got no guns, no money, no reward of any kind.

3. When the Iranians got the limited weapons, they were overcharged. The United States got the full price it had set for the arms. Several million dollars of Iranian money gained through the overcharging and left after operational expenses was earmarked for the Contras. As Lt. Col. Oliver North commented, he thought it was a "neat" idea to have Iranian money financing the anti-Communists. The trouble was that this was not cleared with the president. It appears the decision was primarily Adm. Poindexter's, since Col. North repeatedly asked him for assurance of proper authority.

What about Congress? It was wrong in meddling too deeply in the conduct of foreign affairs, a subject left constitutionally and by precedent primarily to the president. Congress first correctly voted aid to the Contras. But with their necks stuck out, Congress wrongly voted to cut off anti-Communist aid. The Boland Amendment banned use of appropriated funds by the Defense Department and U.S. intelligence agencies — but did not prohibit unnamed officials, individuals and other agencies from aiding the anti-Communists with non-tax money. The Boland Amendment mistake by Congress generated the mistakes that followed.

What about the president? He clearly acted within his powers, being on sound ground in overtures to the Iranians and for the hostages, though being carried by heart rather than head into the arms transfer.

What about Adm. Poindexter? He should not have acted on his own without telling the president. His motives were good — keeping Nicaraguan anti-Communism alive — but his methods were bad.

What about Col. North? He acted with honest purpose but with mistaken deception and sometimes lies to conceal his operations, feeling all the while that all he did was within the law and serving the best interests of the country, skirting rather than violating the Boland Amendment.

What about the late CIA Director William Casey? We will never know. A brilliant, dedicated, effective servant of American freedom, his death left many questions forever unanswered.

What about former National Security Adviser Robert McFarlane? He conscientiously did dangerous duty in secretly negotiating with the Iranians as he was asked to do, but without desirable results.

What about Gen. Richard Secord and Albert Hakim? They acted in private, civilian capacities, Gen. Secord says patriotically without a profit motive for himself, while Mr. Hakim sought to earn a profit, both serving what they believed to be U.S. interests.

What about Gen. John Singlaub, an ardent anti-Communist in military retirement; Mrs. Helen Clayton Garwood, a patriot who gave a helicopter to the Contras; the sultan of Brunei, the Republic of China on Taiwan, Saudi Arabia and others? They legally and admirably sought to keep resistance to Communist expansion in Latin America alive — while Congress encouraged the Communist aggressors by denying official aid to the anti-Communists.

What about Secretary of State George Shultz and Secretary of Defense Caspar Weinberger? They gave honest and good advice to the president not to go through with the Iranian arms sale. Unfortunately, the advice of others prevailed. They had no part in the aid to the Contras.

What about the money? We really don't know. How much went to the Contras? How much remains in Swiss bank accounts? And then there is the irony of the Brunei money deposited in an account with the wrong number, thus hitting a dead end. What have we learned?

1. No major foreign policy operation should go forward without full knowledge and specific approval by the president.

2. Congress should not try to dictate foreign policy.

3. Ordinarily non-operational agencies of government such as the National Security Council should not engage in operations, but should be restricted to presenting options in policy advice and information.

4. While secrecy is often essential, there should be guarded and limited sharing of information by the president and a few key members of Congress.

5. While covert operations require efforts to keep our enemies confused, in proper communications among American officials, there should be no lies. The end does not justify the means.

But have we learned these things?

1. The Soviet Union is sponsoring Communist "revolution without borders" in Latin America and is in deadly earnest about what it is doing.

2. Sadly, some in the United States are not equally determined to prevent Communist victory.

Let us not allow the Iran-Contra affair be only a disruptive episode in American life. Let us do what it takes to guarantee this will be a constructive experience rather than a destructive one.

THE WHIT CORRESPONDENCE TRA	ID# 511449 TE HOUSE $49$ CO 07/
INCOMING DATE RECEIVED: AUGUST 28, 1987 NAME OF CORRESPONDENT: MR. MICK ARNOLD SUBJECT: FORWARDS REPORT ON IRAN	Readen bear mick of your mick of your mick of your of the second of the
	Best w Au
	ACTION DISPOSITION
ROUTE TO: OFFICE/AGENCY (STAFF NAME)	ACT DATE TYPE C COMPLETED CODE YY/MM/DD RESP D YY/MM/DD
HOWARD BAKER	ORG 87/08/28 HB A87/09/29TR
Alscarly REFERRAL NOTE:	A 87/10/02 R C 87/10/05 TR
DOS REFERRAL NOTE:	B 52/10/07 MAN- C87/10/15 CJ \$
REFERRAL NOTE:	
REFERRAL NOTE:	
COMMENTS:	
ADDITIONAL CORRESPONDENTS: MEDIA:	I INDIVIDUAL CODES:
CS MAIL USER CODES: (A) (H	3) (C)
**************************************	*OUTGOING * *CORRESPONDENCE: * *TYPE RESP=INITIALS * * OF SIGNER * * CODE = A * *COMPLETED = DATE OF * * OUTGOING * * *
*S-FOR-SIGNATURE * *X-INTERIM REPLY * ***********************************	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
REFER QUESTIONS AND ROUTING UPDA (ROOM 75,OEOB) EXT-2590 KEEP THIS WORKSHEET ATTACHED TO LETTER AT ALL TIMES AND SEND COM MANAGEMENT.	ATES TO CENTRAL REFERENCE THE ORIGINAL INCOMING

DEFARTMENT OF STATE EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT TRANSMITTAL FORM

UNCLASSIFIED

S/S. 8729086

DATE October 15, 1987

EOR: MR. GRANT GREEN EXECUTIVE SECRETARY NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL THE WHITE HOUSE

**REFERENCE**:

TO: Hon. Howard H. Baker, Jr. FROM: Mr. Mick Arnold

DATE: \_\_\_\_August 25, 1987 \_\_\_\_SUBJECT: Specific Report - Iran

REFERRAL DATED: October 7, 1987 ID# 511449

(IF ANY)

\_\_\_\_\_ THE ATTACHED ITEM WAS SENT DIRECTLY TO THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE

ACTION TAKEN:

1

A DRAFT REPLY IS ATTACHED.

\_\_\_\_\_ A DRAFT REPLY WILL BE FORWARDED.

\_\_\_\_\_ A TRANSLATION IS ATTACHED.

AN INFORMATION COPY OF A DIRECT REPLY IS ATTACHED.

- \_\_\_\_\_X WE BELIEVE NO RESPONSE IS NECESSARY FOR THE REASON CITED BELOW.
  - THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE HAS NO OBJECTION TO THE PROPOSED TRAVEL.
- \_\_\_\_\_ OTHER (SEE REMARKS).

REMARKS: Mr. Baker has already written and expressed his appreciation to Mr. Arnold for subject report. The Near Eastern and South Asian Affairs Bureau (NEA/NGA) will maintain a copy on file.

so Kubishe

DIRECTOR SECRETARIAT STAFF

UNCLASSIFIED (CLASSIFICATION)

ONCEVERTITE

SYS STROPPS

EOR: MR. GRANT GREEN EXECUTIVE SECRETARY NATIOMAL SECURITY COUNCIL THE WHITE HOUSE

REFERENCE:

10; Non. Howard H. Baker, Jr. FROM: Mr. Mick Arnold

DATE: August 25, 1987 SUBJECT: Specific Report - Iren

REFERRAL DATED: October 7, 1987 ID# 511449 (IF ANY)

THE ATTACHED TTEN WAS SENT DIRECTLY TO THE

LAKEN:

A DRAFT REPLY IS ATTACHED.

W DRYLL MENTA MICH RE LOWNYCHINT

A TRANSLATION IS ATTACHED.

AN INFORMATION COPY OF A DIRECT REPLY IS ATTACHED

WE BELIEVE NO RESPONSE IS NECESSARY FOR THE REASO

CILED BEFOM\*

THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE HAS NO OBJECTION TO THE PROPOSED TRAVEL.

OTHER (SEE REMARKS)

REMARKS: Mr. Baker has already written and expressed his appreciation to Mr. Arnold for subjectireport. The Near Eastern and South Asian Affairs Bureau (NEA/NGA) will maintain a copy on file. SILOVION BOOM

DIBERTOR

R7 OCT 15 PH : 11

WHITE HOUSE

## 8729086

### THE WHITE HOUSE OFFICE

### REFERRAL

OCTOBER 7, 1987

TO: DEPARTMENT OF STATE

ACTION REQUESTED: DIRECT REPLY, FURNISH INFO COPY

DESCRIPTION OF INCOMING:

- ID: 511449
- MEDIA: LETTER, DATED AUGUST 25, 1987

TO: HOWARD BAKER

FROM: MR. MICK ARNOLD HEATH END HOUSE 1, MONTAGUE GARDENS, PETERSFIELD HAMPSHIRE GU31 4DT ENGLAND

SUBJECT: FORWARDS REPORT ON IRAN

PROMPT ACTION IS ESSENTIAL -- IF REQUIRED ACTION HAS NOT BEEN TAKEN WITHIN 9 WORKING DAYS OF RECEIPT, PLEASE TELEPHONE THE UNDERSIGNED AT 456-7486.

RETURN CORRESPONDENCE, WORKSHEET AND COPY OF RESPONSE (OR DRAFT) TO: AGENCY LIAISON, ROOM 91, THE WHITE HOUSE, 20500

> SALLY KELLEY DIRECTOR OF AGENCY LIAISON PRESIDENTIAL CORRESPONDENCE

### September 29, 1987

Dear Mick:

Thanks for your note; it was good to hear from you. I appreciated receiving your report on the Persian Gulf situation, and I'll share it with the appropriate people.

Best wishes.

Sincerely,

### Howard H. Baker, Jr. Chief of Staff to the President

Mr. Mick Arnold Heath End House 1 Montague Gardens Petersfield Hampshire GU31 4DT England

HHB/LRC:CAD:NSC:ds 9HHBA

cc: Lorraine Camarano - Rm. 61 OEOB Mr. Carlucci, with incoming

OFFICE OF HOWARD BAKER'S CORRESPONDENCE **REQUEST FOR CLEARANCE – COMMENTS** TO: Ralph Bellamy NSC DATE DUE: TYPE OF RESPONSE: Form Reply One-Time Reply Multiple Mailing A SAP Attached draft for Howard Baker's signature SUBJECT: REQUESTED BY/ADDRESSED TO: Howard Baker Mick Anold Forwards report on the Pensian Gulf situation BACKGROUND: SIGNATURE: DATE: Lonaine R. Cama Howard Baker's Correspondence Old Executive Office Building Room 61 (202) 456-6600 Your Recommendation/Comments: THE NSC CONCURS AS IS \_\_\_ AS AMENDED. FUL GRANT S GREEN JA DATE: SIGNATURE: WILLA 24 dept 87

May 1987

## 

## 8729086

Heath End House, 1, Montague Gardens, Petersfield, Hampshire. GU31 4DT England Telephone: (0730) 66992

Private and Confidential

August 25, 1987

My dear Howard,

A couple of days ago, I had an opportunity for a long talk with an Iranian friend on a short mission to Europe from Teheran.

I am enclosing the gist of information and thought from him, and I hope it may be of some interest. I would rate the information as reliable. The analysis is my own.

I hope all goes well,

Yours ever,

Mick Arnold

4 2 10 E.O. 125 dn

Dear Mick:

Thanks for your note; it was good to hear from you. I appreciated receiving your report. Best wishes.

Sincerely,

Howard

only situation, K and fill skale it with the coordinate pupple.

HHB/lrc/ers/NSC/

ec: w/incoming to Contucci

CONFIDENTIAL-

### Specific Report - Iran

Mick Arnold

August 25, 1987

Friction between the regular military and the Pasdaran (Revolutionary Guards) is increasing. There are reports of a clash between them on the north-west front with some 300 casualties three months ago. The Pasdaran now number around 330,000, and consist of a large number of semi-autonomous groups, each with a mullah as 'guide'. They have an embryo airforce (helicopters and three Migs), a small naval arm of fast small boats and a corvette, and a missile section. There is little discipline, and virtually no overall control, other than general policy from the center. The mines in the Gulf, which potentially hurt Iranian oil exports, were laid based on local decisions. The regular forces, with the officer corps more than decimated by the religious authorities, are forced into a low profile, and a great resentment is building up, not least because the Pasdaran have priority with armaments and spares.

This friction is reflected in the Council of Guardians; it is not clear who leads the factions, but Rafsanjani is establishing a strong claim to the succession by backing the Pasdaran, while Khamenei, who appears to be more pragmatic, is leaning towards the regular military, and away from the fanatical, and ill thought out adventurism of the Pasdaran. Khomeini has his hands full balancing the claims of each.

Iran's reply to the UN resolution calling for peace was intelligently ambiguous. Both this, and the agreement to meet Perez de Cuellar, seem to be time-wasting policies, in the hope that US pressure on Iraq to refrain from restarting the 'tanker war' can be indefinitely prolonged. It seems unlikely (except for some lunatic Revolutionary Guard) that Iran should mount some overt strike at US forces, unless Iraq resumes attacks on Iran's oil export lifeline. After all, even Kharg Island has not been attacked since July 13. That is not to say that covert pinpricks will not be attempted, both for internal propaganda reasons and to try to make the US seem vulnerable in the eyes of Arab nations.

There are reports of Soviet troop reinforcements on Iran's northern border, including Spetsnaz units, and some from the Far East (this makes sense in view of the Sino-Soviet talks on border problems, which have resulted in some agreements, therefore presumably freeing some troops from the area). It is generally thought in Iran that any landing of US Marines in the Gulf would probably mean an equivalent move into Northern Iran by the Soviets; another reason not to tempt the US by serious military action.

e .

There are also reports of growing military pressure on Saddam Hussein of Iraq to resume air strikes on Iranian marine targets. This should be prevented at all costs, and the Iraqi air superiority turned onto economic land targets in Iran. It is damage to Iran's oil exports which now could well spark off a major conflagration. Military and general economic targets seem unlikely to do so.

In summary, therefore, and based on the above information and analysis, the following comments may be relevant:

1. There should be no involvement of US Marines or troops by landings on Iranian territory. Retaliatory action, if necessary, should be in the form of pin-point, surgical air strikes on wholly military targets, or naval engagements.

2. An arms embargo should be actively pursued through the United Nations, with sanctions applied to countries which infringe the agreed regulations.

3. A firm undertaking should be obtained from Israel to avoid any involvement or activity whatsoever in the Gulf or with Iran.

4. The greatest pressure possible must be maintained on Iraq not to revert to attacks on Iranian tankers, but to keep up the pressure by air strikes against Iranian land-based targets, mostly non-oil related.

5. Covert action should be attempted to exploit the friction between Iranian regular forces and the Pasdaran.

6. Common policy should be formulated with European allies on passive (mine sweeping) activity in the Gulf.

7. There should be no negotiation, of any nature, on the release of hostages, either in Iran, or with terrorists in Lebanon under Iranian influence.

8. The Arab League, and any other major Arab forum should be encouraged to condemn Iranian activity in Mecca, to publicise Iranian actions which frequently contradict true Islamic rules, and to exploit the fact that Iranians are not Arabs.

9. Maximum effort should be exerted to give at least the appearance of a bi-partisan agreement not only in Congress, but also between Congress and the White House. Divisions are seen as weakness from outside.

Xmi1



5/2237

**Ronald Reagan Library** 

<i>Collection Name</i> WHITE HOUSE OFFICE OF RECORDS MANAGEMENT (WHORM): SUBJECT FILE		Withdrawer DLB 4/27/2	
<i>File Folder</i> CO071 (IRAN) (511000-517999)	FOIA S10-306 SYSTEMATIC		
Box Number 93		531	
ID Document Type Document Description	No of pages	Doc Date	Restric- tions
90147 NOTE RE: POSSIBLE DEATH IN IRAN	1	7/10/1987	B1 B3

The above documents were not referred for declassification review at time of processing Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]

B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]

B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]

B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]

B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]

B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]

B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]

B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.



6945 512983 United States Department of State Washington, D. C. 20520 August 31, 1987 FG006-12

INFORMATION MEMORANDUM S/S

TO: The Acting Secretary

FROM: PA - George B. High, Acting

SUBJECT: Iran Tops List of Americans' Perceived Enemies, Followed by USSR, Iraq

CJ

### Summary

More than half the public thinks of Iran as an "enemy" of the United States, the highest negative rating scored by any country in the five years the Roper poll has asked Americans to rank nations on a five-point scale ranging from "close ally" to "enemy." This compares to one-third who think the Soviet Union is an enemy, down from one-half three years ago. Iraq is just behind the Soviet Union in being viewed as an enemy of the US. At the other end of the scale, only two countries--Great Britain and Canada--were predominantly viewed as "close allies."

End Summary

A May 1987 Roper poll asked respondents to rate 18 countries in terms of whether that nation "has acted as a close ally of the US, a friend but not a close ally, has been more or less neutral toward the US, has been mainly unfriendly--but not an enemy--or has acted as an enemy of the US?" Roper has asked this question for five years. Since Iran and Iraq were on the list for the first time this year, no comparison is possible with earlier perceptions of those nations.

Over four-fifths of those polled viewed Iran either as "unfriendly" (31%) or as an "enemy" (52%)--the highest such rating given any country since Roper introduced this question in 1982. Neither the Soviet Union, Vietnam, nor Cuba (not included by Roper on this year's list) has been deemed an enemy by as high a percentage of Americans. These findings were recorded before the attack on the U.S.S. Stark and the reflagging of Kuwaiti tankers.

NSC# 8706445

Iraq ranked third from the bottom: Over three-fifths viewed it either as unfriendly (34%) or as an enemy (30%).

The Soviet Union ranked between Iran and Iraq. One-third of the public (32%) now perceive the USSR as an enemy--down from 40 percent in late 1985 and 49 percent in mid-1984. An additional 44 percent rated it as unfriendly in the latest poll.

Roper's poll produced these results about other nations and regions:

<u>US Allies</u>: About nine out of ten Americans viewed Great Britain and Canada positively ("close ally" or "friendly"), including about half or more who perceived them in the first category. This has been the case since Roper first asked the question.

There was a marked falloff in the perception of other countries as close allies: About 15 percent regarded Japan, France, and Israel in this category; less than 10 percent gave this rating to South Korea and the Philippines. These five countries were mainly viewed as "friends" of the US, getting that rating from roughly 40 percent of those polled.

<u>Middle East/Persian Gulf</u>: The public clearly differentiates friend from foe in the Middle East. In assessing nations other than Iran and Iraq; Syria was predominantly perceived as "unfriendly;" Saudi Arabia as "neutral;" Egypt as either "neutral" or "friendly;" and Israel as "friendly" to the US.

East Asia: The public distinguishes sharply between China and the USSR. Half perceived China either as being positive or "neutral" toward the US, while viewing the Soviet Union as negative ("unfriendly" or an "enemy"). Only one percent perceived the Soviet Union as either positive/neutral and China as negative. About one-fifth (18%) viewed <u>both</u> China and the USSR negatively.

Elsewhere in Asia, South Korea and the Philippines were characterized mainly as friends, while Vietnam was predominantly seen as unfriendly to the US.

Western Hemisphere: Mexico was predominantly seen as friendly to the US, while Nicaragua was predominantly seen as unfriendly.

Americans view their southern neighbor less favorably than their northern neighbor: Fifty-seven percent rated Mexico as a "close ally" or "friend," compared to 87 percent who accorded these ratings to Canada.

### Roper asked this question:

"I'd like to have your impressions about the overall position that some countries have taken toward the U.S. (RESPONDENTS SHOWN LIST OF COUNTRIES.) Would you read down that list, and for each country, tell me if you believe the country has acted as a close ally of the US, has acted as a friend but not a close ally, has been more or less neutral toward the US, has been mainly unfriendly toward the US, but not an enemy, or has acted as an enemy of the US?" ("Don't know" responses omitted.)

Country	Clos Ally		Friend	Neutral	Unfrien	<u>dly</u>	Enemy
Great Britain	568	(85३)	29%	66	1%	(1%)	-
Canada	49	(87)	38	6	1	(1)	<u> </u>
Japan	17	(66)	49	21	4	(6)	2
France	16	(54)	.38	28	8	(9)	1
Israel	15	(51)	36	23	11	(14) ·	3
Mexico	9	(57)	48	28	6	(8)	2
Philippines	8	(47)	39	28	11	(12)	1
South Korea	7	(39)	32	29	12	(16)	4
Egypt	3	(36)	33	34	10	(13)	3 7
Saudi Arabia	3	(25)	22	30	19	(26)	
Mainland China	2	(26)	24	41	14	(19)	5
South Africa	2	(15)	13	33	29 ·	(36)	7
Nicaragua	1	(9)	8	19	31	(49)	18
Vietnam	1 `	(9)	8	23	34	(55)	21
Syria	1	(8)	7	22	29	(48)	19
Iraq	1	(6)	5	15	34	(64)	30
Soviet Union	-	(4)	4	13	44	(76)	32
Iran	-	(2)	2	6	31	(83)	52

Most population groups were similar in the ally/enemy ratings they gave to different countries. For example, in every group a much larger proportion rated Iran as an "enemy" than accorded this rating to Iraq or any other country tested. At the other end of the scale, a much larger proportion in every group rated Great Britain and Canada as "close allies" than gave this rating to any other country.

<u>A</u>R Are PA/OAP:BRoshco Drafted:PA/OAP:ARichman/JHCollinge:rg 8/27/87 Ext.76064 W0267H

-3-

		NSC/S PROF	LE	UNCLASSI	FIED	6445 ID 870 <del>6455</del>
					RECEIV	ED 03 SEP 87 14
то	GREEN		FROM HIGH	, G	DOCDA	TE 31 AUG 87
KEYWORDS :	IRAN IRAQ		USSR			
SUBJECT:	IRAN TOPS	LIST OF AMER	S PERCEIV	ED ENEMIES	FOLLOWED BY U	USSR IRAQ
ACTION:	FOR RECORD	PURPOSES	. <b></b> -	 DUE:	STATUS C	FILES WH
	FOR ACTION		FOR	CONCURRENC	CE	FOR INFO TAHIR-KHELI KELLY, B ERMARTH OAKLEY PUGLIARESI
COMMENTS						
REF#		LOG			NSCIFID	(MC MC)
ACTION OFF	ICER (S)	ASSIGNED	ACTION	I REQUIRED	DUE	COPIES TO
DISPATCH						'ILE (C)

## UNCLASSIFIED

### THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

SITUATION ROOM NOTE

513321 C0071 September 22, 1987 12:15 pm EDT TNDDS

UNCLASSIFIED

### Iranian President's Speech Before UNGA

Iranian President Ali Khamenei, in a speech before the UN General Assembly this morning, rejected President Reagan's challenge to accept a cease-fire in their war with Iraq. He also accused the US of attacking an unarmed merchant ship yesterday, and stated that press reports that claimed the ship was laying mines was "a pack of lies." Khamenei said that the US bears direct responsibility for all ensuing events from the attack on the Iranian ship, and said the "US will soon receive a proper response (not specified) for this abominable action." He further stated the Iranian response will not be limited to the Persian Gulf. At this point, the US delegation left the auditorium.

The Saudi delegate left the auditorium after Khamenei claimed that the US played an influential role in last month's riots in Mecca in which over 400 Iranian pilgrims were killed. (U)

CNN live coverage

UNCLASSIFIED

STUATION ROOM NOTE

### **UNCLUSSIFIED**

#### YOWN FLEETGEUP, R SDeedy Buildin Buildin

Trantan Freshinent all Abstrangl, in a speech before the UN General Assembly this morning rejected Frestdent Respan's challenge to accept a cease-firs in their var with Iraq. He also accused the US of attacking an unarmed merchant ship yesterday, and stated that press reports that claimed the ship was laying mines was "s pack of lies." Mnamonal waid the the US bears direct responsibility for all encuing events from the attack on the Iranian ship, and said the "US will soon receive a proper response (ret apacified) for this minerable action." He further stated the results point, the "US delegation laft the stated the stated the this point, the "US delegation laft the subtoring.

The Saudi delegate late the anditorium after Khamanel claimed that the US played an influential role in list conth's riots in Metra in which over 100 Tranian pligtime were killed. (II)

CNN Live coverage

1