

Ronald Reagan Presidential Library
Digital Library Collections

This is a PDF of a folder from our textual collections.

WHORM Subject File Code: IT067
(International Organizations:
North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO))
Case file Number(s): 216613-219999
Box Number: 14

To see more digitized collections visit:

<https://www.reaganlibrary.gov/archives/digitized-textual-material>

To see all Ronald Reagan Presidential Library inventories visit:

<https://www.reaganlibrary.gov/archives/white-house-inventories>

Contact a reference archivist at: **reagan.library@nara.gov**

Citation Guidelines: <https://reaganlibrary.gov/archives/research-support/citation-guide>

National Archives Catalogue: <https://catalog.archives.gov/>

THE WHITE HOUSE
CORRESPONDENCE TRACKING WORKSHEET

17067

INCOMING

DATE RECEIVED: JUNE 07, 1984

NAME OF CORRESPONDENT: THE HONORABLE CHARLES Z. WICK

SUBJECT: SUBMITS FINAL COMPILATION OF INFORMATION ON
THE USAGE RESULTING FROM WORLDNET'S
COMMEMORATION OF NATO'S 35TH ANNIVERSARY

ROUTE TO: OFFICE/AGENCY (STAFF NAME)	ACTION		DISPOSITION	
	ACT CODE	DATE YY/MM/DD	TYPE RESP	C COMPLETED D YY/MM/DD
CRAIG L. FULLER	ORG	84/06/07		C 84/06/08
REFERRAL NOTE: <u>NS McFar</u>	A	84/06/08	TR	/ /
REFERRAL NOTE:		/ /		/ /
REFERRAL NOTE:		/ /		/ /
REFERRAL NOTE:		/ /		/ /
REFERRAL NOTE:		/ /		/ /

COMMENTS: _____

ADDITIONAL CORRESPONDENTS: MEDIA:L INDIVIDUAL CODES: _____

MI MAIL USER CODES: (A) _____ (B) _____ (C) _____

```

*****
* ACTION CODES:          * DISPOSITION CODES:      * OUTGOING          *
*                       *                               * CORRESPONDENCE:  *
* A-APPROPRIATE ACTION  * A-ANSWERED                * TYPE RESP=INITIALS *
* C-COMMENT/RECOM       * B-NON-SPEC-REFERRAL      * OF SIGNER         *
* D-DRAFT RESPONSE      * C-COMPLETED              * CODE = A           *
* F-FURNISH FACT SHEET  * S-SUSPENDED              * COMPLETED = DATE OF *
* I-INFO COPY/NO ACT NEC*                               * OUTGOING          *
* R-DIRECT REPLY W/COPY *                               *                   *
* S-FOR-SIGNATURE       *                               *                   *
* X-INTERIM REPLY       *                               *                   *
*****

```

REFER QUESTIONS AND ROUTING UPDATES TO CENTRAL REFERENCE
(ROOM 75,OEOB) EXT. 2590
KEEP THIS WORKSHEET ATTACHED TO THE ORIGINAL INCOMING
LETTER AT ALL TIMES AND SEND COMPLETED RECORD TO RECORDS
MANAGEMENT.

4628

RECEIVED 07 JUN 84 19

TO MCFARLANE

FROM WICK, C

DOCDATE 06 JUN 84

KEYWORDS USIA

NATO

SUBJECT: FINAL COMPILATION OF INFORMATION RE WORLDNET COMMEMORATION OF NATO
35TH ANNIVERSARY

ACTION: FOR RECORD PURPOSES DUE: STATUS C FILES WH

FOR ACTION

FOR CONCURRENCE

FOR INFO

STEINER

MATLOCK

SOMMER

RAYMOND

FORTIER

COMMENTS

REF# LOG 8404537 NSCIFID (J F)

ACTION OFFICER (S) ASSIGNED ACTION REQUIRED DUE COPIES TO

— 6/13 Reed Wick memo to Pres

WRV

DISPATCH _____ W/ATTCH FILE _____ (C)

**United States
Information
Agency**

Washington, D.C. 20547

Office of the Director

4628



84 JUN 7 P 3: 51

June 6, 1984

Dear Bud:

With the enclosed, I am pleased to be able to share with you a final compilation of information on the usage resulting from WORLDNET's commemoration of NATO's 35th Anniversary.

Best regards.

Sincerely,

Charles Z. Wick
Director

The Honorable
Robert C. McFarlane
Assistant to the President
for National Security Affairs
The White House

SIGNIFICANT REACTION
TO WORLDNET NATO SPECIAL PROGRAM
MAY 24, 1984

BELGIUM:

RTBF carried a three minute special summary of the program on its prime time evening newscast (3 million), including Secretary Shultz' reply to a question of fair burden sharing.

Le Soir (215,000) carried an article and Belga National News Agency summarized Secretary Shultz' views as well as those of Mr. Tindemans.

A front-page story under the heading "Belgium Remains Prepared to Deploy Missiles" in the Catholic Gazet Van Antwerpen (194,000) said that Foreign Minister Tindemans by emphasizing that Belgium will honor its commitments "wiped away all doubts about the installation or non-installation of the 48 cruise missiles on Belgian soil."

De Morgen (54,000) carried the following item on the program:

"Minister Tindemans told BRT radio yesterday that he judged from the Reagan remarks that foreign problems are increasingly 'a specialist's job'. In the same radio interview the Minister cited his wife as a 'witness beyond suspicion' to state that Reagan may have made a mere slip of the tongue. Tindemans yesterday was one of the stars of the international 'talk show' which was broadcast from the RTBF studios. Also participating were, among others, NATO Commander Rogers, U.S. Assistant Secretary of Defense Richard Perle and Le Monde editor Andre Fontaine, while via satellite Tindemans' American colleague Shultz also spoke. Tindemans said: 'Belgium will honor its NATO commitments -- but continues to evaluate the evolution of the situation. It is important to make public opinion realize how much Europe is directly involved in NATO decisions and that the European countries themselves are the ones who asked Washington to deploy the Euromissiles.'"

CANADA:

Embassy Ottawa reports that the audiences in both Ottawa and Montreal were effusive in their praise of the program. Turnout at Ambassador Robinson's residence was heavy with NATO Ambassadors, military attaches, Canadian press and government. In Montreal, one member of the audience of press and NATO Consular representatives stated: "how wonderful it is to sit in Canada and finally hear some good European views."

Global TV fashioned a major news item out of the event for May 24 early and late evening newscasts repeated in May 25 noon news show. While it emphasized the local angle of the Trudeau "Peace Initiative" it also repeated at some length Secretary Shultz' response to the six-nation nuclear freeze proposal explaining the danger of leaving all deployments to one side.

DENMARK:

Copenhagen reports that their first participation in WORLDNET was a highly successful experience appreciated by all who attended. Print media coverage was substantial and upbeat, and Danish T.V. used over three minutes in its prime time evening newscast on May 24, carrying its correspondent's question to Secretary of State Shultz as well as as a question from The Hague on INF. TV correspondent Karin-Lis Svarre described the Secretary as "friendly and diplomatic."

Berlingske Tidende (conservative) reported: "Questions and answers were issued at the first major trans-atlantic press conference and security policy dialogue via TV and satellite taking place between Western Europe and North America, an unusual media event on the occasion of NATO's 35th anniversary and an example of the democratic openness which characterizes Western defense cooperation....[Shultz] stated that 'NATO is strong and our best guarantee for peace.' This was the prevailing theme of the lengthy dialogue between the many capitals...."

Under a story in Information (leftist) headlined "New Era with TV Communication Brings Washington Nearer," correspondent Jorgen Dragsdahl says: "From studios in the American embassy journalists had the possibility to raise direct questions to top members of the Reagan administration....Danish journalists have not been included in previous telecasts but the 35th anniversary of NATO allowed the circle to be extended to include 14 countries....After drawing straws the invited press were allowed to address questions to the color TV monitor....The American Embassy has great expectations for regular meetings via satellite which also can include others besides the media. For example, European and American artists can meet and exchange viewpoints....A new era has begun."

Conservative Jyllands-Posten's Nils Thostrup wrote: "The U.S. Government has begun utilizing satellite technology in order to be able to include its partners in the NATO alliance in quick debates on mutual problems....Thursday the American Embassy in Denmark was linked up on this network on the occasion of NATO's 35th anniversary...."

Social Democratic Aktuelt's foreign affairs editor stated: "NATO is alive and well. Despite minor problems things are going pretty well. This is what TV viewers were told yesterday by U.S. Secretary of State George Shultz, seconded by politicians and experts from the U.S. and Europe...."

Kristeligt Dagblad (independent Christian) wrote: "The questions of the journalists from the 16 countries were transmitted back and forth between the U.S. and Europe via satellite. [The press conference] centered around the intermediate range missiles. The situation in the Gulf area and the possibility of renewed negotiations...."

FRANCE:

Agence France Presse carried a four paragraph dispatch reporting Secretary Shultz' reaction to President Mitterrand's remarks on the necessity of European defense and the Secretary's comments on Spain's joining the NATO alliance.

UNITED KINGDOM:

Attendees to this program generally found it of considerable interest and praiseworthy.

BBC Russian Service prepared a 6 minute segment on the transmission which went out on the Russian Service's Weekend Magazine show (repeated twice on May 26 and 27).

WEST GERMANY:

Embassy Bonn noted that audiences in the capital and Munich felt that the program appropriately commemorated the anniversary of NATO by demonstrating that this Alliance of sixteen democratic states reaches its decisions through a process of consultation and discussion, in stark contrast to the Warsaw pact.

Suddeutsche Zeitung weekend edition (May 26/27) (478,000 circ.) reported Secretary Shultz' statement that the U.S. Administration's planned strategic defense initiative did not represent a serious obstacle to the NATO alliance as well as the Secretary's comments about the possibility of a neutralist government in West Germany (which he saw as unlikely) and about the need for greater European defense expenditures.

Bayrischer Rundfunk (BR) closed its 6:45 PM television newscast Thursday with a 90-second feature on Munich's participation in EURONET.

ICELAND:

The special NATO WORLDNET program was broadcast for one hour and fifteen minutes on Icelandic State Television on Friday, May 25, starting at 10:30 PM. The commitment of such a large block of time to a political issue is unusual for state television.

Morgunbladid, Iceland's largest daily, noted the NATO broadcast in an editorial on May 27. "The television program telecast on the 24th that was done simultaneously in all NATO member states and was shown here....confirmed once again that NATO is the strongest peace movement that has been established since the end of the Second World War and even further back in history. Of course, no one is in full agreement on everything that NATO has done, but the overwhelming opinion in the member states is that a more sound option than military cooperation between the member states is not possible if they want to ensure their security and independence."

"It is necessary to keep this in mind when one listens to the various outcries and sensationalism in the name of peace. For a long time, the Soviet propaganda machine has been busy portraying Kremlin leaders, whoever they may be, as the greatest peace chiefs of the world. Now, even as the Soviet Army is decimating the people of Afghanistan, the peace drums have been beaten harder than ever in the hope that their sound will drown out the cries of agony and the bomb percussions from Afghanistan...."

"As Leo Tindemans said during the NATO WORLDNET interview on TV, 'Who is not for peace and against nuclear weapons?' Of course, everyone chooses peace without nuclear weapons, but there are only a few who find it necessary to advertise themselves as the saviours of mankind with that view on their lips."

Thodviljinn, Iceland's most leftist-leaning daily, carried a major article on May 25 that was remarkable in its content since it was generally more evenhanded than what is expected of the newspaper which is the strongest print critic of the NATO base and Iceland's participation in the alliance.

ITALY:

Rome

The special WORLDNET program on the occasion of NATO's 35th anniversary received coverage by major newspapers and electronic media throughout Italy.

Italian television's moderate Channel One News (TG-1), which was on the site with a correspondent and crew, carried a clip on its late-night "TG-Notte" broadcast (275,000). The clip, narrated by Fabrizio Del Noce, highlighted his one INF-related question and used a variety of visuals from the program.

Moderate GR-2 -- Channel Two Radio News -- carried brief summaries of the broadcast on its morning news roundups on May 24 (1.4 million).

Leftist, influential La Repubblica (260,000) headlined its report "Shultz: We Shall Not Give In to The Kremlin."

Caludio Lanti in leading conservative Il Giornale (182,000): "'NATO is strong and firm,' said the U.S. Secretary of State Shultz"....During the many-voiced debate the defensive nature of the pact was repeatedly stressed. Lanti followed with a summary of the program's views, listed theme by theme.

Rome's conservative Il Tempo (130,000) called Secretary Shultz "cautious and positive at the same time." The headline: "Shultz: 'There Will Be No Concessions To Bring Moscow Back to the Negotiating Table.'"

Il Popolo (80,000): "It was not only a celebration, but also an occasion for debate and study. What's the present status of health of the 35 year-old alliance? Almost everybody says it is good, even if there are problems."

The longest article on the program appeared in radical left Il Manifesto (30,000) under headline: "35 Years of NATO, Shultz makes a Television Toast to Peace and to Cruise Missiles."

Milan

Overall, the EURONET transmission to Milan was a great success. The inaugural telecast of EURONET in Milan attracted television and press coverage on a national and regional level.

An estimated audience of 100,000 viewed the RAI 3 evening newscast for the Lombardy region which devoted three minutes to the EURONET transmission.

Corriere Della Sera (502,000), Italy's top circulation, centrist daily carried an article on EURONET on the day of the transmission and covered the program the following day, May 25, on its foreign affairs page. Headline read: "Shultz Live on Television: European Plan for Common Defense a Constructive Step."

L'Avvenire, the national Catholic daily, covered the transmission in a five-column wide box on the front page. The headline read: "NATO, A Choice of Peace" with subhead: "Anniversaries: Press Conference of Shultz via Satellite with the Capitals of the Alliance."

Naples

The foreign editor of Naples' Il Mattino, Almerico Di Meglio, gave a lengthy, positive story on the program under the headlines: "Deterrence: Strategy for Peace; Shultz for the NATO's 35 Years."

NORWAY:

A 3-column story headlined: "International Press Conference via Satellite," focused on the program, its participants, and types of questions. Aftenposten, (independent conservative)

In a story that illustrated the timeliness of the program, the Dagbladet (sensationalist liberal) focused on the answer Assistant Secretary of Defense Perle gave to a question about a nuclear freeze. The reporter asking the question came straight from the Parliament which the same day approved nearly unanimously a report favoring a freeze. Dagbladet in its five column story headlined, "Freeze: Cold Shoulder from USA" said: "Richard Perle said almost the exact opposite of the Norwegian parliament. He denied that a nuclear freeze is an advantage for the disarmament effort, and said that a freeze would increasingly place the West in a worse situation, with the deterrent force gradually losing its credibility."

PORTUGAL:

An estimated 4.5 million people saw RTP's (Portugal's state-owned and only television station) prime-time evening broadcast May 29, which aired major portions of May 24 WORLDNET special. The feature lasted for over an hour and included introductory film, Shultz and Tindeman's interviews and the two panel discussions. In addition to WORLDNET's "man-in-the-street interviews," RTP included a couple of their own.

SPAIN:

The May 24 WORLDNET special was well covered in the Spanish media: a full minute on Spanish television, broad radio coverage and articles in Madrid's three leading dailies on May 25th. In addition to journalists, the program at the Embassy was attended by representatives from the Office of the Spokesman of the Prime Minister, the Ministry of Defense and three political parties.

TURKEY:

Turkey's first participation in WORLDNET programming was highly successful. The NATO special generated great interest among working journalists and attracted an invited audience that included the Director of Turkish Television, Director of the Government of Turkey's Press Office, several Members of Parliament and other foreign policy analysts.

Ankara reports that TRT Television ran a six minute segment on their main news broadcast, featuring Turkish questions, answers and in-studio visuals.

Semi-official Anatolian News Agency distributed story with excerpts, and the report was carried in Milliyet (liberal - 232,122).

Both Tercuman (259,000 - conservative) and Bulvar (177,000 - sensational) carried stories including color pictures of TRT studio scene (frontpage in Tercuman). Cumhuriyet and Gunes (232,000 - popular/family) both carried brief items emphasizing "boycott."

5
C. Fuller
**United States
Information
Agency**

Washington, D.C. 20547

Office of the Director



217063

84 JUN 11 P 8: 01

June 6, 1984

Dear Mr. President:

With the enclosed, I am pleased to be able to share with you a final compilation of information on the usage resulting from WORLDNET's commemoration of NATO's 35th Anniversary.

Best regards.

Sincerely,

Charles Z. Wick
Director

The President
The White House

SIGNIFICANT REACTION
TO WORLDNET NATO SPECIAL PROGRAM
MAY 24, 1984

BELGIUM:

RTBF carried a three minute special summary of the program on its prime time evening newscast (3 million), including Secretary Shultz' reply to a question of fair burden sharing.

Le Soir (215,000) carried an article and Belga National News Agency summarized Secretary Shultz' views as well as those of Mr. Tindemans.

A front-page story under the heading "Belgium Remains Prepared to Deploy Missiles" in the Catholic Gazet Van Antwerpen (194,000) said that Foreign Minister Tindemans by emphasizing that Belgium will honor its commitments "wiped away all doubts about the installation or non-installation of the 48 cruise missiles on Belgian soil."

De Morgen (54,000) carried the following item on the program:
"Minister Tindemans told BRT radio yesterday that he judged from the Reagan remarks that foreign problems are increasingly 'a specialist's job'. In the same radio interview the Minister cited his wife as a 'witness beyond suspicion' to state that Reagan may have made a mere slip of the tongue. Tindemans yesterday was one of the stars of the international 'talk show' which was broadcast from the RTBF studios. Also participating were, among others, NATO Commander Rogers, U.S. Assistant Secretary of Defense Richard Perle and Le Monde editor Andre Fontaine, while via satellite Tindemans' American colleague Shultz also spoke. Tindemans said: 'Belgium will honor its NATO commitments -- but continues to evaluate the evolution of the situation. It is important to make public opinion realize how much Europe is directly involved in NATO decisions and that the European countries themselves are the ones who asked Washington to deploy the Euromissiles.'"

CANADA:

Embassy Ottawa reports that the audiences in both Ottawa and Montreal were effusive in their praise of the program. Turnout at Ambassador Robinson's residence was heavy with NATO Ambassadors, military attaches, Canadian press and government. In Montreal, one member of the audience of press and NATO Consular representatives stated: "how wonderful it is to sit in Canada and finally hear some good European views."

Global TV fashioned a major news item out of the event for May 24 early and late evening newscasts repeated in May 25 noon news show. While it emphasized the local angle of the Trudeau "Peace Initiative" it also repeated at some length Secretary Shultz' response to the six-nation nuclear freeze proposal explaining the danger of leaving all deployments to one side.

DENMARK:

Copenhagen reports that their first participation in WORLDNET was a highly successful experience appreciated by all who attended. Print media coverage was substantial and upbeat, and Danish T.V. used over three minutes in its prime time evening newscast on May 24, carrying its correspondent's question to Secretary of State Shultz as well as as a question from The Hague on INF. TV correspondent Karin-Lis Svarre described the Secretary as "friendly and diplomatic."

Berlingske Tidende (conservative) reported: "Questions and answers were issued at the first major trans-atlantic press conference and security policy dialogue via TV and satellite taking place between Western Europe and North America, an unusual media event on the occasion of NATO's 35th anniversary and an example of the democratic openness which characterizes Western defense cooperation....[Shultz] stated that 'NATO is strong and our best guarantee for peace.' This was the prevailing theme of the lengthy dialogue between the many capitals...."

Under a story in Information (leftist) headlined "New Era with TV Communication Brings Washington Nearer," correspondent Jorgen Dragsdahl says: "From studios in the American embassy journalists had the possibility to raise direct questions to top members of the Reagan administration....Danish journalists have not been included in previous telecasts but the 35th anniversary of NATO allowed the circle to be extended to include 14 countries....After drawing straws the invited press were allowed to address questions to the color TV monitor....The American Embassy has great expectations for regular meetings via satellite which also can include others besides the media. For example, European and American artists can meet and exchange viewpoints....A new era has begun."

Conservative Jyllands-Posten's Nils Thostrup wrote: "The U.S. Government has begun utilizing satellite technology in order to be able to include its partners in the NATO alliance in quick debates on mutual problems....Thursday the American Embassy in Denmark was linked up on this network on the occasion of NATO's 35th anniversary...."

Social Democratic Aktuelt's foreign affairs editor stated: "NATO is alive and well. Despite minor problems things are going pretty well. This is what TV viewers were told yesterday by U.S. Secretary of State George Shultz, seconded by politicians and experts from the U.S. and Europe...."

Kristeligt Dagblad (independent Christian) wrote: "The questions of the journalists from the 16 countries were transmitted back and forth between the U.S. and Europe via satellite. [The press conference] centered around the intermediate range missiles. The situation in the Gulf area and the possibility of renewed negotiations...."

FRANCE:

Agence France Presse carried a four paragraph dispatch reporting Secretary Shultz' reaction to President Mitterrand's remarks on the necessity of European defense and the Secretary's comments on Spain's joining the NATO alliance.

UNITED KINGDOM:

Attendees to this program generally found it of considerable interest and praiseworthy.

BBC Russian Service prepared a 6 minute segment on the transmission which went out on the Russian Service's Weekend Magazine show (repeated twice on May 26 and 27).

WEST GERMANY:

Embassy Bonn noted that audiences in the capital and Munich felt that the program appropriately commemorated the anniversary of NATO by demonstrating that this Alliance of sixteen democratic states reaches its decisions through a process of consultation and discussion, in stark contrast to the Warsaw pact.

Suddeutsche Zeitung weekend edition (May 26/27) (478,000 circ.) reported Secretary Shultz' statement that the U.S. Administration's planned strategic defense initiative did not represent a serious obstacle to the NATO alliance as well as the Secretary's comments about the possibility of a neutralist government in West Germany (which he saw as unlikely) and about the need for greater European defense expenditures.

Bayrischer Rundfunk (BR) closed its 6:45 PM television newscast Thursday with a 90-second feature on Munich's participation in EURONET.

ICELAND:

The special NATO WORLDNET program was broadcast for one hour and fifteen minutes on Icelandic State Television on Friday, May 25, starting at 10:30 PM. The commitment of such a large block of time to a political issue is unusual for state television.

Morgunbladid, Iceland's largest daily, noted the NATO broadcast in an editorial on May 27. "The television program telecast on the 24th that was done simultaneously in all NATO member states and was shown here....confirmed once again that NATO is the strongest peace movement that has been established since the end of the Second World War and even further back in history. Of course, no one is in full agreement on everything that NATO has done, but the overwhelming opinion in the member states is that a more sound option than military cooperation between the member states is not possible if they want to ensure their security and independence."

"It is necessary to keep this in mind when one listens to the various outcries and sensationalism in the name of peace. For a long time, the Soviet propaganda machine has been busy portraying Kremlin leaders, whoever they may be, as the greatest peace chiefs of the world. Now, even as the Soviet Army is decimating the people of Afghanistan, the peace drums have been beaten harder than ever in the hope that their sound will drown out the cries of agony and the bomb percussions from Afghanistan...."

"As Leo Tindemans said during the NATO WORLDNET interview on TV, 'Who is not for peace and against nuclear weapons?' Of course, everyone chooses peace without nuclear weapons, but there are only a few who find it necessary to advertise themselves as the saviours of mankind with that view on their lips."

Thodviljinn, Iceland's most leftist-leaning daily, carried a major article on May 25 that was remarkable in its content since it was generally more evenhanded than what is expected of the newspaper which is the strongest print critic of the NATO base and Iceland's participation in the alliance.

ITALY:

Rome

The special WORLDNET program on the occasion of NATO's 35th anniversary received coverage by major newspapers and electronic media throughout Italy.

Italian television's moderate Channel One News (TG-1), which was on the site with a correspondent and crew, carried a clip on its late-night "TG-Notte" broadcast (275,000). The clip, narrated by Fabrizio Del Noce, highlighted his one INF-related question and used a variety of visuals from the program.

Moderate GR-2 -- Channel Two Radio News -- carried brief summaries of the broadcast on its morning news roundups on May 24 (1.4 million).

Leftist, influential La Repubblica (260,000) headlined its report "Shultz: We Shall Not Give In to The Kremlin."

Caludio Lanti in leading conservative Il Giornale (182,000): "'NATO is strong and firm,' said the U.S. Secretary of State Shultz"....During the many-voiced debate the defensive nature of the pact was repeatedly stressed. Lanti followed with a summary of the program's views, listed theme by theme.

Rome's conservative Il Tempo (130,000) called Secretary Shultz "cautious and positive at the same time." The headline: "Shultz: 'There Will Be No Concessions To Bring Moscow Back to the Negotiating Table.'"

Il Popolo (80,000): "It was not only a celebration, but also an occasion for debate and study. What's the present status of health of the 35 year-old alliance? Almost everybody says it is good, even if there are problems."

The longest article on the program appeared in radical left Il Manifesto (30,000) under headline: "35 Years of NATO, Shultz makes a Television Toast to Peace and to Cruise Missiles."

Milan

Overall, the EURONET transmission to Milan was a great success. The inaugural telecast of EURONET in Milan attracted television and press coverage on a national and regional level.

An estimated audience of 100,000 viewed the RAI 3 evening newscast for the Lombardy region which devoted three minutes to the EURONET transmission.

Corriere Della Sera (502,000), Italy's top circulation, centrist daily carried an article on EURONET on the day of the transmission and covered the program the following day, May 25, on its foreign affairs page. Headline read: "Shultz Live on Television: European Plan for Common Defense a Constructive Step."

L'Avvenire, the national Catholic daily, covered the transmission in a five-column wide box on the front page. The headline read: "NATO, A Choice of Peace" with subhead: "Anniversaries: Press Conference of Shultz via Satellite with the Capitals of the Alliance."

Naples

The foreign editor of Naples' Il Mattino, Almerico Di Meglio, gave a lengthy, positive story on the program under the headlines: "Deterrence: Strategy for Peace; Shultz for the NATO's 35 Years."

NORWAY:

A 3-column story headlined: "International Press Conference via Satellite," focused on the program, its participants, and types of questions. Aftenposten, (independent conservative)

In a story that illustrated the timeliness of the program, the Dagbladet (sensationalist liberal) focused on the answer Assistant Secretary of Defense Perle gave to a question about a nuclear freeze. The reporter asking the question came straight from the Parliament which the same day approved nearly unanimously a report favoring a freeze. Dagbladet in its five column story headlined, "Freeze: Cold Shoulder from USA" said: "Richard Perle said almost the exact opposite of the Norwegian parliament. He denied that a nuclear freeze is an advantage for the disarmament effort, and said that a freeze would increasingly place the West in a worse situation, with the deterrent force gradually losing its credibility."

PORTUGAL:

An estimated 4.5 million people saw RTP's (Portugal's state-owned and only television station) prime-time evening broadcast May 29, which aired major portions of May 24 WORLDNET special. The feature lasted for over an hour and included introductory film, Shultz and Tindeman's interviews and the two panel discussions. In addition to WORLDNET's "man-in-the-street interviews," RTP included a couple of their own.

SPAIN:

The May 24 WORLDNET special was well covered in the Spanish media: a full minute on Spanish television, broad radio coverage and articles in Madrid's three leading dailies on May 25th. In addition to journalists, the program at the Embassy was attended by representatives from the Office of the Spokesman of the Prime Minister, the Ministry of Defense and three political parties.

TURKEY:

Turkey's first participation in WORLDNET programming was highly successful. The NATO special generated great interest among working journalists and attracted an invited audience that included the Director of Turkish Television, Director of the Government of Turkey's Press Office, several Members of Parliament and other foreign policy analysts.

Ankara reports that TRT Television ran a six minute segment on their main news broadcast, featuring Turkish questions, answers and in-studio visuals.

Semi-official Anatolian News Agency distributed story with excerpts, and the report was carried in Milliyet (liberal - 232,122).

Both Tercuman (259,000 - conservative) and Bulvar (177,000 - sensational) carried stories including color pictures of TRT studio scene (frontpage in Tercuman). Cumhuriyet and Gunes (232,000 - popular/family) both carried brief items emphasizing "boycott."

C. F.

217947

IT067

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

8/17/84

MEMORANDUM

TO: ROBERT MCFARLANE

FROM: FREDERICK J. RYAN, JR. *FJR*

SUBJ: APPROVED PRESIDENTIAL ACTIVITY

MEETING: with Lord Carrington, new NATO Secretary General

DATE: September 11, 1984

TIME: 1:30 pm

DURATION: 30 minutes

LOCATION: Oval Office

REMARKS REQUIRED: To be covered in briefing paper provided by NSC

MEDIA COVERAGE: Coordinate with Press Office

FIRST LADY
PARTICIPATION: No

NOTE: PROJECT OFFICER, SEE ATTACHED CHECKLIST

- | | | |
|---------------|-------------------|------------|
| cc: R. Darman | J. Rosebush | R. Kimmitt |
| R. Deprospero | R. Scouten | |
| B. Elliott | B. Shaddix | |
| D. Fischer | W. Sittmann | |
| C. Fuller | L. Speakes | |
| W. Henkel | WHCA Audio/Visual | |
| E. Hickey | WHCA Operations | |
| G. Hodges | A. Wrobleski | |
| C. McCain | Nell Yates | |
| B. Oglesby | | |

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

MEMORANDUM

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

5402

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

July 17, 1984

SCHEDULE PROPOSAL

TO: FREDERICK J. RYAN, Director
Presidential Appointments and
Scheduling

FROM: ROBERT M. KIMMITT *B.L.*

REQUEST: Meeting with Lord Carrington, NATO
Secretary General

PURPOSE: To signal our strong support for the
Alliance and for Carrington
personally as he takes on his new
duties.

BACKGROUND: Lord Carrington will be in Washington
for his first official consultations
as NATO Secretary General. It has
been traditional for the NATO
Secretary General to meet with the
President when he visits Washington
officially.

PREVIOUS
PARTICIPATION: None as Secretary General.

DATE & TIME: Late afternoon September 11, or any
time on September 12 or 13; 30
minutes

LOCATION: Oval Office

PARTICIPANTS: The President, Lord Carrington,
Secretary Shultz, Secretary
Weinberger, Mr. McFarlane

OUTLINE OF EVENTS: Meeting in Oval Office

REMARKS REQUIRED: NSC will provide briefing paper.

MEDIA COVERAGE: Photo opportunity

RECOMMENDED BY: State and NSC

OPPOSED BY: None

PROJECT OFFICER: Peter R. Sommer

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Declassify on: OADR

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

DECLASSIFIED
BY NARA DATE 5/5/2014
dn

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

MEMORANDUM

CONFIDENTIAL

5402

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

July 17, 1984

SCHEDULE PROPOSAL

TO: FREDERICK J. RYAN, Director
Presidential Appointments and
Scheduling

FROM: ROBERT M. KIMMITT *B.1*

REQUEST: Meeting with Lord Carrington, NATO
Secretary General

PURPOSE: To signal our strong support for the
Alliance and for Carrington
personally as he takes on his new
duties.

BACKGROUND: Lord Carrington will be in Washington
for his first official consultations
as NATO Secretary General. It has
been traditional for the NATO
Secretary General to meet with the
President when he visits Washington
officially.

PREVIOUS PARTICIPATION: None as Secretary General.

DATE & TIME: Late afternoon September 11, or any
time on September 12 or 13; 30
minutes

LOCATION: Oval Office

PARTICIPANTS: The President, Lord Carrington,
Secretary Shultz, Secretary
Weinberger, Mr. McFarlane

OUTLINE OF EVENTS: Meeting in Oval Office

REMARKS REQUIRED: NSC will provide briefing paper.

MEDIA COVERAGE: Photo opportunity

RECOMMENDED BY: State and NSC

OPPOSED BY: None

PROJECT OFFICER: Peter R. Sommer

CONFIDENTIAL

Declassify on: OADR

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

DECLASSIFIED
Sec. 3409, E.O. 12958, as amended
White House, September 21, 2008
BY NARA *dw* DATE *5/5/14*

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

C.F.

MEMORANDUM
CONFIDENTIAL

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

217947

5402

IT067

July 17, 1984

PROOF
FG011

SCHEDULE PROPOSAL

TO: FREDERICK J. RYAN, Director *FG006-12*
Presidential Appointments and
Scheduling

FROM: ROBERT M. KIMMITT *B.L.*

REQUEST: Meeting with Lord Carrington, NATO
Secretary General

PURPOSE: To signal our strong support for the
Alliance and for Carrington
personally as he takes on his new
duties.

BACKGROUND: Lord Carrington will be in Washington
for his first official consultations
as NATO Secretary General. It has
been traditional for the NATO
Secretary General to meet with the
President when he visits Washington
officially.

PREVIOUS PARTICIPATION: None as Secretary General.

DATE & TIME: Late afternoon September 11, or any
time on September 12 or 13; 30
minutes

LOCATION: Oval Office

PARTICIPANTS: The President, Lord Carrington,
Secretary Shultz, Secretary
Weinberger, Mr. McFarlane

OUTLINE OF EVENTS: Meeting in Oval Office

REMARKS REQUIRED: NSC will provide briefing paper.

MEDIA COVERAGE: Photo opportunity

RECOMMENDED BY: State and NSC

OPPOSED BY: None

PROJECT OFFICER: Peter R. Sommer

DECLASSIFIED
/ Sec. 570, E.O. 12958, as amended
White House, 5/5/14
BY NARS dh DATE 5/5/14

CONFIDENTIAL
Declassify on: OADR

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

NSC #8405402

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

5402

MEMORANDUM

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

ACTION

July 13, 1984

MEMORANDUM FOR ROBERT M. KIMMITT

SIGNED

FROM: PETER R. SOMMER *Peter*

SUBJECT: Appointment Request for Lord Carrington

State has requested that the President meet with Lord Carrington, in his new role as NATO Secretary General, on September 11-13. Lord Carrington is making his first official visit to Washington as NATO Secretary General; he paid an unofficial visit to Washington last March, which included a meeting with Bud.

State believes a call by Carrington on the President would be an extremely important symbol of our support for the Alliance and Carrington personally. We agree. State stresses that it has been traditional for the NATO Secretary General to meet with the President when he visits Washington; and Carrington has received similar high-level treatment on his other official visits since taking office.

RECOMMENDATION

That you forward the Tab I appointment request to Fred Ryan.

Approve *K* Disapprove _____

D
Don Fortier and *DM* Don Mahley concur.

Attachments

- Tab I - Memo to Fred Ryan
- Tab II - State's Recommendation

CONFIDENTIAL

Declassify on: OADR

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

DECLASSIFIED
Sec. 2.4(b), E.O. 12958, as amended
White House, Washington, Sept. 11, 2008
BY NARA *dlb* DATE *5/5/14*



5402
United States Department of State

Washington, D.C. 20520 8419754

July 12, 1984

84 JUL 13 11:15

CONFIDENTIAL

MEMORANDUM FOR MR. ROBERT C. MCFARLANE
THE WHITE HOUSE

WHITE HOUSE
SITUATION ROOM

SUBJECT: Appointment Request for Lord Carrington

Peter Carrington will make his first official visit to Washington as NATO Secretary General on September 11-13 (he made a number of calls, including one on you, during an introductory, unofficial visit last March).

We believe a call by Carrington on the President would be an extremely important symbol of our support for the Alliance and Carrington personally. In his new position, he will have a crucial role in enhancing the public image of the Alliance and stimulating greater Allied conventional defense efforts. It has been traditional for the NATO Secretary General to meet with the President when he visits Washington officially. Carrington has received similar high-level treatment on his other official visits since taking office.

The Department thus recommends that the President agree to receive Carrington for approximately 30 minutes late on September 11 or any time on September 12 or 13. We would plan to arrange the remainder of Carrington's schedule around this call, including appointments with the Secretary and Secretary Weinberger and Congressional leaders.

Tom Stanley
Charles Hill
Executive Secretary

DECLASSIFIED
Dept of State Guidelines, July 21, 1977
BY db NARA, DATE 5/5/14

CONFIDENTIAL
DECL: OADR

National Security Council
The White House

System # I

Package # 5402

87 JUL 17 AIO: 59

1255

	SEQUENCE TO	HAS SEEN	DISPOSITION
Paul Thompson	<u>1</u>	<u>✓</u>	
Bob Kimmitt	<u>2</u>	<u>K</u>	
John Poindexter			
Tom Shull			
Wilma Hall			
Bud McFarlane			
Bob Kimmitt			
NSC Secretariat	<u>3</u>	<u>JUL 17 1984</u>	<u>D / ASAP</u>
Situation Room			<u>to Ryan</u>

I = Information **A = Action** R = Retain D = Dispatch N = No further Action

cc: VP Meese Baker Deaver Other _____

COMMENTS Should be seen by: _____
(Date/Time)

87 JUL 17 AIO: 11

84 JUL 17 11:11

COMMITTEE

Special Review

1-Information	2-Action	3-Review	4-Approval	5-Disapproval
---------------	----------	----------	------------	---------------

Mr. Tolson

Mr. Boardman

Mr. Nichols

Mr. Belmont

Mr. Mohr

Mr. Casper

Mr. Callahan

Mr. Conrad

Mr. DeLoach

RECORDED TO HAS BEEN DISPOSITION

The White House
National Security Council

RECEIVED 13 JUL 84 10

UNCLASSIFIED UPON REMOVAL OF CLASSIFIED EMBODIMENTS

TO MCFARLANE

FROM HILL, C

ds 3/17/84

DOC DATE 12 JUL 84

KEYWORDS NATO

AP

CARRINGTON, PETER

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR PRES TO MEET W/ LORD CARRINGTON SEP 11 - 13

ACTION: PREPARE MEMO FOR MCFARLANE DUE: 16 JUL 84 STATUS S FILES WH

FOR ACTION

FOR CONCURRENCE

FOR INFO

FORTIER

MATLOCK

MARTIN

SOMMER

KIMMITT

COMMENTS

REF# 8419754

LOG

NSCIFID

(CM *ST*)

ACTION OFFICER (S) ASSIGNED ACTION REQUIRED DUE COPIES TO

KIMMITT

X 7/17

FOR SIGNATURE

7/17

JUL 17 1984

Kimmitte Spol SP

PS DF MA

DISPATCH

ed [signature]

JUL 17 1984

W/ATTCH

FILE

with 24 (C)

ID 8402403

CONFIDENTIAL

NSC/S PROFILE

RECEIVED 13 JUL 84 10

DDDATE 13 JUL 84

FROM BILL, C

MCARLANE

TO

CARRINGTON, PETER

AF

KEYWORDS NATO

copy sent to Carly NSC 8-7-84

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR PRESS TO MEET W/ LORD CARRINGTON SEP 11 - 13

ACTION: PREPARE MEMO FOR MCARLANE DUE 16 JUL 84 STATUS 8 FILES WH

FOR INFO

FOR CONCURRENCE

FOR ACTION

SOMMER

MARTIN

HATLOCK

FORTIER

KIMMITT

COMMENTS

REF 8419254

LOG

NSC/FID

(CM)

ACTION OFFICER (S) ASSIGNED ACTION REQUIRED DUE COPIES TO

Kimmitt

JUL 17 1984

Kimmitt

2/15

R. D. M.

JUL 17 1984

DISPATCH

WATCH FILE

(C)

C.F.

NSC/S PROFILE

CONFIDENTIAL

ID 8405402

217947

UNCLASSIFIED UPON REMOVAL OF CLASSIFIED ENCLOSURE(S)

RECEIVED 13 JUL 84 10

TO MCFARLANE

FROM HILL, C

9/5/14

DOCDATE 12 JUL 84

SOMMER

13 JUL 84

IT067

KIMMITT

17 JUL 84

KEYWORDS. NATO

AP

CARRINGTON, PETER

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR PRES TO MEET W/ LORD CARRINGTON SEP 11 - 13

ACTION: PREPARE MEMO FOR MCFARLANE DUE: 17 JUL 84 STATUS C FILES WH

FOR ACTION

FOR CONCURRENCE

FOR INFO

FORTIER

MATLOCK

MARTIN

SOMMER

KIMMITT

COMMENTS

REF# 8419754

LOG

NSCIFID

(CM LF)

ACTION OFFICER (S) ASSIGNED ACTION REQUIRED DUE COPIES TO

- 7/31 Read Kimmitt Profs note PS

DISPATCH

W/ATTCH FILE (C)

MSG FROM: NSRMK --CPUA TO: NSGVE --CPUA
To: NSPBT --CPUA

07/31/84 14:51:24

-- ~~SECRET~~ --

NOTE FROM: Robert M. Kimmitt
SUBJECT: longrange readout--7/27

DECLASSIFIED
Sec.3.4(b), E.O. 12958, as amended
White House Guidelines, Sept. 14, 2006
BY NARA AB DATE 8/15/17

please have appropriate staff implement the decisions below:

--#5625: meeting with lewis and bronfman scheduled for 1145, 8/16, for
15 min in oval office (teicher).

--#5032: unga speech set for 9/24. sunday travel depends on receipt of state
scenario for bilaterals and possible reception.

--#3258: b-1 rollout turned down(douglass).

--#5402: carrington visit approved in principle for 15 min on 9/11, 12, or 13.
ryan to get back with us with a time (sommer).

.ccnscmb

cc: NSGVE	--CPUA	NSWGH	--CPUA
NSWGH	--CPUA	NSKWZ	--CPUA
NSFEG	--CPUA	NSDFP	--CPUA

MEMORANDUM

1304

218412

IT067

C0078

FG006-12

LE

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

February 22, 1984

LD

MEMORANDUM FOR RONALD K. PETERSON

FROM: ROBERT M. KIMMITT *RM*

SUBJECT: Defense draft report on H.Con.Res 172, a bill
"Requesting the President to call on pertinent
members nations of the North Atlantic Treaty
Organization and on Japan to meet their respective
commitments to increase defense spending in 1983
and 1984."

NSC concurs in the proposed report with the modifications recommended by the Department of Defense.

NSC#8401304

MEMORANDUM

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

February 16, 1984

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR ROBERT C. MCFARLANE

SIGNED

FROM: PHILIP A. DUR *Phil*

SUBJECT: Defense draft report on H.Con.Res 172, a bill
 "Requesting the President to call on pertinent
 members nations of the North Atlantic Treaty
 Organization and on Japan to meet their respective
 commitments to increase defense spending in 1983
 and 1984."

Attached at Tab A is OMB's request for NSC comments on the
 subject bill. Attached at Tab I is a memo from Kimmitt to
 Peterson concurring in the bill.

Don Don Fortier, Jack Matlock, *Chris* Chris Lehman, and *G.S.* Gaston Sigur concur.

RECOMMENDATION

That you authorize Bob Kimmitt to initial and forward the memo to
 Peterson at Tab I.

Approve *K*

Disapprove _____

Attachments

Tab I - Kimmitt Memo to Peterson

Tab A - OMB Legislative Referral of Feb. 13, 1984



1304

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20503

February 13, 1984

LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL MEMORANDUM

TO: Legislative Liaison Officer-
National Security Council
Department of State

SUBJECT: Defense draft report on H.Con.Res. 172, a bill
"Requesting the President to call on pertinent
member nations of the North Atlantic Treaty
Organization and on Japan to meet their
respective commitments to increase defense
spending in 1983 and 1984."

The Office of Management and Budget requests the views of your
agency on the above subject before advising on its relationship to
the program of the President, in accordance with OMB Circular A-19.

A response to this request for your views is needed no later than

MONDAY, MARCH 12, 1984.

Questions should be referred to Tracey Lawler (395-4710)
the legislative analyst in this office.

Ronald K. Peterson
RONALD K. PETERSON FOR
Assistant Director for
Legislative Reference

Enclosures

cc: Bob Howard
John Eisenhower



DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301

February 7, 1984

Honorable David A. Stockman
Director, Office of Management
and Budget
Washington, D. C. 20503

Dear Mr. Stockman:

The views of the Department of Defense have been requested on H.Con.Res. 172, 98th Congress, a bill, "Requesting the President to call on pertinent member nations of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization and on Japan to meet their respective commitments to increase defense spending in 1983 and 1984."

Advice is requested as to whether there is objection to the presentation of the attached report to the Committee.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Werner Windus".

Werner Windus
Director
Legislative Reference Service

Enclosure



GENERAL COUNSEL OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301

Honorable Dante B. Fascell
Chairman, Committee on
Foreign Affairs
House of Representatives
Washington, D. C. 20515

Dear Mr. Chairman:

This replies to your request for comments of the Department of Defense on H.Con.Res. 172, 98th Congress, "Requesting the President to call on pertinent member nations of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization and on Japan to meet their respective commitments to increase defense spending in 1983 and 1984."

We have no objections to the resolution in principle, but suggest the changes in the enclosure to enhance its precision.

A summary evaluation of allied defense efforts on the basis of various quantitative burdensharing measures reported to Congress in the Defense Department report on "Allied Contribution to the Common Defense" suggests that the U.S. contribution to the total defense effort of NATO and Japan is somewhat more than its fair share. The contributions of the allies vary widely from nation to nation. Among the non-US/NATO nations, some measure up extremely well while others do very poorly. Japan, the only non-NATO nation addressed in the report, is doing increasing expenditures at more than 3 percent per year for the last several years.

This Administration continues to encourage the allies to do more. At the urging of the United States, the NATO leaders at the June 1982 Bonn Summit agreed to give due attention to fair burdensharing, and in June 1983 the Defense Ministers reaffirmed the current resource guidance formula aiming at 3 percent real increases in defense expenditures. The Department of Defense working with the Department of State continues to press our allies to do more.

Burdensharing, however, is more than just increasing defense expenditures. It also is evidenced in such programs as Ground-Launched Cruise Missile, Pershing II, host nation support, prepositioning sites, etc. The principal need and burdensharing challenge this year has been to work in close collaboration with the allies to improve Western defenses with particular attention to the INF deployment. It will not be possible to convince the

USSR to negotiate seriously on arms control unless all the NATO allies show the political will to insure that NATO's posture of deterrence and defense is credible. In the fact of the relentless Soviet buildup, steadfastness of purpose and action by both the U.S. and its allies is essential for continued protection of our vital interest.

The Office of Management and Budget advises that, from the standpoint of the Administration's program, there is no objection to the presentation of this report for the consideration of the Committee.

Sincerely,

L. Niederlehner
Acting General Counsel

Enclosure

RECOMMENDED CHANGES TO HOUSE COMMITTEE RESOLUTION 172

1. In the first paragraph of the resolution, lines 2 and 3 delete "to meet their respective commitments" and add "to meet or exceed the three per centum real annual increase" to the end of the sentence. Rationale: Japan has made no formal commitment to increase spending and the NATO allies formal goal is to "aim" for 3 percent.

2. On page 1, first "Whereas", fifth line, delete "and the country of Japan". Rationale: That figure is not relevant since Japan already exceeds 3%.

3. The following "Whereas" should be added after the first "whereas" on page 1. "Whereas the country of Japan has stated that it will achieve the capability of providing its own self-defense." Rationale: Factual statement that adds strength to argument that Japan has agreed on the need to do more for its own defense.

4. On page 2, second "Whereas", second line, after "nations" insert "taking part in the integrated defense structure." Fourth line, the words "aim for" should be substituted for the word "undertake". Rationale: To make the statement factually correct.

5. Change last "Whereas" on page 2 to read. "Whereas the Japanese Prime Minister has said that defense of the air and sea lines of communications out to one thousand miles from its home island was a matter

of Japan's national policy." Rationale: Japan did not "enter into a commitment to defend its air and sea lines out to 1000 miles under the Treaty of Mutual Cooperation and Security between our two countries. The Japanese Prime Minister (Mr. Suzuki) did say in 1981 that such a role was Japan's national policy but no explicit promise or commitment was made by Japan to the US.

6. On page 3, delete first "whereas." Statement is factually incorrect.

National Security Council
The White House

CT
1000

84 FEB 22 AIO: 29 System # I
Package # 1304

	SEQUENCE TO	HAS SEEN	DISPOSITION
Bill Martin	_____	_____	_____
Bob Kimmitt	<u>1</u>	<u>K</u>	_____
John Poindexter	_____	_____	_____
Wilma Hall	_____	_____	_____
Bud McFarlane	_____	_____	_____
Bob Kimmitt	_____	_____	_____
NSC Secretariat	<u>2</u>	_____	<u>D</u>
Situation Room	_____	_____	_____

I = Information A = Action R = Retain D = Dispatch N = No further Action

cc: VP Meese Baker Deaver Other _____

COMMENTS Should be seen by: _____
(Date/Time)

RECEIVED

84 FEB 22 AM: 08

CONVIER 12

CC BY WITH SOME OTHERS

SEARCHED INDEXED SERIALIZED FILED

FEB 22 1984

FEB 22 1984

FEB 22 1984

FEB 22 1984

FEB 22 1984

FEB 22 1984

FEB 22 1984

FEB 22 1984

REFERENCE TO

HAS BEEN

REMOVED

FEB 22 1984

FEB 22 1984

02

RECEIVED 15 FEB 84 12

TO KIMMITT

FROM PETERSON, R

DOCDATE 13 FEB 84

KEYWORDS: NATO

JAPAN

LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL

SUBJECT. DOD DRAFT RPT ON HR-172 RE NATO AND JAPAN COMMITMENTS TO INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING IN 1983 & 1984

ACTION: COMMENTS/CONCURRENCE

DUE: 07 MAR 84 STATUS S FILES

FOR ACTION

FOR CONCURRENCE

FOR INFO

~~FORTIER~~

MATLOCK

SIGUR

SOMMER

DUR

LEHMAN, C

CHILDRESS

LAUX

MCMINN

THOMPSON

COMMENTS

REF# LOG NSCIFID (V)

ACTION OFFICER (S) ASSIGNED ACTION REQUIRED DUE COPIES TO

McFarlane X

7/10

For decision

FEB 22 1984

Kimmit approved

C FEB 22 1984

Kimmit spl

PD, R/K

DISPATCH

1/22

W/ATTCH

FILE

W/H (C) J